

# 1 Model Setup

## 1.1 Bicartesian Doubly Closed Category

Given a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , its presheaf category ( $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} := [\mathcal{C}^{op}, Set]$ ) is bicartesian closed. Given a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_C, I_C)$ , its presheaf category is bicartesian closed and monoidal closed via the Day convolution. The monoidal product is given by:

$$(P \otimes^{Day} Q)(x) = \int^{y,z} \mathcal{C}[x, y \otimes_C z] \times P(y) \times Q(z)$$

With a useful fact:

$$^{inc}[h, A(f)(a'), B(g)(b')] = ^{inc}[h; (f \otimes g), a', b']$$

where  $h : C[x, y \otimes z]$ ,  $f : C[y, y']$ ,  $g : C[z, z']$ ,  $a' : A(y')$ , and  $b' : B(z')$ . The Day monoidal product has the universal property that any maps out of it are in bijective correspondence with a family of maps natural in  $x$  and  $y$  (Agda):  
1

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}[P \otimes^{Day} Q, R] \cong \widehat{\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}}[P \overline{\times} Q, R \circ \otimes_C] \cong \prod_{x,y: ob\ C} Set[P(x) \times Q(y), R(x \otimes_C y)]$$

The monoidal closed structure is given by:

$$(P \multimap Q)(X) = \widehat{\mathcal{C}}[P, Q(X, -)]$$

With the universal property that the closed structure is right adjoint to the tensor (Agda):

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}[A \otimes_C B, C] \cong \widehat{\mathcal{C}}[A, B \multimap C] \quad (1)$$

## 1.2 Towards Bunched CBPV with Dynamic Store

Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_C, I_C)$  be a monoidal category, the value category be  $\mathcal{V} := [\mathcal{C}^{op}, Set]$ , computation category  $\mathcal{C} := [\mathcal{C}, Set]$ , and use the *standard* monad for ground dynamic store with  $F : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  as:

$$F(A)(x) := \sum_{y: ob\ C} \sum_{f: \mathcal{C}^{op}[x,y]} A(y)$$

and  $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  as :

$$U(\underline{B})(x) := \prod_{y: ob\ C} \prod_{f: \mathcal{C}^{op}[x,y]} \underline{B}(y)$$

The oblique morphisms in this model are given by families of maps:

$$\mathcal{O}[A, \underline{B}] := \prod_{x: ob\ C} Set[A(x), \underline{B}(x)]$$

we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{V}[A, U(\underline{B})] \cong \mathcal{O}[A, \underline{B}] \cong \mathcal{C}[F(A), \underline{B}]$$

and a monad on  $\mathcal{V}$  via the adjunction between  $F$  and  $U$ .

$$T(A)(x) := \prod_{y: ob\ C} \prod_{f: \mathcal{C}^{op}[x,y]} \sum_{z: ob\ C} \sum_{g: \mathcal{C}^{op}[y,z]} A(z)$$

The monadic Unit

$$\eta_A(x)(a : A(x)) = \lambda x'. \lambda f : FS[x, x']. (x', id_{x'}, A(f)(a))$$

---

<sup>1</sup>here  $\overline{\times}$  is the *external* product

## 2 Issue with Abstract Model

It seems we cannot define the strength map:

$$str_{\otimes P, Q} : \mathcal{V}[P \otimes T(Q), T(P \otimes Q)]$$

via the universal property of tensor, it suffices to construct components of the form:

$$Set[P(x) \times T(Q)(y), T(P \otimes Q)(x \otimes_C y)]$$

introducing terms and unfolding definitions, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p &: P(x) \\ q &: T(Q)(y) = \Pi_{u:ob\ C} \Pi_{f:C^{op}[y,u]} \Sigma_{v:ob\ C} \Sigma_{g:C^{op}[u,v]} Q(v) \\ h &: C^{op}[x \otimes_C y, z] \end{aligned}$$

we get to choose some future world  $w$  of  $z$ ,  $C^{op}[z, w]$ , at which we must provide

$$? : (P \otimes Q)(w) = \int^{r,s} \mathcal{C}[w, r \otimes_C s] \times P(r) \times Q(s)$$

How to proceed? Notice that in order to *extract* a value  $Q(-)$  from  $q$ , we need to provide a morphism  $C^{op}[y, u]$  for some  $u$ . Working abstractly with no additional assumptions about  $C$ , all we can provide is  $id_y$  which yields:

$$q(y)(id_y) : \Sigma_{v:ob\ C} \Sigma_{g:C^{op}[y,v]} Q(v)$$

Then our context becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} p &: P(x) \\ g &: C^{op}[y, v] \\ q' &: Q(v) \\ h &: C^{op}[x \otimes_C y, z] \end{aligned}$$

We still need to choose some future world  $w$  of  $z$ . From the data available to us, it seems we are stuck using identity,  $id_z$ , once again. This leaves us with the obligation:

$$? : (P \otimes Q)(z) = \int^{r,s} \mathcal{C}[z, r \otimes_C s] \times P(r) \times Q(s)$$

The choice of  $r$  seems forced to be  $x$  for which we have  $p : P(x)$ . The natural choices for  $s$  would be  $v$  or  $y$ , but it seems we've hit a variance issue yet again. Observe that if  $g : C^{op}[y, v]$  was instead  $g : C^{op}[v, y]$  we'd have

$$((id \otimes_C g); h, p, q') = (h, p, Q(g)(q'))$$

which are equal relative to the coend quotient.

## 3 Concrete Model

Now we consider substituting the monoidal category  $(C, \otimes_C, I_C)$  with  $(FinSet_{mono}^{op}, \uplus, \emptyset)$  where  $\uplus$  is disjoint union of sets. Take  $\mathcal{FS}$  as shorthand for  $FinSet_{mono}$ .

### 3.1 Components

Define as a bilinear map.

$$\begin{aligned} str_{A,B} &: \Pi_{x,y:ob\ \mathcal{FS}} Set[A(x) \times T(B)(y), T(A \otimes B)(x \uplus y)] \\ str_{A,B}(x, y)(a : A(x), tb : T(B)(y)) &= \lambda z. \lambda f : \mathcal{FS}[x \uplus y, z]. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$?? : \Sigma_{w:ob\mathcal{FS}} \Sigma_{g:\mathcal{FS}[z,w]} (A \otimes B)(w)$$

We recognize that since  $f$  is injective and the domain is a disjoint union,  $z$  is partitioned into three parts

$$\begin{aligned} z_x &: \text{the range of } f_x, f \text{ restricted to } x \\ z_y &: \text{the range of } f_y, f \text{ restricted to } y \\ z_m &: z - (z_x \uplus z_y) \\ \text{where } z &\cong z_x \uplus z_y \uplus z_m \end{aligned}$$

Using  $f_y : y \rightarrow z_y$

$$(v, g : FS[z_y, v], b : B(v)) = tb(z_y)(f_y)$$

We can construct future world  $w := z_x \uplus v \uplus z_m$ , and map  $z \xrightarrow{z_x \uplus g \uplus z_m} w$ . Now we must provide

$$(A \otimes B)(w) = \int^{r,s} \mathcal{FS}[r \uplus s, w] \times A(r) \times B(s)$$

have  $r := z_x$  and  $s := v \uplus z_m$ . Then the element at the future world is

$$inc[id_w, A(f_x)(a), B(inl)(b)]$$

### 3.2 Naturality

Given  $f : FS[x, x']$  and  $g : FS[y, y']$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(x) \times T(B)(y) & \xrightarrow{A(f) \times T(B)(g)} & A(x') \times T(B)(y') \\ \downarrow Str_{A,B}(x,y) & & \downarrow Str_{A,B}(x',y') \\ T(A \otimes B)(x \otimes y) & \xrightarrow{T(A \otimes B)(f \otimes g)} & T(A \otimes B)(x' \otimes y') \end{array}$$

#### 3.2.1 RHS

Starting in the upper left at  $(a, tb)$ , we get  $(a' = A(f)(a), tb')$  via the top map where

$$tb' = T(B)(g)(tb) = \lambda z. \lambda h : FS[y', z]. tb(z)(g; h)$$

Then, computing the strength map, we have for  $z, h : FS[x' \otimes y', z]$  and  $h_{y'} : FS[y', z_{y'}]$  with

$$tb'(z_{y'})(h_{y'}) = (\lambda z. \lambda h : FS[y', z]. tb(z)(g; h))(z_{y'})(h_{y'}) = tb(z_{y'})(g; h_{y'}) = (v, j : FS[z_y, v], b : B(v))$$

resulting in

$$(z_{x'} \uplus v \uplus z_{m'}, id \uplus j \uplus id, inc[id, A(f; h_{x'})(a), B(inl)(b)])$$

#### 3.2.2 LHS

Starting in the upper left at  $(a, tb)$ , we also take in  $z$  and  $h : FS[x' \otimes y', z]$ . The LHS calculates the strength given  $m = (f \otimes g); h$ . Note that we have:

$$tb(z_y)(m_y) = tb(z_{y'})(g; h_{y'}) = (v, j : FS[z_y, v], b : B(v))$$

which is the same future data as the RHS.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& A \otimes B & \\
id_A \otimes \eta_B \swarrow & & \searrow \eta_{A \otimes B} \\
A \otimes T(B) & \xrightarrow{str_{A,B}} & T(A \otimes B)
\end{array}$$

### 3.3 Laws

#### 3.3.1 Strength with I is Irrelevant

#### 3.3.2 Strength Respects Associators

#### 3.3.3 Strength Commutes with Monad Unit

$\eta_{A \otimes B}$  as a bilinear map computes to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta' &: \Pi_{x,y:ob\mathcal{FS}} Set[A(x) \times B(y), T(A \otimes B)(x \uplus y)] \\
\eta'(x, y)(a : A(x), b : B(y)) &= \lambda z. \lambda f : \mathcal{FS}[x \uplus y, z]. inc[f, a, b]
\end{aligned}$$

The strength map precomposed with  $id_A \otimes \eta_B$  yields:

$$(z_y, id_{z_y}, b' = B(f_y)(b)) = tb(z_y)(f_y)$$

thus, the future worlds are equal  $z \cong z_x \uplus z_y \uplus z_m \cong w$  and the maps  $z \rightarrow w$  are equal. It remains to show that

$$inc[id, A(f_x)(a), B(inl)(b')] = inc[f, a, b]$$

Recall  $inc[h, A(f)(a), B(g)(b)] = inc[(f \uplus g); h, a, b]$

$$\begin{aligned}
inc[id_{z_x \uplus (z_y \uplus z_m)}, A(f_x)(a), B(inl)(b')] &= \\
inc[id_{z_x \uplus (z_y \uplus z_m)}, A(f_x; id_{z_x})(a), B(f_y; inl)(b)] &= \\
inc[(f_x \uplus f_y); (id_{z_x} \uplus inl), a, b] &= \\
inc[f, a, b] &
\end{aligned}$$

□

#### 3.3.4 Strength Commutes with Monad Multiplication