



Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lcss20>

Estimated land area increase of agricultural ecosystems to sequester excess atmospheric carbon dioxide

D. G. Wright^a, R. W. Mullen^a, W. E. Thomason^a & W. R. Raun^a

^a Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, Oklahoma State University, 044 Agricultural Hall, Stillwater, OK, 74078, U.S.A.

Published online: 20 Aug 2006.

To cite this article: D. G. Wright, R. W. Mullen, W. E. Thomason & W. R. Raun (2001) Estimated land area increase of agricultural ecosystems to sequester excess atmospheric carbon dioxide, *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 32:11-12, 1803-1812

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/CSS-120000251>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

COMMUN. SOIL SCI. PLANT ANAL., 32(11&12), 1803–1812 (2001)

ESTIMATED LAND AREA INCREASE OF AGRICULTURAL ECOSYSTEMS TO SEQUESTER EXCESS ATMOSPHERIC CARBON DIOXIDE

**D. G. Wright, R. W. Mullen,* W. E. Thomason, and
W. R. Raun**

Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, 044 Agricultural
Hall, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078

ABSTRACT

An estimated 3.3 Pg carbon (C) is accumulating in the atmosphere annually, with carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations increasing approximately 1.5–2.0 ppm per year. The conversion of land to agroforestry, rangeland, and cropping systems has been identified as a possible option to offset rising CO₂ levels. The objective of this work was to estimate the increase in land area for the leading global cereal crops (maize, rice, wheat), temperate rangeland, and temperate forest ecosystems to sequester the surplus atmospheric C. Based on calculations and previously published data, maize cropping systems are the most efficient at sequestering C. An additional $6.7\text{--}7.6 \times 10^8$ ha of maize would be required to assimilate the 3.3 Pg C yr⁻¹, a 477–543% increase in global

Contribution from the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA. Published with approval of the Director, Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station.

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: rwm@okstate.edu

corn production. Agroforestry appears to be the only agricultural system that could realistically be implemented to reduce global CO₂ levels with a calculated increase of 4.6–4.6 × 10⁸ ha (a 52–66% growth in worldwide area). Also, the estimated net productivity of 6.7–7.1 Mg C ha⁻¹ for temperate forests is significantly greater than the productivity of maize, rice, or wheat cropping systems, which ranged from 2.7–4.3 Mg C ha⁻¹. Increasing land area for agricultural production may not be the answer to the global C dilemma, but intensive management systems that result in increased soil organic matter are a significant part of the solution.

INTRODUCTION

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is a greenhouse gas that is believed to contribute to global warming (1, 2). The concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere is increasing by 1.5–2.0 ppm per year, giving rise to an approximate 0.5°C increase in global temperature (3, 4). Keeling and Whorf (5), report an increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentration from 280 ppm to a present level of 365 ppm over the past 60 years. Approximately 3.3 Pg C is added to the atmosphere each year from numerous natural and anthropogenic processes (6). The increase in atmospheric levels is primarily due to fossil fuel burning and deforestation (7). Additionally, the oxidizing of soil organic matter from cultivation has accounted for an estimated 6–25% of the 80 mg kg⁻¹ increase in atmospheric CO₂ over the past 150 years (8).

To offset atmospheric accumulation of CO₂ and global warming, the conversion of land to agroforestry, rangeland, and no-till/minimum till cropping systems has been identified. Plant photosynthesis can increase the net carbon flux from the atmosphere to terrestrial ecosystems (9). Carbon sequestration of crops, grassland, and forest systems differs greatly and is difficult to predict due to poor understanding of the biogeochemical dynamics of C among plants, soil, and the atmosphere (10). Many scientists believe that agriculture can have the greatest impact in reducing atmospheric C due to our ability to intensively manage agriculture over long periods of time. The U.S. Department of Energy published a report that categorized the C sequestration potential of intensively managed biomes (11). Forestry displayed the highest level of potential C sequestration quantitatively with an estimate of 1–3 Pg C yr⁻¹, but qualitatively the sustained management of forests was ranked moderate. Likewise, the sequestration by cropping systems was assessed to be 0.85–0.90 Pg C yr⁻¹ and ranked high in intensive sustained management. Rangeland sequestered 1.2 Pg C yr⁻¹ and had a moderate ranking in sustained management. Increasing land area in agricultural production that sequesters greater concentrations of CO₂ appears to be an





Table 1. Components Used for Calculating Global Land Area Increase in Maize, Rice, and Wheat Production Needed to Sequester Excess Atmospheric CO₂ Using Harvest Index and %C in Biomass

Component	Maize		Rice		Wheat	
	Value	Reference and/or Calculation	Value	Reference and/or Calculation	Value	Reference and/or Calculation
Average global yield in 1999	4.32 Mg ha ⁻¹	FAO, 2000	3.84 Mg ha ⁻¹	FAO, 2000	2.73 Mg ha ⁻¹	FAO, 2000
Harvest index	50%	Sinclair, 1998; Dale and Drennan, 1997	50%	Sinclair, 1998	50%	Slafer et al., 1999
Total biomass per hectare	8.64 Mg ha ⁻¹	4.32 Mg maize ha ⁻¹ * 0.5 (harvest index)	7.68 Mg ha ⁻¹	3.84 Mg maize ha ⁻¹ * 0.5 (harvest index)	5.46 Mg ha ⁻¹	2.73 Mg maize ha ⁻¹ * 0.5 (harvest index)
Percent C in biomass	50%	Fischer and Turner, 1978	50%	Fischer and Turner, 1978	50%	Fischer and Turner, 1978
Total C in biomass per hectare	4.32 Mg ha ⁻¹	8.64 Mg maize ha ⁻¹ * 0.5 (%C)	3.84 Mg ha ⁻¹	7.68 Mg maize ha ⁻¹ * 0.5 (%C)	2.73 Mg ha ⁻¹	5.46 Mg maize ha ⁻¹ * 0.5 (%C)
Total atmospheric C excess	—	—	3.3 × 10 ⁹ Mg	Follett and McConkey, 2000	—	—
Area required to sequester excess C	7.6 × 10 ⁸ ha	3.3 × 10 ⁹ Mg C/4.32 Mg C ha ⁻¹	8.59 × 10 ⁸ ha	3.3 × 10 ⁹ Mg C/3.84 Mg C ha ⁻¹	1.2 × 10 ⁹ ha	3.3 × 10 ⁹ Mg C/2.73 Mg C ha ⁻¹
1999 global land area in production	1.4 × 10 ⁸ ha	FAO, 2000	1.53 × 10 ⁸ ha	FAO, 2000	2.14 × 10 ⁸ ha	FAO, 2000
Estimated percent increase in global production to sequester excess CO ₂	543%	(7.6 × 10 ⁸ ha/1.4 × 10 ⁸ ha)* 100	561%	(8.59 × 10 ⁸ ha/1.53 × 10 ⁸ ha)* 100	561%	(1.2 × 10 ⁹ ha/2.14 × 10 ⁸ ha)* 100



environmentally friendly means for decreasing atmospheric C. Increased soil organic matter, higher biomass production, decreased nutrient loss, and improved soil and water quality are other benefits from increased sequestration in soil/plant systems (11). However, some ill effects of major land use change are degradation of sensitive ecosystems (from erosion of highly erodable land or desertification in arid regions), increased energy costs for production, and large economic investments. Schlesinger (12) noted that as agricultural production increases, the net C sequestered by the crop is nullified by the CO₂ released from fertilization, irrigation, and manuring. For example, the Haber-Bosch process produces 1180 kg CO₂ per 1000 kg N synthesized.

At this stage in earth's history, it is believed that mankind can make strides to decrease the current excess C accumulation in the atmosphere. Creating agricultural ecosystems that scrub CO₂ is a widely held solution to this global dilemma. The objective of this work was to estimate the amount of area required to annually sequester the 3.3 Pg C excess by three different cropping systems (maize, rice, or wheat), temperate forest, or temperate rangeland ecosystems.

RESULTS

Many scientists believe that agriculture is the answer to reducing the rising CO₂ levels in the atmosphere. The increase in global agricultural land area required to sequester the excess 3.3 Pg C per year is evaluated in this work. Terrestrial C storage and fluxes within plants are very difficult to quantify on a global scale, ranging from 420 to 830 Pg C in living plant tissue (13). Currently, a great deal of uncertainty exists concerning methods and models used to determine the total plant C pool. For this work, prior data from numerous sources is compiled to determine the total C sequestered per year for maize, rice, wheat, rangeland, and temperate forest ecosystems. The assumption that C sequestering ability is constant across varieties of corn, wheat, and rice is made for the calculations.

Maize Cropping System

Worldwide, maize ranks first in cereal grain production with an approximate 5.97×10^8 Mg produced in 1999 (14). This approach uses the mean harvest index and percent C in plant biomass along with average global maize yield (Table 1). To determine the total mass of C sequestered by the global corn crop, a worldwide mean yield of 4.32 Mg maize ha⁻¹ (14) is divided by the 0.5 harvest index (15,16) for a total of 8.64 Mg biomass ha⁻¹. The total biomass per hectare is multiplied by the 50% C in maize tissue (17) resulting in a total of





Table 2. Components Used for Calculating the Global Land Area Increase of Temperate Forest and Rangeland Ecosystems to Sequester Excess Atmospheric CO₂ Using Net Primary Productivity of Ecosystems from Amthor et al. (1998) Data

Component	Value	Reference and/or Calculation
<i>Temperate forest ecosystem</i>		
Mean net primary productivity of temperate forests per hectare	6.7 Mg C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Amthor et al., 1998
Total annual excess atmospheric C	3.3 × 10 ⁹ Mg	Follett and McConkey, 2000
Area required to sequester excess CO ₂	4.93 × 10 ⁸ ha	3.3 × 10 ⁹ Mg C yr ⁻¹ / 6.7 Mg C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹
Global land area currently under temperate forest	7.5 × 10 ⁸ ha	Amthor et al., 1998
Estimated increase in global temperate forest area to sequester excess CO ₂	66%	(4.93 × 10 ⁸ ha / 7.5 × 10 ⁸ ha) × 100
<i>Temperate rangeland ecosystem</i>		
Mean net primary productivity of temperate rangeland per hectare	3.5 Mg C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	Amthor et al., 1998
Total annual excess atmospheric C	3.3 × 10 ⁹ Mg	Follett and McConkey, 2000
Area required to sequester excess CO ₂	9.43 × 10 ⁸ ha	3.3 × 10 ⁹ Mg C yr ⁻¹ / 3.5 Mg C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹
Global land area currently under temperate rangeland	1.25 × 10 ⁹ ha	Amthor et al., 1998
Estimated increase in global temperate rangeland to sequester excess CO ₂	75%	(9.43 × 10 ⁸ ha / 1.25 × 10 ⁹ ha) × 100



4.32 Mg C ha⁻¹ sequestered annually. Finally, the 3.3 Pg C (6) in the atmosphere is divided by the 4.32 Mg C ha⁻¹ (14) to obtain a value of 7.4×10^8 ha of maize per year required to assimilate the surplus C. To find the increase in global maize production, the 7.4×10^8 ha is divided by the area under corn production in 1999, 1.4×10^8 ha (14), and a 529% increase in total corn production is found. Using data published by Lohry (10), the estimated increase in maize production needed would be 476% beyond current production area.

Rice Cropping System

Rice production ranks second among cereals in hectares cultivated globally (14). For the increased area estimation of rice systems, the mean harvest index and percent C data is used for the first calculation. For this calculation, a mean global rice yield of 3.84 Mg ha⁻¹ (14) is divided by a harvest index of 0.5 (15) to acquire a total biomass of 7.68 Mg rice ha⁻¹ (Table 1). Then, the rice biomass per hectare is multiplied by the percent C, 50% (17), for a mean total C of 3.84 Mg C sequestered for every hectare of rice grown. Thus an area of 8.3×10^8 ha is needed ($3.3 \text{ Pg C} / 3.84 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ rice}$) to remove the 3.3×10^9 Mg C. The area is a 544% increase from the 1.5×10^8 ha under production in 1999 (14).

Wheat Cropping System

Wheat is the third ranking cereal crop with an approximate 5.9×10^8 Mg of grain produced in 1999 (14). The mean global wheat yield from the FAO (14) database records an average of 2.73 Mg wheat per hectare. The average yield is divided by the mean harvest index of 0.5 (18) and the quotient is multiplied by the 50% C (17) in the tissue to find a total of 2.73 Mg C ha⁻¹ in the wheat biomass (Table 1). The final step is to divide the biomass C per hectare by the 3.3 Pg C in the atmosphere. This results in 1.2×10^9 ha of wheat necessary to sequester the annual atmospheric C excess, which would be a 548% increase in global wheat production (2.14×10^8 ha cultivated in 1999). The increase in wheat production necessary to sequester excess atmospheric C would be 467% beyond current production land area using Lohry (10) data.

Temperate Forest Ecosystem

The temperate forest ecosystem is chosen to represent the global region where agroforestry currently or potentially can be practiced. Dixon et al. (19) estimated that between $5.9\text{--}12.2 \times 10^8$ ha of land are technically suitable for the



ESTIMATED LAND AREA INCREASE

1809

establishment of agroforestry in Africa, Asia, North and South America. For this work, the estimate by Amthor et al. (20) of 7.5×10^8 ha of temperate and plantation forest is used for the first calculation. A second method is used to crosscheck these results and the estimate of 9.0×10^8 ha of temperate forest by Bolin (21) will be utilized. Net primary productivity of temperate forests established through prior data is utilized for both calculations to determine the C sequestering ability of these ecosystems.

Using the data provided by Amthor et al. (20), a mean net primary productivity of $6.7 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ is assimilated in forest vegetation (Table 2). To find the area needed to sequester the excess atmospheric C, the 3.3 Pg C surplus is divided by $6.7 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ resulting in a total area of 4.9×10^8 ha needed to sequester the excess 3.3 Pg C . Bolin (21) estimated a primary productivity of $7.1 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for a temperate forest ecosystem. Dividing the 3.3 Pg C excess by the primary productivity generates a land area of 4.6×10^8 ha needed to sequester excess atmospheric C. The areas calculated by Amthor (20) and Bolin (21) represent a global increase in temperate forestry of 66% and 52%, respectively.

Temperate Rangeland Ecosystem

Rangeland ecosystems cover a large geographic region, which includes shrubland, grassland, and open forest areas. Approximately 47% of the earth's land surface is occupied by rangeland (22). Due to the broad interpretation of a rangeland ecosystem, the estimation of the global land area and net primary productivity of range has differed greatly in prior research. Data from Amthor et al. (20) and Bolin (21) is used for the rangeland ecosystem calculations.

Amthor et al. (20) reported a mean net primary productivity for a temperate rangeland ecosystem of $3.5 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The $3.3 \times 10^9 \text{ Mg}$ excess of atmospheric C is divided by the $3.5 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and a solution of 9.4×10^8 ha is found (Table 2). This area constitutes an increase of 75% from the 1.25×10^9 ha currently in rangeland. Bolin (21) documented a biomass production of $4.6 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for a range system. Dividing the excess C by the productivity yields an area of 7.1×10^8 ha. From the 9.0×10^8 ha currently under range reported by Bolin, the 7.1×10^8 ha increase is approximately a 79% rise in global land area.

DISCUSSION

The objective of this work was to compute the sequestering potential of agricultural ecosystems and the feasibility of converting land into temperate forest, rangeland, maize, rice, or wheat to reduce the atmospheric CO_2



concentrations. Tropical and temperate forests, temperate woodland, tropical savanna, and temperate grasslands are environments with suitable climates that most likely could support crop production. Of the total C sequestered by these ecosystems maize, rice, and corn cropping systems (the top three cereal crops grown worldwide) only account for approximately 4% of the C sequestered. Additionally, the net productivity of corn, rice, and wheat are considerably lower than native ecosystems. Other ecosystems exist that could be accounted for in the table, but the chosen ecosystems represent the largest biomes that man can conceivably replace with agriculture.

Although the calculations are simple and the estimates ignore numerous other factors, the areas computed provide a relative idea of the impracticality of agricultural land conversion to reduce atmospheric C. In particular, the millions of additional hectares needed for maize, rice, and wheat production would require vast amounts of resources, labor, finances, and suitable land. Agroforestry appears to be the most sensible agricultural system evaluated that mankind could realistically implement to reduce global CO₂ levels. With a net primary productivity of 6.7–7.1 Mg C ha⁻¹, temperate forestry ecosystems require less management and inputs than cropping systems and exhibit significantly higher productivity values over the cereal crops. A 52–66% increase of temperate forests is still improbable, but much more rational than the other ecosystems analyzed. Rangeland can also play a significant role by storing large volumes of soil organic C. Approximately 75% of terrestrial C is stored in the soil (11), therefore, agricultural systems that do not employ cultivation could substantially aid in C sequestration.

Transforming land into agricultural ecosystems cannot be viewed as a plausible solution to combat global warming. The environmental impacts associated with expanding global agriculture would be increased fossil fuel consumption, a rise in methane emission from rice paddies and NO_x from grassland ecosystems, and a decrease in soil organic matter. The conversion of native ecosystems into millions of agricultural hectares would significantly alter plant and wildlife habitats across the globe. Shifting land use could also change global nutrient cycling. For example, increased crop production will in turn cause greater plant ammonia loss that could impact atmospheric, oceanic, and freshwater nitrogen pools.

CONCLUSIONS

The conversion of land into agricultural ecosystems could improve the sequestration of atmospheric C; however, the effectiveness of this practice would be marginal due to the enormous land area conversion required to assimilate the 3.3 Pg of atmospheric C accumulating annually. Of the ecosystems evaluated in



ESTIMATED LAND AREA INCREASE

1811

this work, temperate forests sequester more C per year ($6.7\text{--}7.1 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and require the smallest net global increase in land area (an addition of $4.6\text{--}4.9 \times 10^8 \text{ ha}$) when compared to other systems. The maize cropping system is the most effective in C sequestration of the cereal crops calculated with an estimated potential of $4.3 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, or $6.0 \times 10^8 \text{ Mg C}$ per year. Using this C sequestering potential for maize, an additional $6.7\text{--}7.6 \times 10^8 \text{ ha}$ of corn production would be needed to absorb the surplus atmospheric C. The worldwide land area under maize production in 1999 was $1.4 \times 10^8 \text{ ha}$; therefore, a 476–546% increase in global maize production would be required. To realize the area calculated, land unsuitable for agriculture would likely have to be utilized. Furthermore, large volumes of natural and economic resources would be consumed in order to implement agricultural production in the areas needed to reduce the atmospheric CO_2 level. It is important to note that these calculations are for current emissions. If emissions increased or decreased, the static calculations reported here would obviously change.

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990–1994*, 1995; EPA-230-R-96-006 U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC.
2. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, *Combating Global Warming: Study on a Global System of Tradeable Carbon Emission Entitlements*, UNCTAD/RDP/DFP/1 1992.
3. Wittwer, S.H. Carbon Dioxide Levels in the Biosphere: Effects on Plant Productivity. *CRC Critical Rev. Plant Sci.* **1985**, 2, 171–198.
4. Perry, A.M. Estimating the Greenhouse Effect. *Science* **1983**, 222, 1072.
5. Keeling, C.D.; Whorf, T.P. *Atmospheric CO_2 Records from Sites in the SIO Air Sampling Network. Trends: A Compendium of Data on Global Change*; Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center. Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Oak Ridge, TN 1998.
6. Follett, R.F.; McConkey, B. The Role of Cropland Agriculture for C Sequestration in the Great Plains. In *Proceedings of Great Plains Soil Fertility Conference*. Schlegel, A., Ed.; March 7–8, 2000: Denver, CO 2000; 1–15.
7. Skog, K.E.; Nicholson, G.A. Carbon Cycling Through Wood Products: The Role of Wood and Paper Products in Carbon Sequestration. *Forest Prod. J.* **1998**, 48 (7/8), 75–83.
8. Mullen, R.W.; Thomason, W.E.; Raun, W.R. Estimated Increase in Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Due to Worldwide Decrease in Soil Organic Matter. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **1999**, 30 (11–12), 1713–1719.
9. Rosenzweig, C.; Hillel, D. Carbon Dioxide, Climate Change, and Crop



- Yields. In *Climate Change and the Global Harvest*. Rosenzweig, C., Hillel, D. Eds.; Oxford Press: New York, NY 1998; 71.
10. Lohry, B. One answer to Global Warming: High-Yield Agriculture. *Fluid J.* **1998**, *Spring*, 22–25.
 11. U.S. Department of Energy. Carbon Sequestration State of the Science Online. Available at http://www.fe.doe.gov/coal_power/sequestration/index_rpt.html; (verified 1 March 2000).
 12. Schlesinger, W.H. Carbon Sequestration in Soils. *Science* **1999**, *284*, 209.
 13. Post, W.M.; Peng, T.; Emanuel, W.R.; King, A.W.; Dale, V.H.; DeAngelis, D.L. The Global Carbon Cycle. *Am. Sci.* **1990**, *78*, 310–326.
 14. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available at <http://apps.fao.org/lim500/nph-wrap.pl?Production.Crops.Primary&Domain=SUA&servlet=1>. (verified 1 March 2000).
 15. Sinclair, T.R. Historical Changes in Harvest Index and Crop Nitrogen Accumulation. *Crop Sci.* **1998**, *38*, 638–643.
 16. Dale, A.E.; Drennan, D.S.H. Transplanted Maize (*Zea mays*) for Grain Production in Southern England. II. Effects of Planting Date, Transplant Age and Cultivar on Growth, Development and Harvest Index. *J. Agric. Sci.* **1997**, *128*, 37–44.
 17. Fischer, R.A.; Turner, N.C. Plant Productivity in the Arid and Semiarid Zones. Transpiration, Water Use Efficiency, Assimilate Partitioning Annual Review of Plant Physiology **1978**, *29*, 277–317.
 18. Slafer, G.A.; Araus, J.L.; Richards, R.A. Physiological Traits that Increase the Yield Potential of Wheat. In *Wheat: Ecology and Physiology of Yield Determination*. Satorre, E.H., Slafer, G.A. Eds.; Food Products Press: Binghamton, NY 1999; 379–415.
 19. Dixon, R.K.; Winjum, J.K.; Andrasko, K.J.; Lee, J.J.; Schroeder, P.E. Integrated Land-Use Systems: Assessment of Promising Agroforestry and Alternative Land-Use Practices to Enhance Carbon Conservation and Sequestration. In *Climate Change: Significance for Agriculture and Forestry*; White, D.H., Howden, S.M. Eds.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Norwell, MA 1994; 71–92.
 20. Amthor, J.S.; Huston, M.A. *Terrestrial Ecosystem Responses to Global Change: A Research Strategy*; ORNL/TM-1998/27. Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Oak Ridge, TN 1998.
 21. Bolin, B.; Degens, E.T.; Duvigneaud, P.; Kempe, S. The Global Biogeochemical Carbon Cycle. In *The Global Carbon Cycle*; Bolin, B., Degens, E.T., Kempe, S. Eds.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, NY 1979; 1–56.
 22. Heady, H.F. *Rangeland Management*; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY 1975.



Request Permission or Order Reprints Instantly!

Interested in copying and sharing this article? In most cases, U.S. Copyright Law requires that you get permission from the article's rightsholder before using copyrighted content.

All information and materials found in this article, including but not limited to text, trademarks, patents, logos, graphics and images (the "Materials"), are the copyrighted works and other forms of intellectual property of Marcel Dekker, Inc., or its licensors. All rights not expressly granted are reserved.

Get permission to lawfully reproduce and distribute the Materials or order reprints quickly and painlessly. Simply click on the "Request Permission/Reprints Here" link below and follow the instructions. Visit the [U.S. Copyright Office](#) for information on Fair Use limitations of U.S. copyright law. Please refer to The Association of American Publishers' (AAP) website for guidelines on [Fair Use in the Classroom](#).

The Materials are for your personal use only and cannot be reformatted, reposted, resold or distributed by electronic means or otherwise without permission from Marcel Dekker, Inc. Marcel Dekker, Inc. grants you the limited right to display the Materials only on your personal computer or personal wireless device, and to copy and download single copies of such Materials provided that any copyright, trademark or other notice appearing on such Materials is also retained by, displayed, copied or downloaded as part of the Materials and is not removed or obscured, and provided you do not edit, modify, alter or enhance the Materials. Please refer to our [Website User Agreement](#) for more details.

[Order now!](#)

Reprints of this article can also be ordered at

<http://www.dekker.com/servlet/product/DOI/101081CSS120000251>