

# 1 Object Language

## 1.1 Raw Terms

The untyped syntax for CBPV OSum, add separating connectives, remove error.

Value Types	$A$	$::=$	$X$ $\text{Unit}$ $\text{Case } A$ $\text{OSum}$ $A \times A$ $A * A$ $\exists X.A$ $U\underline{B}$
Computation Types	$\underline{B}$	$::=$	$A \rightarrow \underline{B}$ $A \multimap \underline{B}$ $\forall X.\underline{B}$ $FA$
Values	$V$	$::=$	$x$ $tt$ $\sigma$ $\text{inj}_V V$ $(V, V)$ $(V * V)$ $\text{pack } (A, V) \text{ as } \exists X.A$ $\text{thunk } M$
Computations	$M$	$::=$	$\lambda x: A.M$ $MV$ $\alpha x: A.M$ $M@V$ $\Lambda X.M$ $M[A]$ $\text{ret } V$ $\text{force } V$ $\text{newcase}_A x; M$ $\text{match } V \text{ with } V \{ \text{inj } x.M \parallel N \}$ $\text{let } (x, x) = V; M$ $\text{let } (x * x) = V; M$ $\text{unpack } (X, x) = V; M$
Value Context	$\Gamma$	$::=$	$\cdot$ $\Gamma, x: A$ $\Gamma * x: A$
Type Context	$\Delta$	$::=$	$\cdot$ $\Delta, X$

## 1.2 Typed Terms

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Delta; \Gamma, x : A \vdash_v x : A} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Delta; \Gamma * x : A \vdash_v x : A} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v \text{tt} : \text{Unit}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v \sigma : \text{Case}A \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V : A}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v \text{inj}_\sigma V : \text{OSum}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V_1 : A_1 \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V_2 : A_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v (V_1, V_2) : A_1 \times A_2} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma_1 \vdash_v V_1 : A_1 \quad \Delta; \Gamma_2 \vdash_v V_2 : A_2}{\Delta; \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2 \vdash_v (V_1 * V_2) : A_1 * A_2} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V : A[A'/X]}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v \text{pack}(A', V) \text{ as } \exists X.A : \exists X.A} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c M : \underline{B}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v \text{thunk } M : \underline{UB}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x : A \vdash_c M : \underline{B}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \lambda x : A.M : A \rightarrow \underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c M : A \rightarrow \underline{B} \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v N : A}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c MN : \underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma * x : A \vdash_c M : \underline{B}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \alpha x : A.M : A \multimap \underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma_1 \vdash_c M : A \multimap \underline{B} \quad \Delta; \Gamma_2 \vdash_v N : A}{\Delta; \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2 \vdash_c M @ N : \underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta, X; \Gamma \vdash_c M : \underline{B}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \Lambda X.M : \forall X.\underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c M : \forall X.\underline{B} \quad \Delta \vdash A}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c M[A] : \underline{B}[A/X]} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V : A}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \text{ret } V : FA}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V : U \underline{B}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \text{force } V : \underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma * (\sigma : \text{Case } A) \vdash_c M : \underline{B} \quad \Delta \vdash A}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \text{newcase}_A x; M : \underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V : \text{OSum} \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v \sigma : \text{Case } A \quad \Delta; \Gamma, x : A \vdash M : \underline{B} \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c N : \underline{B}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \text{match } V \text{ with } \sigma \{ \text{inj } x.M \parallel N \} : \underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V : A_1 \times A_2 \quad \Delta; \Gamma, x : A_1, y : A_2 \vdash_c M : \underline{B}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \text{let } (x, y) = V; M : \underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V : A_1 * A_2 \quad \Delta; \Gamma * x : A_1 * y : A_2 \vdash_c M : \underline{B}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \text{let } (x * y) = V; M : \underline{B}} \\
\\
\frac{\Delta \vdash \underline{B} \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v V : \exists X. A \quad \Delta, X; \Gamma, x : A \vdash_c M : \underline{B}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_c \text{unpack}(X, x) = V; M : \underline{B}}
\end{array}$$

## 2 Meta Language

### 2.1 Raw Formulas

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\text{Formula } \phi, \psi & ::= & t =_A u \\
& | & R(t, u) \\
& | & \phi \implies \psi \\
& | & \phi \wedge \psi \\
& | & \phi \vee \psi \\
& | & \exists x : A. \phi \\
& | & \exists X. \phi \\
& | & \exists \underline{X}. \phi \\
& | & \exists R : \text{Rel}[A, B]. \phi \\
& | & \forall x : A. \phi \\
& | & \forall X. \phi \\
& | & \forall \underline{X}. \phi \\
& | & \forall R : \text{Rel}[A, B]. \phi
\end{array}$$

### 2.2 Typed Formulas

Propositions, or well-formed formulas, use a term environment  $\Gamma$ , type environment  $\Delta$  and relation environment  $\Theta$ . The typing judgement for Propositions is  $\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p P$ . There are value relations and computation relations.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_- t : A \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_- u : A}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p t =_A u} \text{ for } v, c \\
\\
\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_- t : A \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_- u : B \quad R : \text{Rel}_-[A, B] \in \Theta}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p R(t, u)} \text{ for } v, c
\end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \phi \quad \Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \psi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \phi \Box \psi} \Box \in \{\wedge, \vee, \implies\}$$

what about \*? Something like exists fresh

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x : A | \Theta \vdash_p \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \exists x : A. \phi}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \exists X. \phi} X \notin FV(\Delta, \Gamma, \Theta)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \exists \underline{X}. \phi} \underline{X} \notin FV(\Delta, \Gamma, \Theta)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta, R : Rel\_ [A, B] \vdash_p \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \exists R : Rel\_ [A, B]. \phi} \text{ for } \{v, c\}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x : A | \Theta \vdash_p \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \forall x : A. \phi}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \forall X. \phi} X \notin FV(\Delta, \Gamma, \Theta)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \forall \underline{X}. \phi} \underline{X} \notin FV(\Delta, \Gamma, \Theta)$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta, R : Rel\_ [A, B] \vdash_p \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \forall R : Rel\_ [A, B]. \phi} \text{ for } \{v, c\}$$

## 2.3 Typed Relations

Relations are of the form  $(x : A, y : B). \phi$  where  $\phi$  is a proposition that can use  $x, y$ . The typing judgement for relations is  $\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B). \phi : Rel\_ [A, B]$ . The body of the relation is a proposition. Here we pay attention to the difference between value and computation relations.

again, what about \*?

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x : A \vdash_v t : C \quad \Delta; \Gamma, y : B \vdash_v u : C}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B). t =_C u : Rel_v [A, B]}$$

secretly inserting stoup

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma | x : \underline{A} \vdash_c t : \underline{C} \quad \Delta; \Gamma | y : \underline{B} \vdash_c u : \underline{C}}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : \underline{A}, y : \underline{B}). t =_{\underline{C}} u : Rel_c [\underline{A}, \underline{B}]}$$

Given some  $x : A$  and  $y : B$  the terms  $t, u$  are related by  $R$ , thus we have a relation on  $A, B$ . Think of these like a lambda abstraction over two parameters. If the body is related, we can

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x : A \vdash_v t : C \quad \Delta; \Gamma, y : B \vdash_v u : D}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta, R : Rel_v[C, D] \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).R(t, u) : Rel_v[A, B]}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma | x : \underline{A} \vdash_c t : \underline{C} \quad \Delta; \Gamma | y : \underline{B} \vdash_c u : \underline{D}}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta, \underline{R} : Rel_c[\underline{C}, \underline{D}] \vdash_r (x : \underline{A}, y : \underline{B}).\underline{R}(t, u) : Rel_c[\underline{A}, \underline{B}]}$$

What is the intuition here? This rule is in figure 5 of the PE logic paper.

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_p \phi \quad \Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).\psi : Rel_v A, B}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).\phi \implies \psi : Rel_v[A, B]} \text{ also } c \text{ version}$$

?

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash ? \quad \Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash ?}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).\phi \wedge \psi : Rel_v[A, B]} \text{ also } c \text{ version}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, z : C; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).\phi : Rel_v[A, B]?}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).\forall(z : C).\phi : Rel_v[A, B]} \text{ also } c \text{ version}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, X; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).\phi : Rel_v[A, B]?}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).\forall X.\phi : Rel_v[A, B]} \text{ also } c \text{ version}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, X; \Gamma; \Theta, R : Rel_{\mathbf{n}}[C, D] \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).\phi : Rel_{\mathbf{m}}[A, B]}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B).\forall(R : Rel_{\mathbf{n}}[C, D]).\phi : Rel_{\mathbf{m}}[A, B]} \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \{v, c\}$$

Analogous versions for  $\exists$  connectives.

## 2.4 Deduction Rules

The judgement for deduction sequence are of the form  $\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \psi$  where  $\Delta$  is a type environment,  $\Gamma$  is a term environment,  $\Theta$  is a relation environment,  $\Phi$  is a proposition environment, and  $\psi$  is a proposition. like term intro and elim, but without proof terms also for computations?

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v t : A}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d t =_A t} \text{ refl}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d t =_A u \quad \Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi[t/x]}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi[u/x]} \text{ subst}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi, \phi \vdash_d \psi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi \implies \psi} \implies \text{ Intro}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi \implies \psi \quad \Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \psi} \implies \text{Elim}$$

and familiar rules for logical and  $(\wedge)$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, (x : A); \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \forall(x : A). \phi} \forall \text{ Term Intro}$$

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \forall(x : A). \phi \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash_v t : A}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi[t/x]} \forall \text{ Term Elim}$$

$$X \notin FV(..), \text{ also for } c \frac{\Delta, X; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \forall X. \phi} \forall \text{ Type Intro}$$

$$\text{also for } c \frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \forall X. \phi \quad \Delta \vdash A}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi[A/X]} \forall \text{ Type Elim}$$

$$\text{also for } c \frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta, R : Rel_v[A, B]; \Phi \vdash_d \phi}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \forall(R : Rel_v[A, B]). \phi} \forall \text{ Rel Intro}$$

$$\text{also for } c \frac{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \forall(R : Rel_v[A, B]). \phi \quad \Delta; \Gamma; \Theta \vdash_r (x : A, y : B). \psi : Rel_v[A, B]}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \phi[\psi[t/x, u/y]/R(t, u)]} \forall \text{ Rel Elim}$$

## 2.5 Axioms & Axiom Schemas

### 2.5.1 Congruences

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma, (x : A) \vdash_c t, u : \underline{B} \quad \Delta; \Gamma, (x : A); \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d t = u}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d (\lambda(x : A). t) =_{A \rightarrow \underline{B}} (\lambda(x : A). u)} \lambda \text{ cong}, x \notin FV(\Phi)$$

### 2.5.2 Beta / Eta Laws

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \forall(u : A). ((\lambda x : A. t) u =_B t[u/x])} \lambda\beta$$

$$\frac{}{\Delta; \Gamma; \Theta; \Phi \vdash_d \forall X. \forall Y. \forall(f : X \rightarrow Y). ((\lambda x : X. f x) =_{X \rightarrow Y} f)} \lambda\eta$$

### 2.5.3 Parametricity

## 2.6 Example Derivations

### 2.6.1 Equality Reasoning

Transitivity

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\dots; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z)}{\dots; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d y =_X z} \quad \frac{\dots; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z)}{\dots; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d (x =_X y)} \\
\frac{\dots; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d y =_X z \quad \dots; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d (x =_X y)}{\dots; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d (x =_X y)[y/y]} \text{subst} \\
\frac{X; x, y, z; \cdot; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d (x =_X y)[z/y]}{X; x, y, z; \cdot; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d (x =_X z)} \\
\frac{X; x, y, z; \cdot; (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \vdash_d (x =_X z)}{\vdash_d \forall X. \forall (xyz : X). (x =_X y) \wedge (y =_X z) \implies (x =_X z)}
\end{array}$$

**Symmetry** Use IdExt and opRel?

## 2.6.2 Extensionality

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{X, \underline{Y}; f, g, x; \cdot; (\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \vdash_d \forall (x : X). (fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \text{ in } \Phi \quad \dots \vdash_v x : X}{X, \underline{Y}; f, g, x; \cdot; (\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \vdash_d (fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx)} \text{Var} \\
\frac{X, \underline{Y}; f, g, x; \cdot; (\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \vdash_d (fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx)}{X, \underline{Y}; f, g; \cdot; (\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \vdash_d ((\lambda x : X. fx) =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} (\lambda x : X. gx))} \lambda \text{ cong} \\
\frac{X, \underline{Y}; f, g; \cdot; (\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \vdash_d ((\lambda x : X. fx) =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} (\lambda x : X. gx))}{X, \underline{Y}; f, g; \cdot; (\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \vdash_d (f =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} g)} \lambda \eta \\
\frac{X, \underline{Y}; f, g; \cdot; (\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \vdash_d (f =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} g)}{X, \underline{Y}; f, g; \cdot; \vdash_d ((\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \implies f =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} g)} \text{Intros} \\
\frac{X, \underline{Y}; f, g; \cdot; \vdash_d ((\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \implies f =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} g)}{\dots \vdash_d \forall (f, g : X \rightarrow \underline{Y}). ((\forall (x : X). fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx) \implies f =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} g)} \text{Intros}
\end{array}$$

## 2.6.3 Identity Extension Lemma

By Induction on Types.

**For Unit** Recall

$$eq_A := (x : A, y : A). x =_A y$$

and substitution of a relation into a base type is just the relational interpretation of the base type.

$$Unit[eq_{Unit}] = \llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel} = \{(tt, tt)\}$$

What rules are missing here to make this proof go through?

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\dots; \Phi, \{u = tt, v = tt\} \vdash_d tt =_{Unit} tt}{\dots; \Phi, u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v \vdash_d u =_{Unit} v} \text{Refl} \quad \frac{\dots; \Phi, tt =_{Unit} tt \vdash_d tt(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})tt}{\dots; \Phi, u =_{Unit} v \vdash_d u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v} \text{By Def} \\
\frac{\dots; \Phi, u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v \vdash_d u =_{Unit} v}{\dots \vdash_d u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v \implies u =_{Unit} v} \text{Unit } \eta \text{ ?} \quad \frac{\dots; \Phi, u =_{Unit} v \vdash_d u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v}{\dots \vdash_d u =_{Unit} v \implies u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v} \text{Unit } \eta \\
\frac{\dots \vdash_d u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v \implies u =_{Unit} v}{\dots \vdash_d u =_{Unit} v \implies u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v} \text{Intro} \quad \frac{\dots \vdash_d u =_{Unit} v \implies u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v}{\dots \vdash_d u =_{Unit} v \implies u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v} \text{Intro} \\
\frac{\dots \vdash_d u =_{Unit} v \implies u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v}{\dots \vdash_d u =_{Unit} v \implies u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v} \text{Logical Equiv} \\
\frac{\dots; u : Unit, v : Unit; \cdot; \vdash_d u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v \equiv u =_{Unit} v}{\dots; \cdot; \vdash_d \forall u : Unit, v : Unit. u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v \equiv u =_{Unit} v} \text{Intros} \\
\frac{\dots; \cdot; \vdash_d \forall u : Unit, v : Unit. u(\llbracket Unit \rrbracket_{Rel})v \equiv u =_{Unit} v}{\dots; \cdot; \vdash_d \forall u : Unit, v : Unit. u(Unit[eq_{Unit}])v \equiv u =_{Unit} v} \text{Relational Subst}
\end{array}$$

**For**  $X \rightarrow \underline{Y}$  Recall

$$\begin{aligned} (X \rightarrow \underline{Y})[eq_X, eq_Y] &= (f : (X \rightarrow \underline{Y})g : (X \rightarrow \underline{Y})). \\ &\quad \forall(x : X).\forall(x' : X). \\ &\quad x(eq_X)x' \implies (fx)(eq_Y)(gx') \end{aligned}$$

One direction.

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{(the\ relation\ in\ above\ on\ f, g)} \text{ in } \Phi \quad \frac{}{.. \vdash_v x : X} \text{ Var} \\ \frac{}{.. \vdash_d \forall(x' : X).x(eq_X)x' \implies fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx'} \forall\ Elim \quad \frac{}{.. \vdash_v x : X} \text{ Var} \quad \frac{}{\vdash_d x =_X x} \text{ Refl} \\ \frac{}{..; f((X \rightarrow \underline{Y})[eq_X, eq_Y])g \vdash_d x(eq_X)x \implies fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx} \forall\ Elim \quad \frac{}{\vdash_d x(eq_X)x} \text{ IdExt} \\ \frac{}{..; f, g, x; ..; f((X \rightarrow \underline{Y})[eq_X, eq_Y])g \vdash_d fx(eq_Y)gx} \text{ IdExt} \\ \frac{}{..; f, g, x; ..; f((X \rightarrow \underline{Y})[eq_X, eq_Y])g \vdash_d fx =_{\underline{Y}} gx} \text{ IdExt} \\ \frac{}{..; f, g; ..; f((X \rightarrow \underline{Y})[eq_X, eq_Y])g \vdash_d (\lambda x : X.fx) =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} (\lambda x : X.gx)} \lambda\ cong \\ \frac{}{..; f, g; ..; f((X \rightarrow \underline{Y})[eq_X, eq_Y])g \vdash_d f =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} g} \lambda\eta \\ \frac{}{..; f, g; .. \vdash_d f((X \rightarrow \underline{Y})[eq_X, eq_Y])g \implies f =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} g} \text{ Intros} \\ \frac{}{... \vdash_d \forall(f, g : X \rightarrow \underline{Y}).f((X \rightarrow \underline{Y})[eq_X, eq_Y])g \implies f =_{X \rightarrow \underline{Y}} g} \text{ Intros} \end{array} \implies \text{Elim}$$

#### 2.6.4 Identity Function

$$\frac{\frac{}{X; f : \forall X.X \rightarrow FX, x : X; \cdot \vdash_d f[X]x =_{FX} \text{ret } x} \text{ Intro}}{\cdot; f : \forall X.X \rightarrow FX; \cdot \vdash_d \forall X.\forall(x : X).f[X]x =_{FX} \text{ret } x} \text{ Intro}$$

#### 2.6.5 Church Encodings

**Unit** Forget the built in Unit type for now. Note that we only have the computational function type in this language.

$$\begin{aligned} Unit &:= \forall X.X \rightarrow FX \\ \mathbf{1} &: Unit \\ \mathbf{1} &= \Lambda X.\lambda(x : X). \text{ret } x \end{aligned}$$