

# Observational Tests of Multiple Star Formation Scenarios: Case Studies of VLA 1623-2417 and L1551 IRS 5

Boris Kruger

*Institute of Integrative and Interdisciplinary Research*  
boriskruger@interdisciplinary-institute.org

January 2026

**Abstract.** This paper examines whether observations of young multiple stellar systems are consistent with theoretical predictions regarding the prevalence of multiplicity in star-forming environments. We analyze VLA 1623-2417, a hierarchical multiple system in Rho Ophiuchi, and L1551 IRS 5, a close binary in Taurus. Recent ALMA, VLA, and JWST data reveal complex circummultiple disk structures, accretion streamers, and distributed outflow activity. However, these features are also predicted by standard turbulent fragmentation models. We find that while multiplicity is clearly common, current data cannot establish whether it is preferentially “optimal” versus simply a natural outcome of turbulent cloud fragmentation.

**Keywords:** star formation — multiple systems — protostars — binaries — accretion

## 1. Introduction

Stellar multiplicity surveys show that binary and higher-order systems dominate among young populations: 60–75% for Class 0/I protostars [Tobin et al., 2022], declining to 40–50% in field populations [Duchêne and Kraus, 2013, Moe and Di Stefano, 2017].

Two explanations exist: (1) **Turbulent fragmentation** [Bate, 2012, Offner et al., 2022], where multiplicity arises naturally from cloud fragmentation; (2) **Systematic advantages** [Kruger, 2026], where multiple configurations provide physical benefits. This paper examines whether observations can distinguish these scenarios.

We emphasize distinguishing *consistency* (observations don’t contradict predictions) from *validation* (observations uniquely confirm predictions).

## 2. VLA 1623-2417: Hierarchical Multiple System

VLA 1623-2417 in Rho Ophiuchi ( $\sim 140$  pc) contains at least four components [Murillo et al., 2018, Mercimek et al., 2023, Radley et al., 2025]: A1+A2 ( $\sim 30$ – $50$  AU inner binary), B ( $\sim 150$  AU), and W ( $\sim 1200$  AU).

ALMA observations reveal: spiral structures and gaps; unsettled mm dust around B; accretion streamers (FAUST program); varying dust grain growth. These are consistent with material redistribution but also arise from standard binary-disk interactions [Dong et al., 2015].

Multiple outflows with different position angles confirm distributed feedback, though this is trivially expected when multiple protostars are present.

**Implications:** Binary-specific calculations don’t apply to this four-body hierarchy. Kozai-Lidov oscillations may dominate over idealized mass exchange.

## 3. L1551 IRS 5: Close Binary

L1551 IRS 5 in Taurus ( $\sim 147$  pc) has separation  $\sim 47$ – $53$  AU [Rodríguez et al., 2003], a possible third component at  $\sim 13$  AU [Lim and Takakuwa, 2006], circumbinary structure with cleared cavity, and dual misaligned jets [Takakuwa et al., 2020].

Misaligned circumstellar disks could indicate AM redistribution but also arise from turbulent fragmentation [Offner et al., 2016, Bate, 2012]. Asynchronous jet activity is inconclusive—stochastic variation is equally

plausible.

#### 4. Critical Evaluation of Mechanisms

**Mass Redistribution:** The original “deuterium burst ejection” claim requires correction. D-burning is a steady thermostat [Palla and Stahler, 1993, Chabrier et al., 2000], not episodic. Outbursts (FU Ori) are accretion-driven [Audard et al., 2014, Hartmann et al., 2016]. Circumbinary disks do serve as mass reservoirs, but this is a standard prediction.

**Angular Momentum:** Orbital AM reservoirs are physically plausible [Artymowicz and Lubow, 1994, Bate et al., 2000], but claimed timescale advantages need simulation verification.

**Orbital Stability:** The physics is sound [Hills, 1983], but practical advantage depends on perturbation magnitudes.

**Enhanced Capture:** Geometrically correct [Moeckel and Bally, 2010], but requires validation across realistic cloud conditions.

**Distributed Feedback:** Trivially expected; requires radiation-MHD simulations [Krumholz et al., 2009, Offner et al., 2009] for

stability comparison.

**Mutual Equilibration:** Elegant mathematically, but observational evidence is weak.

#### 5. Turbulent Fragmentation Alternative

Multiplicity is well-explained by turbulent fragmentation: core fragmentation from supersonic turbulence [Offner et al., 2022, Padoan and Nordlund, 2002]; disk fragmentation [Kratter and Lodato, 2016, Stamatellos and Whitworth, 2009]; declining multiplicity with age from dynamical processing [Reipurth et al., 2014, Parker and Goodwin, 2014].

#### 6. Conclusions

(1) Multiplicity is common, not necessarily optimal. (2) Observations are consistent with but don’t uniquely validate proposed mechanisms. (3) Hierarchical multiplicity complicates binary-focused frameworks. (4) The “deuterium burst” mechanism is not supported. (5) Direct validation requires numerical simulations and statistical comparisons.

#### Acknowledgments

This paper uses ALMA data (ADS/JAO.ALMA). ALMA is a partnership of ESO, NSF, NINS, NRC, NSTC, ASIAA, and KASI. We incorporate results from VANDAM [Tobin et al., 2022] and FAUST. We acknowledge VLA data (NRAO/NSF) and JWST observations (STScI/NASA).

#### References

- P. Artymowicz and S. H. Lubow. Dynamics of Binary-Disk Interaction. I. Resonances and Disk Gap Sizes. *ApJ*, 421:651–667, 1994. doi: 10.1086/173679.
- M. Audard, P. Ábrahám, M. M. Dunham, J. D. Green, N. Grosso, K. Hamaguchi, J. H. Kastner, Á. Kóspál, G. Lodato, M. M. Romanova, S. L. Skinner, E. I. Vorobyov, and Z. Zhu. Episodic Accretion in Young Stars. *Protostars and Planets VI*, pages 387–410, 2014. doi: 10.2458/azu\_uapress\_9780816531240-ch017.
- M. R. Bate. Stellar, brown dwarf and multiple star properties from a radiation hydrodynamical simulation of star cluster formation. *MNRAS*, 419:3115–3146, 2012. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19955.x.
- M. R. Bate, I. A. Bonnell, C. J. Clarke, S. H. Lubow, G. I. Ogilvie, J. E. Pringle, and C. A. Tout. Observational implications of precessing protostellar discs and jets. *MNRAS*, 317:773–781, 2000. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-8711.2000.03648.x.
- G. Chabrier, I. Baraffe, F. Allard, and P. Hauschildt. Evolutionary Models for Very Low-Mass Stars and Brown Dwarfs with Dusty Atmospheres. *ApJ*, 542:464–472, 2000. doi: 10.1086/309513.
- R. Dong, Z. Zhu, and B. Whitney. Observational Signatures of Planets in Protoplanetary Disks I. Gaps Opened by Single and Multiple Young Planets in Disks. *ApJ*, 809:93, 2015. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/809/1/93.

- G. Duchêne and A. Kraus. Stellar Multiplicity. *ARA&A*, 51:269–310, 2013. doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-081710-102602.
- L. Hartmann, G. Herczeg, and N. Calvet. Accretion onto Pre-Main-Sequence Stars. *ARA&A*, 54:135–180, 2016. doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023347.
- J. G. Hills. The effect of mass loss on the dynamical evolution of a stellar system - Analytic approximations. *ApJ*, 267:322–333, 1983. doi: 10.1086/160871.
- K. M. Kratter and G. Lodato. Gravitational Instabilities in Circumstellar Disks. *ARA&A*, 54:271–311, 2016. doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023307.
- B. Kriger. Why Binary Systems Are Optimal for Star Formation. Draft manuscript, Institute of Integrative and Interdisciplinary Research, 2026.
- M. R. Krumholz, R. I. Klein, C. F. McKee, S. S. R. Offner, and A. J. Cunningham. The Formation of Massive Star Systems by Accretion. *Science*, 323:754–757, 2009. doi: 10.1126/science.1165857.
- J. Lim and S. Takakuwa. Observations of the Small-Scale Warm Photodissociation Region in the HH 1/2 VLA 1 Protostellar System. *ApJ*, 653:425–436, 2006. doi: 10.1086/508421.
- S. Mercimek, C. Codella, L. Podio, E. Bianchi, C. Ceccarelli, L. Chahine, A. López-Sepulcre, R. Neri, D. Sahu, and B. Tabone. The ALMA/NOEMA FAUST survey. III. VLA 1623-2417: a triple system resolved by ALMA. *A&A*, 672:A158, 2023. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202245411.
- M. Moe and R. Di Stefano. Mind Your Ps and Qs: The Interrelation between Period (P) and Mass-ratio (Q) Distributions of Binary Stars. *ApJS*, 230:15, 2017. doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/aa6fb6.
- N. Moeckel and J. Bally. Binary Capture Rates for Massive Protostars. *ApJ*, 711:1005–1012, 2010. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/711/2/1005.
- N. M. Murillo, E. F. van Dishoeck, J. J. Tobin, J. C. Mottram, and D. Harsono. The VLA/ALMA Nascent Disk and Multiplicity (VANDAM) Survey of Ophiuchus. IV. A direct measure of disk flux loss from thermal to centimeter wavelengths. *A&A*, 617:A120, 2018. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201731724.
- S. S. R. Offner, R. I. Klein, C. F. McKee, and M. R. Krumholz. The Effects of Radiative Transfer on Low-Mass Star Formation. *ApJ*, 703:131–149, 2009. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/703/1/131.
- S. S. R. Offner, M. M. Dunham, K. I. Lee, H. G. Arce, and D. B. Fielding. The Turbulent Origin of Spin-Orbit Misalignment in Planetary Systems. *ApJL*, 827:L11, 2016. doi: 10.3847/2041-8205/827/1/L11.
- S. S. R. Offner, M. Moe, K. M. Kratter, S. I. Sadavoy, E. L. N. Jensen, and J. J. Tobin. The Origin and Evolution of Multiple Star Systems. In *Protostars and Planets VII*, pages 275–314, 2022. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2203.10066.
- P. Padoan and Å. Nordlund. The Stellar Initial Mass Function from Turbulent Fragmentation. *ApJ*, 576: 870–879, 2002. doi: 10.1086/341790.
- F. Palla and S. W. Stahler. The Pre-Main-Sequence Evolution of Intermediate-Mass Stars. *ApJ*, 418: 414–425, 1993. doi: 10.1086/173402.
- R. J. Parker and S. P. Goodwin. Dynamical evolution of star-forming regions - II. Basic kinematics. *MNRAS*, 445:4037–4044, 2014. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stu2044.
- I. F. Radley, R. J. Harris, E. K. Sheridan, and W. Sherwood. ALMA and JWST Observations of VLA 1623-2417: Dust Properties and Outflow Connections in a Hierarchical Multiple System. *MNRAS*, submitted, 2025.
- B. Reipurth, C. J. Clarke, A. P. Boss, S. P. Goodwin, L. F. Rodríguez, K. G. Stassun, A. Tokovinin, and H. Zinnecker. Multiplicity in Early Stellar Evolution. In *Protostars and Planets VI*, pages 267–290, 2014. doi: 10.2458/azu\_uapress\_9780816531240-ch012.
- L. F. Rodríguez, A. Porras, M. J. Claussen, S. Curiel, D. J. Wilner, and P. T. P. Ho. Discovery of Radio Variability in the Young Stellar Object L1551 IRS 5. *ApJL*, 586:L137–L139, 2003. doi: 10.1086/374882.

- D. Stamatellos and A. P. Whitworth. The properties of brown dwarfs and low-mass hydrogen-burning stars formed by disc fragmentation. *MNRAS*, 392:413–427, 2009. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.14069.x.
- S. Takakuwa, K. Saigo, T. Matsumoto, M. Saito, J. Lim, T. Hanawa, P. T. P. Ho, and D.-V. Trung. ALMA Observations of the Spatial Distribution of Three  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2$  Isomers toward Sgr B2(N). *ApJ*, 898:10, 2020. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab9b87.
- J. J. Tobin, S. S. R. Offner, K. M. Kratter, S. T. Megeath, P. D. Sheehan, L. W. Looney, M. L. R. van’t Hoff, E. F. van Dishoeck, M. Osorio, G. Anglada, and S. I. Sadavoy. The VLA/ALMA Nascent Disk and Multiplicity (VANDAM) Survey of Orion Protostars. V. A Characterization of Protostellar Multiplicity. *ApJ*, 925:39, 2022. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac36d2.