# RDF Constraint Types to Validate Metadata on Highly-Complex Person-Level and Aggregated Data

Thomas Bosch<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Zapilko<sup>1</sup>, Joachim Wackerow<sup>1</sup>, and Kai Eckert<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, Germany {firstname.lastname}@gesis.org,

<sup>2</sup> University of Mannheim, Germany kai@informatik.uni-mannheim.de

Abstract. ...

**Keywords:** RDF Validation, RDF Constraints, DDI-RDF Discovery Vocabulary, Disco, RDF Data Cube Vocabulary, Linked Data, Semantic Web

#### 1 Introduction

Bosch and Eckert initiated a comprehensive database<sup>3</sup> on RDF validation requirements to collect case studies, use cases, and requirements [1]. It is continuously updated and used to evaluate and to compare various existing solutions for RDF constraint formulation and validation. Requirements are classified to provide a high-level view on different solutions and to facilitate a better understanding of the problem domain. Bosch et al. identified in total 74 requirements to formulate RDF constraints; each of them corresponding to a constraint type. They recently published a technical report<sup>4</sup> in which they explain each requirement (constraint type) in detail and give examples for each (represented by listed constraint languages) [2]. We state at least one Disco constraint for the majority of the constraint types. Where appropriate constraint types are related to complementary requirements of the RDF validation requirements database. When constraint types are mapped to DL, we do not state namespace prefixes for simplicity reasons.

# 2 Validation Types

We distinguish two validation types: (1) Content-Driven Validation  $C_C$  contains the set of constraints ensuring that the data is consistent with the intended syntax, semantics, and integrity of given data models. (2) Technology-Driven

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Publicly available at http://purl.org/net/rdf-validation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.03933

Validation  $C_T$  includes the set of constraints which can be generated automatically out of data models, such as cardinality restrictions, universal and existential quantifications, domains, and ranges.

# 3 Validation of Metadata on Person-Level and Aggregated Data

- Constraint types marked with an asterisk (\*) can be used as OWL 2 axioms.
   Thus, reasoners may be used to infer implicit triples resolving constraint violations caused when these constraints are validated.
- <u>Underlined subsections</u> represent data model specific constraint types and do not correspond to RDF validation requirements (constraint types).
- $-\mathcal{C}_T$  constraint types are marked with the superscript  $^{\mathrm{T}}$
- $\mathcal{C}_C$  constraint types are not marked

#### 3.1 Comparison

- DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-01: are compared variables represented in a compatible way, i.e. are the variables' code lists theoretically comparable?
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-02: are variable definitions (dcterms:description) available for each variable (disco:Variable) to compare?
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-03**: are code lists structured properly for each variable (*disco:Variable*) to compare?
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-04: is for each code (for each variable (disco: Variable) to compare) an associated category (a human-readable label) specified?
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-05: each (disco: Variable) to compare must be present.
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.2 Data Model Consistency

Is the data consistent with the intended semantics of the data model? Such validation rules ensure the integrity of the data according to the data model.

- **DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01**: Codes (skos:Concept) are ordered and therefore have fixed positions in an ordered collection (skos:OrderedCollection) within a variable representation. The cumulative percentage of the current code is the cumulative percentage of the previous code (disco:cumulativePercentage) plus the percentage value (disco:percentage) of the current code.

- severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02: The cumulative percentage (disco:cumulativePercentage) of the last code must be 100.
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03**: The number of valid cases (disco:SummaryStatistics of the type (disco:summaryStatisticType) ddicv-sumstats:ValidCases) for a particular variable must exactly be the sum of absolute frequencies of all valid cases (disco:inValid of skos:Concept is true).
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-04: The number of invalid cases (disco:SummaryStatistics of the type (disco:summaryStatisticType) ddicv-sumstats:InvalidCases) for a particular variable must exactly be the sum of absolute frequencies of all invalid cases (disco:inValid of skos:Concept is false).
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-05**: The total number of valid and invalid cases (*disco:SummaryStatistics* of the type (*disco:summaryStatisticType*) ddicv-sumstats:NumberOfCases) for a particular variable must exactly be the number of valid cases plus the number of invalid cases.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-06: Some summary statistics types can only be calculated for given variable types. It is not possible to compute minimum values for string variables.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-07: Some summary statistics types can only be calculated for given variable types. It is not possible to compute mean values for categorical variables, only for metric variables.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01: Only attributes may be optional (IC-6 [3]) The only components of a qb:DataStructureDefinition that may be marked as optional, using qb:componentRequired are attributes.
  - severity level: WARNING
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02: Slice Keys consistent with DSD (IC-8 [3]) Every qb:componentProperty on a qb:SliceKey must also be declared as a qb:component of the associated qb:DataStructureDefinition.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03: Slice dimensions complete (IC-10 [3]) Every qb:Slice must have a value for every dimension declared in its qb:sliceStructure.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-04: All dimensions required (IC-11 [3]) Every qb:Observation has a value for each dimension declared in its associated qb:DataStructureDefinition.
  - severity level: ERROR

- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-05: No duplicate observations (IC-12 [3]) No two qb:Observations in the same qb:DataSet may have the same value for all dimensions.
  - severity level: WARNING
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-06: Required attributes (IC-13 [3]) Every qb:Observation has a value for each declared attribute that is marked as required.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-07: All measures present (IC-14 [3]) In a qb:DataSet which does not use a Measure dimension then each individual qb:Observation must have a value for every declared measure.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-08: Measure dimension consistent (IC-15 [3]) In a qb:DataSet which uses a Measure dimension then each qb:Observation must have a value for the measure corresponding to its given qb:measureType.
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-09:** Single measure on measure dimension observation (*IC-16* [3]) In a *qb:DataSet* which uses a Measure dimension then each *qb:Observation* must only have a value for one measure (by *IC-15* this will be the measure corresponding to its *qb:measureType*).
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-10: All measures present in measures dimension cube (IC-17 [3]) In a qb:DataSet which uses a Measure dimension then if there is a Observation for some combination of non-measure dimensions then there must be other Observations with the same non-measure dimension values for each of the declared measures.
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-11:** Consistent data set links (*IC-18* [3]) If a *qb:DataSet D* has a *qb:slice S*, and *S* has an *qb:observation O*, then the *qb:dataSet* corresponding to *O* must be *D*.
  - severity level: WARNING
- SKOS-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01<sup>5</sup>: Relation Clashes: Covers condition S27 from the SKOS reference document, that has not been defined formally.
  - Implementation: In a first step, all pairs of concepts are found that are associatively connected, using a SPARQL query. In the second step, a graph is created, containing only hierarchically related concepts and the respective relations. For each concept pair from the first step, we check for a path in the graph from step two. If such a path is found, a clash has been identified and the causing concepts are returned.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Relation Clashes

- Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02<sup>6</sup>: Mapping Clashes: Covers condition S46 from the SKOS reference document, that has not been defined formally.
  - Implementation: Can be solved by issuing a SPARQL query.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03<sup>7</sup>: Mapping Relations Misuse: According to the SKOS reference documentation, mapping relations (e.g., skos:broadMatch or skos:relatedMatch) should be asserted to concepts being members of different concept schemes. This check finds concepts that are related by a mapping property and are either members of the same concept scheme or members of no concept scheme at all.
  - Severity level: INFO

# 3.3 Subsumption\*<sup>T</sup>

A subclass axiom<sup>8</sup> (concept inclusion in DL) states that the class C1 is a subclass of the class C2 - C1 is more specific than C2, i.e. each resource of the class C1 must also be part of the class extension of C2.

- DISCO-C-SUBSUMPTION-01: All disco:Universes must also be skos:Concepts (Universe  $\sqsubseteq$  Concept).
  - $\bullet\,$  severity level: ERROR

#### 3.4 Class Equivalence\*T

Class Equivalence<sup>9</sup> asserts that two concepts have the same instances. While synonyms are an obvious example of equivalent concepts, in practice one more often uses concept equivalence to give a name to complex expressions [5]. Concept equivalence is indeed subsumption from left and right  $(A \sqsubseteq B \text{ and } B \sqsubseteq A \text{ implies } A \equiv B)$ .

- DISCO-C-CLASS-EQUIVALENCE-01: All sio:SIO\_000367 resources must also be disco:Variables (Variable ≡ SIO\_000367). The Semanticscience Integrated Ontology (SIO)¹0 provides a simple, integrated ontology of types and relations for rich description of objects, processes and their attributes. sio:SIO\_000367 is a variable defined as a value that may change within the scope of a given problem or set of operations. Thus, sio:SIO\_000367 is equivalent to disco:Variable.
  - severity level: INFO

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Mapping Clashes

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Mapping Relations Misuse

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> R-100-SUBSUMPTION

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  R-3-EQUIVALENT-CLASSES

<sup>10</sup> https://code.google.com/p/semanticscience/wiki/SIO

# 3.5 Sub Properties\*T

Sub Properties<sup>11</sup> state that the property P1 is a sub property of the property P2 - that is, if an individual x is connected by P1 to an individual or a literal y, then x is also connected by P2 to y.

- **DISCO-C-SUB-PROPERTIES-01:** If an individual x is connected by disco:fundedBy to an individual y, then x is also connected by dcterms:contributor to y (fundedBy  $\sqsubseteq$  contributor).
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.6 Property Domains\*T

Property Domains<sup>12</sup> (domain restrictions on roles in DL) restrict the domain of object and data properties. The purpose is to declare that a given property is associated with a class. In OO terms this is the declaration of a member, field, attribute or association.  $\exists R. \top \sqsubseteq C$  is the object property restriction where R is the object property (role) whose domain is restricted to concept C.

- DISCO-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each Disco object and data property. Only disco:Questions, e.g., can have disco:responseDomain relationships (∃ responseDomain. ⊤ ⊑ Question).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each Data Cube object and data property. Only qb:Observations, e.g., can have qb:dataSet relationships (∃ dataSet. ⊤ ⊑ Observation).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DCAT-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-O1: Property Domain constraints are defined for each <math>DCAT object and data property. Only dcat:Catalogs, e.g., can have dcat:dataset relationships ( $\exists$  dataset. $\top \sqsubseteq$  Catalog).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- PHDD-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each PHDD object and data property. Only phdd:Tables, e.g., can have phdd:isStructuredBy relationships (∃ isStructuredBy.  $\top$   $\sqsubseteq$  Table).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each SKOS object and data property. Only skos:ConceptSchemes, e.g., can have skos:hasTopConcept relationships ( $\exists$  hasTopConcept. $\top$   $\sqsubseteq$  ConceptScheme).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- XKOS-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each XKOS object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{11}\</sup> R\text{-}54\text{-}SUB\text{-}OBJECT\text{-}PROPERTIES,\ R\text{-}64\text{-}SUB\text{-}DATA\text{-}PROPERTIES$ 

<sup>12</sup> R-25-OBJECT-PROPERTY-DOMAIN, R-26-DATA-PROPERTY-DOMAIN

# 3.7 Property Ranges\*T

Property Ranges<sup>13</sup> (range restrictions on roles in DL) restrict the range of object and data properties.  $\top \sqsubseteq \forall R.C$  is the range restriction to the object property R (restricted by the concept C).

- DISCO-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each Disco object and data property. disco:caseQuantity relationships, e.g., can only point to literals of the datatype xsd:nonNegativeInteger (⊤ ⊑ ∀ caseQuantity.nonNegativeInteger).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each Data Cube object and data property. qb:order relationships, e.g., can only point to literals of the datatype xsd:string ( $\top \sqsubseteq \forall$  order.string).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DCAT-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each DCAT object and data property. dcat:bytes relationships, e.g., can only point to literals of the datatype xsd:integer ( $\top \sqsubseteq \forall bytes.integer$ ).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- PHDD-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each PHDD object and data property. phdd:caseQuantity relationships, e.g., can only point to literals of the datatype xsd:nonNegativeInteger (⊤ ⊑ ∀ caseQuantity.nonNegativeInteger).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each SKOS object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- XKOS-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each XKOS object and data property. xkos:belongsTo relationships, e.g., can only point to instances of the class skos:Concept ( $\top \sqsubseteq \forall belongsTo.Concept$ ).
  - Severity level: ERROR

# 3.8 Inverse Object Properties\*

In many cases, properties are used bi-directionally and then accessed in the inverse direction, e.g. parent  $\equiv$  child<sup>-</sup>. There should be a way to declare value type, cardinality etc of those inverse relations without having to declare a new property URI. The object property OP1 is an inverse<sup>14</sup> of the object property OP2. Thus, if an individual x is connected by OP1 to an individual y, then y is also connected by OP2 to x, and vice versa.

 $<sup>^{13}\</sup> R-28-OBJECT-PROPERTY-RANGE,\ R-35-DATA-PROPERTY-RANGE$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> R-56-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

- DISCO-C-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01: disco:CategoryStatistics resources are accessed from codes (skos:Concepts) via disco:statisticsCategory<sup>-</sup>.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-02: disco:SummaryStatistics resources are accessed from disco:Variables via disco:statisticsVariable<sup>-</sup>.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-03: disco: Variables are accessed from disco: Questions via disco: question —.
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.9 Symmetric Object Properties\*

A role is symmetric if it is equivalent to its own inverse [5]. An object property symmetry axiom<sup>15</sup> states that the object property expression OPE is symmetric - that is, if an individual x is connected by OPE to an individual y, then y is also connected by OPE to x.

# 3.10 Asymmetric Object Properties\*T

A property is asymmetric  $^{16}$  if it is disjoint from its own inverse [5]. An object property asymmetry axiom states that the object property OP is asymmetric that is, if an individual x is connected by OP to an individual y, then y cannot be connected by OP to x.

- DISCO-C-ASYMMETRIC-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01: A disco: Variable may be based on a disco: Represented Variable. A disco: Represented Variable, however, cannot be based on a disco: Variable. This is a kind of mistake which may occur as a semantically equivalent object property for the other direction may also be possible (disco:basisOf) (basedOn □ basedOn □  $\bot$ ).
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.11 Reflexive Object Properties\*

Reflexive Object Properties  $^{17}$  (reflexive roles, global reflexivity in DL) can be expressed by imposing local reflexivity on the top concept [5].

#### 3.12 Irreflexive Object Properties\*

An object property is irreflexive  $^{18}$  (*irreflexive role* in DL) if it is never locally reflexive [5]. An object property irreflexivity axiom IrreflexiveObjectProperty(OPE) states that the object property expression OPE is irreflexive - that is, no individual is connected by OPE to itself.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> R-61-SYMMETRIC-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{16}\</sup> R\text{-}62\text{-}ASYMMETRIC\text{-}OBJECT\text{-}PROPERTIES$ 

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  R-59-REFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> R-60-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

- DISCO-C-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01: In Disco, every object property is irreflexive. No individual is connected by the object property instrument to itself ( $\top \sqsubseteq \neg \exists instrument.Self$ ).
  - severity level: ERROR

#### 3.13 Class-Specific Irreflexive Object Properties\*

A property is *irreflexive* if it is never locally reflexive [5]. An object property irreflexivity axiom states that the object property OP is irreflexive - that is, no individual is connected by OP to itself. Class-Specific Irreflexive Object Properties are object properties which are irreflexive within a given context, e.g. a class.

- DISCO-C-CLASS-SPECIFIC-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01: Within the Disco context, skos:Concepts cannot be related via the object property skos:boader to themselves (Concept □ ¬∃ broader.Self.).
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-CLASS-SPECIFIC-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-02: Within the Disco context, skos:Concepts cannot be related via the object property skos:narrower to themselves (Concept 
   □ ¬∃ narrower.Self.).
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.14 Disjoint Properties<sup>T</sup>

A disjoint properties  $axiom^{19}$  states that all of the properties are pairwise disjoint; that is, no individual x can be connected to an individual/literal y by these properties.

- **DATA-CUBE-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01:** All *Data Cube* properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint. The properties qb:dataSet and qb:structure are disjoint  $(dataSet \sqsubseteq \neg structure)$ .
  - severity level: ERROR
- DCAT-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01: All DCAT properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01:** All *Disco* properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint. The properties *disco:variable* and *disco:question* are disjoint ( $variable \sqsubseteq \neg question$ ).
  - severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{19}</sup>$  R-9-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES

- PHDD-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01: All PHDD properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint. The properties phdd:isStructuredBy and phdd:column are disjoint ( $isStructuredBy \sqsubseteq \neg column$ )
  - severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01: All SKOS properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
  - severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-02<sup>20</sup>: Disjoint Labels Violation: Covers condition S13 from the SKOS reference document stating that "skos:prefLabel, skos:altLabel and skos:hiddenLabel are pairwise disjoint properties".
  - Implementation: A SPARQL query collects all labels of all concepts, building an in-memory structure. This structure is then checked for disjoint entries.
  - severity level: ERROR
- XKOS-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01: All XKOS properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.15 Disjoint Classes<sup>T</sup>

 $Disjoint\ Classes^{21}$  state that all of the classes are pairwise disjoint; that is, no individual can be at the same time an instance of these disjoint classes.

- DATA-CUBE-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All Data Cube classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DCAT-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All DCAT classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All Disco classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint (e.g. Study  $\sqcap$  Variable  $\sqsubseteq \bot$ ).
  - severity level: ERROR
- PHDD-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All PHDD classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
  - severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All SKOS classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
  - severity level: ERROR
- XKOS-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All XKOS classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
  - severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Disjoint Labels Violation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> R-7-DISJOINT-CLASSES

#### 3.16 Context-Specific Property Groups

The Context-Specific Property Groups<sup>22</sup> constraint groups data and object properties within a context (e.g. a class).

#### 3.17 Context-Specific Inclusive OR of Properties

Inclusive or is a logical connective joining two or more predicates that yields the logical value "true" when at least one of the predicates is true. Context-Specific Inclusive OR of Properties<sup>23</sup> constraints specify that individuals are valid if they have at least one property relationship of one or multiple properties stated within a given context. The context can be an application profile, a shape, or a class, i.e., the constraint applies for individuals of this specific class.

#### 3.18 Context-Specific Inclusive OR of Property Groups

At least one property group must match for individuals of a specific context. Context may be a class, a shape, or an application profile.

#### 3.19 Recursive Queries

Resource Shapes is a recursive language<sup>24</sup> (the value shape of a Resource Shape is in turn another Resource Shape). There is no way to express that in SPARQL without hand-waving "and then you call the function again here" or "and then you embed this operation here" text. The embedding trick doesn't work in the general case because SPARQL can't express recursive queries, e.g. "test that this Issue is valid and all of the Issues that references, recursively". Most SPARQL engines already have functions that go beyond the official SPARQL 1.1 spec. The cost of that sounds manageable.

#### 3.20 Individual Inequality

An individual inequality  $axiom^{25}$  Different Individuals(  $a_1 \dots a_n$  ) states that all of the individuals  $a_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , are different from each other; that is, no individuals  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  with  $i \ne j$  can be derived to be equal. This axiom can be used to axiomatize the unique name assumption — the assumption that all different individual names denote different individuals.

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  R-66-PROPERTY-GROUPS

 $<sup>^{23}\</sup> R-202-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-INCLUSIVE-OR-OF-PROPERTIES$ 

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$  R-222-RECURSIVE-QUERIES

 $<sup>^{25}</sup>$  R-14-DISJOINT-INDIVIDUALS

# 3.21 Equivalent Properties\*T

An equivalent object properties axiom<sup>26</sup> EquivalentObjectProperties(  $OPE_1$  ...  $OPE_n$ ) states that all of the object property expressions  $OPE_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , are semantically equivalent to each other. This axiom allows one to use each  $OPE_i$  as a synonym for each  $OPE_j$ —that is, in any expression in the ontology containing such an axiom,  $OPE_i$  can be replaced with  $OPE_j$  without affecting the meaning of the ontology. The axiom  $EquivalentObjectProperties(\ OPE_1\ OPE_2\ )$  is equivalent to the following two axioms  $SubObjectPropertyOf(\ OPE_1\ OPE_2\ )$  and  $SubObjectPropertyOf(\ OPE_1\ OPE_2\ )$ .

An equivalent data properties axiom <sup>27</sup> EquivalentDataProperties(  $DPE_1$  ...  $DPE_n$ ) states that all the data property expressions  $DPE_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , are semantically equivalent to each other. This axiom allows one to use each  $DPE_i$  as a synonym for each  $DPE_j$ —that is, in any expression in the ontology containing such an axiom,  $DPE_i$  can be replaced with  $DPE_j$  without affecting the meaning of the ontology. The axiom  $EquivalentDataProperties(DPE_1DPE_2)$  can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the following axiom  $SubDataPropertyOf(DPE_1DPE_2)$  and  $SubDataPropertyOf(DPE_1DPE_2)$ .

- DATA-CUBE-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of Data Cube can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.
- DCAT-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of DCAT can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.
- DISCO-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties
  from different versions of Disco can be marked as equivalent, e.g. disco:containsVariable
  and disco:variable. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each
  other without affecting the meaning.
- PHDD-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of PHDD can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.
- SKOS-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of SKOS can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.
- XKOS-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of XKOS can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.

 $<sup>^{26}</sup>$  R-4-EQUIVALENT-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> R-5-EQUIVALENT-DATA-PROPERTIES

# 3.22 Property Assertions

Property Assertions<sup>28</sup> and includes positive property assertions and negative property assertions. A positive object property assertion ObjectPropertyAssertion (OPE  $a_1$   $a_2$ ) states that the individual  $a_1$  is connected by the object property expression OPE to the individual  $a_2$ . A negative object property assertion NegativeObjectPropertyAssertion (OPE  $a_1$   $a_2$ ) states that the individual  $a_1$  is not connected by the object property expression OPE to the individual  $a_2$ . A positive data property assertion DataPropertyAssertion (DPE  $a_1$   $b_1$ ) states that the individual  $b_2$  is connected by the data property expression DPE to the literal  $b_1$   $b_2$  states that the individual  $b_3$  is not connected by the data propertyAssertion (DPE  $b_4$   $b_4$   $b_5$   $b_4$   $b_5$   $b_6$   $b_6$   $b_7$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_9$   $b_9$ 

### 3.23 Data Property Facets

For datatype properties it should be possible to declare frequently needed  $facets^{29}$  to drive user interfaces and validate input against simple conditions, including min/max value, regular expressions, string length - similar to XSD datatypes. Constraining facets, to restrict datatypes of RDF literals, may be: xsd:length, xsd:minLength, xsd:maxLength, xsd:pattern, xsd:enumeration, xsd:whiteSpace, xsd:maxInclusive, xsd:maxExclusive, xsd:minExclusive, xsd:minInclusive, xsd:total Digits, xsd:fractionDigits.

- DISCO-C-DATA-PROPERTY-FACETS-01: The abstract of a series (dcterms:abstract) should have a minimum length (xsd:minLength) of some determined minimum length X.
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-DATA-PROPERTY-FACETS-02: The abstract of a study (dcterms:abstract) should have a minimum length (xsd:minLength) of some determined minimum length X.
  - severity level: WARNING

# 3.24 Literal Pattern Matching

There are multiple use cases associated with the requirement to match literals according to given patterns<sup>30</sup>.

- DISCO-C-LITERAL-PATTERN-MATCHING-01: Each disco: Variable of a given disco: LogicalDataSet must have a given prefix for its variable name (skos:notation).
  - severity level: INFO

 $<sup>^{28}</sup>$  R-96-PROPERTY-ASSERTIONS

 $<sup>^{29}</sup>$  R-46-CONSTRAINING-FACETS

 $<sup>^{30}</sup>$  R-44-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-LITERALS

### Negative Literal Pattern Matching

Literals of given data properties within given contexts do not have to match given patterns $^{31}$ .

#### - DISCO-C-NEGATIVE-LITERAL-PATTERN-MATCHING-01:

#### Object Property Paths\* 3.26

Object Property Paths<sup>32</sup> (or Object Property Chains and in DL terminology complex role inclusion axiom or role composition) is the more complex form of sub properties. This axiom states that, if an individual x is connected by a sequence of object property expressions  $OPE_1, ..., OPE_n$  with an individual y, then x is also connected with y by the object property expression OPE. Role composition can only appear on the left-hand side of complex role inclusions [5].

#### Intersection\* 3.27

Concept inclusions allow us to state that all mothers are female and that all mothers are parents, but what we really mean is that mothers are exactly the female parents. DLs support such statements by allowing us to form complex concepts such as the intersection<sup>33</sup> (also called conjunction) which denotes the set of individuals that are both female and parents. A complex concept can be used in axioms in exactly the same way as an atomic concept, e.g., in the equivalence Mother  $\equiv$  Female  $\sqcap$  Parent.

#### Disjunction\* 3.28

A  $union\ class\ expression^{34}$  contains all individuals that are instances of at least one class  $C_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A union data range contains all tuples of literals that are contained in at least one data range  $DR_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Synonyms of disjunction are union and inclusive or.

- DISCO-C-DISJUNCTION-01: Only disco: Variables or disco: Questions or disco:Represented Variables can have disco:concept relationships to skos:Concepts.

Variable  $\sqcup$  Question  $\sqcup$  Represented Variable  $\sqsubseteq \forall$  concept. Concept

• severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{31}}$  R-44-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-LITERALS  $^{32}$  R-55-OBJECT-PROPERTY-PATHS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> R-15-CONJUNCTION-OF-CLASS-EXPRESSIONS, R-16-CONJUNCTION-OF-DATA-RANGES

 $<sup>^{34}</sup>$  R-17-DISJUNCTION-OF-CLASS-EXPRESSIONS, R-18-DISJUNCTION-OF-DATA-RANGES

# 3.29 Negation\*

A complement class expression  $^{35}$  ObjectComplementOf( CE ) contains all individuals that are not instances of the class expression CE.

# 3.30 Existential Quantifications\*T

An existential class expression<sup>36</sup> (existential restriction in DL terminology) contains all those individuals that are connected by the property P to an individual x that is an instance of the class C or to literals that are in the data range DR.

- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: There must be at least one disco:universe relationship from disco:StudyGroups to disco:Universe (StudyGroup 

  □ □ universe.Universe).
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-02: There must be at least one disco:universe relationship from disco:Studies to disco:Universe (Study 

  ∃ universe.Universe).
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-03: There may be
  a disco:universe relationship from disco:RepresentedVariable to disco:Universe
  (RepresentedVariable 

  ∃ universe.Universe).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-04: There may be a disco:universe relationship from disco:Variable to disco:Universe (Variable 

  □ ∃ universe.Universe).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-05: There may be
   a disco:universe relationship from disco:Question to disco:Universe (Question
   □ ∃ universe.Universe).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-06: There may be a disco:universe relationship from disco:LogicalDataSet to disco:Universe (LogicalDataSet  $\sqsubseteq \exists$  universe.Universe).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-07: There is no relationship (disco:ddifile) to a DDI-XML file containing further information about the series (disco:StudyGroup) for further analyses.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-08: There is no relationship (disco:ddifile) to a DDI-XML file containing further information about the study (disco:Study) for further analyses.
  - severity level: INFO

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{35}}$  R-19-NEGATION-OF-CLASS-EXPRESSIONS, R-20-NEGATION-OF-DATA-RANGES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> R-86-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATION-ON-PROPERTIES

- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-09: It is important to know the kind of data (disco:kindOfData) collected for a particular series (disco:StudyGroup). Survey data, e.g., is much easier accessible than census data. For census data, it is necessary to get in contact with the individual data archive and if data access is granted it may take months to actually get the data.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-10: It is important to know the kind of data (disco:kindOfData) collected for a particular study (disco:Study). Survey data, e.g., is much easier accessible than census data. For census data, it is necessary to get in contact with the individual data archive and if data access is granted it may take months to actually get the data.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-11: Information about
  the temporal coverage (dcterms:temporal) of a series (disco:StudyGroup) is
  of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all series of a given year
  (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-12: Information about
  the spatial coverage (dcterms:spatial) of a series (disco:StudyGroup) is of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all series of a given year (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial
  coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-13: Information about
  the topical coverage (dcterms:subject) of a series (disco:StudyGroup) is of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all series of a given year (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial
  coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-14: Information about
  the temporal coverage (dcterms:temporal) of a study (disco:Study) is of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all studies of a given year
  (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-15: Information about
  the spatial coverage (dcterms:spatial) of a study (disco:Study) is of interest
  for particular queries (e.g. to search for all studies of a given year (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial
  coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO

- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-16: Information about
  the topical coverage (dcterms:subject) of a study (disco:Study) is of interest
  for particular queries (e.g. to search for all studies of a given year (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial
  coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-17: Information about the temporal coverage (dcterms:temporal) of a data set (disco:LogicalDataSet) is of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all data sets of a given year (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-18: Information about
  the spatial coverage (dcterms:spatial) of a data set (disco:LogicalDataSet) is
  of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all data sets of a given
  year (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries
  (spatial coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-19: Information about the topical coverage (dcterms:subject) of a data set (disco:LogicalDataSet) is of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all data sets of a given year (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-20: Information about
  the temporal coverage (dcterms:temporal) of a data file (disco:DataFile) is
  of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all data files of a given
  year (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries
  (spatial coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-21: Information about
  the spatial coverage (dcterms:spatial) of a data file (disco:DataFile) is of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all data files of a given year
  (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-22: Information about
  the topical coverage (dcterms:subject) of a data file (disco:DataFile) is of interest for particular queries (e.g. to search for all data files of a given year
  (temporal coverage) and for which data is collected in which countries (spatial coverage) about which topics (topical coverage)).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-23: Information about creators (dcterms:creator) (persons or organizations) of a series is important when searching for series of the same creators.
  - severity level: INFO

- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-24: Information about creators (dcterms:creator) (persons or organizations) of a studies is important when searching for studies of the same creators.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-25: If summary statistics are collected for studies, detailed further analyses are possible.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-26: If category statistics are collected for studies, detailed further analyses are possible.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-27: If a study has
  no associated data sets, the actual description of the data is missing. Eventually, it is very hard or even impossible to get access to the data.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-28: If there is no data file for a given data set, the description of the data set and the containing study is not sufficient.
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-29: The case quantity measures how many cases are collected for a study. High case quantity (disco:caseQuantity), stated for data files, is an indicator for high statistical quality of the underlying study. It indicates how comprehensive the study is.
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-30: High variable quantity (disco:variableQuantity), stated for data files, is an indicator for high statistical quality of the underlying study. It indicates how comprehensive the study is.
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-31: High variable quantity (disco:variableQuantity), stated for data sets, is an indicator for high statistical quality of the underlying study. It indicates how comprehensive the study is.
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-32: There is no summary statistics type information (disco:summaryStatisticsType) for a summary statistics resource.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-33: There is no summary statistics value (rdf:value) for a summary statistics resource.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-34: There is no relationship to a variable (disco:statisticsVariable) for a summary statistics resource.
  - severity level: ERROR

- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-35: Category statistics resources must be related (disco:statisticsCategory) to codes/categories
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-36: Category statistics resources must have at minimum one value for either frequency, percentage, or cumulative percentage.
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-37:** Codes should be associated with categories (human-readable labels).
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-38:** An instrument (disco:Instrument) may have a link (disco:externalDocumentation) to the questionnaire.
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-39:** Questions (disco:Question) must have question texts (disco:questionText).
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-40:** Questions (disco:Question) may have response domains (disco:responseDomain).
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-41:** Questionnaires (disco:Questionnaire) may contain (disco:question) questions (disco:Question).
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-42:** Questions (disco:Question) may have question numbers (skos:prefLabel).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-43: Variables (disco: Variable)
  may have relationships (disco:question) to questions (disco:Question), as
  variables are created out of questions or calculated on the basis of other
  variables.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-44: Data sets (disco:LogicalDataSet) may have (disco:variable) variables (disco:Variable).
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-45:** Variables (disco:Variable) may have (disco:concept) an associated theoretical concept (skos:Concept).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-46: Each variable (disco:Variable) should have (disco:representation) a variable representation (disco:Representation) which is either an ordered code list (skos:OrderedCollection), an unordered code list (skos:ConceptScheme) or a union of datatypes (rdfs:Datatype).
  - severity level: WARNING
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Dimensions have range (IC-4 [3]) Every dimension declared in a qb:DataStructureDefinition must have a declared rdfs:range.

- severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-02: Concept dimensions have code lists (IC-5 [3]) - Every dimension with range skos:Concept must have a qb:codeList.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-03: DSD includes measure (IC-3 [3]) Every qb:DataStructureDefinition must include (qb:component, qb:componentProperty) at least one declared measure.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-04: Slice Keys must be declared (IC-7[3]) Every qb:SliceKey must be associated with (qb:sliceKey) a qb:DataStructureDefinition (SliceKey  $\sqsubseteq$  3 sliceKey .DataStructureDefinition).
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.31 Universal Quantifications\*T

A universal class expression  $^{37}$  (value restriction in DL) contains all those individuals that are connected by an object property only to individuals that are instances of a particular class.

- DATA-CUBE-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each Data Cube object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DCAT-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each DCAT object and data property. Only dcat:Catalogs can have dcat:dataset relationships to dcat:Datasets (Catalog  $\sqsubseteq \forall$  dataset.Dataset).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each Disco object and data property. Only disco:LogicalDataSets can have disco:aggregation relationships to qb:DataSets (LogicalDataSet 

  ∀ aggregation.DataSet).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- PHDD-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each PHDD object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each SKOS object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- XKOS-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each XKOS object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{37}</sup>$  R-91-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATION-ON-PROPERTIES

# 3.32 Minimum Unqualified Cardinality Restrictions\*T

A minimum cardinality restriction  $^{38}$  contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to at least n different individuals/literals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl: Thing. If the data range is missing, it is taken to be rdfs:Literal.  $\leq nR$ . T is the minimum unqualified cardinality restriction where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (written  $\leq nR$  in short). For unqualified cardinality restrictions, classes respective data ranges are not stated.

# 3.33 Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions\*T

A minimum cardinality restriction<sup>39</sup> contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to at least n different individuals/literals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl: Thing. If the data range is missing, it is taken to be  $rdfs:Literal. \ge nR.C$  is a minimum qualified cardinality restriction where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- DATA-CUBE-C-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01: Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions constraints are defined for each Data Cube object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-02: Unique data set (IC-1 [3]) - Every qb:Observation has (qb:dataSet) exactly one associated qb:DataSet (Observation ⊆ ≥1 dataSet.DataSet □
   ≤1 dataSet.DataSet).
  - Severity level: ERROR

#### $-\ DCAT\text{-}C\text{-}MINIMUM\text{-}QUALIFIED\text{-}CARDINALITY\text{-}RESTRICTIONS\text{-}}$

01: Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions constraints are defined for each DCAT object and data property.

• Severity level: ERROR

#### $-\ DISCO-C-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-$

**01:** Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions constraints are defined for each Disco object and data property. A disco:Questionnaire, e.g., has at least one disco:question relationship to disco:Questions (Questionnaire  $\sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  question.Question).

• Severity level: ERROR

### - PHDD-C-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-

01: Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions constraints are defined for each PHDD object and data property.

• Severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{38}</sup>$  R-81-MINIMUM-UNQUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES,  $\quad$  R-211-CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS

 $<sup>^{39} \</sup> R-75-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES, \\ CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS \\ R-211-$ 

# 3.34 Maximum Unqualified Cardinality Restrictions\*T

A maximum cardinality restriction contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to at most n different individuals/literals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl:Thing. If the data range is not present, it is taken to be rdfs:Literal. Unqualified means that the class respective the data range is not stated.  $\geq nR$ . T is a maximum unqualified cardinality restriction<sup>40</sup> where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (written  $\geq nR$  in short).

# 3.35 Maximum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions\*T

A maximum cardinality restriction contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to at most n different individuals/literals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl: Thing. If the data range is not present, it is taken to be rdfs:Literal. Qualified means that the class respective the data range is stated.  $\leq nR.C$  is a maximum qualified cardinality restriction<sup>41</sup> where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# - DISCO-C-MAXIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-

01: A disco:Variable has at most one disco:concept relationship to a theoretical concept (skos:Concept) (Variable  $\sqsubseteq \leqslant 1$  concept.Concept).

• Severity level: ERROR

#### $-\ DATA\text{-}CUBE\text{-}C\text{-}MAXIMUM\text{-}QUALIFIED\text{-}CARDINALITY\text{-}RESTRICTIONS\text{-}}$

**01:** Unique data set (*IC-1* [3]) - Every *qb:Observation* has (*qb:dataSet*) exactly one associated *qb:DataSet* (Observation  $\sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  dataSet.DataSet  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  dataSet.DataSet).

• Severity level: ERROR

# 3.36 Exact Unqualified Cardinality Restrictions\*T

An exact cardinality restriction  $^{42}$  contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to exactly n different individuals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl: Thing. If the data range is not present, it is taken to be rdfs:Literal. Unqualified means that the class respective data range is not stated.  $\geq nR. \top \sqcap \leq nR. \top$  is an exact unqualified cardinality restriction where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# - DATA-CUBE-C-EXACT-UNQUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-

**01:** Unique slice structure (IC-9 [3]) - Each qb:Slice must have exactly one associated qb:sliceStructure.

• Severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{41}\</sup> R-76-MAXIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES, \\ CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS$  R-211-

 $<sup>^{42}\</sup> R-80-EXACT-UNQUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES, \\ CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS$  R-211-

# 3.37 Exact Qualified Cardinality Restrictions\*T

An exact cardinality restriction<sup>43</sup> contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to exactly n different individuals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl: Thing. If the data range is not present, it is taken to be  $rdfs:Literal. \ge nR.C \sqcap \le nR.C$  is an exact qualified cardinality restriction where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# - DISCO-C-EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-

01: A disco:Question has exactly 1 disco:universe relationship to disco:Universe (Question  $\sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  universe.Universe  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  universe.Universe).

• Severity level: ERROR

#### - DATA-CUBE-C-EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-

**02:** Unique DSD (*IC-2* [3]) - Every *qb:DataSet* has (*qb:structure*) exactly one associated *qb:DataStructureDefinition* (DataSet  $\sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  structure.DataStructureDefinition  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  structure.DataStructureDefinition).

• Severity level: ERROR

# 3.38 Transitive Object Properties\*

Transitivity is a special form of complex role inclusion. An object property transitivity  $axiom^{44}$  states that the object property is transitive — that is, if an individual x is connected by the object property to an individual y that is connected by the object property to an individual z, then x is also connected by the object property to z.

#### 3.39 Context-Specific Exclusive OR of Properties

Exclusive or is a logical operation that outputs true whenever both inputs differ (one is true, the other is false). Only one of multiple properties within some context (e.g. a class, a shape, or an application profile) leads to valid data<sup>45</sup>. This constraint is generally expressed in DL as follows:  $C \sqsubseteq (\neg A \sqcap B) \sqcup (A \sqcap \neg B)$ .

#### 3.40 Context-Specific Exclusive OR of Property Groups

Exclusive or is a logical operation that outputs true whenever both inputs differ (one is true, the other is false). Only one of multiple property groups leads to valid  $data^{46}$ .

 $<sup>^{43}\</sup> R-74-EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES, \qquad R-211-CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS$ 

 $<sup>^{44}\</sup> R\text{-}63\text{-}TRANSITIVE\text{-}OBJECT\text{-}PROPERTIES$ 

 $<sup>^{45}\</sup> R-11-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-EXCLUSIVE-OR-OF-PROPERTIES$ 

 $<sup>^{46}</sup>$  R-13-DISJOINT-GROUP-OF-PROPERTIES-CLASS-SPECIFIC

 $- \ DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-EXCLUSIVE-OR-OF-PROPERTY-$ 

**GROUPS-01:** Within the context of *Disco*, *skos:Concepts* can have either *skos:definition* (when interpreted as theoretical concepts) or *skos:notation* and *skos:prefLabel* properties (when interpreted as codes and categories),

Concept 
$$\sqsubseteq$$
  $(\neg D \sqcap C) \sqcup (D \sqcap \neg C)$ 

$$D \equiv A \sqcap B$$

but not both. A  $\sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  notation.string  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  notation.string

 $B \sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  prefLabel.string  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  prefLabel.string

 $C \sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  definition.string  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  definition.string

• severity level: INFO

#### 3.41 Allowed Values<sup>T</sup>

It is a common requirement to narrow down the value space of a property by an exhaustive enumeration of the valid values (both literals or resources). This is often rendered in drop down boxes or radio buttons in user interfaces. *Allowed values*<sup>47</sup> for properties can be IRIs, IRIs (matching one or multiple patterns), (any) literals, literals of a list of allowed literals (e.g. 'red' 'blue' 'green'), typed literals of one or multiple type(s) (e.g. *xsd:string*).

- DISCO-C-ALLOWED-VALUES-01. disco:CategoryStatistics can only have disco:computationBase relationships to the values valid and invalid of the datatype rdf:langString (CategoryStatistics ≡ ∀ computationBase. {valid,invalid} ⊓ langString).
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.42 Not Allowed Values

A matching triple has any literal / object except those explicitly excluded<sup>48</sup>.

#### 3.43 Literal Ranges

P1 is a data property (of an instance of class C1) and its literal value must be between the range of  $[V_{min}, V_{max}]^{49}$ .

- **DISCO-C-LITERAL-RANGES-01:** disco:percentage (domain: disco:CategoryStatistics) literals must be of the datatype xsd:double whose range should be restricted to be between 0 and 100.
  - severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{47}}$   $R\text{--}30\text{--}ALLOWED\text{--}VALUES\text{--}FOR\text{--}RDF\text{--}OBJECTS}$  and  $R\text{--}37\text{--}ALLOWED\text{--}VALUES\text{--}FOR\text{--}RDF\text{--}LITERALS}$ 

 $<sup>^{48}\</sup> R-33-NEGATIVE-OBJECT-CONSTRAINTS, \qquad R-200-NEGATIVE-LITERAL-CONSTRAINTS$ 

 $<sup>^{49}</sup>$  R-45-RANGES-OF-RDF-LITERAL-VALUES

### 3.44 Negative Literal Ranges

P1 is a data property (of an instance of class C1) and its literal value must not be between the range of  $[V_{min}, V_{max}]^{50}$ .

# 3.45 Required Properties<sup>T</sup>

Properties may be required $^{51}$ .

# 3.46 Optional Properties<sup>T</sup>

Properties may be optional $^{52}$ .

# 3.47 Repeatable Properties<sup>T</sup>

Properties may be repeatable<sup>53</sup>.

# 3.48 Negative Property Constraints

Instances of a specific class must not have some object property<sup>54</sup>.

### 3.49 Individual Equality\*

Individual equality<sup>55</sup> states that two different names are known to refer to the same individual [5].

# 3.50 Functional Properties\*

An object property functionality  $axiom^{56}$  FunctionalObjectProperty(OPE) states that the object property expression OPE is functional — that is, for each individual x, there can be at most one distinct individual y such that x is connected by OPE to y. Each such axiom can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the following axiom:  $SubClassOf(owl:Thing\ ObjectMaxCardinality(1\ OPE))$ .

 $<sup>^{50}\</sup> R\text{-}142\text{-}NEGATIVE\text{-}RANGES\text{-}OF\text{-}RDF\text{-}LITERAL\text{-}VALUES$ 

 $<sup>^{51}</sup>$  R-68-REQUIRED-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{52}</sup>$  R-69-OPTIONAL-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{53}</sup>$  R-70-REPEATABLE-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{54}\</sup> R-52-NEGATIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTY-CONSTRAINTS, \qquad R-53-NEGATIVE-DATA-PROPERTY-CONSTRAINTS$ 

 $<sup>^{55}\</sup> R\text{-}6\text{-}EQUIVALENT\text{-}INDIVIDUALS$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> R-57-FUNCTIONAL-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

### 3.51 Inverse-Functional Properties\*

An object property inverse functionality  $axiom^{57}$  InverseFunctionalObjectProperty(OPE) states that the object property expression OPE is inverse-functional - that is, for each individual x, there can be at most one individual y such that y is connected by OPE with x. Each such axiom can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the following axiom:  $SubClassOf(owl:Thing\ ObjectMaxCardinality(10bjectInverseOf(OPE))$ .

- DISCO-C-INVERSE-FUNCTIONAL-PROPERTIES-01: For each rdfs:Resource x, there can be at most one distinct rdfs:Resource y such that y is connected by adms:identifier to x (funct identifier).
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-INVERSE-FUNCTIONAL-PROPERTIES-02: Keys are even more general than inverse-functional properties, as a key can be a data, an object property, or a chain of properties [7]. For this generalization purposes, as there are different sorts of key, and as keys can lead to undecidability, DL is extended with key boxes and a special keyfor construct (identifier keyfor Resource) [6]. OWL 2 hasKey implements keyfor and thus can be used to identify resources uniquely, to merge resources with identical key property values, and to recognize constraint violations.
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.52 Value Restrictions\*

Individual Value Restrictions<sup>58</sup>: A has-value class expression ObjectHas Value (OPE a) consists of an object property expression OPE and an individual a, and it contains all those individuals that are connected by OPE to a. Each such class expression can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the class expression ObjectSomeValuesFrom(OPEObjectOneOf(a)). Literal Value Restrictions: A has-value class expression DataHasValue(DPElt) consists of a data property expression DPE and a literal lt, and it contains all those individuals that are connected by DPE to lt. Each such class expression can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the class expression DataSomeValuesFrom(DPEDataOneOf(lt)).

#### 3.53 Self Restrictions\*

A self-restriction ObjectHasSelf(OPE) consists of an object property expression OPE, and it contains all those individuals that are connected by OPE to themselves.

 $<sup>^{57}\</sup> R\text{-}58\text{-}INVERSE\text{-}FUNCTIONAL\text{-}OBJECT\text{-}PROPERTIES$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> R-88-VALUE-RESTRICTIONS

# 3.54 Primary Key Properties

The Primary Key Properties<sup>59</sup> constraint is often useful to declare a given (datatype) property as the "primary key" of a class, so that a system can enforce uniqueness and also automatically build URIs from user input and data imported from relational databases or spreadsheets. Starfleet officers, e.g., are uniquely identified by their command authorization code (e.g. to activate and cancel autodestruct sequences). It means that the property commandAuthorizationCode is inverse functional - mapped to DL as follows: (funct commandAuthorizationCode<sup>-</sup>) Keys, however, are even more general, i.e., a generalization of inverse functional properties [7]. A key can be a datatype property, an object property, or a chain of properties. For this generalization purposes, as there are different sorts of key, and as keys can lead to undecidability, DL is extended with key boxes and a special keyfor construct[6]. This leads to the following DL mapping (only one simple property constraint): commandAuthorizationCode keyfor StarfleetOfficer

- see inverse-functional properties

# 3.55 Class-Specific Property Range\*T

Class-Specific Property Range<sup>60</sup> restricts the range of object and data properties for individuals within a specific context (e.g. class, shape, application profile). The values of each member property of a class may be limited by their value type, such as xsd:string or foaf:Person.

- DISCO-C-CLASS-SPECIFIC-PROPERTY-RANGE-01: Only disco:Questions can have disco:questionText relationships to literals of the datatype rdf:langString (¬Question ⊑ ¬∃ questionText.langString).
  - severity level: ERROR

#### 3.56 Class-Specific Reflexive Object Properties\*

Using DL terminology *Class-Specific Reflexive Object Properties* is called local reflexivity - a set of individuals (of a specific class) that are related to themselves via a given role [5].

# 3.57 Membership in Controlled Vocabularies.

Resources can only be members of listed controlled vocabularies<sup>61</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> R-226-PRIMARY-KEY-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{60}</sup>$  R-29-CLASS-SPECIFIC-RANGE-OF-RDF-OBJECTS, R-36-CLASS-SPECIFIC-RANGE-OF-RDF-LITERALS

 $<sup>^{61}</sup>$  R-32-MEMBERSHIP-OF-RDF-OBJECTS-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES, R-39-MEMBERSHIP-OF-RDF-LITERALS-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES

#### - DISCO-C-MEMBERSHIP-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES-

01: disco:SummaryStatistics can only have disco:summaryStatisticType relationships to skos:Concepts which must be members of the controlled vocabulary ddicv:SummaryStatisticType which is a skos:ConceptScheme.

SummaryStatistics  $\sqsubseteq \forall summaryStatisticType.A$ 

 $A \equiv Concept \sqcap \forall inScheme.B$ 

 $B \equiv ConceptScheme \sqcap \{SummaryStatisticType\}$ 

• severity level: ERROR

#### $-\ DATA-CUBE-C-MEMBERSHIP-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES-$

01: Codes from code list  $(IC-19\ [3])$  - If a dimension property has a qb:codeList, then the value of the dimension property on every qb:Observation must be in the code list.

• severity level: ERROR

#### 3.58 IRI Pattern Matching

IRI pattern matching applied on subjects, properties, and objects<sup>62</sup>.

- **DISCO-C-IRI-PATTERN-MATCHING-01**: disco:Study resources must match a given IRI pattern.
  - severity level: INFO

#### 3.59 Literal Value Comparison

Depending on the property semantics, there are cases where two different literal values must have a specific ordering with respect to an operator. P1 and P2 are the datatype properties we need to compare and OP is the comparison operator  $(<, <=, >, >=, =, !=)^{63}$ . The COMP Pattern, one of the Data Quality Test Patterns, can be used to validate the Literal Value Comparison constraint [4]:

- DISCO-C-LITERAL-VALUE-COMPARISON-01: disco:startDates must be before ('<') disco:endDates. To validate this constraint we bind the variables as follows (P1: disco:startDate, P2: disco:endDate, OP: <).</li>
  - severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{62}</sup>$  R-21-IRI-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-SUBJECTS, R-22-IRI-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-OBJECTS, R-23-IRI-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{63}\</sup> R\text{--}43\text{-}LITERAL\text{-}VALUE\text{-}COMPARISON$ 

# 3.60 Ordering

With this constraint objects of object properties can be ordered as well as literals of data properties<sup>64</sup>.

In DDI, variables, questions, and codes/categories are typically organized in a particular order. For obtaining this order, *skos:OrderedCollection* resources are used.

- DISCO-C-ORDERING-01: If disco: Variables of a given disco: LogicalDataSet should be ordered, a collection of variables must be present in the data and connected with the data set. The collection of variables is of the type skos: OrderedCollection containing multiple variables (each represented as skos: Concept) in a skos: memberList.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-ORDERING-02: If disco: Questions of a given disco: Questionnaire should be ordered, a collection of questions must be present in the data and connected with the questionnaire. The collection of questions is of the type skos: Ordered Collection containing multiple questions (each represented as skos: Concept) in a skos: member List.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-ORDERING-03: If codes/categories (skos:Concepts) of a given disco:Representation of a given disco:Variable should be ordered, the variable representation should also be of the type skos:OrderedCollection containing multiple codes/categories (each represented as skos:Concept) in a skos:memberList.
  - severity level: INFO

### 3.61 Validation Levels

Different levels of severity (priority) $^{65}$  should be assigned to constraints. Possible validation levels could be: informational, warning, error, fail, should, recommended, must, may, optional, closed (only this) constraints, open (at least this) constraint.

For Disco each constraint should be assigned to exactly one validation level.

#### 3.62 String Operations

Many different  $string\ operations^{66}$  are possible. Some constraints require building new strings out of other strings. Calculating the string length would also be another constraint of this type.

 $<sup>^{64}</sup>$  R-121-SPECIFY-ORDER-OF-RDF-RESOURCES, R-217-DEFINE-ORDER-FOR-FORMS/DISPLAY

 $<sup>^{65}\</sup> R-205-VARYING-LEVELS-OF-ERROR,\ R-135-CONSTRAINT-LEVELS,\ R-158-SEVERITY-LEVELS-OF-CONSTRAINT-VIOLATIONS,\ R-193-MULTIPLE-CONSTRAINT-VALIDATION-EXECUTION-LEVELS$ 

 $<sup>^{66}\</sup> R\text{--}194\text{-}PROVIDE\text{-}STRING\text{-}FUNCTIONS\text{-}FOR\text{-}RDF\text{-}LITERALS$ 

- **DISCO-C-STRING-OPERATIONS-01**: The title of a study (*dcterms:title*) (e.g. 'EU-SILC 2005') may be calculated out of the title of the containing series (*dcterms:title*) (e.g. 'EU-SILC') and the human-readable label of the study (*rdfs:label*) (e.g. '2005').
  - severity level: INFO

# 3.63 Context-Specific Valid Classes<sup>T</sup>

What types are valid in a specific context?<sup>67</sup> Context can be an input stream, a data creation function, or an API.

- DATA-CUBE-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01: For future versions of Data Cube, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: INFO
- DCAT-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01: For future versions of DCAT, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01**: For future versions of *Disco*, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: INFO
- PHDD-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01: For future versions of PHDD, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: INFO
- **SKOS-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01**: For future versions of *SKOS*, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: INFO
- XKOS-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01: For future versions of XKOS, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: INFO

# 3.64 Context-Specific Valid Properties<sup>T</sup>

What properties can be used within this context?<sup>68</sup> Context can be an data receipt function, data creation function, or API.

- DATA-CUBE-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01:
   For future versions of Data Cube, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: INFO

 $<sup>^{67}</sup>$  R-209-VALID-CLASSES

<sup>68</sup> R-210-VALID-PROPERTIES

- DCAT-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01: For future versions of DCAT, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01: For future versions of Disco, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
   severity level: INFO
- PHDD-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01: For future versions of PHDD, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
   severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01: For future versions of SKOS, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
   severity level: INFO
- XKOS-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01: For future versions of XKOS, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: INFO

# 3.65 Default Values\*T

Default values<sup>69</sup> for objects and literals are inferred automatically. It should be possible to declare the default value for a given property, e.g. so that input forms can be pre-populated and to insert a required property that is missing in a web service call.

- **DISCO-C-DEFAULT-VALUES-01:** The value 'true' for the property disco:isPublic (xsd:boolean) indicates that the data set (disco:LogicalDataSet) can be accessed (usually downloaded) by anyone. Per default, access to data sets should be restricted ('false').
  - severity level: INFO

#### 3.66 Mathematical Operations

Examples for *Mathematical Operations*<sup>70</sup> are the addition of two dates, the addition of days to a start date, and statistical computations (e.g. average, mean, sum).

- DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-01: The sum of disco:percentage (datatype: xsd:double) values of all codes (represented as skos:Concepts) of a code list (skos:ConceptScheme or skos:OrderedCollection), serving as representation of a particular disco:Variable, must exactly be 100.
  - severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{69}}$  R-31-DEFAULT-VALUES-OF-RDF-OBJECTS, R-38-DEFAULT-VALUES-OF-RDF-LITERALS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> R-42-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS, R-41-STATISTICAL-COMPUTATIONS

- DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-02: For a given variable, the sum of all category statistics (frequency) has to be equal to the 'total' value of summary statistics.
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-03**: For a given variable, the sum of 'valid total' and 'missing total' has to be equal to 'total'.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-04: For a given variable, the 'total' value for country 'All' must be equal to the sum of the 'total' value for all Countries.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-05: Minimum values do not have to be greater than maximum values (disco:SummaryStatistics).
  - severity level: ERROR

#### 3.67 Language Tag Matching

For particular data properties, values must be stated for predefined languages<sup>71</sup>.

- **DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-MATCHING-01:** There must be an English variable name (*skos:notation*) for each *disco:Variable* within *disco:LoqicalDataSets*.
  - severity level: INFO

### 3.68 Language Tag Cardinality

For particular data properties, values of predefined languages must be stated for determined number of times<sup>72</sup>.

- DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-01: There must be at least one English disco:questionText for each disco:Question within disco:LogicalDataSets.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-02: There should be at most one English literal value for variable names (skos:notation, domain: disco:Variable).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-03: For each question (disco:Question), there must be at least one question text (disco:questionText) associated with a language tag of an arbitrary language or with an English language tag.
  - severity level: INFO

 $<sup>^{71}</sup>$  R-47-LANGUAGE-TAG-MATCHING

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> R-49-RDF-LITERALS-HAVING-AT-MOST-ONE-LANGUAGE-TAG, R-48-MISSING-LANGUAGE-TAGS

- SKOS-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-01<sup>73</sup>: Omitted or Invalid Language Tags: Some controlled vocabularies contain literals in natural language, but without information what language has actually been used. Language tags might also not conform to language standards, such as RFC 3066.
  - Implementation: Iteration over all triples in the vocabulary that have a predicate which is a (subclass of) rdfs:label or skos:note.
  - Severity level: WARNING
- SKOS-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-02<sup>74</sup>: Incomplete Language Coverage: Some concepts in a thesaurus are labeled in only one language, some in multiple languages. It may be desirable to have each concept labeled in each of the languages that also are used on the other concepts. This is not always possible, but incompleteness of language coverage for some concepts can indicate shortcomings of the vocabulary.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-03<sup>75</sup>: No Common Language: Checks if all concepts have at least one common language, i.e. they have assigned at least one literal in the same language.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-04<sup>76</sup>: Inconsistent Preferred Labels: According to the SKOS reference document, "A resource has no more than one value of skos:prefLabel per language tag".
  - Implementation: A SPARQL query is used to find concepts with at least two prefLabels. In a second step, the language tags of these prefLabels are analyzed and an ambiguity is detected if they are equal.
  - Severity level: INFO

### 3.69 Whitespace Handling

Avoid whitespaces in literals neither leading nor trailing white spaces<sup>77</sup>.

- DISCO-C-WHITESPACE-HANDLING-01: Delete whitespaces of series and study abstracts (dcterms:abstract; domain: disco:StudyGroup, disco:Study) automatically.
  - severity level: INFO

 $<sup>^{73}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Omitted or Invalid Language Tags

 $<sup>^{74}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Incomplete Language Coverage

 $<sup>^{75}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - No Common Language

 $<sup>^{76}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Inconsistent Preferred Labels

 $<sup>^{77}</sup>$  R-50-WHITESPACE-HANDLING-OF-RDF-LITERALS

#### 3.70 HTML Handling

Check if all HTML tags, included in literals (of specific data properties within the context of specific classes)<sup>78</sup>, are closed properly.

- DISCO-C-HTML-HANDLING-01: Check if all HTML tags, included in literals of all Disco data properties, are closed properly.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-HTML-HANDLING-02: Check if all HTML tags, included in literals of all data properties whose domains are *Disco* classes, are closed properly.
  - severity level: INFO

#### 3.71 Conditional Properties

If specific properties exist, then specific other properties must also be present<sup>79</sup>.

- DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-01: If a skos: Concept represents a code (having a skos:notation property) and a category (having a skos:prefLabel property), then the property disco:isValid has to be stated indicating if the code is valid ('true') or missing ('false').
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-02: In order to get an overview over a series or a study either an abstract, a title, an alternative title, or links to external descriptions should be stated. If the abstract (dcterms:abstract) of a series (disco:StudyGroup) and an external description of the series (disco:ddifile) is missing, a series title (dcterms:title) or an alternative series title (dcterms:alternative) has to be stated.
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-03: In order to get an overview over a series or a study either an abstract, a title, an alternative title, or links to external descriptions should be stated. If the abstract (dcterms:abstract) of a study (disco:Study) and an external description of the study (disco:ddifile) is missing, a study title (dcterms:title) or an alternative study title (dcterms:alternative) has to be stated.
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-04: If the abstract (dc-terms:abstract) of a series (disco:StudyGroup), an external description of the series (disco:ddifile), a series title (dcterms:title), and an alternative series title (dcterms:alternative) is missing, an error message should be shown.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-05: If the abstract (dc-terms:abstract) of a study (disco:Study), an external description of the study (disco:ddifile), a study title (dcterms:title), and an alternative study title (dcterms:alternative) is missing, an error message should be shown.

 $<sup>^{78}</sup>$  R-51-HTML-HANDLING-OF-RDF-LITERALS

 $<sup>^{79}</sup>$  R-71-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES

- severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-06**: If a category statistics resource is connected with a code, it must be stated if the code is valid (disco:isValid) and the code must be stated (skos:notation)
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.72 Recommended Properties

Which properties are not necessarily required but recommended within a particular context<sup>80</sup>.

- DATA-CUBE-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:
  - severity level: INFO
- DCAT-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01: The property skos:notation is not mandatory for disco: Variables, but recommended to indicate variable names.
  - severity level: INFO
- PHDD-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:
  - severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:
  - severity level: INFO
- XKOS-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:
  - severity level: INFO

#### 3.73 Handle RDF Collections

Examples of the *Handle RDF Collections*<sup>81</sup> constraint are: a collection must have a specific size; the first/last element of a given list must be a specific literal; the elements of collections are compared; are collections identical?; actions on RDF lists<sup>82</sup>; the 2. list element must be equal to 'XXX'; does the list have more than 10 elements?

- DISCO-C-HANDLE-RDF-COLLECTIONS-01: Have comparable disco: Variables the same number of codes in their code lists?
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-HANDLE-RDF-COLLECTIONS-02: Does the actual number of disco: Variables within an (un)ordered collection of a given disco:LogicalDataSet match the expected number?
  - severity level: INFO

 $<sup>^{80}</sup>$  R-72-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{81}</sup>$  R-120-HANDLE-RDF-COLLECTIONS

<sup>82</sup> See http://www.snee.com/bobdc.blog/2014/04/rdf-lists-and-sparql.html

# 3.74 Value is Valid for Datatype<sup>T</sup>

Make sure that a value is valid for its datatype. It has to be ensured, e.g., that a date is really a date, or that a xsd:nonNegativeInteger value is not negative.

- DISCO-C-VALUE-IS-VALID-FOR-DATATYPE-01: Check if all literal values of properties used within the Disco context of the datatype xsd:date (e.g. disco:startDate, disco:endDate, dcterms:date) are really of the datatype xsd:date.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-VALUE-IS-VALID-FOR-DATATYPE-02: Frequencies (disco:frequency)
  cannot be negative, i.e., must correspond to the XML Schema datatype
  xsd:nonNegativeInteger.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-VALUE-IS-VALID-FOR-DATATYPE-01: Datatype consistency (IC-0 [3]) The RDF graph must be consistent under RDF D-entailment using a datatype map containing all the datatypes used within the graph.
  - severity level: ERROR

# 3.75 Use Sub-Super Relations in Validation<sup>T</sup>

83

The validation of instances data (direct or indirect) exploits the sub-class or sub-property link in a given ontology. This validation can indicate when the data is verbose (redundant) or expressed at a too general level, and could be improved. If dcterms:date and one of its sub-properties dcterms:created or dcterms:issued are present, e.g., check that the value in dcterms:date is not redundant with dcterms:created or dcterms:issued for ingestion.

- DISCO-C-USE-SUB-SUPER-RELATIONS-IN-VALIDATION-01:
  - If one or more *dcterms:coverage* properties are present, suggest the use of one of its sub-properties *dcterms:spatial* or *dcterms:temporal*.
    - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-USE-SUB-SUPER-RELATIONS-IN-VALIDATION-02:

If the *dcterms:contributor* property is present, suggest the use of one of its sub-properties, e.g. *disco:fundedBy*.

• severity level: INFO

# 3.76 Cardinality Shortcuts\*T

In most library applications, cardinality shortcuts tend to appear in pairs, with repeatable/non-repeatable establishing maximum cardinality and optional/mandatory establishing minimum cardinality. These are shortcuts for more detailed *cardinality restrictions*.

<sup>83</sup> R-224-USE-SUB-SUPER-RELATIONS-IN-VALIDATION

#### 3.77 Aggregation

Some constraints require aggregating multiple values, especially via COUNT, MIN and MAX.

- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-01: calculate the number of theoretical concepts in the thematic classification of a given study.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-02: calculate the number of variables of a data set.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-03: calculate the number of questions in a given questionnaire.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-04: the number of codes of a given variable must be below a maximum value.
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-05**: the number of questions of a given questionnaire must exactly be a given value.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-06: the cumulative percentage of all codes of a given variable must be 100.
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-07: the absolute frequency of all valid codes of a given variable must be equal to a given value.
  - severity level: INFO

# 3.78 Structure

SKOS is based on RDF, which is a graph-based data model. Therefore we can concentrate on the vocabulary's graph-based structure for assessing the quality of SKOS vocabularies and apply graph- and network-analysis techniques.

- DISCO-C-STRUCTURE-01: there must be exactly one root in the hierarchy of DDI concepts.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-STRUCTURE-01: Codes from hierarchy (IC-20 [3])
   If a dimension property has a qb:HierarchicalCodeList with a non-blank qb:parentChildProperty then the value of that dimension property on every qb:Observation must be reachable from a root of the hierarchy using zero or more hops along the qb:parentChildProperty links.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-STRUCTURE-02: Codes from hierarchy (inverse) (IC-21 [3]) If a dimension property has a qb:HierarchicalCodeList with an inverse qb:parentChildProperty then the value of that dimension property on every qb:Observation must be reachable from a root of the hierarchy using zero or more hops along the inverse qb:parentChildProperty links.

- severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-01<sup>84</sup>: Orphan Concepts: An orphan concept is a concept without any associative or hierarchical relations. It might have attached literals like e.g., labels, but is not connected to any other resource, lacking valuable context information. A controlled vocabulary that contains many orphan concepts is less usable for search and retrieval use cases, because, e.g., no hierarchical query expansion can be performed on search terms to find documents with more general content.
  - Implementation: Iteration over all concepts in the vocabulary and returning that don't have associated resources using (sub-properties of) skos:semanticRelation.
  - Severity level: WARNING
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-02<sup>85</sup>: Disconnected Concept Clusters: Checking the connectivity of the graph, it is possible to identify all weakly connected components. These datasets form "islands" in the vocabulary and might be caused by incomplete data acquisition, "forgotten" test data, outdated terms and the like.
  - Implementation: Creation of an undirected graph that includes all nonorphan concepts as nodes and all semantic relations as edges. Tarjan's algorithm then finds and returns all weakly connected components.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-03<sup>86</sup>: Cyclic Hierarchical Relations: Although perfectly consistent with the SKOS data model, cyclic relations may reveal a logical problem in the thesaurus. Consider the following example: "decision" → "problem resolution" → "problem" (→ "decision": here the cycle is closed). The concepts are connected using skos:broader relationships (indicated with "→"). Due to the fact that a thesaurus is in many cases a product of consensus between the contributors (or just the decision of one dedicated thesaurus manager), it will be almost impossible to automatically resolve the cycle (i.e. deleting an edge).
  - Implementation: Construction of a graph having all concepts as nodes and the set of edges being *skos:broader* relations.
  - Severity level: WARNING
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-04<sup>87</sup>: Valueless Associative Relations: Two concepts are sibling, but also connected by an associative relation. In that context, the associative relation is not necessary. See ISO\_DIS\_25964-1, 11.3.2.2
  - Implementation: Identification of all pairs of concepts that have the same broader or narrower concepts, i.e. they are "sibling terms". All siblings that are related by a *skos:related* property are returned.

 $<sup>^{84}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Orphan Concepts

 $<sup>^{85}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Disconnected Concept Clusters

<sup>86</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Cyclic Hierarchical Relations

<sup>87</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Valueless Associative Relations

- Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-05<sup>88</sup>: Solely Transitively Related Concepts: skos:broaderTransitive and skos:narrowerTransitive are, according to the SKOS reference document, "not used to make assertions", so they should not be the only relations hierarchically relating two concepts.
  - Implementation: Identification of all concept pairs that are related by skos:broaderTransitive or skos:narrowerTransitive properties but not by their skos:broader and skos:narrower subproperties.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-06<sup>89</sup>: Unidirectionally Related Concepts: Reciprocal relations (e.g., broader/narrower, related, hasTopConcept/topConceptOf) should be included in the controlled vocabularies to achieve better search results using SPARQL in systems without reasoner support.
  - Implementation: This issue is checked without inference of *owl:inverseOf* properties. We iterate over all triples and check for each property if an inverse property is defined in the SKOS ontology and if the respective statement using this property is included in the vocabulary. If not, the resources associated with this property are returned.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-07<sup>90</sup>: Omitted Top Concepts: A vocabulary should provide "entry points" to the data to provide "efficient access" (SKOS primer) and guidance for human users.
  - Implementation: For every ConceptScheme in the controlled vocabulary, a SPARQL query is issued finding resources that are associated with this ConceptScheme by one of the properties <code>skos:hasTopConcept</code> or <code>skos:topConceptOf</code>. Top concepts are also concepts having no broader concept.
  - Severity level: WARNING
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-08<sup>91</sup>: Top Concepts Having Broader Concepts:
   Concepts "internal to the tree" should not be indicated as top concepts.
  - Implementation: A SPARQL query finds all top concepts (being defined by one of the properties skos:hasTopConcept or skos:topConceptOf) having associated a broader concept.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- **SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-09**<sup>92</sup>: Hierarchical Redundancy: As stated in the SKOS reference document, *skos:broader* and *skos:narrower* are not transitive properties. However, they are sub-properties of *skos:broaderTransitive*

 $<sup>^{88}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Solely Transitively Related Concepts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Unidirectionally Related Concepts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Omitted Top Concepts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Top Concepts Having Broader Concepts

<sup>92</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Hierarchical Redundancy

and skos:narrowerTransitive which enables inference of a "transitive closure". This, in fact, leaves it up to the user to interpret whether a vocabulary's hierarchical structure is seen as transitive or not. In the former case, this check can be useful. It finds pairs of concepts (A,B) that are directly hierarchically related but there also exits an hierarchical path through a concept C that connects A and B.

- Severity level: INFO
- $SKOS\text{-}C\text{-}STRUCTURE\text{-}10^{93}:$  Reflexive Relations: Concepts related to themselves.
  - Severity level: WARNING

# 3.79 Labeling and Documentation

- DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-01: Series should be described (dcterms:description).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-02: Studies should be described (dcterms:description).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-03: Data sets should be described (dcterms:description).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-04: Data files should be described (dcterms:description).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-05: Instruments should be described (dcterms:description).
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-06**: Variables should be described (*dcterms:description*).
  - severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-01<sup>94</sup>: Undocumented Concepts: The SKOS standard defines a number of properties useful for documenting the meaning of the concepts in a thesaurus also in a humanreadable form. Intense use of these properties leads to a well-documented thesaurus which should also improve its quality.
  - Implementation: Iteration over all concepts in the vocabulary and find those not using one of skos:note, skos:changeNote, skos:definition, skos:editorialNote, skos:example, skos:historyNote, or skos:scopeNote.
  - Severity level: INFO

<sup>93</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Reflexive Relations

 $<sup>^{94}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Undocumented Concepts

- SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-02<sup>95</sup>: Overlapping Labels: This is a generalization of a recommendation in the SKOS primer, that "no two concepts have the same preferred lexical label in a given language when they belong to the same concept scheme". This could indicate missing disambiguation information and thus lead to problems in autocompletion application.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-03<sup>96</sup>: Missing Labels: To make the vocabulary more convenient for humans to use, instances of SKOS classes (Concept, ConceptScheme, Collection) should be labeled using e.g., skos:prefLabel, altLabel, rdfs:label, dc:title.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-04<sup>97</sup>: Unprintable Characters in Labels: pref/alt/hiddenlabels contain characters that are not alphanumeric characters or blanks.
  - Severity level: INFO
- **SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-05**<sup>98</sup>: Empty Labels: Labels also need to contain textual information to be useful, thus we find all SKOS labels with length 0 (after removing whitespaces).
  - Severity level: INFO
- **SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-06**<sup>99</sup>: Ambiguous Notation References: Concepts within the same concept scheme should not have identical *skos:notation* literals.
  - Severity level: INFO

# 3.80 Vocabulary<sup>T</sup>

Vocabularies should not invent any new terms or use deprecated elements.

- DATA-CUBE-C-VOCABULARY-01
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DCAT-C-VOCABULARY-01
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-VOCABULARY-01
  - Severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{95}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Overlapping Labels

 $<sup>^{96}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Missing Labels

 $<sup>^{97}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Unprintable Characters in Labels

 $<sup>^{98}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Empty Labels

 $<sup>^{99}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Ambiguous Notation References

### - PHDD-C-VOCABULARY-01

• Severity level: ERROR

- SKOS-C-VOCABULARY-01 $^{100}$ : Undefined SKOS Resources: The vocabulary should not invent any new terms within the SKOS namespace or use deprecated SKOS elements.

• Severity level: ERROR

#### - XKOS-C-VOCABULARY-01

• Severity level: ERROR

#### 3.81 Linked Data

- DISCO-C-HTTP-URI-SCHEME-VIOLATION<sup>101</sup>: In the context of Linked Data, we restrict ourselves to using HTTP URIs only and avoid other URI schemes such as URNs and DOIs.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-HTTP-URI-SCHEME-VIOLATION<sup>102</sup>: In the context of Linked Data, we restrict ourselves to using HTTP URIs only and avoid other URI schemes such as URNs and DOIs.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- PHDD-C-HTTP-URI-SCHEME-VIOLATION<sup>103</sup>: In the context of Linked Data, we restrict ourselves to using HTTP URIs only and avoid other URI schemes such as URNs and DOIs.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-HTTP-URI-SCHEME-VIOLATION<sup>104</sup>: In the context of Linked Data, we restrict ourselves to using HTTP URIs only and avoid other URI schemes such as URNs and DOIs.
  - Severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{100}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Linked Data Specific Issues - Undefined SKOS Resources

 $<sup>^{101}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Linked Data Specific Issues - HTTP URI Scheme Violation

 $<sup>^{102}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Linked Data Specific Issues - HTTP URI Scheme Violation

 $<sup>^{103}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Linked Data Specific Issues - HTTP URI Scheme Violation

 $<sup>^{104}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Linked Data Specific Issues - HTTP URI Scheme Violation

#### 3.82 Provenance

- DISCO-C-PROVENANCE-01: Series should have provenance information (dcterms:provenance).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-PROVENANCE-02: Studies should have provenance information (dcterms:provenance).
  - severity level: INFO
- DISCO-C-PROVENANCE-03: Data sets should have provenance information (dcterms:provenance).
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-PROVENANCE-04**: Data files should have provenance information (*dcterms:provenance*).
  - severity level: INFO

#### References

- Thomas Bosch and Kai Eckert. Requirements on rdf constraint formulation and validation. Proceedings of the DCMI International Conference on Dublin Core and Metadata Applications (DC 2014), 2014.
- Thomas Bosch, Andreas Nolle, Erman Acar, and Kai Eckert. Rdf validation requirements evaluation and logical underpinning. 2015.
- Richard Cyganiak and Dave Reynolds. The rdf data cube vocabulary. W3C recommendation, W3C, January 2014.
- 4. Dimitris Kontokostas, Patrick Westphal, Sören Auer, Sebastian Hellmann, Jens Lehmann, Roland Cornelissen, and Amrapali Zaveri. Test-driven evaluation of linked data quality. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on World Wide Web*, WWW '14, pages 747–758, Republic and Canton of Geneva, Switzerland, 2014. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.
- 5. Markus Krötzsch, Frantisek Simancik, and Ian Horrocks. A description logic primer. CoRR, abs/1201.4089, 2012.
- Carsten Lutz, Carlos Areces, Ian Horrocks, and Ulrike Sattler. Keys, nominals, and concrete domains. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 23(1):667–726, June 2005
- 7. Michael Schneider. OWL 2 Web Ontology Language RDF-Based Semantics. W3C recommendation, W3C, October 2009.