JMORF — Morpho-Syntax

Sign-Based Construction Grammar

Francis Bond

Palacký University

https://fcbond.github.io/bond@ieee.org

Lecture 16

Location: SV 2.39

Overview

- > Chapter 16 framework (same analyses, different underlying system)
- ➤ General wrap up

Construction Grammar (CxG)

- > A family of grammars based on the idea that
 - Knowledge of a language comes from form and function pairings
 - function includes meaning, content, or intent (both semantics and pragmatics)
 - > form includes phonology, syntax, orthography
- > CxG grew out of generative semantics and cognitive linguistics, by researchers such as Charles Fillmore, Paul Kay and George Lakoff
- ➤ Instead of language as a grammar+lexicon, think of it as a structured network of families of constructions

Construction Grammars

- Sign-based-Construction Grammar (Berkeley Construction Grammar) unification-based framework (with computational implementation)
- Goldbergian/Lakovian Construction Grammar psychologically plausible
- Radical Construction Grammar syntactic categories, roles, and relations are not universal: they are not only language-specific, but also construction specific
- > Embodied Construction Grammar relates constructions to embodiment and sensorimotor experience
- > Fluid Construction Grammar learns grammars from the environment (with computational implementation)

Overview of Differences (SBCG vs HPSG)

- ➤ Multiple Inheritance
- > Signs
- > Grammar rules form a hierarchy many more rules
- > Every tree node has its own phonology
- > Many principles become constraints on grammar rules
- > The definition of well-formedness is simplified

Look at Ian Sag's slides (from p25) if there is time after the presentations.

Wrap Up

Big picture: Our model

HPSG Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar

- > Describes a set of strings
- > Associates semantic representations (and trees) with well-formed strings
 - > Is stated in terms of declarative constraints
 - ... which are order-independent
 - > Locates most constraints 'in the lexicon'
 - > Is stated in a precise fashion

Parts of our model

- > Type hierarchy (lexical types, other types)
- > Phrase structure rules
- > Lexical rules
- > Lexical entries
- > Grammatical principles
- ➤ Initial symbol

Universals in our model

- > SHAC
- > Binding theory
- > Head-complement/-specifier/-modifier
- ➤ Head Feature Principle
- > Valence Principle
- > Semantic Compositionality Principle
- **>** ...

Design Goals of our Model

- > Precise
- > Robust
- > Psychologically Plausible
- > Computationally Tractable

Course overview

- > Survey of some phenomena central to syntactic theory
- > Introduction to the HPSG framework
- > Process over product: How to build a grammar fragment
- > Value of precise formulation (and of getting a computer to do the tedious part for you!)

Reflection

- > What was the most surprising thing in this class?
- What do you think is most likely wrong?
- > What do you think is the coolest result?
- What do you think you're most likely to remember?
- > How do you think this course will influence your work as a (computational) linguist?

Overview

- > Chapter 16 framework (same analyses, different underlying system)
- ➤ General wrap up