



CECS 303:

Networks and Network

Security

Penetration Testing

Chris Samayoa

Week 8 – 1st Lecture

3/8/2022

Course Information

- CECS 303
 - Networks and Network Security – 3.0 units
- Class meeting schedule
 - TuTH 5:00PM to 7:15PM
 - Lecture Room: VEC 402
 - Lab Room: ECS 413
- Class communication
 - chris.samayoa@csulb.edu
 - Cell: 562-706-2196
- Office hours
 - Thursdays 4pm-5pm (VEC-404)
 - Other times by appointment only

Discussion

- Fortinet NSE Associate (Lab 5)
 - Create account: <https://training.fortinet.com/login/signup.php>
 - Complete NSE 1, NSE 2, and NSE 3
 - <https://training.fortinet.com/>
- <https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2022/03/linux-has-been-bitten-by-its-most-high-severity-vulnerability-in-years/>
- TryHackMe
- <https://samsclass.info/>
- eLearnSecurity Junior Penetration Tester (eJPT)
 - <https://my.ine.com/CyberSecurity/learning-paths/a223968e-3a74-45ed-884d-2d16760b8bbd/penetration-testing-student>

Objectives

- Types of Hackers
- Intro to Penetration Testing
- Penetration Testing Stages

Types of Hackers

- White Hat
 - Ethical hacker
 - Trained penetration testers
- Black Hat
 - Malicious attacker
 - “Script Kiddies”?
- Grey Hat
 - Violates laws and ethical standards, but no malicious intent

White Hat

- Permission to engage by organization or customer
 - Always discloses found vulnerabilities
- Techniques
 - Penetration Testing
 - Email Phishing
 - Denial-of-service (DoS) Attack
 - Social Engineering
 - Security Scanning
 - Vulnerability scanners (Nessus)
 - Web Application Vulnerability Scanners (Acunetix / Netsparker)
 - Nikto
 - Metasploit

Black Hat

- Has malicious intent
 - Does not request permission to find vulnerabilities
 - Does not disclose vulnerabilities when found
- Can be skilled hackers or “script kiddies”
 - Title has more to do with intent than ability
 - Traditionally Black Hat hackers referred to skilled malicious actors
- Use same techniques as White Hat hackers
- Often develop specialties
 - Command and control of remote assets
 - (spear)Phishing campaigns
 - Malicious software development

Black Hat - Organized

- Types of organizations
 - Criminal
 - Nation-state
- Resources
 - Training
 - Sales (partners / resellers / vendors)
 - Call centers
 - International
- Goals:
 - Data exfiltration
 - Extortion
 - Botnets (crypto-mining or DoS for hire)

Grey Hat

- Intent is “typically” not malicious
 - Does not request permission to find vulnerabilities
 - Sometimes discloses vulnerabilities when found
- Can be skilled hackers or “script kiddies”
- Use same techniques as White / Black Hat hackers
- Differences
 - Sometimes violates ethical standards, but without malicious intent
 - Could be attempting to collect a fee for patching vulnerabilities
 - Businesses can decide to seek prosecution
 - Exploitation of vulnerability could be for a “good” cause

Grey Hat - Examples

- MikroTik Routers
 - Russian Grey Hat patched routers
 - Claimed over 100,000 router patches
 - <https://www.zdnet.com/article/a-mysterious-grey-hat-is-patching-peoples-outdated-mikrotik-routers/>
 - CVE-2018-14847
 - <https://blog.n0p.me/2018/05/2018-05-21-winbox-bug-dissection/>
 - Had ability to turn routers into bots (e.g. crypto-mining)
 - Two million MikroTik routers in service during this time
- Ukrainian Conflict - Anonymous
 - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UpYJ-Mw1trM>
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gkrDIjGP4_w

Penetration Testing

- White Box
 - Internal structure of network environment is known
 - Tester can view source code and have access to applications and systems
 - Test from developer's / administrators point of view
- Black Box
 - Internal structure is unknown for network environment
 - Little to no information provided to testers
 - Can most closely resemble external actors
 - Time restraints are different
- Grey Box
 - Combination of white box and black box
 - Tester can partially "see" inner working of a network environment
 - Allows for more of the network to be tested within a given time frame
 - Tester granted some permissions or internal access on the network
 - Typically where most penetration tests land

Rules of Engagement

- Rules of Engagement (ROE)
 - Written document that specifies the scope and allowable actions during a penetration test
 - Specifies level of communication during engagement
- Type and scope of engagement
 - White box / black box / grey box
 - What attack surfaces can be tested
 - What methods are allowed?
- Client contact details
 - Who knows about the testing?
 - Who should be contacted and in what order?
 - Preferred methods of communication

Rules of Engagement

- IT Team Notifications
 - When should the IT team be engaged?
 - Establish levels of criticality
- Sensitive data
 - Special provisions for regulated data (e.g. HIPAA)
- Meetings and report
 - Pre-determined meeting dates and frequency
 - What types of reports are needed (e.g. technical, executive, sanitized)

Penetration Testing Stages

- Scoping
- Planning and Reconnaissance
- Scanning
- Gaining Access – Lateral Movement
- Maintaining Access
- Analysis Reporting
- Remediation

Tools Overview

Scanners

Vulnerability Scanning

Summary