#### ADVANCED CONTROL SYSTEMS

### Manipulator Dynamics

#### Riccardo Muradore





#### Outline





Complements

Linearization

Operational Space Dynamic Model

**PROJECT** 

# Complements

#### Rotor Inertia





Assumption: only the spinning rotor velocity is taken into account in the rotational part of the kinetic energy.

This means that the kinetic energy of the i-th motor (usually located on the link i-1) is given by

$$T_{m_i} = \frac{1}{2} I_{m_i} \dot{\theta}_{m_i}^2 = \frac{1}{2} I_{m_i} n_i^2 \dot{q}_i^2$$
 [ $n_i$  is the gear ratio]  
=  $\frac{1}{2} B_{m_i} \dot{q}_i^2$  [ $B_{m_i} > 0$ ]

The total kinetic energy for the *n* rotors is

#### Rotor Inertia





The dynamic model

$$B(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + F_{\nu}\dot{q} + F_{s}\text{sign}(\dot{q}) + g(q) = \tau - J^{T}(q)h_{e}$$

can be easily integrated with the contribution of  $B_m$  as

$$(B(q) + B_m)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + F_v\dot{q} + F_s \mathrm{sign}(\dot{q}) + g(q) = \tau - J^T(q)h_e$$

since the rotor kinetic energy does not play any role in  $C(q, \dot{q})$  because  $B_m$  is constant.



 $\tau_i$  is the torque *after* the gear box of the *i*-th motor.

$$au_i = n_i au_{m_i}$$

### Bounds on dynamic terms





The matrices in the dynamic model

$$B(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + F_{\nu}\dot{q} + F_{s}\text{sign}(\dot{q}) + g(q) = \tau - J^{T}(q)h_{e}$$

satisfy the following properties

- ▶ the element  $B_{nn}(q)$  is always constant:  $B_{nn}(q) = b_{nn}$
- $k_0 \le ||B(q)|| \le k_1 + k_2||q|| + k_3||q||^2$
- $||C(q,\dot{q})|| \leq (k_4 + k_5||q||) ||\dot{q}||$
- $\|g(q)\| \le k_6 + k_7 \|q\|$

If the robot has only revolute joints or bounded prismatic joints  $(q_i = d_i \in [d_{i,min}, d_{i,max}])$ 

- ►  $k_0 \le ||B(q)|| \le k_1$
- $||C(q,\dot{q})|| \leq k_4 ||\dot{q}||$
- ▶  $||g(q)|| \le k_6$

#### Elastic joints





#### Why *elasticity*?

- ▶ Motion transmissions by belts, cables, harmonic drives, etc have *intrinsic* flexibility
- In collaborative robotics / physical Human-Robot interaction the compliance of the robot is increase by inserting elastic elements (e.g. Serial Elastic Actuators SEA)
  - $\rightarrow$  increase safety
  - → increase energy efficiency

#### Why joint elasticity?

- flexibility is modeled as concentrated at the joints to make the analysis easier
- flexibility is constrained to small deformation (i.e. linear elastic regime)
  - $\rightarrow$  a stiffness coefficient  $k_i$  for the i-th joint

#### Elastic joints





With elastic elements at each joint, 2*n generalized coordinates* are needed to model the manipulator dynamics:

- ▶ *n* before the elastic elements, i.e. at the motors' side after the gear box  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e.  $\theta_i = \frac{\theta_{m,i}}{2}$ )
- ▶ *n* after the elastic elements, i.e. at the links' side  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$

It is necessary to add the *elastic potential energy U<sub>e</sub>* 

Let  $U_{e,i}$  be the elastic potential energy of the *i*-th joint

$$U_{e,i} = \frac{1}{2}k_i(q_i - \theta_i)^2 = \frac{1}{2}k_i\left(q_i - \frac{\theta_{m,i}}{n_i}\right)^2, \qquad k_i > 0$$

then  $(K \succ 0)$ 

$$U_e = \sum_{i=1}^n U_{e,i} = \sum_{i=1}^n rac{1}{2} k_i \left(q_i - heta_i
ight)^2 = rac{1}{2} (q - heta)^T K (q - heta), ext{ where } K := egin{bmatrix} k_1 & 0 & & & & \ 0 & k_2 & \ddots & & \ & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \ & 0 & k_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}$$

### Elastic joints





The dynamic model obtained solving the Euler equations with the motor kinematic energy (i.e. the inertia matrix  $B_m$ ) and the elastic potential energy (i.e. the stiffness matrix K) is

$$B(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) + K(q - \theta) = 0$$

$$B_m\ddot{\theta} + K(\theta - q) = \tau$$

This is a system of 2n second-order differential equations on  $(q, \theta)$ .

If it is necessary to take into account external torques performing work on q and friction effects, the previous equations become

$$B(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + F\dot{q} + g(q) + K(q-\theta) = -J^{T}(q)h_{\theta}$$

$$B_m\ddot{\theta} + F_m\dot{\theta} + K(\theta - q) = \tau$$

# Linearization





The Lagrangian model

$$B(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = u$$

can be written in the *state-space representation*  $\dot{x}(t) = f(x, u)$  by defining the state vector x as

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} q \\ \dot{q} \end{bmatrix},$$

and re-arranging the implicit differential equations as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -B^{-1}(x_1) (C(x_1, x_2)x_2 + g(x_1)) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B^{-1}(x_1)u \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= f(x_1, x_2, u)$$

We want to derive the *linear dynamic approximation* of the robot which is valid around a given equilibrium point  $q_e$ .





Since  $q_e$  is constant, then  $\dot{q}_e = 0$ . The equilibrium state vector is

$$x_e = \begin{bmatrix} x_{e1} \\ x_{e2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_e \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

Moreover,  $(q, \dot{q}) = (q_e, 0) \Rightarrow \ddot{q} = 0$ .

The nonlinear model evaluated in  $(q_e, 0)$ 

$$B(q_e) \, 0 + C(q_e, 0) \, 0 + g(q_e) = u_e$$

gives the corresponding 'equilibrium' command

$$u_e = g(q_e)$$





We now consider the variations around the equilibrium point  $(q_e, 0)$  and the command  $u_e$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl} q & = & q_e + \delta_q \\ \dot{q} & = & \dot{q}_e + \dot{\delta}_q = \dot{\delta}_q \\ \ddot{q} & = & \ddot{q}_e + \ddot{\delta}_q = \ddot{\delta}_q \\ u & = & u_e + \delta_u \end{array}$$

we have (around the equilibrium point)

$$B(q)\ddot{q} \simeq B(q_e)\ddot{q}_e + \left. \frac{\partial (B(q)\ddot{q})}{\partial q} \right|_{\substack{q = q_e \ \dot{q} = 0 \ u = u_e}} \delta_q + \left. \frac{\partial (B(q)\ddot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}} \right|_{\substack{q = q_e \ \dot{q} = 0 \ u = u_e}} \dot{\delta}_q + \left. \frac{\partial (B(q)\ddot{q})}{\partial \ddot{q}} \right|_{\substack{q = q_e \ \dot{q} = 0 \ u = u_e}} \ddot{\delta}_q$$





$$C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q}\simeq C(q_e,\dot{q}_e)\dot{q}_e+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial q}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e\ \dot{q}=0\ \ddot{q}=0\ u=u_e \end{array}} \delta_q+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e\ \dot{q}=0\ \ddot{q}=0\ u=u_e \end{array}} \dot{\delta}_q+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial \ddot{q}}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e\ \dot{q}=0\ \ddot{q}=0\ u=u_e \end{array}} \dot{\delta}_q+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial \ddot{q}}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e\ \dot{q}=0\ \ddot{q}=0\ \ddot{q}=0\$$

since  $||C(q, \dot{q})|| \le (k_4 + k_5||q||) ||\dot{q}||$ , i.e.  $(C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q})$  is quadratic w.r.t.  $\dot{q}$ .  $o(\delta_q, \dot{\delta}_q)$  contains second or higher order infinitesimal terms.





14

$$C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q}\simeq C(q_e,\dot{q}_e)\dot{q}_e+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial q}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \ \dot{q}=0 \ u=u_e \end{array}} \delta_q+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \ \dot{q}=0 \ u=u_e \end{array}} \dot{\delta}_q+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial \ddot{q}}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \ \dot{q}=0 \ u=u_e \end{array}} \dot{\delta}_q+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial \ddot{q}}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q \ \ddot{q} \ \ddot{q} \end{array}} igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \ \dot{q}=0 \ u=u_e \end{array}} \dot{\delta}_q+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial \ddot{q}}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q \ \ddot{q} \ \ddot{q} \end{array}} igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \end{array}} \dot{\delta}_q+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial \ddot{q}}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \end{array}} igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \end{matrix}} \dot{\delta}_q+rac{\partial(C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q})}{\partial \ddot{q}}igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \ \ddot{q}=q_e \end{matrix}} igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \end{matrix}} igg|_{egin{array}{c} q=q_e \end{matrix}} igg|_{egin{array}$$

since  $||C(q, \dot{q})|| \le (k_4 + k_5||q||) ||\dot{q}||$ , i.e.  $(C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q})$  is quadratic w.r.t.  $\dot{q}$ .  $o(\delta_q, \dot{\delta}_q)$  contains second or higher order infinitesimal terms.

$$g(q) \simeq g(q_e) + \frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q} \begin{vmatrix} q = q_e \\ \dot{q} = 0 \\ \ddot{q} = 0 \\ u = u_e \end{vmatrix} \delta_q + \frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial \dot{q}} \begin{vmatrix} q = q_e \\ \dot{q} = 0 \\ \ddot{q} = 0 \\ u = u_e \end{vmatrix} \delta_q + \frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial \ddot{q}} \begin{vmatrix} q = q_e \\ \dot{q} = 0 \\ \ddot{q} = 0 \\ u = u_e \end{vmatrix} \ddot{\delta}_q$$

$$\simeq g(q_e) + G(q_e)\delta_q$$

where G(q) is the Jacobian matrix  $\frac{\partial g(q)}{\partial q}$ . (Remark  $||g(q)|| \le k_6 + k_7 ||q||$ )





The overall ODE around the equilibrium point is

$$B(q_e)\ddot{\delta}_q + g(q_e) + G(q_e)\delta_q + o(\delta_q,\dot{\delta}_q) = u_e + \delta_u$$

and finally

$$B(q_e)\ddot{\delta}_q + G(q_e)\delta_q = \delta_u$$

Let  $\delta_x = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_q \\ \dot{\delta}_q \end{bmatrix}$  be the state vector. The state space model around the equilibrium point is

$$\dot{\delta}_{x} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -B^{-1}(q_{e})G(q_{e}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{A' \text{ matrix}} \delta_{x} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B^{-1}(q_{e}) \end{bmatrix}}_{B' \text{ matrix}} \delta_{u}$$

The matrices 'A' and 'B' are constant.





It makes more sense to linearize the model around an *equilibrium trajectory*  $q_d(t)$ .

From  $q_d(t)$ , we can compute  $\dot{q}_d(t)$  and  $\ddot{q}_d(t)$  and so the *nominal command*  $u_d(t)$ 

$$B(q_d)\ddot{q}_d + C(q_d,\dot{q}_d)\dot{q}_d + g(q_d) = \mathbf{u_d}$$

We now consider the variations around the nominal trajectory

$$q(t) = q_d(t) + \delta_q(t)$$

$$\dot{q}(t) = \dot{q}_d(t) + \dot{\delta}_q(t)$$

$$\ddot{q}(t) = \ddot{q}_d(t) + \ddot{\delta}_q(t)$$

i.e.

$$B(q_d + \delta_q)(\ddot{q}_d + \ddot{\delta}_q) + C(q_d + \delta_q, \dot{q}_d + \dot{\delta}_q)(\dot{q}_d + \dot{\delta}_q) + g(q_d + \delta_q) = u_d + \delta_u$$

 $u(t) = u_d(t) + \delta_u(t)$ 





Let's now compute the first order approximation.

#### Inertia matrix

$$B(q_d + \delta_q) \simeq B(q_d) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left. rac{\partial B_i(q)}{\partial q} 
ight|_{q=q_d} e_i^T \delta_q$$

#### Coriolis and centrifugal term

$$egin{array}{ll} C(q_d+\delta_q,\dot{q}_d+\dot{\delta}_q)(\dot{q}_d+\dot{\delta}_q) &= c(q_d+\delta_q,\dot{q}_d+\dot{\delta}_q) &\simeq & c(q_d,\dot{q}_d)+\left.rac{\partial c(q,\dot{q})}{\partial q}
ight|_{egin{array}{ll} q=q_d \ \dot{q}=q_d \ \dot{q}=q_d \end{array}} \delta_q + \ &+ \left.rac{\partial c(q,\dot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}}
ight|_{egin{array}{ll} q=q_d \ \dot{q}=q_d \ \dot{q}=q_d \end{array}} \delta_q \end{array}$$

and so

$$C(q_d + \delta_q, \dot{q}_d + \dot{\delta}_q)(\dot{q}_d + \dot{\delta}_q) \simeq C(q_d, \dot{q}_d)\dot{q}_d + C_q(q_d, \dot{q}_d)\delta_q + C_{\dot{q}}(q_d, \dot{q}_d)\dot{\delta}_q$$

#### **Gravity term**

$$g(q_d + \delta_q) \simeq g(q_d) + G(q_d)\delta_q$$





Cancelling out the terms related to the nominal trajectory and the higher order terms

$$\left(B(q_d) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left. \frac{\partial B_i(q_d)}{\partial q} \right|_{q=q_d} e_i^T \delta_q \right) (\ddot{q}_d + \ddot{\delta}_q) + C(q_d, \dot{q}_d) \dot{q}_d + C_q(q_d, \dot{q}_d) \delta_q + C_{\dot{q}}(q_d, \dot{q}_d) \dot{\delta}_q + \\ + g(q_d) + G(q_d) \delta_q = u_d + \delta_u$$

we end up with the following dynamic model on the variations

$$\left. B(q_d)\ddot{\delta}_q + \sum_{i=1}^n \left. rac{\partial B_i(q_d)}{\partial q} 
ight|_{q=q_d} \ddot{q}_d e_i^T \delta_q + C_q(q_d,\dot{q}_d)\delta_q + C_{\dot{q}}(q_d,\dot{q}_d)\dot{\delta}_q + G(q_d)\delta_q = \delta_u 
ight.$$

By defining the "spring"-like term

$$D(q_d, \dot{q}_d, \ddot{q}_d) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n \left. rac{\partial B_i(q_d)}{\partial q} \right|_{q=q_d} \ddot{q}_d e_i^\mathsf{T} + C_q(q_d, \dot{q}_d) + G(q_d)$$





We finally get

$$B(q_d)\ddot{\delta}_q + C_{\dot{q}}(q_d,\dot{q}_d)\dot{\delta}_q + D(q_d,\dot{q}_d,\ddot{q}_d)\delta_q = \delta_u$$

The equivalent state-space model around the nominal trajectory is

$$\dot{\delta}_{x} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -B^{-1}(q_{d})D(q_{d}, \dot{q}_{d}, \ddot{q}_{d}) & -B^{-1}(q_{d})C_{\dot{q}}(q_{d}, \dot{q}_{d}) \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{'A' matrix}} \delta_{x} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B^{-1}(q_{d}) \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{'B' matrix}} \delta_{u}$$

The matrices 'A(t)' and 'B(t)' are time-varying.

We have a time-varying linear system.





We end up with the following model for the manipulator having the generalized torque as input  $(\tau)$  and the generalized coordinates as output  $(\dot{q}, \ddot{q})$ 

$$B(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + g(q) = \tau - J^{T}(q)h_{e}$$

How does this model change if we use the Cartesian coordinate  $x = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ \phi \end{bmatrix}$  of the end-effector?

The determination of the dynamic model with Lagrange formulation using operational space variables allows a complete description of the system motion only in the case of a *nonredundant manipulator*, i.e.

$$size(x) = size(q),$$

otherwise internal motions could occur.





Torques at the end effector h corresponding to joint torques  $\tau$ 

$$\tau = J^T(q)h$$

Relationship between *q* and *x*: Direct kinematics

$$X = \kappa(q)$$

Relationship between  $\dot{q}$  and  $\dot{x}$ : Analytical Jacobian

$$\dot{x} = J_A(q)\dot{q}, \qquad J_A(q) := rac{\partial \kappa(q)}{\partial q} = egin{bmatrix} J_P(q) \ J_\phi(q) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} rac{\partial P}{\partial q} \ rac{\partial \phi}{\partial q} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J = T_A(\phi)J_A, \hspace{0.5cm} T_A(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & T(\phi) \end{bmatrix}, \hspace{0.5cm} \omega = T(\phi)\dot{\phi}$$

where  $\omega$  is the angular velocity with respect to the base frame, while  $\phi$  are the nonorthogonal components of angular velocity defined with respect to the axes of a frame that varies as the end-effector orientation varies.

Relationship between  $\ddot{q}$  and  $\ddot{x}$ 

$$\ddot{x} = J_A(q)\ddot{q}_{\text{add}} + \dot{J}_A(q,\dot{q})\dot{q}$$





We end up with

$$B_A(x)\ddot{x} + C_A(x,\dot{x})\dot{x} + g_A(x) = u - u_e$$

where

$$B_{A}(x) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (J_{A}B^{-1}J_{A}^{T})^{-1}$$

$$C_{A}(x,\dot{x})\dot{x} = B_{A}J_{A}B^{-1}C\dot{q} - B_{A}\dot{J}_{A}\dot{q}$$

$$g_{A}(x) = B_{A}J_{A}B^{-1}g$$

$$u = T_{A}^{T}(x)h$$

$$u_{e} = T_{A}^{T}(x)h_{e}$$

(\*) Assumption:  $B_A$  nonsingular, i.e.  $J_A$  full rank (no kinematic and representation singularities)





For non-redundant manipulator in a nonsingular configuration, we have the simplified expression

$$B_{A}(x) = J_{A}^{-T}BJ_{A}^{-1}$$

$$C_{A}\dot{x} = J_{A}^{-T}C\dot{q} - B_{A}\dot{J}_{A}\dot{q}$$

$$g_{A}(x) = J_{A}^{-T}g$$

$$u = T_{A}^{T}(x)h$$

$$u_{e} = T_{A}^{T}(x)h_{e}$$

(\*) Assumption:  $B_A$  nonsingular, i.e.  $J_A$  full rank (no kinematic and representation singularities)



### PROJECT – Assignment # 5





To do

Compute the dynamic model in the operational space