

# NEURAL NETS IN THE GUIDING TERM

FRANK - STEFAN - MORITZ

Consider the SDE  $dX_t = b(t, X_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dW_t$ . We wish to condition this SDE. We follow the approach of ?. The guided process  $X^\circ$  has drift  $(t, x) \mapsto b(t, x) + \gamma(t, x)$ . One can define  $\gamma(t, x) = \sigma(t, x)g(t, x)$ , where

$$g(t, x) = \sigma'(t, x) \nabla_x \log \tilde{p}(t, x; T, x_T) + v_\varphi(t, x), \quad (1)$$

with  $v_\varphi$  a bounded function (this would be the neural net). Denote the law of the process with this guiding term superimposed by  $\mathbb{Q}_\varphi$ . We learn  $\varphi$  from stochastic gradient descent on

$$\varphi \mapsto KL(\mathbb{P}^\star | \mathbb{Q}_\varphi) = \mathbb{E} \xi \left( \frac{d\mathbb{P}^\star}{d\mathbb{Q}_\varphi}(\mathcal{GP}_\varphi(W)) \right)$$

with  $\xi(x) = x \log x$ . Here,  $W$  is the driving Brownian motion and  $\mathcal{GP}_\varphi(W) \sim \mathbb{Q}_\varphi$  is the strong solution to the SDE

$$dX_t^\circ = b(t, X_t^\circ) dt + \sigma(t, X_t^\circ)g(t, X_t^\circ) dt + \sigma(t, X_t^\circ) dW_t$$

In control theory, one would call  $g$  the applied control. In ? the likelihood ratio can be found for the case where  $v_\varphi \equiv 0$ . Using Girsanov we can see how this ratio changes by shifting the drift of the process by  $\sigma v_\varphi$ .

Note that if  $v_\varphi(t, x)$  were to equal

$$\sigma'(t, x) \nabla_x \log \frac{p(t, x; T, x_T)}{\tilde{p}(t, x; T, x_T)}$$

then  $KL(\mathbb{P}^\star | \mathbb{Q}_\varphi) = 0$ . This motivates to minimise

$$\varphi \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_0} \int_0^T \left\| \sigma'(t, X_t) \nabla_x \log \frac{p(t, X_t; T, x_T)}{\tilde{p}(t, X_t; T, x_T)} - \sigma'(t, X_t) v_\varphi(t, X_t) \right\|^2 dt$$

Now approximate  $p$  by Euler discretisation on intervals  $(t_{m-1}, t_m)$ . As  $\tilde{p}$  is Gaussian, the RHS is approximately

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_0} \left\| \sigma'(t, X_t) g(t_{m-1}, X_{t_{m-1}}; t_m, X_{t_m}) - \sigma'(t, X_t) v_\varphi(t, X_t) \right\|^2 dt$$

1.

Define  $X^\diamond$  with drift

$$b(x) + a(s, x) \nabla \log \tilde{p}(t, x, T, v) + \sigma f_\varphi(t, x)$$

and try to optimise

$$KL(P^\star \mid P^\diamond) = E \log \left( \frac{dP^\star}{dP^\diamond}(Z^\diamond) \right) \frac{dP^\star}{dP^\diamond}(Z^\diamond)$$

or

$$KL(P^\diamond \mid P^\star) = -E \log \left( \frac{dP^\star}{dP^\diamond}(Z^\diamond) \right)$$

The underlying idea is that  $f$  models  $\sigma'(t, x) \nabla \log \frac{p(t, x; T, v)}{\tilde{p}(t, x; T, v)}$

Then use Girsanov to get

$$\frac{dP^\star}{dP^\diamond}(X_{[0, T]}) = \frac{dP^\star}{dP^\diamond}(X_{[0, T]}) \cdot \Gamma(X_{[0, T]})$$

with  $X_s = [GP_\varphi(W)]_s$  (so this is sampled under  $P^\diamond$ ) and

$$\Gamma(X_{[0, T]}) = \exp \left( \int_0^T f_\varphi(s, X_s) dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|f_\varphi(s, X_s)\|^2 ds \right).$$

The second term on the RHS is just the “ordinary” likelihood for guided proposals (so without the  $f_\varphi$ -term), but evaluated in the process which has  $f_\varphi$  in the drift.