

# ADecimo

## Model Selection for Time Series Anomaly Detection

Paul Boniol<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Emmanouil Sylligardos<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, John Paparrizos<sup>7</sup>, Panos Trahanias<sup>4</sup>, Themis Palparis<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>  
Inria<sup>1</sup>, ENS<sup>2</sup>, CNRS<sup>3</sup>, ICS-FORTH<sup>4</sup>, Université Paris Cité<sup>5</sup>, IUF<sup>6</sup>, The Ohio State University<sup>7</sup>


### Motivation

- Time Series Anomaly Detection is a vital task across several domains
- No single best solution: Benchmarks agree that different methods work better for different time series [2, 3]


Time Series Classification

Pick the best anomaly detection method


### Pipeline




### Model Selection



### Results



### The Web App



### Explore MSAD Results

Browse the outcomes of our extensive Model Selection for Anomaly Detection (MSAD)

Overall results Explore the results

filename	inception_time_default_128_score	convnet_default_128_score
S1-ADL2.test.csv@108.	0.0588	0.04
S1-ADL2.test.csv@109.	0.3225	0.84
S1-ADL2.test.csv@110.	0.2167	0.24
S1-ADL2.test.csv@111.	0.1276	0.17