

Ben Aupperheim

HW 4

1) Let $Y \sim$, and let $P(Y=y) = f_Y(y) = (1-p)^y p$, $y \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= (1-p)^y p \\ &= \exp\{y \ln(1-p) + \ln(p)\} \\ &= \exp\{y\theta - (-\ln(1-e^\theta))\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{y\theta - b(\theta)}{a(\theta)} + c(y, \theta)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } \theta &= \ln(1-p) \\ e^\theta &= 1-p \\ p &= 1-e^\theta \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \ln(1-p) \\ b(\theta) &= -\ln(1-e^\theta) \\ a(\theta) &= 1 \\ \phi &= 1 \\ c(y, \phi) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } E[Y] &= b'(\theta) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\theta} (-\ln(1-e^\theta)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{1-e^\theta} (-e^\theta) \\ &= \frac{e^\theta}{1-e^\theta} = \mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu - \mu e^\theta &= e^\theta \\ \mu &= \frac{e^\theta}{1-e^\theta} \\ e^\theta &= \frac{\mu}{\mu+1} \\ \theta &= \ln\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q(\mu) &= \ln(1-p) \\ &= \ln(1 - (1-e^\theta)) \\ &= \ln(e^\theta) \\ &= \theta \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

2) a) Y_i = the number of times an individual woke up in
 on 8 hour overnight observation period, for $i \in \{1, \dots, 323\}$. Let
 l_i = light level of the i^{th} observation, let n_i = noise level of
 i^{th} observation, and let $m_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ individual is} \\ & \text{a morning owl or not} \end{cases}$, let
 $O_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ individual is a morning owl} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. Let $\mu_i = E[Y_i] = \lambda$
 Random Component: $Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, 323\}$
 Systematic Component: $\eta_i = \beta_1 l_i + \beta_2 n_i + \beta_3 m_i + \beta_4 O_i$
 Link Function: $g(\mu_i) = \ln(\mu_i) = \eta_i$

b) i) Yes

ii) 4

c) i) Yes, $f+2$ is nested within $f+1$.

H_0 : $f+2$ is true, H_a : $f+1$ is true,

$$H_0: 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \{x_i \ln(\frac{x_i}{n_i}) - (x_i - n_i)\} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \{y_i \ln(\frac{y_i}{n_i}) - (y_i - n_i)\} \sim \chi^2$$

ii) $g(\mu_n) = \ln(\mu_n) = \eta_n$

$$\mu_n = e^{\eta_n}$$

$$\mu_n = e^{(2 \times 2 - 3 \times 2) + 4.144}$$

$$= 28.5455$$

$$P(Y=2) = \frac{28.54^2 e^{-28.54}}{2!}$$

$$= 1.132 \times 10^{-10}$$

3) No, $g(x)=17(x)$ results in the following: $\mu = C^n$, which allows μ to take on any value in the range $(0, \infty)$, when in actuality, this value can only take on a value in the range $(0, 1)$.

4) H_0 : Model 1 is correct, H_a : Model 2 is correct.

Under the null hypothesis, $D_1 - D_0 \sim \chi^2_3$, we reject the H_0 in favor of H_a if our observed value is greater than the critical value $\chi^2_{3,0.1} = 11.345$.

Since $D_1 - D_0 = 13.4$, we reject the null hypothesis, and favor Model 2.