An Informational Framework for Understanding Population-Scale Viral Dynamics

Boris Kriger

Institute of Integrative and Interdisciplinary Research
boriskriger@interdisciplinary-institute.org

Abstract

Viruses are typically studied as discrete infectious agents, individual strains, or intra-host populations. This paper proposes a complementary conceptual framework in which a viral species is understood as a *viral metasystem*: a distributed evolutionary population spanning hosts, environments, and time. Within this metasystem, mutation, recombination, selection, and transmission function as mechanisms that generate, filter, and propagate genetic information—exhibiting dynamics mathematically isomorphic to distributed stochastic optimization. Building on quasispecies theory, phylodynamic analysis, and computational epidemiology, this framework offers four contributions: (1) a formal definition situating the concept within the broader metasystem literature in systems theory; (2) operationalizable metrics for measuring metasystem-level properties, with attention to data accessibility constraints; (3) specific testable predictions that differentiate this perspective from strain-centric models; and (4) an analysis of intervention implications, including ethical considerations for diversity manipulation strategies. The viral metasystem lens suggests novel approaches to prediction, modeling, and intervention design while acknowledging the speculative nature of proposed applications.

Keywords: viral evolution, metasystem, quasispecies, phylodynamics, information processing, evolutionary computation, SARS-CoV-2, influenza, intervention design

1 Introduction

Classical virology concentrates on the virion, the strain, or the infection within an individual host. These focal levels are essential for clinical practice and molecular biology, but they can obscure a larger structural reality that becomes apparent when viral behavior is examined across many hosts and over evolutionary time. Viral species do not exist as static genetic entities but as continuously shifting populations of variants linked through mutation, recombination, and transmission networks.

This paper introduces and formalizes the term *viral metasystem* to describe this distributed reality. The concept synthesizes and extends several established research programs—viral quasispecies theory [Eigen and Schuster, 1979, Domingo et al., 2012], viral metapopulation dynamics in epidemiology [Bahl et al., 2011, Grenfell et al., 2004], and phylodynamic reconstruction [Volz et al., 2013]—by explicitly framing the global variant network as exhibiting dynamics mathematically analogous to information-processing systems.

The goal is not to replace virion-, strain-, or host-level analysis but to provide an additional analytical lens that captures emergent dynamics invisible at lower scales. What appears locally as infection is, from the metasystem perspective, an expression of global population dynamics shaped by distributed evolutionary computation.

2 Conceptual Foundations and Terminology

2.1 The Metasystem Concept in Systems Theory

The term "metasystem" has a substantial history in cybernetics and general systems theory that warrants acknowledgment. Turchin [1977] introduced the concept of *metasystem transitions* to describe evolutionary leaps in which lower-level systems become integrated into higher-level control structures—a framework later elaborated by Heylighen [1995] and others in discussions of major evolutionary transitions, multilevel selection, and the emergence of complex adaptive systems. In this tradition, a metasystem is a system that operates on or encompasses other systems, often exhibiting emergent properties not reducible to its components.

The viral metasystem concept draws inspiration from this tradition but applies it specifically to viral population dynamics. Unlike Turchin's metasystem transitions, which describe discrete evolutionary events creating new levels of organization, the viral metasystem describes the *ongoing* distributed dynamics of a viral species across its host network. The term has also appeared in recent literature on virome-microbiome-immune interactions and in abstract models treating viruses as autopoietic or quasi-living systems. Our usage is more operationally focused: we define the viral metasystem in terms of measurable properties and testable predictions rather than philosophical claims about living systems or evolutionary transitions.

2.2 Core Definition

> ***Viral metasystem*** — the distributed evolutionary population formed by all interacting variants of a viral species across hosts, environments, and time, characterized by continuous genetic exploration through mutation and recombination, environmental filtering through selection at multiple scales, and information propagation through transmission networks.

This definition distinguishes the metasystem from three related concepts in virology specifically. First, it differs from the *quasispecies*, which typically refers to the cloud of variants within a single host or culture; the metasystem encompasses the global network of quasispecies across all hosts. Second, it differs from the *metapopulation* concept in ecology, which emphasizes spatial structure and local extinction/recolonization dynamics; the metasystem concept foregrounds continuous genetic exploration and the accumulation of adaptive information. Third, it differs from the *phylodynamic* framework, which reconstructs transmission history from sequence data; the metasystem concept treats the population as an ongoing computational process generating and filtering genetic variation in real time.

3 Operational Metrics

3.1 Core Metrics

To move from conceptual framework to research program, the metasystem concept requires operationalization. The following metrics capture key metasystem properties and can be computed from genomic surveillance data:

Variant diversity (H): Shannon entropy of the variant frequency distribution at time t:

$$H(t) = -\sum_i p_i \log p_i \tag{1}$$

where p_i is the frequency of variant i. Higher values indicate broader exploration of sequence space. This metric is well-established in population genetics and can be computed at multiple levels (nucleotide, haplotype, lineage).

Exploration rate (μ_{eff}): The effective rate at which novel variants enter the circulating population, accounting for both mutation rate and transmission success:

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\text{new variants detected per unit time}}{\text{total sequences sampled}} \tag{2}$$

This metric is sensitive to sampling intensity (see Section 3.3).

Selection pressure (d_N/d_S): The ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitution rates, indicating the intensity of adaptive filtering. Values > 1 suggest positive selection (rapid adaptation); values < 1 suggest purifying selection (constraint maintenance). Site-specific d_N/d_S at known epitopes provides particularly informative signals.

Network connectivity (k): Mean degree of the transmission network reconstructed from phylogenetic data, indicating the efficiency of variant propagation through the metasystem. This can be estimated from phylodynamic models or approximated from epidemiological contact data.

Turnover rate (τ): The rate at which dominant variants are replaced, measured as the inverse of mean variant persistence time. High turnover indicates strong selective filtering; low turnover suggests stable fitness optima.

3.2 The Metasystem Activity Index: Rationale and Limitations

These metrics can be combined into a composite *metasystem activity index* (MAI) that tracks overall evolutionary dynamism. We propose a multiplicative form:

$$\text{MAI} = H \times \mu_{\text{eff}} \times \tau \tag{3}$$

The rationale for multiplication rather than addition is that these components represent sequential stages of the exploration-filtering cycle: diversity (H) represents the breadth of variants under evaluation, exploration rate (μ_{eff}) represents the rate of novel variant introduction, and turnover (τ) represents the speed of selective replacement. A metasystem with zero diversity, zero novelty input, or zero turnover would have zero activity—multiplicative combination captures this.

However, this formulation has limitations. The multiplicative form means MAI can be dominated by a single extreme term; a metasystem with very high diversity but slow turnover might show similar MAI to one with moderate diversity and moderate turnover, despite qualitatively different dynamics. Alternative formulations merit exploration: additive combinations with empirically derived weights, principal component analysis across multiple viral systems to identify natural axes of variation, or regime-specific indices calibrated to particular research questions.

We propose MAI as a starting point for quantifying metasystem-level dynamics, not as a definitive measure. Sensitivity analyses using simulated metasystem trajectories under different parameter regimes would help characterize its behavior and identify conditions under which it provides useful signal versus misleading artifacts.

3.3 Data Accessibility and Sampling Considerations

The metrics above require genomic surveillance data that varies substantially in availability across regions and viral systems. Several considerations affect operationalization in practice:

Sampling bias. Global genomic surveillance is unevenly distributed, with high-income countries contributing disproportionately to sequence databases. This can bias diversity estimates (H) by undersampling variants circulating in under-sequenced regions, and can inflate or deflate μ_{eff} depending on whether novel variants emerge in well- or poorly-surveilled areas. Analyses should explicitly acknowledge coverage limitations and, where possible, weight observations by inverse sampling probability or use capture-recapture methods to estimate true diversity from incomplete samples.

Temporal resolution. Turnover rate (τ) requires time-series data with sufficient resolution to detect variant replacement events. For rapidly evolving viruses (e.g., SARS-CoV-2 during peak pandemic surveillance), weekly or biweekly sampling may suffice; for slower-evolving systems, longer observation windows are needed.

Proxy metrics for data-limited settings. When full genomic data is unavailable, partial operationalization remains possible. Serological surveys can provide coarse estimates of variant diversity through antigenic profiling. Epidemiological indicators (R_t fluctuations, secondary attack rate changes) may serve as indirect signals of metasystem reconfiguration. Targeted sequencing of clinical samples from treatment failures can detect resistance-associated variants even without population-wide surveillance. These proxies are imperfect but allow the metasystem framework to inform analysis in diverse public health settings rather than only in data-rich contexts.

4 Dynamics of the Viral Metasystem

4.1 The Exploration-Filtering Cycle

The metasystem operates through a continuous cycle of genetic exploration and environmental filtering. Mutation and recombination generate variant diversity, exploring regions of sequence space. Environmental constraints—immune responses, receptor availability, host behavior, pharmaceutical interventions—act as fitness filters that differentially amplify certain variants. Transmission propagates successful variants through the host network, completing the cycle.

This process exhibits structural parallels to computational optimization algorithms. Genetic algorithms, for instance, use mutation to explore solution spaces and selection to retain high-fitness candidates. The parallel is mathematically precise: in both cases, a population of candidate solutions undergoes stochastic modification, fitness evaluation, and differential reproduction. The metasystem framework highlights this isomorphism to leverage intuitions and analytical tools from optimization theory.

4.2 Specifying the Information-Processing Claim

The claim that viral metasystems exhibit dynamics "mathematically isomorphic to information processing" requires careful specification to avoid overreach. We do *not* claim that viral metasystems are computational systems in any strong sense, nor that they possess cognition, intentionality, or centralized control. The claim is narrower and more defensible: mutation-selection dynamics implement a form of stochastic search, and the metasystem's genetic composition at any time reflects accumulated information about successful strategies in its selective environment.

This can be made more rigorous through information-theoretic formalization. The mutual information $I(G;E)$ between genotype distribution G and environmental features E quantifies how much the metasystem's genetic composition "encodes" information about its selective environment:

$$I(G;E) = \sum_{g,e} P(g,e) \log \frac{P(g,e)}{P(g)P(e)} \tag{4}$$

Under sustained selection, $I(G;E)$ should increase as variants better matched to environmental constraints come to dominate. This provides a precise, measurable operationalization of "accumulated adaptive information" that goes beyond metaphor.

What explanatory value does this framing add beyond standard evolutionary language? Three things: (1) it emphasizes the *distributed* nature of the process—optimization occurs across the entire host network, not within any single host; (2) it connects viral evolution to the broader

literature on evolutionary computation, enabling transfer of analytical tools; and (3) it foregrounds *information accumulation* as a measurable outcome, directing attention to metrics like $I(G;E)$ that might otherwise be overlooked.

5 Theoretical Background

5.1 Quasispecies Theory

Eigen and Schuster [1979] demonstrated that RNA viruses exist not as single genotypes but as clouds of related variants maintained by mutation-selection balance. The key insight is that selection acts not on individual sequences but on the entire mutant distribution—the quasispecies as a unit. This established the principle that population-level structure matters for viral evolution, a foundation the metasystem framework extends to inter-host scales.

5.2 Phylodynamics and Transmission Networks

Phylodynamic methods [Grenfell et al., 2004, Volz et al., 2013] use sequence data to reconstruct transmission histories and estimate epidemiological parameters. Studies of influenza [Bedford et al., 2015], HIV [Rambaut et al., 2004], and SARS-CoV-2 [Tegally et al., 2022] have revealed that viral evolution is shaped by transmission network structure. The metasystem framework builds on this by treating the transmission network not merely as a conduit for spread but as the substrate for distributed evolutionary computation.

5.3 Virulence Evolution

The evolution of virulence has been a central topic in evolutionary epidemiology since the foundational models of Anderson and May [1982] and the synthetic treatment by Ewald [1994]. The classic trade-off hypothesis proposes that intermediate virulence often maximizes transmission: too-virulent pathogens kill or immobilize hosts before spreading, while too-mild pathogens may replicate insufficiently. This trade-off is naturally expressed at the metasystem level: selection across the entire transmission network favors variants that optimize the virulence-transmissibility balance under prevailing conditions.

5.4 Intra-Host Dynamics as Evolutionary Crucibles

Recent work has highlighted the role of prolonged infections—particularly in immunocompromised individuals—as "evolutionary crucibles" where extensive intra-host evolution can generate variants with novel phenotypes [Kemp et al., 2021, Choi et al., 2020]. These prolonged infections represent high-exploration-rate microenvironments within the broader metasystem: extended replication under immune pressure allows extensive traversal of sequence space, occasionally producing variants (like Alpha and potentially Omicron) that subsequently sweep through the global population. The metasystem framework accommodates this by recognizing that local conditions modulate exploration intensity, with global consequences.

6 Testable Predictions

The metasystem framework generates predictions that distinguish it from strain-centric models and can be tested against genomic surveillance data:

Prediction 1: Diversity-adaptation correlation. Metasystems with higher variant diversity (H) should adapt more rapidly to novel selective pressures. When a new selective challenge emerges (e.g., widespread vaccination), metasystems with greater pre-existing diversity

should produce escape variants faster than low-diversity metasystems facing equivalent pressure.

Prediction 2: Network structure effects. The topology of transmission networks should affect evolutionary outcomes. Highly connected networks (high k) should produce faster variant turnover but also faster loss of rare variants; fragmented networks should maintain higher diversity but slower adaptation. This can be tested by comparing viral evolution in populations with different contact structures (e.g., dense urban vs. dispersed rural transmission).

Prediction 3: Intervention response signatures. Different intervention types should produce characteristic metasystem responses. Broad-spectrum interventions (distancing, masks) should reduce transmission without strong directional selection, decreasing both H and μ_{eff}. Targeted interventions (monoclonal antibodies, epitope-specific vaccines) should increase d_N/d_S at targeted sites while potentially increasing H as escape variants proliferate.

Prediction 4: Virulence evolution trajectory. Following emergence in a new host species, metasystem dynamics should drive evolution toward reduced virulence concurrent with increased transmissibility. This trajectory should be detectable as decreasing case fatality rate alongside increasing R_0 estimates for successive dominant variants.

Prediction 5: Equilibrium dynamics. Long-established viral metasystems should exhibit lower MAI values than recently emerged metasystems, reflecting evolutionary equilibrium. MAI should spike following perturbations (novel host immunity, new antivirals) and decline as the metasystem re-equilibrates—though complete equilibrium may never be reached in rapidly changing environments (see Section 7.3).

Statistical validation. Testing these predictions requires methodology capable of distinguishing metasystem-level effects from predictions of simpler strain-centric or classical epidemiological models. Appropriate approaches include: (1) model comparison using information criteria (AIC/BIC) between metasystem-informed models and alternatives; (2) out-of-sample prediction accuracy for variant emergence and spread; (3) cross-system validation testing whether relationships (e.g., between H and adaptation speed) hold across diverse viral systems.

7 Illustrative Applications

7.1 SARS-CoV-2 Variant Dynamics

The emergence and succession of SARS-CoV-2 variants provides a clear illustration of metasystem dynamics. The Alpha, Delta, and Omicron waves represent metasystem reconfigurations under selective pressure from accumulating population immunity.

Quantitative illustration: During the Omicron BA.1/BA.2 transition (December 2021–March 2022), GISAID data show Shannon entropy (H) at the spike protein level increasing from approximately 2.1 to 3.4 bits as multiple sublineages co-circulated, while d_N/d_S at receptor-binding domain epitopes exceeded 3.0, indicating intense positive selection [Martin et al., 2022]. These metrics quantify the metasystem's response to immune pressure in ways not captured by variant-by-variant analysis alone.

Each dominant variant achieved higher transmissibility than its predecessors, while Omicron sublineages showed reduced intrinsic severity—consistent with virulence-transmission optimization at the metasystem level [Nyberg et al., 2022]. Variants of concern emerged from prolonged infections in immunocompromised hosts, exemplifying how local high-exploration conditions feed into global metasystem evolution.

7.2 Influenza Antigenic Drift

Seasonal influenza exhibits continuous antigenic drift driven by immune selection. Bedford et al. [2015] showed that influenza evolution follows predictable trajectories in antigenic space, with successful lineages identifiable by genetic signatures before they achieve dominance. This

predictability reflects deterministic features of metasystem dynamics—the fitness landscape constrains evolutionary trajectories even as stochastic mutation explores possibilities. The metasystem framework suggests that antigenic cartography and fitness landscape estimation [Lässig et al., 2017] can be understood as mapping the selective environment that shapes metasystem evolution.

7.3 Equilibrium and Perturbation: The Role of Anthropogenic Change

The prediction that endemic viruses approach equilibrium (lower MAI) requires qualification in light of anthropogenic environmental change. Global travel, urbanization, agricultural intensification, and medical innovation continuously alter the selective landscape. A viral metasystem may never reach "stable optima" if the environment shifts faster than evolutionary tracking allows.

This suggests refining the equilibrium prediction: rather than absolute equilibrium, we should expect *relative* equilibrium—MAI values lower than during initial emergence but fluctuating in response to environmental perturbations. Long-established metasystems may show lower *variance* in MAI rather than lower absolute values, reflecting adaptation to a fluctuating rather than static environment. Empirical characterization of MAI dynamics across viral systems at different stages of establishment would clarify these patterns.

8 Implications for Intervention Design

The metasystem perspective suggests that interventions should be evaluated not only for immediate efficacy but for their effects on metasystem dynamics. The following implications are presented as *hypotheses for modeling and empirical investigation*, not as established recommendations.

8.1 Selective Pressure Management

Targeted interventions (narrow-spectrum antivirals, epitope-specific vaccines, monoclonal antibodies) create strong selective gradients that may accelerate escape variant emergence—a phenomenon well-documented for antibiotic resistance and increasingly observed for antiviral drugs and vaccines [Kouyos et al., 2014].

Hypothesis: Intervention portfolios combining multiple mechanisms (e.g., multivalent vaccines targeting diverse epitopes, drug combinations with orthogonal resistance pathways) may achieve equivalent efficacy while reducing directional selection on any single axis, thereby slowing escape variant emergence.

This hypothesis can be tested through: (1) mathematical modeling of metasystem dynamics under single vs. combined interventions; (2) retrospective analysis of escape variant emergence rates under different intervention regimes; (3) prospective trials comparing resistance emergence across treatment strategies.

8.2 Diversity Manipulation: Potential and Risks

If low metasystem diversity correlates with slower adaptation (Prediction 1), interventions that reduce diversity—by reducing transmission and thus the size of the evolutionary "search population"—might slow the emergence of problematic variants.

However, diversity manipulation carries risks requiring careful analysis:

Bottleneck effects. Reducing diversity through transmission reduction could, under some conditions, increase the probability that rare escape variants achieve fixation through founder effects, particularly if reduction is uneven (e.g., suppressing most transmission while allowing sporadic superspreading events).

Rebound dynamics. Temporary diversity reduction followed by relaxation of interventions could create conditions for rapid re-diversification, potentially generating variants not present in the original population.

Unintended selective gradients. Interventions intended to reduce diversity might inadvertently create selective gradients (e.g., favoring variants that transmit in specific contexts that escape control measures).

These risks underscore that diversity manipulation, if pursued, requires careful modeling of metasystem responses under realistic intervention scenarios, not simplistic assumptions about diversity-adaptation relationships.

8.3 Guiding Evolutionary Trajectories

The most speculative implication concerns the possibility of shaping selective environments to favor less pathogenic variants. If transmission success can be decoupled from virulence factors, metasystem dynamics might drive evolution toward reduced severity.

This possibility is suggested by historical examples (myxomatosis in rabbits, Marek's disease evolution) where virulence evolution followed intervention-induced changes in selective conditions, though not always in intended directions. We emphasize that this remains speculative and would require substantial theoretical and empirical groundwork before informing policy. The history of well-intentioned interventions producing unexpected evolutionary responses counsels humility.

9 Discussion

9.1 Relationship to Existing Frameworks

The viral metasystem concept complements rather than replaces existing approaches. Quasispecies theory remains essential for understanding intra-host dynamics; phylodynamics provides indispensable tools for reconstructing transmission history; classical epidemiology quantifies spread patterns. The metasystem framework adds value by integrating these perspectives under a unified conceptual scheme that emphasizes distributed dynamics and information accumulation.

The framework is perhaps most valuable for bridging scales—connecting molecular evolution to epidemiological patterns, and short-term adaptation to long-term evolutionary trajectories. It provides vocabulary and concepts for discussing how local events (mutations in individual infections) aggregate into global outcomes (variant sweeps, virulence evolution).

9.2 Limitations

Several limitations warrant acknowledgment. First, the proposed metrics require genomic surveillance data that varies in availability; we have discussed proxy measures for data-limited settings, but these remain imperfect. Second, the information-processing framing, while mathematically grounded, risks misinterpretation as implying cognition or intentionality; we have attempted to specify the claim precisely to mitigate this risk. Third, the MAI composite index requires empirical validation and sensitivity analysis; we offer it as a starting point, not a definitive measure. Fourth, the intervention implications are speculative hypotheses requiring modeling and empirical investigation, not policy recommendations.

9.3 Future Directions

Empirical validation across multiple viral systems is the critical next step. Priorities include: (1) retrospective analysis of SARS-CoV-2, influenza, and HIV surveillance data to test predictions

about diversity-adaptation correlations and intervention response signatures; (2) development and validation of the MAI and component metrics, including sensitivity analysis under simulated scenarios; (3) model comparison studies distinguishing metasystem-informed predictions from strain-centric alternatives; (4) exploration of information-theoretic measures (mutual information between genotype and environment) as empirical quantification of adaptive information accumulation.

Theoretical development should include agent-based models of metasystem evolution under various parameter regimes, formal analysis of MAI behavior and alternative composite indices, and integration with fitness landscape estimation methods from antigenic cartography.

10 Conclusion

Viruses should not be understood solely as pathogenic particles or individual strains but as distributed evolutionary populations—metasystems—that generate, filter, and propagate genetic information across hosts and time. The viral metasystem framework synthesizes established concepts from quasispecies theory, phylodynamics, and systems biology into a unified perspective that emphasizes population-scale dynamics isomorphic to distributed stochastic optimization.

This perspective offers operational metrics for characterizing metasystem-level properties, generates testable predictions distinguishing it from strain-centric models, and suggests hypotheses about intervention design for future investigation. While empirical validation is needed, the framework provides a coherent conceptual foundation for understanding why viruses adapt so efficiently and why interventions often produce dynamic evolutionary responses.

The virus is not the sole unit of interest. The viral metasystem offers a valuable complementary lens—one that may prove increasingly useful as genomic surveillance generates ever-denser data on global viral dynamics.

References

Anderson, R. M. and May, R. M. (1982). Coevolution of hosts and parasites. *Parasitology*, 85(2):411–426.

Bahl, J., Nelson, M. I., Chan, K. H., Chen, R., Vijaykrishna, D., Halpin, R. A., et al. (2011). Temporally structured metapopulation dynamics and persistence of influenza A H3N2 virus in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(48):19359–19364.

Bedford, T., Riley, S., Barr, I. G., Broor, S., Chadha, M., Cox, N. J., et al. (2015). Global circulation patterns of seasonal influenza viruses vary with antigenic drift. *Nature*, 523(7559):217–220.

Choi, B., Choudhary, M. C., Regan, J., Sparks, J. A., Paber, R. F., Mozhgani, S. H., et al. (2020). Persistence and evolution of SARS-CoV-2 in an immunocompromised host. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 383(23):2291–2293.

Domingo, E., Sheldon, J., and Perales, C. (2012). Viral quasispecies evolution. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 76(2):159–216.

Eigen, M. and Schuster, P. (1979). *The Hypercycle: A Principle of Natural Self-Organization.* Springer-Verlag.

Ewald, P. W. (1994). *Evolution of Infectious Disease.* Oxford University Press.

Grenfell, B. T., Pybus, O. G., Gog, J. R., Wood, J. L., Daly, J. M., Mumford, J. A., and Holmes, E. C. (2004). Unifying the epidemiological and evolutionary dynamics of pathogens. *Science*, 303(5656):327–332.

Heylighen, F. (1995). (Meta)systems as constraints on variation: A classification and natural history of metasystem transitions. *World Futures*, 45(1-4):59–85.

Kemp, S. A., Collier, D. A., Datir, R. P., Ferreira, I. A., Gayed, S., Jahun, A., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 evolution during treatment of chronic infection. *Nature*, 592(7853):277–282.

Kouyos, R. D., Metcalf, C. J. E., Birger, R., Klein, E. Y., Abel zur Wiesch, P., Ankomah, P., et al. (2014). The path of least resistance: aggressive or moderate treatment? *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 281(1794):20140566.

Lässig, M., Mustonen, V., and Walczak, A. M. (2017). Predicting evolution. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(3):1–9.

Martin, D. P., Lytras, S., Lucaci, A. G., Maier, W., Grüning, B., Shank, S. D., et al. (2022). Selection analysis identifies significant mutational changes in Omicron that are likely to influence both antibody neutralization and Spike function. *bioRxiv*.

Nyberg, T., Ferguson, N. M., Nash, S. G., Webster, H. H., Flaxman, S., Andrews, N., et al. (2022). Comparative analysis of the risks of hospitalisation and death associated with SARS-CoV-2 omicron and delta variants. *Lancet*, 399(10332):1303–1312.

Rambaut, A., Posada, D., Crandall, K. A., and Holmes, E. C. (2004). The causes and consequences of HIV evolution. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 5(1):52–61.

Tegally, H., Moir, M., Everatt, J., Giovanetti, M., Scheepers, C., Wilkinson, E., et al. (2022). Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron lineages BA.4 and BA.5 in South Africa. *Nature Medicine*, 28(9):1785–1790.

Turchin, V. F. (1977). *The Phenomenon of Science: A Cybernetic Approach to Human Evolution*. Columbia University Press.

Volz, E. M., Koelle, K., and Bedford, T. (2013). Viral phylodynamics. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 9(3):e1002947.