

Semantic Sugar: Tips for Effective Template Library APIs

Roi Barkan



Hi, I'm Roi

- Roi Barkan - רועי ברקן
- I live in Tel Aviv, Israel
- C++ developer since 2000
- VP Technologies @ Istra Research
 - Finance, Low Latency, in Israel
 - careers@istraresearch.com
- First time to CPPNow
 - Try to be active in chat, Q&A
 - Please - ask questions, make comments

C++20 - Concepts are Here



<https://youtu.be/Bcu9ymklfM8>
Early 2014

C++20 - Concepts are Here



<https://youtu.be/0avh39CI> Is
Early 2013

Templates and Overload Resolution

- Templates let us write the same algorithm for multiple types (or parameters)

```
template <class T> constexpr const T &min(const T &a, const T &b)
{
    return (b < a) ? b : a;
}
```

- Metaprogramming lets us implement different overloaded algorithms (and more)

```
template< class T >
constexpr void swap( T& a, T& b ) noexcept;
template< class T2, std::size_t N >
constexpr void swap( T2 (&a) [N], T2 (&b) [N]) noexcept;
```

Templates and Overload Resolution

- Sometimes multiple overloads are legitimate, but one is preferable

```
template <typename _Tp>
inline typename __enable_if<__is_byte<_Tp>::__value,
void>::__type
__fill_a(_Tp *__first, _Tp *__last, const _Tp &__c) {
    const _Tp __tmp = __c;
    if (const size_t __len = __last - __first)
        memset(__first, static_cast<unsigned char>(__tmp), __len);
}
```



What is this Talk About ?

- Putting constraints on our templates
- C++20 Concepts - and their alternatives/ancestors
- Many opinions, some facts.
- Tips and ideas - when should we use various mechanisms
- Suggestions for changes to the language
 - Opinions - not facts
- Snippets from the STL
- Clips from YouTube

What are Concepts



<https://youtu.be/0avh39CI> Is
Early 2013

What are Concepts Good For



<https://youtu.be/0avh39CI> Is
Early 2013

What do they Mean

Concepts library (C++20)

The concepts library provides definitions of fundamental library concepts that can be used to perform compile-time validation of template arguments and perform function dispatch based on properties of types. These concepts provide a foundation for equational reasoning in programs.

Most concepts in the standard library impose both **syntactic and semantic requirements**. It is said that a standard concept is *satisfied* if its syntactic requirements are met, and is *modeled* if it is satisfied and its semantic requirements (if any) are also met.

In general, only the syntactic requirements can be checked by the compiler. If the validity or meaning of a program depends whether a sequenced of template arguments models a concept, and the concept is satisfied but not modeled, or **if a semantic requirement is not met at the point of use, the program is ill-formed**, no diagnostic required.



Concepts Seem Simple

- A Bunch of Boolean Expressions
- Take the Overload that Meets the Largest Number of Predicates
- Syntactic and Semantic



A Bunch of Boolean Expressions

- ```
template < class T >
concept integral = std::is_integral_v<T>;
```
- ```
template < class T >  
concept signed_integral = std::integral<T> &&  
std::is_signed_v<T>;
```
- ```
template< class T >
concept swappable = requires(T& a, T& b) {
 ranges::swap(a, b);
};
```

# Boolean Expressions aren't New

- Type Traits (classes with '::' member)

```
template <bool B>
using bool_constant = integral_constant<bool, B>;
typedef bool_constant<true> true_type;
```

- Variable templates

```
template<class R>
inline constexpr bool enable_borrowed_range = false;
```

- Function templates

```
template<class S, class M>
constexpr bool is_pointer_interconvertible_with_class (M S::* mp) noexcept;
```

# Full Expressiveness is Possible

- Boolean operators are allowed

```
template< class T >
struct is_scalar : integral_constant<bool,
 is_arithmetic<T>::value || is_enum<T>::value ||
 is_pointer<T>::value || is_member_pointer<T>::value ||
 is_null_pointer<T>::value> {};
```

- SFINAE, void\_t, the detection idiom instead of requires expressions

```
template <typename, typename = void>
struct has_meow : std::false_type { };
template <typename T>
struct has_meow<T, void_t<decltype(std::declval<T>().meow())>>
 : std::true_type { };
```

# Predicates on Types - More Approaches

- Specialization

```
template<class T> struct is_const : std::false_type {};
template<class T> struct is_const<const T> : std::true_type {};
```

- Opt-In/Out specialization

```
template<class R>
inline constexpr bool enable_borrowed_range = false;
```

- Concepts CANNOT be specialized

- But they can be composed of booleans that specialize

- Traits:

```
namespace std {
 template<> struct numeric_limits<Temperature> {
 static constexpr bool has_infinity = false;
 // implement other traits
 };
};
```



# Concepts Seem Simple

- A Bunch of Boolean Expressions
- Take the Overload that Meets the Largest Number of Predicates
- Syntactic and Semantic





# Controlling Library-Application Interaction

- When Applications use **Libraries** there's risk of errors due incorrect expectations.
  - Different components developed by different people on separate occasions.
- Overload-resolution is a way to try and verify that expectations are matched.
- This can be an 'on/off' constraint to (dis)allow certain interaction, or more advanced mechanism to choose/tailor specifics of an interaction
- Some resolution mechanisms can be easily bypassed, while others are less negotiable.



# Overload Resolution with Concepts

- requires clause
  - Two more syntax alternatives for good measure
- The most specialized version wins (see standard for details)
- SFINAE friendly
- Clear error messages
- Faster compilation speed
- **Library** defines requirements - Application must conform.

# Alternatives to `requires` Clause



<https://youtu.be/lmLFILjSveM>  
September 2020



# More Library Guided Approaches

- `enable_if`
  - **Library** defines requirements.
  - Compiler doesn't rank - error on multiple matches.
- “Partial Specialization” - function more specialized than another

```
template< class Ptr >
constexpr auto to_address(const Ptr& p) noexcept;
template< class T >
constexpr T* to_address(T* p) noexcept;
```

  - **Library** defines requirements. Compiler ranks most-specialized.



# Tag Dispatch - The STL Classic

- ```
template <class _InputIter>
inline void advance(_InputIter &__i,
    typename iterator_traits<_InputIter>::difference_type __n)
{
    __advance(__i, __n, typename
        iterator_traits<_InputIter>::iterator_category());
}
```
- Iterators opt-in to their category/concept
- In STL this dispatch is hidden (implementation detail)
 - Technically libraries could allow call-site override

Application Guided Approaches

- Policy-Based Design

```
template <class ForwardIt, class Compare = std::less<>>
constexpr ForwardIt max_element(ForwardIt first, ForwardIt last,
                                Compare comp = Compare{});
```

- This way callers can override at the call-site

- Customization Points (and CPOs, [tag_invoke](#))

- Algorithms that can be specialized
- Examples: `std::swap`, `ranges::ssize`, `ranges::empty`, ...
- CPOs are objects with `operator()` that deal with overload resolution intricacies
- Niebloids - similar mechanism for ADL avoidance

- Behavioral Properties (P1393, C++23 executors, [Hollman & Niebler](#))

```
std::require(executor, execution::blocking.always);
```

- **Library** defines properties and Application can use them.



Overload Resolution / Customizations

	On/Off	Choose from Few	User Code	Simplicity
requires	Library	Library	No	Yes
enable_if	Library	Library	No	No
“Specialization”	Library	Library	No	Yes
Tag Dispatch	Application	Application	No	Medium
Policy Based Design	N/A	No	Caller	Yes*
CPOs	Application	No	Application	Medium
std::require	No	Caller	No	Yes

Advanced Overload Resolution Schemes

	On/Off	Choose from Few	User Code	How?
requires	Library	Library Application	No	Warrants
enable_if	Library	Library Application	No	Warrants
“Specialization”	Library	Library	No	
Tag Dispatch	Application	Application Caller	No Application	Expose/Add
Policy Based Design	N/A	No Caller	Caller	Policy Tags
CPOs	Application	No (runtime)	Application	
std::require	No	Caller	No	



Concepts Seem Simple

- A Bunch of Boolean Expressions
- Take the Overload that Meets the Largest Number of Predicates
- Syntactic and Semantic

Semantics are Tricky

- `std::is_trivially_copyable_v<std::pair<int,int>>`
- Complexity of `std::list::size()`

Complexity

Constant or linear. (until C++11)

Constant. (since C++11)

3 years ago

+ Standard - Future

...

The assignment operators need to be trivial for the elements. Both pair and tuple are conditionally trivial when there is no ABI break.

```
template< class T >
concept sized_range = ranges::range<T> &&
    requires(T& t) {
        ranges::size(t);
    };

```

Concepts with Escape Hatches (Warrants)

```
template< class T >
concept sized_range = ranges::range<T> &&
    requires(T& t) {
        ranges::size(t);
    };
```

(1)

```
template<class>
inline constexpr bool disable_sized_range = false;
```

(2)

```
template<class R>
concept borrowed_range =
    ranges::range<R> &&
    (std::is_lvalue_reference_v<R> || ranges::enable_borrowed_range<std::remove_cvref_t<R>>);
```

(1)

Defined in header `<ranges>`
 Defined in header ``
 Defined in header `<string_view>`

```
template<class R>
inline constexpr bool enable_borrowed_range = false;
```

(2)



A poster for a Cppcon 2020 plenary session. The background is dark blue. In the top left, a red diagonal banner contains the word "Plenary" in white. The Cppcon logo, featuring a stylized plus sign inside a circle, is on the left, with the text "Cppcon" and "The C++ Conference" to its right. In the top right, there is a yellow graphic of a plus sign with a crosshair. The main title, "Empirically Measuring, and Reducing, C++'s Accidental Complexity ('Simplifying C++' #7 of N)", is written in large yellow font. Below it, the speaker's name "Herb Sutter" is in white. In the bottom right, the year "2020" is displayed in large white digits, followed by a vertical line and a logo consisting of three white mountain peaks with a yellow peak in the center. Below the logo, the dates "September 13-18" are written in white.

Plenary

 **Cppcon**
The C++ Conference

**Empirically Measuring, and
Reducing, C++'s Accidental
Complexity** ("Simplifying C++" #7 of N)

Herb Sutter

2020 | 
September 13-18

<https://youtu.be/6lurOCdaj0Y>
September 2020

Special Concept Cases

std::equivalence_relation

Defined in header <concepts>

```
template < class R, class T, class U >  
concept equivalence_relation = std::relation<R, T, U>;
```

 (since C++20)

The concept `equivalence_relation<R, T, U>` specifies that the `relation` `R` imposes an equivalence relation on its arguments.

Semantic requirements

A relation `r` is an equivalence relation if

- it is reflexive: for all `x`, `r(x, x)` is true;
- it is symmetric: for all `a` and `b`, `r(a, b)` is true if and only if `r(b, a)` is true;
- it is transitive: `r(a, b) && r(b, c)` implies `r(a, c)`.

Notes

The distinction between `relation` and `equivalence_relation` is purely semantic.



Semantic Sugar - Attaching Semantics

```
template <typename T> concept critical_code = /* ... */;
```

```
template <critical_code Operation>  
void run_with_privileges(const std::string &input, Operation operation) {  
    operation(input);  
}
```

```
int main() {  
    run_with_privileges("hello world", mark_critical [] (const auto &msg) {  
        std::cout << msg << std::endl;  
    }));  
    return 0;  
}
```



Semantic Sugar

```
template <typename T> constexpr bool  
critical_code_v() { return false; };
```

```
struct critical_code_tag {};  
template <typename T> requires  
std::is_base_of_v<critical_code_tag, T>  
constexpr bool critical_code_v() {  
    return true;  
};
```

```
template <typename T> concept  
critical_code = critical_code_v<T>();
```


```
template <typename T>  
struct mark_critical : T,  
    critical_code_tag {  
    using T::operator();  
};  
// deduction guide  
template <typename T> mark_critical(T)  
-> mark_critical<T>;
```

<https://godbolt.org/z/4q7fxn>



Points to Take Home

- Concepts are great
- `requires` doesn't require concepts
- Library writers - give your users power
 - Build escape hatches / warrants
 - Consider call-site customizations
- C++ Standard
 - Consider concept specialization
 - Consider type-trait specialization

A decorative graphic on the left side of the slide consisting of two overlapping parallelograms. The front one is blue and the back one is a light green color. They are positioned diagonally, with the blue one partially covering the green one.

Thank You
Questions are
Welcome