# Project 2

Name: Katzenstein, Caleb

| **Criteria** | **Weight** | **Your Score** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Functionality** | **40** | 40 |
| - Is useful and/or entertaining |  |  |
| - Demonstrates creativity |  |  |
| - Runs without errors |  |  |
| **Design & Interaction** | **20** | 16 |
| - Visual design is pleasing |  |  |
| - Interface is clear and well labeled |  |  |
| - Prevents and handles errors well |  |  |
| **HTML/CSS/Media** | **10** | 8 |
| - HTML and CSS validate |  |  |
| - CSS is primarily in a single external stylesheet |  |  |
| - Makes proper use of structural tags, etc. |  |  |
| **Code** | **10** | 10 |
| - Code is well formatted and commented, and follows coding standards |  |  |
| **Documentation** | **10** | 9 |
| **Above and Beyond** | **10** | 10 |
| **Possible Total Points** | **100** | 93 |
| Deduction if initial checkpoint is not submitted to dropbox on time | -10 | -0 |

Comments:

* All in all, nice!
* A progress bar would be helpful
* Decorative fonts are effective for headings, but they strain the readers’ eyes when there’s a big chunk of text written with decorative text; that blue is also really hard to see on black. Could also use a little more spacing between things
* There are quite a few unused files that were uploaded to banjo (unnecessarily taking up server space!)
* Consider using tags like <section> or <main> instead of <div id= “main”>
* Consider using the amount of questions in the JSON file as part of your randomization (helps with code modularity!)
* Poor organization in documentation