| | PYTHON/M _Y SQL | PROJECT CHALLENGE RUBRIC | | | |
|---|--|---|---|------------------|------------------|
| Database Principles using | | | | Possible Mark | Mark Obtained |
| Understanding problem as reflected by the design structures | | | | | |
| Create a database with an a | eate a database with an appropriate name. | | | | |
| Database Created with appropriate name | | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | Database created but name inappropriate | No database created | | |
| Create the tables and add to | ate the tables and add the fields | | | | <u>-</u> |
| 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | |
| All required tables and fields appropriate | Only 3/4 of the tables created with appropriate fields | Half of the tables created with appropriate field sizes | Only1/4 of tables created | | |
| Use of relationships | | | | | |
| 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | |
| All primary keys and foreign keys identified and linked correctly | Tables created with keys incorrectly linked causing some errors | Tables created with only primary keys and more a relationship | Tables created but no relationships. Only primary keys set up | | |
| Database Backup | | | | 2 | |
| • | | Database not submitted | | | |
| | | 0 | | | |
| SQL STATEMENTS | | | | | |
| Advanced and | Three guarters of SQL code | Half of the SQL code | Less than half of the SQL | | |
| appropriate SQL code implemented | work and produce desired results | implemented and produce | code implemented | | |
| 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | |
| Command Line Interface-Re | Command Line Interface-Readability and communicates clearly with user on input request and out display | | | | |
| Advanced | Skilled | Intermediate | Basic | 8 | |

| 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Connection to the database | | | | |
| Correct connection to the database with MySQL module imported and cursor | Connection to the database with MySQL module imported but hive errors | Database connection partially complete OR cursor not declared | Incorrect declaration of connection or cursor | |
| 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| Use of iteration structures. | For loop, Do While,) | | | |
| 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| Used appropriate and most effective repetition structures to solve the problem in all instances | most effective repetition structures in | Appropriate and most effective use of repetition structures in less than 50% of the instances | Inappropriate or ineffective use of repetition structures | |
| | er passing) including in-built f | | | |
| 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| Excellent interaction/ communication between modules/classes. Includes parameter passing | use of modules/functions or | Limited use of modules/functions or other sub-procedures. Limited parameter passing | No use of modules/functions or other sub-procedures. No parameters passed | |
| Complexity of Code | 1 h - h - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 | | | |
| | | | 2 | † |

| | Advanced. Other techniques such as OOP implemented and testing | Skilled Some sections of code produce errors. Some testing attempted. | Intermediate Average skills implemented | Basic Very limited skills learnt in the course have been implemented | | |
|----------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Error/Exception Handling | | | | | |
| | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | |
| | Excellent exception handling by the use of selection structures and try, catch statements. Proper Feedback given to users. | by the use of selection structures and try, catch statements. No Feedback given to user | Exception minimized by the use of selection structures only. No Feedback given to the user. | Attempt to implement exception resulted in logic or syntax errors | | |
| | Program Compiles Successfully | | | | | |
| | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | |
| | No run time or syntax errors. All the options are executed successfully | Program produce runtime errors only | Some of the options produce errors when executed | Majority of the code produces errors. No evidence of tests | | |
| | Output 6 Output correct Matches the user requirements Program Documentation | | • | | | |
| | | | 4 | 2 | 6 | |
| | | | Some sections produce incorrect output | Incorrect logic. Not meeting user requirements | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | |
| | Code well documented in all paths | 75% of code documented | Only 50%of code is documented | Just 20% of the code is documented | | |
| General | Time Management: (0 = always late, work was never done) | | | | | |
| Evaluatio n | 8 | 6 | | 4 | 8 | |

| | All deadlines met | Project submitted few hours after due date | Project submitted after interventions | | |
|--|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-----|--|
| | | | | 106 | |

| FINAL COMPETENCE LEVEL INDICATORS | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Outstanding | Highly competent | Compete nt | Not yet competent | Not achieved | | |
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| Student's Competence Level: | PASS | PASS FAIL | | | | |
| Student's Name and Signature | : | | | | | |
| Assessor: Thapelo Tsotetsi | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | |
| Feedback to student | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |