Non-Participation and Early Exclusion as Stability-Preserving Institutional Strategies

Boris Kriger
Research Fellow, Institute of Integrative and Interdisciplinary Research

Prepared for submission to the *Journal of Institutional Economics*
January 2026

Abstract

Institutional economics has largely emphasized adaptation, coordination, and governance as primary mechanisms of institutional resilience. This paper develops a complementary perspective. We show that under conditions of minimal fixed overhead and optional interaction, institutional stability may be better preserved through early exclusion and non-participation than through attempted accommodation of incompatible external engagements. Using a simple formal framework grounded in path dependence and irreversible institutional distortion, we demonstrate that some revenue-positive engagements possess negative net institutional value. Under such conditions, non-participation constitutes a rational and stability-preserving equilibrium rather than an institutional failure.

1 Introduction

Institutional analysis has traditionally focused on how organizations adapt to external pressures through governance, reform, and coordination (North, 1990; Ostrom, 1990; Greif, 2006). Within this perspective, refusal, exit, or disengagement is often treated as a breakdown of cooperation or as a last resort following failed accommodation.

This paper challenges that presumption. It argues that for institutions operating under minimal fixed obligations and optional interaction, stability is often better preserved by selective exclusion than by continued engagement. When interaction is not structurally required, early refusal of incompatible engagements may dominate ex-post governance, even when such engagements generate short-term revenue.

Consider, for example, voluntary associations that restrict membership to preserve organizational culture, learned societies that refuse corporate funding to avoid mission drift, religious orders that limit external entanglements, or open-source communities that reject certain sponsorships to prevent dependency. In each case, institutional continuity is protected not by adaptation, but by maintaining boundaries.

The contribution of this paper is structural rather than normative. No claims are made regarding welfare, fairness, or optimal social outcomes. Instead, the analysis isolates a mechanism through which revenue-generating engagements may nevertheless impose irreversible institutional distortion, leading to path-dependent lock-in (Pierson, 2000; Sydow et al., 2009). Under such conditions, non-participation emerges as a rational equilibrium rather than a governance failure.

2 Institutional Framework

Let an institution S be characterized by

$$S = (H, R_{min}, O),$$

where H denotes fixed overhead obligations independent of specific engagements, R_{min} is the minimal revenue required for institutional continuity, and O is the set of operational functions.

External engagements c are optional; the institution is not structurally required to accept any particular engagement.

Each engagement c induces:

- revenue $R(c)$,
- interaction costs $I(c)$,
- coordination and governance costs $K(c)$,
- institutional distortion costs $D(c)$, representing irreversible or semi-irreversible changes to rules, routines, or dependencies.

Total institutional cost:

$$C(c) = I(c) + K(c) + D(c),$$

Net institutional value:

$$V(c) = R(c) - C(c).$$

3 Propositions and Lemmas

Lemma 1 (Negative-Value Engagements)**.** *There exist engagements c such that $V(c) < 0$ despite $R(c) > 0$.*

Proof. While revenue is additive and reversible, coordination and distortion costs accumulate and may exhibit irreversibility. For sufficiently large $D(c)$, net institutional value becomes negative regardless of revenue. □

Lemma 2 (Fixed Overhead and Coercive Acceptance)**.** *If $H \geq R_{min}$, the institution becomes structurally compelled to accept engagements independently of $V(c)$.*

Proof. When fixed obligations approach the survival threshold, refusal of revenue-generating engagements threatens continuity, collapsing optionality into necessity (Williamson, 1985). □

Proposition 1 (Early Exclusion Dominance)**.** *For engagements with $V(c) < 0$, early exclusion weakly dominates delayed exit.*

Proof. Delayed exit accumulates interaction and coordination costs and increases irreversible distortion. Early exclusion incurs at most a bounded opportunity cost. A formal dynamic illustration is provided in Appendix A. □

Lemma 3 (Operational Reversibility)**.** *Institutions with a higher proportion of detachable or outsourced operations experience lower expected distortion from external engagements.*

Proof. Detachable operations reduce coupling between engagements and core institutional structure, limiting lock-in (Sydow et al., 2009). □

4 Main Result

Theorem 1 (Non-Participation Optimality)**.** *Under conditions of minimal fixed overhead, high operational reversibility, and optional interaction, institutional stability is maximized by preventing incompatible or negative-value engagements rather than attempting post-entry accommodation.*

Proof. By the preceding lemmas, acceptance of negative-value engagements introduces irreversible distortion that degrades institutional coherence. Early exclusion minimizes cumulative cost while preserving autonomy. □

Corollary 1 (Crisis Amplification)**.** *Under financial stress, the relative weight of distortion costs increases, making selective exclusion more critical rather than less.*

5 Discussion

This analysis reframes disengagement as an institutional design feature rather than a governance failure. While much of institutional economics emphasizes adaptive reform after engagement, the present framework highlights the primacy of admissibility rules (Ostrom, 1990; Buchanan, 1965).

Institutions lacking the capacity to refuse engagements are vulnerable to mission drift, dependency, and path-dependent lock-in. Conversely, institutions with minimal overhead and reversible operations preserve autonomy even at the cost of foregone short-term revenue. This insight complements Hirschman's (1970) exit framework by formalizing exit and exclusion as stability-preserving equilibria rather than signals of failure.

6 Conclusion

Non-participation is not inherently inefficient. Under realistic institutional conditions, it constitutes a rational strategy that preserves long-term stability. Institutions that minimize fixed obligations and maintain selective boundaries retain the freedom to refuse destabilizing engagements and avoid irreversible degradation.

A Appendix A: Dynamic Illustration

Consider a two-period interaction where engagement generates revenue R in period one and distortion D persisting into period two. If $D > R$, delayed exit produces negative cumulative value, whereas early exclusion yields zero. This simple dynamic suffices to establish dominance of early exclusion under irreversibility.

References

Buchanan, J. M. (1965). An economic theory of clubs. *Economica*, 32:1–14.

Greif, A. (2006). *Institutions and the Path to the Modern Economy*. Cambridge University Press.

Hirschman, A. O. (1970). *Exit, Voice, and Loyalty*. Harvard University Press.

North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press.

Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the Commons*. Cambridge University Press.

Pierson, P. (2000). Increasing returns, path dependence, and the study of politics. *American Political Science Review*, 94:251–267.

Sydow, J., Schreyögg, G., and Koch, J. (2009). Organizational path dependence. *Organization Studies*, 30:689–721.

Williamson, O. E. (1985). *The Economic Institutions of Capitalism*. Free Press.