Functional Programming

Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Germany

WS 2024/25

Coordinates

• Course hours: Tu 14-16

• Course room: SR 106-04-007 Videokonferenz

• Staff: Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann

Gebäude 079, Raum 00-015

Telefon: 0761 203 -8051/-8247

E-mail: thiemann@cs.uni-freiburg.de

Web: http://www.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/~thiemann

• Staff: Hannes Saffrich

E-mail: saffrich@cs.uni-freiburg.de

Homepage:

https://github.com/proglang/FunctionalProgramming https://proglang.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/teaching/functional-programming/2024/

Administrivia

Lecture ~90 minutes/week

- lecture videos will be made available on the webpage
- presence with live recording (zoom)

Exercise ~90 minutes exercise/week

- Friday 14-16, SR 00 031, building 051
- exercise questions modality TBA
 - discussed in next available exercise session
 - no need to hand in exercises during the semester

Final exam

- written exam in the computer pool
- comprising theory questions and small programming tasks

Contents

- Basics of functional programming using Haskell
- Theoretical background
- Writing Haskell programs
- Using Haskell libraries and development tools
- Your first Haskell project

What is Haskell?

In September of 1987 a meeting was held at the conference on Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture in Portland, Oregon, to discuss an unfortunate situation in the functional programming community: there had come into being more than a dozen non-strict, purely functional programming languages, all similar in expressive power and semantic underpinnings. There was a strong consensus at this meeting that more widespread use of this class of functional languages was being hampered by the lack of a common language. It was decided that a committee should be formed to design such a language, providing faster communication of new ideas, a stable foundation for real applications development, and a vehicle through which others would be encouraged to use functional languages.

From "History of Haskell"

What is Functional Programming?

A different approach to programming

Functions and values

rather than

Assignments and pointers

What is Functional Programming?

A different approach to programming

Functions and values

rather than

Assignments and pointers

It will make you a better programmer

Why Haskell?

- Haskell is a very high-level language
 (many details taken care of automatically).
- Haskell is expressive and concise
 (can achieve a lot with a little effort).
- Haskell is good at handling complex data and combining components.
- Haskell is a high-productivity language

(prioritizes programmer-time over computer-time)

Functional vs Imperative Programming: Variables

Functional (Haskell)

x :: Intx = 5

Variable x has value 5 forever

Functional vs Imperative Programming: Variables

```
Functional (Haskell)

x :: Int

x = 5

Variable x has value 5 forever
```

```
Imperative (Java / C)
int x = 5;
...
x = x+1;
Variable x can change its content over time
```

Functional vs Imperative Programming: Functions

Functional (Haskell)

```
f :: Int -> Int -> Int
f x y = 2*x + y
```

f 42 16 // always 100

Return value of a function **only** depends on its inputs

Functional vs Imperative Programming: Functions

Functional (Haskell)

```
f :: Int -> Int -> Int
f x y = 2*x + y
f 42 16 // always 100
```

Return value of a function only depends on its inputs

Imperative (Java)

```
boolean flag;
static int f (int x, int y) {
   return flag ? 2*x + y , 2*x - y;
}
int z = f (42, 16); // who knows?
```

Return value depends on non-local variable flag

Equational Reasoning and Referential Transparency

Haskell

- Variables have a fixed value
- Functions have a fixed meaning that does not depend on context (Actually, the same as item 1!)

Consequence: Equational Reasoning

- Every (sub-) expression in a program can be replaced with its value and
- every function call can be replaced with its definition
- ... without without changing the meaning of the program!
- (may change the running time, though)

Functional vs Imperative Programming: Laziness

Haskell

x = expensiveComputation
g anotherExpensiveComputation

- The expensive computation will only happen if x is ever used.
- Another expensive computation will only happen if g uses its argument.

Functional vs Imperative Programming: Laziness

Haskell

```
x = expensiveComputation
g anotherExpensiveComputation
```

- ullet The expensive computation will only happen if x is ever used.
- Another expensive computation will only happen if g uses its argument.

Java

```
int x = expensiveComputation;
g (anotherExpensiveComputation)
```

- Both expensive computations will happen anyway.
- Laziness can be simulated, but it's complex!

Many more features that make programs more concise

- Static typing
- Algebraic datatypes
- Polymorphic types
- Parametric overloading
- Type inference
- Monads & friends (for IO, concurrency, . . .)
- Comprehensions
- Concurrency
- Metaprogramming
- ...

References

- Paper by the original developers of Haskell in the conference on History of Programming Languages (HOPL III):
 A History of Haskell: Being Lazy with Class
- The Haskell home page: http://www.haskell.org
- Haskell libraries repository: https://hackage.haskell.org/
- Haskell Tool Stack:

https://docs.haskellstack.org/en/stable/README/

