Concurrency Control

Concurrency Control is the management procedure that is required for controlling concurrent execution of the operations that take place on a database.

But before knowing about concurrency control, weshould know about concurrent execution.

- **Concurrent Execution in DBMS**
- In a multi-user system, multiple users can access and use the same database at one time, which is known as the concurrent execution of the database.
- It means that the same database is executed simultaneously on a multi-user system by different users.
- While working on the database transactions, there occurs the requirement of using the database by multiple users for performing different operations, and in that case, concurrent execution of the database is performed.

Problem 1: Lost Update Problems (W - W Conflict)

- The problem occurs *when two different database transactions perform the read/write operations on the same database items in an interleaved manner (i.e., concurrent execution) that makes the values of the items incorrect hence making the database inconsistent*.

For example:

Consider the below diagram where two transactions T_x and T_y, are performed on the same account A where the balance of account A is $300.

Time	T_x	T_y
t_1	READ (A)	—
t_2	A = A - 50	
t_3	—	READ (A)
t_4	—	A = A + 100
t_5	—	—
t_6	WRITE (A)	—
t_7		WRITE (A)

LOST UPDATE PROBLEM

- At time t1, transaction T_X reads the value of account A, i.e., $300 (only read).
- At time t2, transaction T_X deducts $50 from account A that becomes $250 (only deducted and not updated/write).
- Alternately, at time t3, transaction T_Y reads the value of account A that will be $300 only because T_X didn't update the value yet.
- At time t4, transaction T_Y adds $100 to account A that becomes $400 (only added but not updated/write).
- At time t6, transaction T_X writes the value of account A that will be updated as $250 only, as T_Y didn't update the value yet.
- Similarly, at time t7, transaction T_Y writes the values of account A, so it will write as done at time t4 that will be $400. It means the value written by T_X is lost, i.e., $250 is lost.
- Hence data becomes incorrect, and database sets to inconsistent.

- **Dirty Read Problems (W-R Conflict)**
- The dirty read problem occurs *when one transaction updates an item of the database, and somehow the transaction fails, and before the data gets rollback, the updated database item is accessed by another transaction. There comes the Read-Write Conflict between both transactions.*

For example:
Consider two transactions T_x and T_y in the below diagram performing read/write operations on account A where the available balance in account A is $300:

Time	T_x	T_y
t_1	READ (A)	—
t_2	A = A + 50	—
t_3	WRITE (A)	—
t_4	—	READ (A)
t_5	SERVER DOWN ROLLBACK	—

DIRTY READ PROBLEM

- At time t1, transaction T_X reads the value of account A, i.e., $300.
- At time t2, transaction T_X adds $50 to account A that becomes $350.
- At time t3, transaction T_X writes the updated value in account A, i.e., $350.
- Then at time t4, transaction T_Y reads account A that will be read as $350.
- Then at time t5, transaction T_X rollbacks due to server problem, and the value changes back to $300 (as initially).

- But the value for account A remains $350 for transaction T_Y as committed, which is the dirty read and therefore known as the Dirty Read Problem.

- **Unrepeatable Read Problem (W-R Conflict)**
  Also known as Inconsistent Retrievals Problem that occurs when in a transaction, two different values are read for the same database item.

For example:
Consider two transactions, T_x and T_y, performing the read/write operations on account A, having an available balance = $300. The diagram is shown below:

Time	T_x	T_y
t_1	READ (A)	—
t_2	—	READ (A)
t_3	—	A = A + 100
t_4	—	WRITE (A)
t_5	READ (A)	—

UNREPEATABLE READ PROBLEM

- At time t1, transaction T_X reads the value from account A, i.e., $300.
- At time t2, transaction T_Y reads the value from account A, i.e., $300.
- At time t3, transaction T_Y updates the value of account A by adding $100 to the available balance, and then it becomes $400.
- At time t4, transaction T_Y writes the updated value, i.e., $400.
- After that, at time t5, transaction T_X reads the available value of account A, and that will be read as $400.
- It means that within the same transaction T_X, it reads two different values of account A, i.e., $ 300 initially, and after updation made by transaction T_Y, it reads $400. It is an unrepeatable read and is therefore known as the Unrepeatable read problem.

- **Concurrency Control**
- Concurrency Control is the working concept that is required for controlling and managing the concurrent execution of database operations and thus avoiding the inconsistencies in the database. Thus, for maintaining the concurrency of the database, we have the concurrency control protocols.

- **Concurrency Control Protocols**
- The concurrency control protocols ensure the *atomicity, consistency, isolation, durability* and *serializability* of the concurrent execution of the database transactions. Therefore, these protocols are categorized as:
- **Lock Based Concurrency Control Protocol**
- **Time Stamp Concurrency Control Protocol**
- **Validation Based Concurrency Control Protocol**

- **Lock-Based Protocol**
- In this type of protocol, any transaction cannot read or write data until it acquires an appropriate lock on it. There are two types of lock:
- **1. Shared lock:**
- It is also known as a Read-only lock. In a shared lock, the data item can only read by the transaction.
- It can be shared between the transactions because when the transaction holds a lock, then it can't update the data on the data item.

- **2. Exclusive lock:**
- In the exclusive lock, the data item can be both reads as well as written by the transaction.
- This lock is exclusive, and in this lock, multiple transactions do not modify the same data simultaneously

- **There are four types of lock protocols available:**
- **1. Simplistic lock protocol**
- It is the simplest way of locking the data while transaction. Simplistic lock-based protocols allow all the transactions to get the lock on the data before insert or delete or update on it. It will unlock the data item after completing the transaction.

- **2. Pre-claiming Lock Protocol**
- Pre-claiming Lock Protocols evaluate the transaction to list all the data items on which they need locks.
- Before initiating an execution of the transaction, it requests DBMS for all the lock on all those data items.
- If all the locks are granted then this protocol allows the transaction to begin. When the transaction is completed then it releases all the lock.

If all the locks are not granted then this protocol allows the transaction to rolls back and waits until all the locks are granted.



3. Two-phase locking (2PL)

- The two-phase locking protocol divides the execution phase of the transaction into three parts.
- In the first part, when the execution of the transaction starts, it seeks permission for the lock it requires.
- In the second part, the transaction acquires all the locks. The third phase is started as soon as the transaction releases its first lock.
- In the third phase, the transaction cannot demand any new locks. It only releases the acquired locks.



- There are two phases of 2PL:
- **Growing phase:** In the growing phase, a new lock on the data item may be acquired by the transaction, but none can be released.
- **Shrinking phase:** In the shrinking phase, existing lock held by the transaction may be released, but no new locks can be acquired.

- **4. Strict Two-phase locking (Strict-2PL)**
- The first phase of Strict-2PL is similar to 2PL. In the first phase, after acquiring all the locks, the transaction continues to execute normally.
- The only difference between 2PL and strict 2PL is that Strict-2PL does not release a lock after using it.
- Strict-2PL waits until the whole transaction to commit, and then it releases all the locks at a time.
- Strict-2PL protocol does not have shrinking phase of lock release.