Performance Management and Appraisal

After studying this chapter, you should be able to:



- 1. Describe the appraisal process.
- 2. Develop, evaluate, and administer at least four performance appraisal tools.
- 3. Explain and illustrate the problems to avoid in appraising performance.
- 4. List and discuss the pros and cons of six appraisal methods.
- 5. Perform an effective appraisal interview.
- 6. Discuss the pros and cons of using different raters to appraise a person's performance.

Comparing Performance Appraisal and Performance Management

- > Performance appraisal
 - Evaluating an employee's current and/or past performance relative to his or her performance standards.
- > Performance management
 - The process employers use to make sure employees are working toward organizational goals.

Why Performance Management?

- ➤ Increasing use by employers of performance management reflects:
 - The popularity of the total quality management (TQM) concepts.
 - The belief that traditional performance appraisals are often not just useless but counterproductive.
 - The necessity in today's globally competitive industrial environment for every employee's efforts to focus on helping the company to achieve its strategic goals.

An Introduction to Appraising Performance

- > Why appraise performance?
 - Appraisals play an integral role in the employer's performance management process.
 - Appraisals help in planning for correcting deficiencies and reinforce things done correctly.
 - Appraisals, in identifying employee strengths and weaknesses, are useful for career planning
 - Appraisals affect the employer's salary raise decisions.

Evaluating Faculty for Promotion and Tenure Classroom Teaching Appraisal by Students

leacher	Course
Tem	Academic Year

Thoughtful student appraisal can help improve teaching effectiveness. This questionnaire is designed for that purpose, and your assistance is appreciated. Please do not sign your name.

Use the back of this form for any further comments you might want to express.

Directions: Rate your teacher on each item, giving the highest scores for exceptional performances and the lowest scores for very poor performances. Place in the blank space before each statement the rating that most closely expresses your view.

Excep- tional	Moderately Good	Very Poor	Don't Know
7	6 5 4 3 2	1	Х
	 How do you rate the agreement betweend lesson assignments? 	en course (objectives
	 How do you rate the planning, organ class periods? 	iization, a	nd use of
	 Are the teaching methods and technique teacher appropriate and effective? 	es employ	ed by the
	 How do you rate the competence of t subject? 	he instruct	or in the
	5. How do you rate the interest of the tea	cher in the	subject9
	 Does the teacher stimulate and challer to question? 	ige you to	think and
	7. Does he or she welcome differing poin	ts of view?	
	 Does the teacher have a personal inter and out of class? 	est in helpi	ng you in
	How would you rate the fairness and grading policies and procedures of the		ess of the
	 Considering all the above items, what of this teacher? 	is your ove	rall rating
	 How would you rate this teacher in a others you have had in the college or u 		n with all

Classroom Teaching Appraisal By Students

Source: Richard I. Miller, Evaluating Faculty for Promotional and Tenure (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1987), pp. 164–165. Copyright © 1987, Jossey-Bass Inc., Publishers. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

Realistic Appraisals

- ➤ Motivations for soft (less-than-candid) appraisals
 - The fear of having to hire and train someone new
 - The unpleasant reaction of the appraisee
 - A company appraisal process that's not conducive to candor
- > Hazards of giving soft appraisals
 - Employee loses the chance to improve before being forced to change jobs.
 - Lawsuits arising from dismissals involving inaccurate performance appraisals.

Continuous improvement

- A management philosophy that requires employers to continuously set and relentlessly meet ever-higher quality, cost, delivery, and availability goals by:
 - Eradicating the seven wastes:
 - overproduction, defective products, and unnecessary downtime, transportation, processing costs, motion, and inventory.
 - Requiring each employee to continuously improve his or her own personal performance, from one appraisal period to the next.

The Components of an Effective Performance Management Process

- Direction sharing
- > Role clarification
- Goal alignment
- Developmental goal setting
- Ongoing performance monitoring
- Ongoing feedback
- Coaching and support
- Performance assessment (appraisal)
- > Rewards, recognition, and compensation
- Workflow and process control and return

Defining Goals and Work Efforts

- > Guidelines for effective goals
 - Assign specific goals
 - Assign measurable goals
 - Assign challenging but doable goals
 - Encourage participation
- > SMART goals are:
 - Specific, and clearly state the desired results.
 - Measurable in answering "how much."
 - Attainable, and not too tough or too easy.
 - Relevant to what's to be achieved.
 - Timely in reflecting deadlines and milestones.

Performance Appraisal Roles

> Supervisors

- Usually do the actual appraising.
- Must be familiar with basic appraisal techniques.
- Must understand and avoid problems that can cripple appraisals.
- Must know how to conduct appraisals fairly.

Performance Appraisal Roles (cont'd)

> HR department

- Serves a policy-making and advisory role.
- Provides advice and assistance regarding the appraisal tool to use.
- Prepares forms and procedures and insists that all departments use them.
- Responsible for training supervisors to improve their appraisal skills.
- Responsible for monitoring the system to ensure that appraisal formats and criteria comply with EEO laws and are up to date.

Steps in Appraising Performance

> Defining the job

 Making sure that you and your subordinate agree on his or her duties and job standards.

> Appraising performance

 Comparing your subordinate's actual performance to the standards that have been set; this usually involves some type of rating form.

> Providing feedback

 Discussing the subordinate's performance and progress, and making plans for any development required.

Designing the Appraisal Tool

- > What to measure?
 - Work output (quality and quantity)
 - Personal competencies
 - Goal (objective) achievement
- > How to measure?
 - Graphic rating scales
 - Alternation ranking method
 - MBO

Performance Appraisal Methods

- > Graphic rating scale
 - A scale that lists a number of traits and a range of performance for each that is used to identify the score that best describes an employee's level of performance for each trait.

Performance A	Appraisal								
Employee Name				Title					
Department				Employee Payroll Number					
Reason for Revie	w: Annual	☐ Promotion	ı	 Unsatisfactory Performance 					
	☐ Merit	☐ End Probo	ation Period	d 🗆 Other					
Date employee began present position/									
Date of last appraisal									
		RATING	IDENTIFICA	CATION					
and is recognized	O—Outstanding—Performance is exceptional in all areas and is recognizable as being far superior to others. V—Very Good—Results clearly exceed most position requirements. Performance is of high quality and is achieved I—Improvement Needed—Performance is deficient in certain areas. Improvement is necessary. U—Unsatisfactory—Results are generally unacceptable and require immediate improvement. No merit increase								
G—Good—Cor Meets performan	G—Good—Competent and dependable level of performance. N—Not Rated—Not applicable or too soon to rate. Meets performance standards of the job.								
	ENERAL FACTORS	RATING		SUPPORTIVE DETAILS OR COMMENTS					
and accept	The accuracy, thoroughness, ability of work performed.	0 > G - D	100-90 90-80 80-70 70-60 below 60	Points					
2. Productivi of work pro of time.	ty —The quantity and efficient duced in a specified period	SY 0	100-90 90-80 80-70 70-60 below 60	Points					
	ledge— The practical/technic ormation used on the job.	∞l 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	100-90 90-80 80-70 70-60 below 60	Points					
employee c	—The extent to which an an be relied upon regarding tion and follow-up.	0 > 0 - 0	100-90 90-80 80-70 70-60 below 60	Points					

Graphic Rating Scale with Space for Comments

Portion of an Administrative Secretary's Sample Performance Appraisal Form

Name	_			Ratir	ng Scale K	Čey	
Rating period from to to Rater name Rater title Department	Tails to meet job requirements Meets most job requirements Fully meets all job requirements Exceeds all job requirements						
art II: Rating Scales for Task Areas							
Position: Administrative Secretary Duties and Responsibilities							
Duty: Maintaining Departmental Personnel Records	PC	T. (30%)	RATING:	1	2	3	4
Using spreadsheets and other computerized forms: Ensure all recorded personnel information is up to date and accurate, including name, address, and contact information; maintain accurate records of all personal leave taken annually, as well as vacation schedules; maintain accurate records of all employees' benefits.							

SECTION I Success and Effectiveness in Responsibilities/Objectives and Performance Standards in Support of Departmental Goals

Primary Pe Responsibilitie	erform s/Ob	ance Expectations: jectives and Standards		Mid-Year Progress Notes			End of Period Rating of Success and Effectivene Comment and Place X or Scale to Rate		e X on		
•					-			rong	Strong	٧	/ery
Objective 1:								<u> </u>	 	-	+
Objective 2:								<u> </u>	 	-	+
Objective 3:								<u> </u>		-	+
Objective 4:								<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1
Objective 5:						Mai			mance ent O		e
Objectives for new rat	ing pe	riod reviewed and agreed	to:	1	Mid-Year	Review:					
Evaluator	Date	Employee	Date	Evaluator		Date	Empl	oyee		Do	ate

Performance Competencies

	Mid-Year Progress Notes	of Su Cou Not	End of Period Rating uccess and Effectiveness mment and Place X on Scale to Rate
Job Knowledge/Competency: Demonstrates the knowledge and skills necessary to perform the job effectively. Understands the expectations of the job and remains current regarding new developments in areas of responsibility. Performs responsibilities. in accordance with job procedures and policies. Acts as a resource person upon whom others rely for assistance.		Strong	Strong Very
Quality/Quantity of Work: Completes assignments in a thorough, accurate, and timely manner that achieves expected outcomes. Exhibits concern for the goals and needs of the department and others that depend on services or work products. Handles multiple responsibilities in an effective manner. Uses work time productively.		-	
Planning/Organization: Establishes clear objectives and organizes duties for self based on the goals of the department, division, or management center. Identifies resources required to meet goals and objectives. Seeks guidance when goals or priorities are undear.		<u> </u>	
Initiative/Commitment: Demonstrates personal responsibility when performing duties. Offers assistance to support the goals and objectives of the department and division. Performs with minimal supervision. Meets work schedule/attendance expectations for the position.		-	
Problem Solving/Creativity: Identifies and analyzes problems. Formulates alternative solutions. Takes or recommends appropriate actions. Follows up to ensure problems are resolved.		-	Performance Management
Teamwork and Cooperation: Maintains harmonious and effective work relationships with co-workers and constituents. Adapts to changing priorities and demands. Shares information and resources with others to promote positive and collaborative work relationships.		<u> </u>	Outline (cont'd)
Interpersonal Skills: Deals positively and effectively with co-workers and constituents. Demonstrates respect for all individuals.		<u> </u>	(cont d)
Communication (Oral and Written): Effectively conveys information and ideas both orally and in writing. Listens carefully and seeks clarification to ensure understanding.		<u> </u>	
Competencies Reviewed and Discussed: Mid-Year Review	,		
Evaluator Date Emplo	oyee Date		

Source: www.cwru.edu.

Figure 9-5b

SECTION III

End of Period Summary Performance Rating

Based on a review of Section I, Success and Effectiveness in Position Responsibilities/Accomplishing Objectives and Standards, and Section II, Performance Competencies, provide a summary performance rating:

Commen	ts:	Performance Management Outline (cont'd)
	Performance consistently and significantly above standards in virtually all areas; far exceeds n	ormal expectations.
	Performance well above standards in many important aspects; usually exceeds normal expects	ations.
	Performance meets standards in all important aspects; good contributor.	
	Performance slightly below standards in some important aspects, but meets standards in other acceptable but improvement needed to fully achieve functional performance level.	; performance generally
	Performance below standards in a number of critical aspects; substantial improvement needed	
	Evaluator Signature	Date
	have read this appraisal and it had been discussed with me. I understand that signing this appro ecessarily mean I agree with all of the information in it or that I forfeit my right for review.	aisal does not
	Employee Signature	Date

Source: www.cwru.edu.

- > Alternation ranking method
 - Ranking employees from best to worst on a particular trait, choosing highest, then lowest, until all are ranked.
- > Paired comparison method
 - Ranking employees by making a chart of all possible pairs of the employees for each trait and indicating which is the better employee of the pair.

Alternation Ranking Scale

ALTERNATIO	N RANKING SCALE							
For the Trait:	For the Trait:							
employee's name on line 1. Put the lowest-	employees you want to rank. Put the highest-ranking ranking employee's name on line 20. Then list the est ranking on line 19, and so on. Continue until all							
Highest-ranking employee								
1	11							
2	12							
3	13							
4	14							
5	15							
6	16							
7	17							
8	18							
9	19							
10	20							
	Lowest-ranking employee							

Ranking Employees by the Paired Comparison Method

		Emp	loyee R	ated:				Emp	loyee R	ated:	
As Compared to:	A Art	B Maria	C Chuck	D Diane	E José	As Compared to:	A Art	B Maria	C Chuck	D Diane	E José
A Art		+	+	_	_	A Art		-	_	ı	-
B Maria	-		-	-	_	B Maria	+		-	+	+
C Chuck	-	+		+	_	C Chuck	+	+		1	+
D Diane	+	+	-		+	D Diane	+	-	+		-
E José	+	+	+	-		E José	+	-	-	+	

Note: + means "better than." - means "worse than." For each chart, add up the number of 1's in each column to get the highest-ranked employee.

- > Forced distribution method
 - Similar to grading on a curve; predetermined percentages of ratees are placed in various performance categories.
 - Example:
 - 15% high performers
 - 20% high-average performers
 - 30% average performers
 - 20% low-average performers
 - 15% low performers
- ➤ Narrative Forms

- > Behaviorally anchored rating scale (BARS)
 - An appraisal method that uses quantified scale with specific narrative examples of good and poor performance.
- ➤ Developing a BARS:
 - Generate critical incidents
 - Develop performance dimensions
 - Reallocate incidents
 - Scale the incidents
 - Develop a final instrument

- > Advantages of using a BARS
 - A more accurate gauge
 - Clearer standards
 - Feedback
 - Independent dimensions
 - Consistency

Appraisal-Coaching Worksheet Instructions: This form is to be filled out by supervisor and employee prior to each performance review period. Department: __ Period of Work under Consideration: From ______ to _____ 1. What areas of the employee's work performance are meeting job performance standards? 2. In what areas is improvement needed during the next six to twelve months? 3. What factors or events that are beyond the employee's control may affect (positively or negatively) his/her ability to accomplish planned results during the next six to twelve months? 4. What specific strengths has the employee demonstrated on this job that should be more fully used during the next six to twelve months? 5. List two or three areas (if applicable) in which the employee needs to improve his/her performance during the next six to twelve months (gaps in knowledge or experience, skill development needs, behavior modifications that affect job performance, etc.) 6. Based on your consideration of items 1-5 above, summarize your mutual objectives: A. What supervisor will do: B. What employee will do: C. Date for next progress check or to reevaluate objectives: D. Data/evidence that will be used to observe and/or measure progress. Signature-Supervisor Signature-Employee

Appraisal-Coaching Worksheet

Source: Reprinted with permission of the publisher, HRnext.com; copyright HRnext.com, 2003.

Figure 9-8

Date

Examples of Critical Incidents for an Assistant Plant Manager

Continuing Duties	Targets	Critical Incidents
Schedule production for plant	90% utilization of personnel and machinery in plant; orders delivered on time	Instituted new production scheduling system; decreased late orders by 10% last month; increased machine utilization in plant by 20% last month
Supervise procurement of raw materials and inventory control	Minimize inventory costs while keeping adequate supplies on hand	Let inventory storage costs rise 15% last month; overordered parts "A" and "B" by 20%; underordered part "C" by 30%
Supervise machinery maintenance	No shutdowns due to faulty machinery	Instituted new preventative maintenance system for plant; prevented a machine breakdown by discovering faulty part

SALESMANSHIP SKILLS

Skillfully persuading prospects to join the navy; using navy benefits and opportunities effectively to sell the navy; closing skills; adapting selling techniques appropriately to different prospects; effectively overcoming objectives to joining the navy.

A prospect stated he wanted the nuclear power program or he would not sign up.
When he did not qualify, the recruiter did not give up; instead, he talked this young man into electronics by emphasizing the technical training he would receive.

The recruiter treats objections to joining the navy seriously; he works hard to counter the objections with relevant, positive arguments for a navy career.

When talking to a high school senior, the recruiter mentions names of other seniors from that school who have already enlisted.

When an applicant qualifies for only one program, the recruiter tries to convey to the applicant that is a desirable program.

When a prospect is deciding on which service to enlist in, the recruiter tries to sell the navy by describing navy life at sea and adventures in port.

During an interview, the recruiter said to the applicant. I'll try to get you the school you want, but frankly it probably won't be open for another three months, so why don't you take your second choice and leave now.

The recruiter insisted on showing more brochures and films even though the applicant told him he wanted to sign up right now.

When a prospect states an objection to being in the navy, the recruiter ends the conversation because he thinks the prospect must not be interested.

Example of a
Behaviorally
Anchored Rating
Scale for the
Dimension
Salesmanship Skill

Source:Walter C. Borman, "Behavior Based Rating," in Ronald A. Berk (ed.), Performance Assessment: Methods and Applications (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1986), p. 103.

Figure 9-9

7 —

5

4 -

3

2 -

1 .

Management by Objectives (MBO)

- Involves setting specific measurable goals with each employee and then periodically reviewing the progress made.
 - 1. Set the organization's goals.
 - 2. Set departmental goals.
 - 3. Discuss departmental goals.
 - 4. Define expected results (set individual goals).
 - 5. Performance reviews.
 - 6. Provide feedback.

Computerized and Web-Based Performance Appraisal

- > Performance appraisal software programs
 - Keep notes on subordinates during the year.
 - Electronically rate employees on a series of performance traits.
 - Generate written text to support each part of the appraisal.
- > Electronic performance monitoring (EPM)
 - Having supervisors electronically monitor the amount of computerized data an employee is processing per day, and thereby his or her performance.

Potential Rating Scale Appraisal Problems

- > Unclear standards
 - An appraisal that is too open to interpretation.
- > Halo effect
 - Occurs when a supervisor's rating of a subordinate on one trait biases the rating of that person on other traits.
- > Central tendency
 - A tendency to rate all employees the same way, such as rating them all average.

A Graphic Rating Scale with Unclear Standards

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Quality of work				
Quantity of work				
Creativity				
Integrity				

Note: For example, what exactly is meant by "good," "quantity of work," and so forth?

Potential Rating Scale Appraisal Problems (cont'd)

> Strictness/leniency

 The problem that occurs when a supervisor has a tendency to rate all subordinates either high or low.

> Bias

 The tendency to allow individual differences such as age, race, and sex to affect the appraisal ratings employees receive.

How to Avoid Appraisal Problems

- ➤ Learn and understand the potential problems, and the solutions for each.
- ➤ Use the right appraisal tool. Each tool has its own pros and cons.
- ➤ Train supervisors to reduce rating errors such as halo, leniency, and central tendency.
- > Have raters compile positive and negative critical incidents as they occur.

Who Should Do the Appraising?

- > The immediate supervisor
- > Peers
- > Rating committees
- > Self-ratings
- > Subordinates
- ➤ 360-Degree feedback

Advantages and Disadvantages of Appraisal Tools

Tool	Advantages	Disadvantages Standards may be unclear; halo effect, central tendency, leniency, bias can also be problems.	
Graphic rating scale	Simple to use; provides a quantitative rating for each employee.		
BARS	Provides behavioral "anchors." BARS is very accurate.	Difficult to develop.	
Alternation ranking	Simple to use (but not as simple as graphic rating scales). Avoids central tendency and other problems of rating scales.	Can cause disagreements among employees and may be unfair if all employees <i>ar</i> e, in fact, excellent.	
Forced distribution method	End up with a predetermined number or % of people in each group.	Employeees* Appraisal results depend on your choice of cutoff points.	
Critical incident method	Helps specify what is "right" and "wrong" about the employee's performance; forces supervisor to evaluate subordinates on an ongoing basis.	Difficult to rate or rank employees relative to one another.	
мво	Tied to jointly agreed-upon performanceobjectives.	Time-consuming. Table 9–3	

The Appraisal Interview

- > Types of appraisal interviews
 - Satisfactory—Promotable
 - Satisfactory—Not promotable
 - Unsatisfactory—Correctable
 - Unsatisfactory—Uncorrectable
- > How to conduct the appraisal interview
 - Talk in terms of objective work data.
 - Don't get personal.
 - Encourage the person to talk.
 - Don't tiptoe around.

Within the next year, I understand that our orga	nization's objectives are
and that the goals of our department are understand that our work unit goals are	
My key internal customers arework needs and expectations are	
To make my contribution toward attaining the go expected to do the following:	oals stated above, I understand that I am
My individual performance goals are	
My goals for improving work methods (process) are	
My goals for improving specific interpersonal work are	•
I believe these goals are acceptable and attainate evaluated by multiple appraisal sources (supervisor, external customers).	
Compensation for my work performance will be (1) outstanding, (2) fully competent, or (3) unsatisfar forms of compensation will be considered: (1) merit goal attainment, (2) enhancement and utilization of performance (gainsharing), and (4) our organization	ctory. I understand that the following award for my individual performance my skills, (3) my work unit's or team's
Your signature	Supervisor's signature

Performance Contract

Source: David Antonion, "Improving the Performance Management Process Before Discontinuing Performance Appraisals," Compensation and Benefits Review May–June 1994, p. 33, 34.

Figure 9-10

 Did you discuss each goal or objective established for this employee? Are you and the employee clear on the areas of agreement? disagreement? Did you and the employee cover all positive skills, traits, accomplishments, areas of growth, etc.? Did you reinforce the employee's accomplishments? Did you give the employee a sense of what you thought of his or her potential or ability? Are you both clear on areas where improvement is required? expected? demanded? desired? What training or development recommendations did you agree on? Did you indicate consequenses for noncompliance, if appropriate? Did you set good objectives for the next appraisal period? Objective? Specific? Measurable? Standard to be used for evaluation? Time frame? Did you set a time for the next evaluation? 	Yes Go Box No C C C C C C C C C	Checklist During the Appraisal Interview
Did you confirm what your part would be? Did the employee confirm his or her part? Did you thank the employee for his or her efforts?		Source: Reprinted with permission of the publisher, HRnext.com. Copyright HRnext.com, 2003.

- > How to handle a defensive subordinate
 - Recognize that defensive behavior is normal.
 - Never attack a person's defenses.
 - Postpone action.
 - Recognize your own limitations.

- > How to criticize a subordinate
 - Do it in a manner that lets the person maintain his or her dignity and sense of worth.
 - Criticize in private, and do it constructively.
 - Avoid once-a-year "critical broadsides" by giving feedback on a daily basis, so that the formal review contains no surprises.
 - Never say the person is "always" wrong
 - Criticism should be objective and free of any personal biases on your part.

- ➤ How to ensure the interview leads to improved performance
 - Don't make the subordinate feel threatened during the interview.
 - Give the subordinate the opportunity to present his or her ideas and feelings and to influence the course of the interview.
 - Have a helpful and constructive supervisor conduct the interview.
 - Offer the subordinate the necessary support for development and change.

- > How to handle a formal written warning
 - Purposes of the written warning
 - To shake your employee out of bad habits.
 - Help you defend your rating, both to your own boss and (if needed) to the courts.
 - Written warnings should:
 - Identify standards by which employee is judged.
 - Make clear that employee was aware of the standard.
 - Specify deficiencies relative to the standard.
 - Indicates employee's prior opportunity for correction.

Creating the Total Performance Management Process

- "What is our strategy and what are our goals?"
- "What does this mean for the goals we set for our employees, and for how we train, appraise, promote, and reward them?"
- ➤ What will be the technological support requirements?

Information Required for TRW's Web-Based Performance Management System

Page one biographical data

Identification information Education

Experience summary

Pages 2-3 performance summary

Accomplishments against previous year goals

TRW behaviors

TRW initiatives

Legal and ethical conduct/diversity and cultural

sensitivity

Previous year's professional development activities

Employee comments

Overall performance—manager's overall rating and comments

Page four development summary

Demonstrated strengths

Improvement opportunities

Performance goals for the upcoming year


Professional development activities for the

upcoming year

Future potential/positions (employee perspective)

Future potential/positions (manager perspective)

Electronic sign-off from both employee and manager



HR Scorecard for Hotel Paris International Corporation*

Note: *(An abbreviated example showing selected HR practices and outcomes aimed at implementing the competitive strategy, "To use superior guest services to differentiate the Hotel Paris properties and thus increase the length of stays and the return rate of guests and thus boost revenues and profitability")

Key Terms

performance appraisal
performance management
graphic rating scale
alternation ranking method
paired comparison method
forced distribution method
critical incident method
behaviorally anchored rating
scale (BARS)

management by objectives (MBO)

electronic performance monitoring (EPM)

unclear standards

halo effect

central tendency

strictness/leniency

bias

appraisal interview