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## Abstract

### Background

The main objective of highereducation institutions is to provide quality education to its students. One way to achieve this is is by introducing various teaching methods, one of which is tandem learning. Not everyone responds well to said method, so discovering knowledge for predicition regarding model selection. The knowledge is hidden among the educational data set and is extractable through data mining techniques. The aim of this study was to evaluate the performance of machine learning algorithms for predicting student response to tandem learning, and identify the most important variables.

### Methods

A sample of N\_0 high school students and N\_1 predictor variables has been used. The outcome of interest was a three state variable indicating whether the student responded well to implementation of tandem learning into education environment or not. N\_2 predictor variables were selected using mutual information score with the outcome. In this paper, we implemented N\_3 classification machine learning algorithms that can be used to predict a target variable with three states and evaluated their performance with a n by k-fold cross-validation with stratified folds.

### Results

Predstavitev dobljenih rezultatov (v cifrah).
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## Introduction

- Kateri je problem, ki ga želimo rešiti? - Zakaj je ta problem tako pomembno znati rešiti? - Kako so ta problem reševali pred to raziskavo? - Katere so težave prej uporabljenih metod? Ali so te metode objektivne? Ali so zanesljive? - Kaj se predlaga? - Zakaj ta metoda BI LAHKO bila boljša? - Kaj o tem trdi literatura?

O ze uporabi AI v edukaciji knjiga med viri – poglavje AI in Education—A Tentative Summary

## Theoretical framework

### Teaching methods and tandem learning

Critic of frontal teaching and new theoretical didactics, psychological, pedagogic, sociologic findings and positive experience in practical work have lead to the development of new indirect forms of education process (Blažič et al., 2003). Based on strong research literatures various forms of small-group learning are effective in promoting greater academic achievement, more favorable attitudes toward learning, and increased persistence through SMET courses and programs (Roschelle et al., 2010).

Tandem learning is a special learning approach?, where two students make an experiment together, formulate a report, solve a problem etc (Tomić 2002 Spremljanje pouka, Ljubljana: preveri se enkrat ali je direkt citat ali povzeto). It is a simple approach from organizational standpoint, as pair members have more chance for activity than in frontal teaching and group teaching, however they are not alone as in individual teaching method (Blažič et al., 2003). Simple diagram in figure Figure 1 depicts main components of group-learning relationship.
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Figure : Relationships among interaction components of group learning (Slavin et al., 2003).

Many pedogogs, psychologists, sociologists and didactics say, that an individual in modern society is a member of many groups, so it is important, that students develop necessary social skills already in school. Imlementing group learning achieves five important goals (Peklaj, 2001): Students learn about eachother, group identity develops, students support each other, they learn to respect differences in between group members and students develop teamwork charackeristics. Group learning has its pros, as well as cons. Pros according to (Puklek, 2001): better student performance, developing mutual support and help, developing different skills (cognitive, čustveno-motivacijske?, social skills and understanding one-self.) and ekonomičnost?. Cons according to Puklek: Group goal over individual, lack of experiance leading to ressentiment of learning method, member focuses only on task given to him, less effective due to member differences and inequality regarding involved work. (Kubale, 2015) adds that group work is difficult to perform in classes with large ammount of students. (Slavin et al., 2003) identifies four considerable theoretical views on the achievement effects of cooperative learning, them being: motivationalist, social cohesion, cognitive-developmental and cognitive-elaboration, the latter two focusing on the interaction among groups of students. These four perspectives can be considered complementary.

Hundreds of studies have been conducted with main objective being being to determine the effects of cooperative learning on student achievement. We must keep in mind that this learning method is not only theoretical and a debate of research; it is used at some level by millions on teachers (Slavin et al., 2003) (v viru tudi dejanska cifra 81% v ameriki uciteljev to na dnevni ravni...). Many studies, which can be found in (Roschelle et al., 2010) (ali je bolje tu dati dejanske studije z rezultati npr. johnson faktor 0.78....?) have found positive effect of for cooperative learning.

Citati v slavin: Cooperative learning can be argued that high achievers could be help back by having to explain material to their low-achieving counterparts. However it would be equally debatable that students who give lectures to their counterparts learn more than those who receive them. Most studies however found equal benefits for high, average and low achievers (Slavin et al., 2003).

Blazic, Kramar, Tubale, Tomic, Puklek, Marentic Pozarnik, Peklaj - literatura o tandemskem (skupinskem) delu. Uporabi se Slavin in roschelle (dobr\_clanek\_tandem) več!

Spremenljivke, ki vplivajo na delo v skupini – zakaj smo izbrali v raziskavi

In the quest to predict the effects of tandem learning on student performance, an array of variables must be considered to provide a comprehensive understanding of this dynamic educational approach. Examining the general factors, such as gender, class, professor, and previous grade, sheds light on the contextual background and baseline performance of students As Nunan's research suggests, previous grade may not significantly impact tandem learning outcomes, while gender and class could exert a somewhat influential role (Nunar, 2020). Beyond these demographic aspects, the psychological dimensions of extroversion and personality type come into play, shaping the way students engage and interact within tandem learning environments. Within the realm of tandem learning itself, variables like the quality and quantity of student interactions, whether a student outperforms their partner, and the presence of a teacher at the tandem station all come into focus. Notably, Tomić's findings reveal that group size does not substantially affect student performance (Tomić, 2003??? Poglej ce res). However, Puklek's work underscores the significant impact of students' personalities and knowledge, emphasizing the positive role of competitiveness on student performance (Puklek, 2001).By synthesizing these diverse factors, we can develop a more holistic framework for predicting the effects of tandem learning on student performance and tailor educational strategies accordingly.

### Machine learning and classification

Data mining is the process of uncovering hidden patterns, relationships, or insights within vast datasets through techniques from statistics and database management (Baradwaj & Pal, 2012). It involves data preprocessing to prepare information for analysis and utilizes methods such as clustering and association rule mining. In contrast, machine learning, a subset of artificial intelligence, focuses on building predictive models by allowing computers to learn from data and make decisions or predictions. The sequences of steps identified in extracting knowledge from data is shown in Figure 2 below.
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Figure : Knowledge discovery process

Machine learning encompasses various learning paradigms, including classification process and finds applications in areas like recommendation systems. Classification, a fundamental task in both data mining and machine learning, involves categorizing data into predefined classes, such as binary or three-state classification, based on patterns learned from labeled data. This classification process is employed in various domains, such as healthcare and sentiment analysis, to make data-driven decisions (Baradwaj & Pal, 2012). Goal of classification is to build a model based on input data, that explains said data. If we put new data in our model, the model outputs a solution based on input data it was built on. Usually, we have training data where are attributes and is a value of uknown function . Our goal is to find a function h that is the best approximation of function . Attributes (predictor variables) are independent (vectors), are target variables, function is called a model. Space of hypothesis expands very rapidly. If we have binary attributes, we would have different learning inputs and possible hypothesis. *To je bolj za v disertacijo kot za sam članek.*

Malo več o klasifikaciji ali ne? To je itak statistika, katere ne uvajamo v člankih, čeprav je tu bolj kompleksna?

### Connecting tandem learning and machine learning

Let us briefly discuss where AI is used in education today. We will focus mainly on the use of AI to support learning (student and teacher facing AI). Technologies can be considered in terms of whether they are mainly student teaching (with primarily instructionist approach), student supporting (primarily constructivist approach) or teacher supporting (which primarily help teachers do tasks they already do but faster or with less effort) (Holmes et al., 2019).

## Beyond its broader applications, machine learning has been harnessed to predict student performance with remarkable precision. Leveraging the power of data analytics and advanced algorithms, machine learning models have been applied to forecast student success, identify at-risk learners, and tailor educational interventions. This transformative application of machine learning is exemplified by research conducted by (Siemens & Gasevic, 2012), which introduced the concept of "learning analytics" and demonstrated its potential in predicting student outcomes. Through this introduction, we will delve into the specific ways in which machine learning is harnessed to predict student performance and its profound implications for the education sector. Some other examples of predicting student performance with different metrics and models can be found in (Abana, 2019; Bhusal, 2021; Cortez & Silva, 2008; Kotsiantis et al., 2004; Minaei-Bidgoli et al., 2003).

## Empirical work

## Methodology

In the present research, the causal non-experimental method of pedagogical research is applied.

## Sample

The sample was comprised of N students from 11th and 12th grade of a Slovenian Gymnasium (i.e., high school).

## Procedure

After obtaining students’ (or their parents’, if the students were minors) signed informed consent and the school principals’ approval, we collected and examined the success of tandem learning in regards to several variables. Success was measured in 3 states (good, neutral and bad) and in 3 different forms (regarding learning, diversification and overall). (V članku bomo verjetno izbrali le najbolh statistično uspešno). Independent variables were in general sense (gender, class, professor, previous grade) in psychological sense (extroversion, personality type) and in regards to tandem learning (qualitytive interaction, quantitativy interaction, whether student performed more than their partner and teacher presence at tandem station). Data was anonymized using a coding scheme, such that anonymity and objectiveness were assured in every step of the research. The collected data were accessible only to the researcher.

Data was collected following after students included in research were involved in tandem learning environment during the course of approximately one week. A portion of the class period was devoted to normal classroom work, while some portion of the class period was devoted to working in tadem. Randomization was not taken into consideration. Students were assigned into pairs in regards to their partner at the two seat desk.

## Data analysis

The gathered data was analysed using Python programming language, primarily using pandas and scikit-learn libraries. Raw dataset can be found on Zenodo, while statistical code is openly accessible on [GitHub](https://github.com/borbregant/ai_tandem_learning).

In suma, we modified all categorical data into integer type in the form of tidy data. Firstly, we performed machine learning classification task, classifying student performance regarding predictor variables. We used N methods: Random forest classifier, …. . Models were evaluated with accuracy, precision, recall and area under ROC curve statistics using nxk cross validation scoring. The latter was used as final determination of model performance. Secondly, Mutual information was performed for evaluation of feature importance. Furthermore, we tried clustering using … methods and lastly, PCA and t-SNE tests were used for dimensionality reduction and data visualization.

## Results

### Student sample

Statističen opis kakšen je bil vzorec (intervali zaupanja za npr. cilnje spremenljivke, …)

A group of blue and white bars
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### Variable importance

Mutual information, hi kvadrat in ostali testi za določitev pomembnih spremenljivk

A white rectangular object with black text
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### Model performance

Rezultati izbranih modelov

Table : Related variables

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable | Description | Possible values | Variable type |
| Gender |  | 0-1 (Male, female) | A priori state |
| Class |  | 0-6 (7 present classes) |  |
| Teacher |  | 0-3 (4 teachers) |  |
| Extroversion score | From online test:  [Extroversion Introversion Test (psychologytoday.com)](https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/tests/personality/extroversion-introversion-test) | 0-100 | Psychological background |
| … |  | … | … |

Table : Dataset

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student number | Gender | Class | Extroversion score |
| 0 | M | 3B | 86 |
| 1 | F | 3B | 76 |
| .. |  |  |  |

## Discussion

…

## Conclusions and limitations

…

## References

Abana, E. C. (2019). A Decision Tree Approach for Predicting Student Grades in Research Project using Weka. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, *10*(7). https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2019.0100739

Baradwaj, B. K., & Pal, S. (2012). *Mining Educational Data to Analyze Students’ Performance* (arXiv:1201.3417). arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1201.3417

Bhusal, A. (2021). *Predicting Student’s Performance Through Data Mining*. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2112.01247

Blažič, M., Ivanuš-Grmek, M., Kramar, M., & Strmčnik, F. (2003). *Didaktika: Visokošolski učbenik*. Visokošolsko središče, Inštitut za raziskovalno in razvojno delo.

Cortez, P., & Silva, A. (2008). *Using data mining to predict secondary school student performance*.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education. Promise and Implications for Teaching and Learning.*

Kotsiantis, S., Pierrakeas, C., & Pintelas, P. (2004). Predicting students’ performance in distance learning using machine learning techniques. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, *18*(5), 411–426. https://doi.org/10.1080/08839510490442058

Kubale, V. (2015). *Skupinska učna oblika* (2. dopolnjena izd). Samozal. V. Kubale ; Piko’s Printshop.

Minaei-Bidgoli, B., Kashy, D. A., Kortemeyer, G., & Punch, W. F. (2003). Predicting student performance: An application of data mining methods with an educational web-based system. *33rd Annual Frontiers in Education, 2003. FIE 2003.*, *1*, T2A\_13-T2A\_18. https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE.2003.1263284

Nunar, N. (2020). *Izzivi skupinskega dela učencev* [Master’s thesis, Univerza na Primorskem]. https://repozitorij.upr.si/IzpisGradiva.php?lang=slv&id=12851

Peklaj, C. (2001). *Sodelovalno učenje ali Kdaj več glav več ve* (1. izd., 1. natis). DZS.

Puklek, M. (2001). Skupinsko delo: Kako ga oceniti? *Didakta*, *11*(60/61), 47–51.

Roschelle, J., Rafanan, K., Bhanot, R., Estrella, G., Penuel, B., Nussbaum, M., & Claro, S. (2010). Scaffolding group explanation and feedback with handheld technology: Impact on students’ mathematics learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *58*(4), 399–419. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-009-9142-9

Siemens, G., & Gasevic, D. (2012). Guest Editorial—Learning and Knowledge Analytics. *Educational Technology and Society*, *15*(1–2).

Slavin, R. E., Hurley, E. A., & Chamberlain, A. (2003). Cooperative Learning and Achievement: Theory and Research. In I. B. Weiner (Ed.), *Handbook of Psychology* (1st ed., pp. 177–198). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471264385.wei0709