HW

Eric Gan

March 20, 2024

Exercise 1

The forward direction was proved on last week's homework.

Suppose M is torsion free. By the fundamental theorem of finitely generated modules over PIDs, we have $M \cong R^s \oplus R/(d_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(d_k)$ for some $d_1, \ldots, d_k \in R$. We claim that the decomposition of M cannot contain components of the form $R/(d_i)$. Indeed, if this were the case, then let x be an element in M corresponding to some element in $R/(d_i)$. Then $d_i x = 0$, contradicting the fact that M is torsion free. We conclude that $M \cong R^s$. But R^s is clearly free, so M is free. This completes the proof.

Suppose M is simple. Take $x \neq 0$. Then $\langle x \rangle \neq \{0\}$, so we must have $\langle x \rangle = M$. By problem 1 of homework 6, we have $M \cong R/Ann(x)$. Now $Ann(x) \neq \{0\}$, for if this were the case, then $M \cong R$. But any integral domain is a torsion free module over itself, contradicting the fact that M is torsion. To show that Ann(x) is prime, it suffices to show that Ann(x) is maximal. Suppose that I is an ideal such that $Ann(x) \subset I$. We know that I/Ann(x) is a submodule of R/Ann(x). But since $M \cong R/Ann(x)$ is simple, we must have $I/Ann(x) = \{0 + Ann(x)\}$ or I/Ann(x) = R/Ann(x). In the first case I = Ann(x) and in the second case I = R. We conclude that Ann(x) is maximal.

Suppose $M = \langle x \rangle$ and Ann(x) is a nonzero prime ideal. Again, we know $M \cong R/Ann(x)$. Suppose that $N \subset M$ is a submodule. By the correspondence theorem, we can identify N with a submodule (ideal) I of R containing Ann(x). Since R is a PID and Ann(x) is prime, Ann(x) is in fact maximal, hence I = Ann(x) or I = R. If I = Ann(x), then the previous correspondence gives $N = \{0\}$, and if I = R, then N = R/Ann(x). We conclude that M is simple. This completes the proof.

Observe that $400 = 2^4 \times 5^2$. Let M be an abelian group (equivalently a \mathbb{Z} -module) of order 400. Note that M must be finitely generated, for example $M = \langle M \rangle$. Thus by the elementary divisor form of the fundamental theorem of finitely generated modules over PIDs, we have $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^s \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_r^{e_r}$. We must have s = 0, or else $|M| = \infty$. Now $|\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_r^{e_r}| = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r} = 400$, so by the fundamental theorem of arithmetic $p_i \in \{2,5\}$ for all i, and it suffices to find all possible assignments of e_1, \dots, e_r To make this equality hold. One can check that the unique assignments are

- a. $\mathbb{Z}/25 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/16$
- b. $\mathbb{Z}/25 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$
- c. $\mathbb{Z}/25 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$
- d. $\mathbb{Z}/25 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$
- e. $\mathbb{Z}/25 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$
- f. $\mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/16$
- g. $\mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$
- h. $\mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$
- i. $\mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$
- j. $\mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$

If suffices to consider matrices in rational canonical form. Suppose $M, N \in F^{3\times 3}$. Let $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in F[t]$ s.t. $q_1 \mid q_2 \mid q_3$ and q_1, q_2, q_3 are either 1 or the invariant factors of M. Define q_1, q_2, q_3 for N in a similar fashion. The fact that M and N have the same minimal polynomial implies $p_3 = q_3$, and the fact that they have the same characteristic polynomial implies $p_1p_2p_3 = q_1q_2q_3$. We will show that $p_i = q_i$ for i = 1, 2, 3. We proceed by casework.

Case 1: $deg(p_3) = deg(q_3) = 3$: since the degree of the characteristic polynomial is 3, we must have $p_1 = p_2 = q_1 = q_2 = 1$.

Case 2: $\deg(p_3) = \deg(q_3) = 2$: since the degree of the characteristic polynomial is 3 and $\deg(p_1) \leq \deg(p_2)$, $\deg(q_1) \leq \deg(q_2)$, we must have $\deg(p_1) = \deg(q_1) = 0$ and $\deg(p_2) = \deg(q_2) = 1$. In particular $p_1 = q_1 = 1$. Thus the condition on the characteristic polynomials reduces to $p_2p_3 = q_2q_3$. But since $p_3 = q_3$, this implies $p_2 = q_2$.

Case 3: $\deg(p_3) = \deg(q_3) = 1$: since $p_1 \mid p_2 \mid p_3$, we have $\deg(p_1) \le \deg(p_2) \le \deg(p_3) = 1$. But the degree of the characteristic polynomial is $\deg(p_1p_2p_3) = \deg(p_1) + \deg(p_2) + \deg(p_3) = 3$, so we must have $\deg(p_i) = 1$ for i = 1, 2, 3. Combined with the divisibility requirement, we get $p_1 = p_2 = p_3$. Similarly $q_1 = q_2 = q_3$. Thus $p_i = p_3 = q_3 = q_i$ for all i.

This completes the proof.

Consider the matrices

It is easy to check that both matrices have minimal polynomial t^2 and characteristic polynomial t^4 . However, by the uniqueness of rational canonical form, A and B are not similar.

By the existence and uniqueness of rational canonical form, it suffices to construct the invariant factors of the matrix. One of the invariant factors is the minimal polynomial $(x+2)^2(x-1)$. The other remaining factors must divide the minimal polynomial, hence have the form $(x+2)^a(x-1)^b$ for $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}, b \in \{0, 1\}$ with a and b not both zero. In addition, the characteristic polynomial has degree 6, so the degree product of the other invariant factors is 6 - 3 = 3. Under these constraints, it is not hard to list out all possibilities for the invariant factors:

a.
$$x - 1, x - 1, x - 1, (x - 1)(x + 2)^2$$

b. $x + 2, x + 2, x + 2, (x - 1)(x + 2)^2$
c. $x - 1, (x - 1)(x + 2), (x - 1)(x + 2)^2$
d. $x + 2, (x - 1)(x + 2), (x - 1)(x + 2)^2$
e. $x + 2, (x + 2)^2, (x - 1)(x + 2)^2$
f. $(x - 1)(x + 2)^2, (x - 1)(x + 2)^2$

The corresponding matrices can be constructed using the companion matrices of each invariant factor:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $a, b, c, d \in F$. We can simply list out all possibilities; to avoid construction matrices that are similar, we place the largest Jordan blocks first.

The 2×2 Jordan forms are

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 1 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

The 3×3 Jordan forms are

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

The 4×4 Jordan forms are

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $A: V \to V$. We claim that $\operatorname{im}(A) \cap \ker(A) = \{0\}$. Indeed, if $x \in \operatorname{im}(A) \cap \ker(A)$, then there exists $y \in V$ such that Ay = x, and also Ax = 0. But then

$$x = Ay = A^2y = A(Ay) = Ax = 0,$$

as desired.

Thus we have the (inner) direct sum im $A \oplus \ker A$ as a subspace of V. By the first isomorphism theorem (rank-nullity), we have dim im $A + \dim \ker A = \dim V$, hence $V = \operatorname{im} A \oplus \ker A$.

By definition, Ax = 0 for all $x \in ker(A)$. On the other hand, suppose $x \in im(A)$ with Ay = x. Then $x = Ay = A^2y = A(Ay) = Ax$, so A maps any element $x \in im(A)$ to itself. With this observation, let \mathcal{B} be a basis for ker A and \mathcal{C} a basis for im A. Then $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$ is a basis for V, and by construction the representation of A in this basis is diagonal with zeros on the diagonal for vectors from \mathcal{B} and ones on the diagonal for vectors from \mathcal{C} .

By Cayley-Hamilton, we have $(T^4 - I)^2 = T^8 - 2T^4 + I = 0$. Rearranging gives $I = T(-T^7 + 2T^3)$, which shows that T is invertible.

We claim that there are 8 similarity classes. Indeed, the factorization of the characteristic polynomial into irreducibles if $(x^2 + 1)^2(x - 1)^2(x + 1)^2$. By Cayley-Hamilton, the minimal polynomial must contain these same factors, hence the minimal polynomial is $(x^2 + 1)^a(x - 1)^b(x + 1)^c$ for $\{a, b, c\} \in \{1, 2\}$. In fact, the choice of a, b, c uniquely determines the invariant factors, as the second invariant factor must containing the remaining factors (observe that we cannot have 3 or more invariant factors because that would imply that some irreducible factor appears with multiplicity at least 3). We conclude that there are $2^3 = 8$ similarity classes, as desired.