

Logistic Regression

Prof. Sharon McNicholas

STATS 780/CSE 780

1

Introduction

- This lecture will cover logistic regression.
- Initially, we will look at binary logistic regression for one univariate predictor X .
- Then we will consider multiple predictors.
- Then, multinomial logistic regression.
- Note that Assignment 2 is now available, and concerns logistic regression.

2

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \mid x]$$

- In simple linear regression,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \mid x] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x. \quad (1)$$

- From (1), we see that if $X \in \mathbb{R}$ then Y can take any value on the real line, i.e., $Y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- But what happens if Y is a binary (dichotomous) random variable, i.e., if Y can only take values in $\{0, 1\}$?
- Then, $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid x]$ should be defined appropriately.

3

Using the Logit Link

- For ease of notation, let $\pi(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid x]$.
- We use the logit so that

$$\log \left[\frac{\pi(x)}{1 - \pi(x)} \right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x,$$

i.e.,

$$\pi(x) = \frac{\exp\{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x\}}{1 + \exp\{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x\}}. \quad (2)$$

- From (3), it is clear that $\pi(x) \in (0, 1)$.
- Important note: if Y is binary, i.e., taking values in $\{0, 1\}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0\mathbb{P}[Y = 0] + 1\mathbb{P}[Y = 1] = \mathbb{P}[Y = 1],$$

so $\pi(x)$ is the same as $\mathbb{P}[Y = 1 \mid x]$.

4

The Model

- Sometimes, people describe the binary logistic regression model as

$$\log \left[\frac{\pi(x)}{1 - \pi(x)} \right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x.$$

- However, this is not a statistical model because there is no error term.
- Recall that the simple linear regression model is

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon,$$

where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

- Note that another way of writing this is

$$Y = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid X] + \epsilon.$$

5

The Model contd.

- Similarly, we can write the logistic regression model as

$$Y = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid X] + \epsilon,$$

i.e., as

$$Y = \pi(X) + \epsilon.$$

- But what is the distribution of ϵ here?
- If $Y = 1$, then $\epsilon = 1 - \pi(X)$ with probability $\pi(X)$.
- If $Y = 0$, then $\epsilon = -\pi(X)$ with probability $1 - \pi(X)$.
- That is, ϵ follows a distribution with mean 0 and variance $\pi(X)[1 - \pi(X)]$.

6

The Model contd.

- So $Y \mid x$ follows a distribution with mean $\pi(x)$ and variance $\pi(x)[1 - \pi(x)]$.
- That is, $Y \mid x$ follows a Bernoulli distribution with success probability $\pi(x)$.
- And we know the relationship with the binomial distribution...

7

The Odds Ratio

- Suppose that X is also binary.
- The odds of the outcome, i.e., $Y = 1$, when $x = 1$ is $\pi(1)/[1 - \pi(1)]$.
- The odds of the outcome, i.e., $Y = 1$, when $x = 0$ is $\pi(0)/[1 - \pi(0)]$.
- The odds ratio is just the ratio of these odds:

$$\text{Odds Ratio} = \frac{\pi(1)/[1 - \pi(1)]}{\pi(0)/[1 - \pi(0)]}.$$

- We can show that

$$\text{Odds Ratio} = \exp\{\beta_1\} = e^{\beta_1}.$$

8

Comments

- I know that there is a lot to take in here.
- As usual, background reading is important (more on this later).
- Now is a good time to look at an example.
- Then, we will move to multiple predictors.

9

Multiple (Binary) Logistic Regression

- Now, we move to multiple binary logistic regression.
- We did this with linear models and GLMs, i.e., we considered multiple predictor variables (i.e., multiple independent variables) X_1, \dots, X_p .
- We will allow flexibility in the type (continuous, binary, other categorical) of these predictor variables, e.g., X_1 need not be of the same type as X_2 .
- For now, we are still be looking at binary Y .

10

Multiple (Binary) Logistic Reg. contd.

- The model can be considered as arising from

$$\log \left[\frac{\pi(\mathbf{x})}{1 - \pi(\mathbf{x})} \right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_p x_p,$$

i.e.,

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp\{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_p x_p\}}{1 + \exp\{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_p x_p\}}. \quad (3)$$

- As before,

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{P}[Y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}].$$

- How do we decide whether to remove a predictor variable?

11

Deviance

- In general, the deviance is given by

$$D = -2 \log \left[\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\text{fitted model}}}{\mathcal{L}_{\text{saturated model}}} \right].$$

- This is minus twice the log of the likelihood ratio.
- When Y is binary, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{saturated model}} = 1$ and so

$$D = -2 \log \mathcal{L}_{\text{fitted model}}.$$

12

Assessing Variable Importance

- The change in D due to the inclusion of a predictor variable is

$$\begin{aligned} G &= D(\text{model without the variable}) - D(\text{model with the variable}) \\ &= -2 \log \left[\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\text{without the variable}}}{\mathcal{L}_{\text{with the variable}}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- This is minus twice the log of the likelihood ratio.
- In general, $G \sim \chi^2_\nu$, where the degrees of freedom ν is the difference in the number of free parameters in the models (note that it will matter whether the variables are continuous or categorical and whether Y is binary or multinomial).

13

Multinomial Logistic Regression

- Now, we move to multinomial logistic regression (MLR).
- We proceed in a similar fashion to the binary logistic regression case.
- This time, however, Y can have more than two categories.
- For the purposes of explanation, let us assume that the categories of Y are coded 0, 1, or 2.
- Once the extension to three categories is understood, extension to more categories is straightforward.

14

MLR: The Model

- Similarly to before, the model can be considered as arising from

$$\log \left[\frac{\mathbb{P}[Y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}]}{\mathbb{P}[Y = 0 \mid \mathbf{x}]} \right] = \beta_{10} + \beta_{11}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{1p}x_p,$$

and

$$\log \left[\frac{\mathbb{P}[Y = 2 \mid \mathbf{x}]}{\mathbb{P}[Y = 0 \mid \mathbf{x}]} \right] = \beta_{20} + \beta_{21}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{2p}x_p.$$

- Here, $Y = 0$ is the reference (baseline) category (outcome).

15

MLR: The Model contd.

- It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbb{P}[Y = 0 \mid \mathbf{x}] \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp\{\beta_{10} + \beta_{11}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{1p}x_p\} + \exp\{\beta_{20} + \beta_{21}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{2p}x_p\}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbb{P}[Y = 1 \mid \mathbf{x}] \\ &= \frac{\exp\{\beta_{10} + \beta_{11}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{1p}x_p\}}{1 + \exp\{\beta_{10} + \beta_{11}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{1p}x_p\} + \exp\{\beta_{20} + \beta_{21}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{2p}x_p\}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbb{P}[Y = 2 \mid \mathbf{x}] \\ &= \frac{\exp\{\beta_{20} + \beta_{21}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{2p}x_p\}}{1 + \exp\{\beta_{10} + \beta_{11}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{1p}x_p\} + \exp\{\beta_{20} + \beta_{21}x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{2p}x_p\}}. \end{aligned}$$

16

MLR: Generalizing

- Generalizing to more than three categories for Y is straightforward.
- For C categories, coded as $0, 1, \dots, C$, we have

$$\log \left[\frac{\mathbb{P}[Y = c \mid \mathbf{x}]}{\mathbb{P}[Y = 0 \mid \mathbf{x}]} \right] = \beta_{c0} + \beta_{c1}x_1 + \dots + \beta_{cp}x_p$$

and

$$\pi_c(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{P}[Y = c \mid \mathbf{x}] = \frac{\exp\{\beta_{c0} + \beta_{c1}x_1 + \dots + \beta_{cp}x_p\}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^C \exp\{\beta_{k0} + \beta_{k1}x_1 + \dots + \beta_{kp}x_p\}},$$

for $c = 1, \dots, C$, where $\pi_0(\mathbf{x})$ is as defined before.

17

MLR: Odds Ratio

- Hosmer and Lemeshow (2000)^a give a formula like the following for the odds ratio of $Y = j$ versus $Y = 0$ for predictor variable value $x = a$ versus $x = b$.

$$\text{Odds Ratio}_j(a, b) = \frac{\mathbb{P}[Y = j \mid x = a] / \mathbb{P}[Y = 0 \mid x = a]}{\mathbb{P}[Y = j \mid x = b] / \mathbb{P}[Y = 0 \mid x = b]}.$$

- Formulae like this are useful but I think the best way to grasp this is through some examples. . .

^aHosmer, D.W. and Lemeshow, S. (2000). *Applied Logistic Regression*. New York: Wiley.

18

Comments

- Now, we move on to examples.
- I strongly encourage you to try out some examples for yourself.
- For background reading, Faraway (2016) does some logistic regression but my favourite book is Hosmer and Lemeshow (2000).
- Next week, we will move to classification... in some respects, the move has already begun!