#### **HG4041** Theories of Grammar

## Structure of the lexicon

#### Francis Bond

#### **Division of Linguistics and Multilingual Studies**

http://www3.ntu.edu.sg/home/fcbond/bond@ieee.org

Lecture 6 Location: LHN-TR+36

### **Questions**

**Q**: can you please explain or give examples on the lexical rule instantiation?

**A**: There are many in the slides

**Q**: Why is there a need for default?

**A**: So that we can express things more compactly

**Q**: What is an example of a constraint that will cause contradiction to the default constraint inheritance?

**A**: SPR < DP > in proper noun*Miami Heat* 

Q: Why is ARG-ST included under adj-lxm & conj-lxm, but not adv-lxm & det-lxm?

A: I think it is everywhere, maybe just not shown for space

**Q**: In a nutshell, would it be accurate to say that inflectional rules affect the SYN feature while derivational rules affect the SEM feature?

A: I think that describes their main effect, yes (although of course both get changed)

**Q**: In this week's readings it said that lexeme and expression are both direct subtypes of synsem. Looking at the tree given on pg 229, I have the understanding that the features that fall under expressions cannot be in the same synsem as the features that fall under lexeme. However, I do not really understand what this means, how it is possible or if my understanding is even correct.

**A**: Yes, that is correct. So, for example, phrase does not have ARG-ST

**Q**: Last-week's topic: For the imperative rule, why is the COMPS list empty?

**A**: Because we want the verb to have all of its compliments before we use it. \*Put! vs Put it there!

**Q**: Is the defeasible symbol '/' only used for lexeme?

A: No it can be used elsewhere (such as in rules)

**Q**: What is the difference between an empty list <> and a defeasible list /<>? (E.g. ARG-ST < DP > + <> and ARG-ST < DP > +/<>)

A: One can be overuled by more specific types the other cannot.

 ${f Q}$ : Gerneral qns Is ARG-ST < DP

[COUNT+] > ([...] on the 2nd line) and ARG-ST DP [COUNT +] the same?

A: No: only the first one is correct (ARG-ST must be a list)

**Q**: Could you explain what the function of INPUT and OUTPUT is? Why do we need them?

**A**: Input is daughter, output is mother. The rule applies to something (the input) to make something different (the output), declaratively.

**Q**: Apart from the exceptions of some proper nouns like mountains and team names, could this exception (that proper nouns are usually 3sing and ARG-ST list must be empty) be applied to brand names as well?

For example,

- (1) The Blackberrys/-ies we saw today were stunning!
- (2) \*The Blackberrys/ies we saw today is stunning!

A: Yes!

**Q**: In the beginning of the chapter, they were talking about the concept of "words with spaces". What are they and how does it relate to the chapter's discussion of lexemes?

**A**: Things that look like two words, but act as one: ad hoc

**Q**: How do we determine whether or not a constraint is defeasible? Is everything non-defeasible till there is a counterexample that shows that it is?

**A**: In the model, it is only defeasible if we say it is. We make things defeasible if they are true for most types, but not all.

**Q**: Why does the book say that only S with FORM finite can be stand-alone sentences (51), when it gave examples in its list (48) of values for FORM that are also acceptable sentences?

**A**: in examples like *Kim is* <u>eating</u> <u>lunch</u>, <u>eating</u> is FORM prp, but the sentence is headed by *is* which is FORM fin.

# **Acknowledgments and References**

Course design and slides borrow heavily from Emily Bender's course: Linguistics 566: Introduction to Syntax for Computational Linguistics http://courses.washington.edu/ling566