



International Journal of Instruction Article Evaluation Form

Mr. /Mrs.

It is to acknowledge you that the Executive Committee of *International Journal of Instruction* has decided that the article mentioned below would be reviewed by you. Thank you very much for your contributions.

Asim ARI
Editor in Chief

Name of the article: Factors Influencing Tandem Learning in Mathematics

After reviewing the attached article, please read each item carefully and select the response that best reflects your opinion. To register your response, please **mark** or **type in** the appropriate block.

	Yes	Partially	No
Do you think the title is appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the abstract summarize the article clearly and effectively?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are the objectives set clearly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the issue stated clearly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the literature review adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the design of the research appropriate, and the exemplary, if any, suitable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the methodology consistent with the practice?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the findings expressed clearly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the presentation of the findings adequate and consistent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the tables, if any, arranged well?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are the suggestions meaningful, valid, and based on the findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the references adequate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the language clear and understandable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the work contributing to the field?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Evaluation:**
- ☐ The article can be published as it is.
 - ☐ The article can be published after some revision.
 - ☒ The article must undergo a major revision before it can be resubmitted to the journal.
 - ☐ The article cannot be published.

Would you like to see the revised article if you have suggested any revisions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Please write your report either on this paper or on a spare paper.

REPORT

Section of the Manuscript	Comments and Notes
Title- Abstract- Summary	The abstract needs to be supplemented with more detailed research methods.
Introduction and Literature Review	This study does not explicitly explain the dependent variable, this requires an explanation.
Research Methods	non-experimental research, please explain the type of research design
Research Findings	The purpose of this study is unclear, especially when viewed from the hypothesis, then research findings need to be written according to research objectives

Discussion	The research results are written first at the beginning and explained according to the research objectives.
Conclusion and Suggestions	write according to research objectives
References and Citation	Authors often write variables, but do not explain what is meant by the variable, this is also found in writing conclusions. Please write the variable explicitly
Language	good, no problem
Other issues	the number of samples was only 89 students and should have used non-parametric analysis