



INDR 422/522

Fikri Karaesmen

Spring 2023

Estimators

March 2, 2023



Reminders

- Course TA's: Bijan Bibak (bibak20), Mert Gürel (fegurel)
- Blackboard page is becoming active
 - Last year's lecture slides
 - Will be uploading the current slides as we proceed
- Please follow announcements
- No participation taken this week but please participate in the polls for practice
- Participation will be taken starting next week

A typical operational problem

- A standard optimization problem in operations looks like

$$\min_{\mathbf{z}} E[c(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{z})]$$

where \mathbf{z} is a decision variable and \mathbf{Y} is a random variable. In addition, there could be constraints on the decision variable (i.e. $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{Z}$).

- To consider a concrete problem we can consider inventory planning at two stores with random demands (Y_1, Y_2) and the decisions could be the order quantities (z_1, z_2) that minimize the expected cost. This problem becomes interesting if inventory transshipments can take place between the stores.
- We then need to consider the simultaneous decisions for (z_1, z_2) , taking into account the correlation structure of (Y_1, Y_2) .

A typical operational problem

- If we start with the assumption that the probability distribution of \mathbf{Y} is known, then we have optimization frameworks (e.g. stochastic programming) to address such problems even at large scale.
- Some smaller scale problems can be solved analytically (the single-period random demand newsvendor problem is an example).

$$\min_q c_u E[(D - q)^+] + c_o E[(q - D)^+]$$

where D is the random demand, q is the order quantity and c_u and c_o are the underage and overage costs.

A typical operational problem

- Machine learning (in the supervised learning framework) starts with data $(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{x}_1), (\mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{x}_2) \dots (\mathbf{y}_n, \mathbf{x}_n)$ and focuses on the prediction problem of $\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}$
- and proposes a number of effective tools.
- On the other hand, prescriptive analytics focuses on:

$$\min_{\mathbf{z}} E[c(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})]$$

- and of course also on finding the minimizer \mathbf{z}^* .
- Note that the typical ML-based problem is also an optimization problem where some error function is minimized.
- Prescriptive analytics therefore considers such nested optimization problems one for estimation, the other on operational cost minimization.

Semiconductor Yield: SECOM data

Date	Pass/Fail	f1	f2	f3	f4	f589	f590
19/07/2008	1	3030.93	2564	2187.733	1411.127		NaN	NaN
19/07/2008	1	3095.78	2465.14	2230.422	1463.661		0.006	208.2045
19/07/2008	0	2932.61	2559.94	2186.411	1698.017		0.0148	82.8602
19/07/2008	1	2988.72	2479.9	2199.033	909.7926		0.0044	73.8432
19/07/2008	1	3032.24	2502.87	2233.367	1326.52		0.0044	73.8432
19/07/2008	1	2946.25	2432.84	2233.367	1326.52		0.0052	44.0077
19/07/2008	1	3030.27	2430.12	2230.422	1463.661		0.0052	44.0077
19/07/2008	1	3058.88	2690.15	2248.9	1004.469		0.0063	95.031
19/07/2008	1	2967.68	2600.47	2248.9	1004.469		0.0045	111.6525
19/07/2008	1	3016.11	2428.37	2248.9	1004.469		0.0073	90.2294
19/07/2008	0	2994.05	2548.21	2195.122	1046.147		0.0071	57.8122
19/07/2008	0	2928.84	2479.4	2196.211	1605.758		0.0081	75.5077
20/07/2008	1	2920.07	2507.4	2195.122	1046.147		0.0034	52.2039
21/07/2008	1	3051.44	2529.27	2184.433	877.6266		0.0034	52.2039
21/07/2008	0	2963.97	2629.48	2224.622	947.7739		0.0084	142.908
22/07/2008	1	2988.31	2546.26	2224.622	947.7739		0.0045	100.2745
22/07/2008	1	3028.02	2560.87	2270.256	1258.456		0.0042	82.0989
22/07/2008	1	3032.73	2517.79	2270.256	1258.456		0.0042	82.0989
22/07/2008	1	3040.34	2501.16	2207.389	962.5317		0.0042	82.0989

1567 observations for yield outcome with 590 associated features,

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/SECOM>

The Lot-Sizing Problem: the Model with features

- In reality, D and Y may depend on some features \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{W} .
- Given that $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{W} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$, we would then solve:

$$\min_Q bE[(D|(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}) - QY|(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}))^+] + hE[(QY|(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}) - D|(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}))^+]$$

Some of the things to do

- Use predictive methods to obtain a yield prediction as a function of the features
 - Model reduction: find those features that improve predictions and eliminate others
- Extract information about yield probability distribution to use in the optimization formulation
 - Predicting the average yield rate is not enough because defaulting a contract because of insufficient quantity is much more expensive than overproduction.
- Assess the benefits of using feature information to make the lot-size decision.

The Newsvendor Problem

- A single-period random demand inventory problem (the newsvendor problem). We have to order a quantity in advance of the demand realization.
- No opportunity to reorder during the sales season, unsatisfied demand is lost
- Unsold items are salvaged at a value below their purchasing cost.
- Since demand is not known with certainty, there will be a mismatch between the supply and demand.
- Assume that we somehow know the distribution of random demand D . We can then maximize the expected profit:

$$\max_q E [-cq + p \min(q, D) + s(q - D)^+]$$

p : sales price, c : purchase cost, s : salvage value and $p > c > s$.

The Newsvendor Problem

- In practice, we might have data that are past observations of realized demand d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n .
- We then have two basic alternatives i) fit a probability distribution to the data and obtain the corresponding random variable D ii) Use the sample as our 'world' and perform empirical optimization. This is called sample average approximation (and empirical risk minimization in ML).
- We assign a weight that equals $1/n$ to each observation and solve the following deterministic problem

$$\max_q -cq + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p \min(q, d_i) + s(q - d_i)^+}{n}$$

- Note that the solution of the above problem finds the optimal order quantity that would maximize the average profit for the sample.

Where we are headed

- How should we solve such problems when there is data for Y ?
- How should we solve such problems when there are features X for Y (covariates)?
- What if the data includes time series?
- We'll see that there can be many potential features even based on the time series information. Can we handle many features efficiently?
- What if the number of potential features is much larger than the sample size (200 features and a sample size of 100)?

Where we are headed

- Some relevant and interesting problems are dynamic in nature
- Can we handle data-based dynamic optimization?
 - Approximate stochastic dynamic programming / reinforcement learning

A typical problem

- In practice (reality), the probability distribution of \mathbf{Y} is not known with certainty but we may have some past observations on hand for \mathbf{Y} : $(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n)$.
- We may have observed demands of (28,43) at the two stores on day 1, (52, 25) on day 2 and so on.
- We then have options to "fit" a joint probability distribution using the observations or use the demand observations as scenarios that become inputs to the optimization problem.
 - For instance, we may fit a bivariate normal distribution to the data that specifies, the means, the standard deviations and the correlation.
 - A little more on this later.

Fitting a probability distribution

- Let us assume that we have an i.i.d sample of observations for Y (after some data transformations).
 - Obtaining an i.i.d. sample requires cleaning up many things in practice through data transformations.
- Eventually, we have something that may look like: $y_1 = 24, y_2=35, y_3=11, y_4=48, \dots, y_n=55$.
- Or : $y_1 = 24.2, y_2=35.4, y_3=11.9, y_4=48.1, \dots, y_n=55.3$.
- We may plot the histogram of the data and explore its shape (monotone, unimodal, multimodal, symmetrical, skewed).
- And take a guess for continuous or a discrete distribution to fit.

Fitting a probability distribution

- Let's assume we have a sample of iid demand observations d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n .
- We think that this sample might correspond to a Poisson r.v. with parameter λ :

$$p_D(x) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!} \quad x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

- Since λ is not known, We look for the value of λ that makes the sample as likely as possible. This is an optimization problem:

$$\max_{\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n p_D(d_i, \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{d_i} e^{-\lambda}}{d_i!}$$

This approach to find the optimal fit of the parameter through likelihood maximization is called Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE).

Fitting a probability distribution (MLE)

- The solution of the above problem:

$$\lambda^* = \arg \max_{\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n p_D(d_i, \lambda)$$

corresponds to the value that maximizes the likelihood of the sample with respect to a given distribution.

And is called the Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) estimator.

- To solve the optimization problem, we take the logarithm of the likelihood function to convert the product to a sum.

Ex: Poisson (λ), sample x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n

The likelihood function:

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{x_1} e^{-\lambda}}{x_1!} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{x_2} e^{-\lambda}}{x_2!} \dots \frac{\lambda^{x_n} e^{-\lambda}}{x_n!}$$

We take logs to convert the product to a sum

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \lambda) = \log L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \lambda) &= x_1 \log \lambda - \lambda - \log(x_1!) + x_2 \log \lambda - \lambda - \log(x_2!) \\ &\quad + \dots + x_n \log \lambda - \lambda - \log(x_n!) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d\ell}{d\lambda} = \frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda} - n \Rightarrow \lambda^{\infty} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$$

Ex: Normal (μ, σ)

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x_1-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \dots \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x_n-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$l(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - n \log \sigma - \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{dl}{d\mu} = \frac{+2 \sum (x_i - \mu)}{2\sigma^2} \Rightarrow \frac{\sum x_i - n\mu}{\sigma^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \mu^* = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dl}{d\sigma} &= -\frac{n}{\sigma} + 2 \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^3} \Rightarrow \sigma^2 n = \sum (x_i - \mu)^2 \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma^{*2} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Fitting a probability distribution

- We are able to 'optimally' estimate the parameters of different distributions (e.g. Poisson, Binomial, negative binomial etc.) given the data available.
- We can then measure the distance of the candidate distribution to the sample by several different approaches.
- The Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness-of-fit test uses the squared distance in an interval. We separate the real line into K intervals and for each interval we compute e_k the expected number of observations that falls in the interval in the candidate distribution and also count o_k , the number of observations that fall in the same interval.
- The K-S statistic:

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \frac{(o_k - e_k)^2}{e_k}$$

has a χ^2 distribution which leads to a simple hypothesis test.

Fitting a probability distribution

- We then find the best fitting distribution among many candidates by comparing the values of the K-S statistic.
- Or do the same for a different distance metric (such as the Kullback-Liebler (KL divergence))

$$KL(f : g) = \int f(x) \log \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right) dx$$

Reminder: estimators and properties

- A crucial issue in statistics is to infer population properties from a finite sample. An estimator is a quantity that can be computed from the sample for this purpose.
- We might be interested in estimating the mean μ of a population for which have an iid sample x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .
- The average of the sample \bar{x} is an estimator.
- But there are other estimators than \bar{x} . x_1 is also an estimator, $(2x_1 + x_2)/3$ is another one.
- In fact, any $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a potential estimator.

Reminder: estimators and properties

- Let us note that sample based estimators are themselves random variables. Each time we draw a new random sample, we'll get a different value for our estimator.
- **Unbiasedness:** A desirable property for an estimator is that it does not have a systematic error on the average (in expectation). The sample mean \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of the population mean since:

$$E[\bar{X}] = \mu.$$

- Note that there are many unbiased estimators: X_1 and $(2X_1 + X_2)/3$ are also unbiased. Since:

$$E[X_1] = E[(2X_1 + X_2)/3] = \mu.$$

Reminder: estimators and properties

- **Variance of the Estimator:** Among unbiased estimators, it makes sense to prefer one with a lower variance.
- Assuming that our sample has variance σ^2 :

$$\text{Var}[\bar{X}] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

- whereas for the other estimators:

$$\text{Var}[X_1] = \sigma^2 \text{ and } \text{Var}[(2X_1 + X_2)/3] = \frac{5\sigma^2}{9}.$$

- We will see that for demand forecasting there is a trade-off between responsiveness and low variance.