# RDF Constraint Types to Validate Metadata on Highly-Complex Person-Level and Aggregated Data

Thomas Bosch<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Zapilko<sup>1</sup>, Joachim Wackerow<sup>1</sup>, and Kai Eckert<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, Germany {firstname.lastname}@gesis.org,

<sup>2</sup> University of Mannheim, Germany kai@informatik.uni-mannheim.de

Abstract. ...

**Keywords:** RDF Validation, RDF Constraints, DDI-RDF Discovery Vocabulary, Disco, RDF Data Cube Vocabulary, Linked Data, Semantic Web

#### 1 Introduction

Bosch and Eckert initiated a comprehensive database<sup>3</sup> on RDF validation requirements to collect case studies, use cases, and requirements [1]. It is continuously updated and used to evaluate and to compare various existing solutions for RDF constraint formulation and validation. Requirements are classified to provide a high-level view on different solutions and to facilitate a better understanding of the problem domain. Bosch et al. identified in total 74 requirements to formulate RDF constraints; each of them corresponding to a constraint type. They recently published a technical report<sup>4</sup> in which they explain each requirement (constraint type) in detail and give examples for each (represented by listed constraint languages) [2]. We state at least one Disco constraint for the majority of the constraint types. Where appropriate constraint types are related to complementary requirements of the RDF validation requirements database. When constraint types are mapped to DL, we do not state namespace prefixes for simplicity reasons.

# 2 Validation Types

Content-Driven Validation / Data Model Consistency. Is the data consistent with the intended semantics of the data model? Such validation rules ensure the integrity of the data according to the data model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Publicly available at http://purl.org/net/rdf-validation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.03933

**Technology-Driven Validation**. Some validation rules can be generated automatically out of the defined data model, such as cardinality restrictions, universal quantifications, domains, ranges.

# 3 Validation of Metadata on Person-Level and Aggregated Data

- Constraint types marked with an asterisk (\*) can be used as OWL 2 axioms.
   Thus, reasoners may be used to infer implicit triples resolving constraint violations caused when these constraints are validated.
- Underlined subsections are data model specific constraint types and do not correspond to RDF validation requirements (constraint types).

#### 3.1 Comparison

- DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-01: are compared variables represented in a compatible way, i.e. are the variables' code lists theoretically comparable?
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-02: are variable definitions (dcterms:description) available for each variable (disco:Variable) to compare?
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-03: are code lists structured properly for each variable (disco:Variable) to compare?
  - severity level: WARNING
- DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-04: is for each code (for each variable (disco: Variable) to compare) an associated category (a human-readable label) specified?
  - severity level: INFO
- **DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-05**: each (disco:Variable) to compare must be present.
  - severity level: ERROR

#### 3.2 Data Model Consistency

Is the data consistent with the intended semantics of the data model? Such validation rules ensure the integrity of the data according to the data model.

- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01: Only attributes
  may be optional (IC-6 [3]) The only components of a qb:DataStructureDefinition
  that may be marked as optional, using qb:componentRequired are attributes.
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02: No duplicate observations (IC-12 [3]) No two qb:Observations in the same qb:DataSet may have the same value for all dimensions.

- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03: Slice Keys consistent with DSD (IC-8 [3]) Every qb:componentProperty on a qb:SliceKey must also be declared as a qb:component of the associated qb:DataStructureDefinition.
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-04: Required attributes (IC-13 [3]) Every qb:Observation has a value for each declared attribute that is marked as required.
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-05: All measures present (IC-14 [3]) In a qb:DataSet which does not use a Measure dimension then each individual qb:Observation must have a value for every declared measure.
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-06: Measure dimension consistent (IC-15 [3]) In a qb:DataSet which uses a Measure dimension then each qb:Observation must have a value for the measure corresponding to its given qb:measureType.
- **DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-07:** Single measure on measure dimension observation (*IC-16* [3]) In a *qb:DataSet* which uses a Measure dimension then each *qb:Observation* must only have a value for one measure (by *IC-15* this will be the measure corresponding to its *qb:measureType*).
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-08: All measures present in measures dimension cube (IC-17 [3]) In a qb:DataSet which uses a Measure dimension then if there is a Observation for some combination of non-measure dimensions then there must be other Observations with the same non-measure dimension values for each of the declared measures.
- DATA-CUBE-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-09: Consistent data set links (IC-18 [3]) If a qb:DataSet D has a qb:slice S, and S has an qb:observation O, then the qb:dataSet corresponding to O must be D.
- SKOS-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01<sup>5</sup>: Relation Clashes: Covers condition S27 from the SKOS reference document, that has not been defined formally.
  - Implementation: In a first step, all pairs of concepts are found that are associatively connected, using a SPARQL query. In the second step, a graph is created, containing only hierarchically related concepts and the respective relations. For each concept pair from the first step, we check for a path in the graph from step two. If such a path is found, a clash has been identified and the causing concepts are returned.
  - Severity level:
- SKOS-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02<sup>6</sup>: Mapping Clashes: Covers condition S46 from the SKOS reference document, that has not been defined formally.
  - Implementation: Can be solved by issuing a SPARQL query.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Relation Clashes

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Mapping Clashes

- Severity level:
- SKOS-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03<sup>7</sup>: Mapping Relations Misuse: According to the SKOS reference documentation, mapping relations (e.g., skos:broadMatch or skos:relatedMatch) should be asserted to concepts being members of different concept schemes. This check finds concepts that are related by a mapping property and are either members of the same concept scheme or members of no concept scheme at all.
  - Severity level:

#### 3.3 Subsumption\*

A subclass axiom<sup>8</sup> (concept inclusion in DL) states that the class C1 is a subclass of the class C2 - C1 is more specific than C2, i.e. each resource of the class C1 must also be part of the class extension of C2.

DISCO-C-SUBSUMPTION-01: All disco:Universes must also be skos:Concepts
(Universe 

⊆ Concept).

#### 3.4 Class Equivalence\*

Class Equivalence<sup>9</sup> asserts that two concepts have the same instances. While synonyms are an obvious example of equivalent concepts, in practice one more often uses concept equivalence to give a name to complex expressions [5]. Concept equivalence is indeed subsumption from left and right  $(A \sqsubseteq B \text{ and } B \sqsubseteq A \text{ implies } A \equiv B)$ .

— DISCO-C-CLASS-EQUIVALENCE-01: All sio:SIO\_000367 resources must also be disco:Variables (Variable ≡ SIO\_000367). The Semanticscience Integrated Ontology (SIO)¹¹⁰ provides a simple, integrated ontology of types and relations for rich description of objects, processes and their attributes. sio:SIO\_000367 is a variable defined as a value that may change within the scope of a given problem or set of operations. Thus, sio:SIO\_000367 is equivalent to disco:Variable.

# 3.5 Sub Properties\*

Sub Properties<sup>11</sup> state that the property P1 is a sub property of the property P2 - that is, if an individual x is connected by P1 to an individual or a literal y, then x is also connected by P2 to y.

- **DISCO-C-SUB-PROPERTIES-01:** If an individual x is connected by disco:fundedBy to an individual y, then x is also connected by dcterms:contributor to y (fundedBy  $\sqsubseteq$  contributor).

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Mapping Relations Misuse

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> R-100-SUBSUMPTION

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  R-3-EQUIVALENT-CLASSES

<sup>10</sup> https://code.google.com/p/semanticscience/wiki/SIO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> R-54-SUB-OBJECT-PROPERTIES, R-64-SUB-DATA-PROPERTIES

#### 3.6 Property Domains\*

Property Domains<sup>12</sup> (domain restrictions on roles in DL) restrict the domain of object and data properties. The purpose is to declare that a given property is associated with a class. In OO terms this is the declaration of a member, field, attribute or association.  $\exists R. \top \sqsubseteq C$  is the object property restriction where R is the object property (role) whose domain is restricted to concept C.

- DISCO-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each Disco object and data property. Only disco:Questions, e.g., can have disco:responseDomain relationships (∃ responseDomain. ⊤ ⊑ Question).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each Data Cube object and data property. Only qb:Observations, e.g., can have qb:dataSet relationships (∃ dataSet. ⊤ ⊑ Observation).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DCAT-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each DCAT object and data property. Only dcat:Catalogs, e.g., can have dcat:dataset relationships (∃ dataset. ⊤ ⊑ Catalog).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- PHDD-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each PHDD object and data property. Only phdd:Tables, e.g., can have phdd:isStructuredBy relationships (∃ isStructuredBy.  $\top$   $\sqsubseteq$  Table).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each SKOS object and data property. Only skos: ConceptSchemes,
   e.g., can have skos:hasTopConcept relationships (∃ hasTopConcept. T ⊆ ConceptScheme).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- XKOS-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01: Property Domain constraints are defined for each XKOS object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR

#### 3.7 Property Ranges\*

Property Ranges<sup>13</sup> (range restrictions on roles in DL) restrict the range of object and data properties.  $\top \sqsubseteq \forall R.C$  is the range restriction to the object property R (restricted by the concept C).

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{\ ^{12}\ R}$ -25-OBJECT-PROPERTY-DOMAIN, R-26-DATA-PROPERTY-DOMAIN

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> R-28-OBJECT-PROPERTY-RANGE, R-35-DATA-PROPERTY-RANGE

- DISCO-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each Disco object and data property. disco:caseQuantity relationships, e.g., can only point to literals of the datatype xsd:nonNegativeInteger (⊤ ⊑ ∀ caseQuantity.nonNegativeInteger).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DATA-CUBE-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each Data Cube object and data property. qb:order relationships, e.g., can only point to literals of the datatype xsd:string ( $\top \sqsubseteq \forall$  order.string).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DCAT-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each DCAT object and data property. dcat: bytes relationships, e.g., can only point to literals of the datatype xsd:integer ( $\top \sqsubseteq \forall$  bytes.integer).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- PHDD-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each PHDD object and data property. phdd:caseQuantity relationships, e.g., can only point to literals of the datatype xsd:nonNegativeInteger (⊤ ⊑ ∀ caseQuantity.nonNegativeInteger).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each SKOS object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- XKOS-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01: Property Range constraints are defined for each XKOS object and data property. xkos:belongsTo relationships, e.g., can only point to instances of the class skos:Concept ( $\top \sqsubseteq \forall belongsTo.Concept$ ).
  - Severity level: ERROR

#### 3.8 Inverse Object Properties\*

In many cases, properties are used bi-directionally and then accessed in the inverse direction, e.g. parent  $\equiv$  child<sup>-</sup>. There should be a way to declare value type, cardinality etc of those inverse relations without having to declare a new property URI. The object property OP1 is an inverse<sup>14</sup> of the object property OP2. Thus, if an individual x is connected by OP1 to an individual y, then y is also connected by OP2 to x, and vice versa.

- $-\ DISCO-C-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01: disco: Category Statistics \\ resources are accessed from codes (skos: Concepts) via disco: statistics Category^-.$
- DISCO-C-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-02: disco:SummaryStatistics resources are accessed from disco:Variables via disco:statisticsVariable<sup>-</sup>.
- DISCO-C-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-03: disco: Variables are accessed from disco: Questions via disco: question -.

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  R-56-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

#### 3.9 Symmetric Object Properties\*

A role is symmetric if it is equivalent to its own inverse [5]. An object property symmetry axiom<sup>15</sup> states that the object property expression OPE is symmetric - that is, if an individual x is connected by OPE to an individual y, then y is also connected by OPE to x.

# 3.10 Asymmetric Object Properties\*

A property is asymmetric  $^{16}$  if it is disjoint from its own inverse [5]. An object property asymmetry axiom states that the object property OP is asymmetric that is, if an individual x is connected by OP to an individual y, then y cannot be connected by OP to x.

- DISCO-C-ASYMMETRIC-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01: A disco: Variable may be based on a disco: Represented Variable. A disco: Represented Variable, however, cannot be based on a disco: Variable. This is a kind of mistake which may occur as a semantically equivalent object property for the other direction may also be possible (disco:basisOf) (basedOn □ basedOn □  $\bot$ ).

# 3.11 Reflexive Object Properties\*

Reflexive Object Properties<sup>17</sup> (reflexive roles, global reflexivity in DL) can be expressed by imposing local reflexivity on the top concept [5].

## 3.12 Irreflexive Object Properties\*

An object property is irreflexive  $^{18}$  (irreflexive role in DL) if it is never locally reflexive [5]. An object property irreflexivity axiom IrreflexiveObjectProperty(OPE) states that the object property expression OPE is irreflexive - that is, no individual is connected by OPE to itself.

- DISCO-C-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01: In Disco, every object property is irreflexive. No individual is connected by the object property instrument to itself ( $\top \sqsubseteq \neg \exists instrument.Self$ ).

#### 3.13 Class-Specific Irreflexive Object Properties\*

A property is *irreflexive* if it is never locally reflexive [5]. An object property irreflexivity axiom states that the object property OP is irreflexive - that is, no individual is connected by OP to itself. Class-Specific Irreflexive Object Properties are object properties which are irreflexive within a given context, e.g. a class.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{15}}$  R-61-SYMMETRIC-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{16}\</sup> R\text{-}62\text{-}ASYMMETRIC\text{-}OBJECT\text{-}PROPERTIES$ 

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  R-59-REFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> R-60-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

- DISCO-C-CLASS-SPECIFIC-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01: Within the Disco context, skos:Concepts cannot be related via the object property skos:boader to themselves (Concept ☐ ¬∃ broader.Self.).
- DISCO-C-CLASS-SPECIFIC-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-02: Within the Disco context, skos:Concepts cannot be related via the object property skos:narrower to themselves (Concept □ ¬∃ narrower.Self.).

#### 3.14 Disjoint Properties

A disjoint properties  $axiom^{19}$  states that all of the properties are pairwise disjoint; that is, no individual x can be connected to an individual/literal y by these properties.

- **DATA-CUBE-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01:** All Data Cube properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint. The properties qb:dataSet and qb:structure are disjoint  $(dataSet \sqsubseteq \neg structure)$ .
- DCAT-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01: All DCAT properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
- **DISCO-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01:** All *Disco* properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint. The properties disco:variable and disco:question are disjoint  $(variable \sqsubseteq \neg question)$ .
- **PHDD-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01:** All *PHDD* properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint. The properties phdd:isStructuredBy and phdd:column are disjoint ( $isStructuredBy \sqsubseteq \neg column$ )
- **SKOS-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01:** All SKOS properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
- **SKOS-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-02**<sup>20</sup>: Disjoint Labels Violation: Covers condition S13 from the SKOS reference document stating that "skos:prefLabel, skos:altLabel and skos:hiddenLabel are pairwise disjoint properties".
  - Implementation: A SPARQL query collects all labels of all concepts, building an in-memory structure. This structure is then checked for disjoint entries.
  - Severity level:
- XKOS-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01: All XKOS properties (not having the same domain and range classes) are defined to be pairwise disjoint.

 $<sup>^{19}</sup>$  R-9-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Disjoint Labels Violation

#### 3.15 Disjoint Classes

Disjoint Classes<sup>21</sup> state that all of the classes are pairwise disjoint; that is, no individual can be at the same time an instance of these disjoint classes.

- DATA-CUBE-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All Data Cube classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
- DCAT-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All DCAT classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
- DISCO-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All Disco classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint (e.g. Study  $\sqcap$  Variable  $\sqsubseteq \bot$ ).
- PHDD-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All PHDD classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
- **SKOS-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01:** All SKOS classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.
- XKOS-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01: All XKOS classes are defined to be pairwise disjoint.

#### 3.16 Context-Specific Property Groups

The Context-Specific Property Groups<sup>22</sup> constraint groups data and object properties within a context (e.g. a class).

#### 3.17 Context-Specific Inclusive OR of Properties

Inclusive or is a logical connective joining two or more predicates that yields the logical value "true" when at least one of the predicates is true. Context-Specific Inclusive OR of Properties<sup>23</sup> constraints specify that individuals are valid if they have at least one property relationship of one or multiple properties stated within a given context. The context can be an application profile, a shape, or a class, i.e., the constraint applies for individuals of this specific class.

#### 3.18 Context-Specific Inclusive OR of Property Groups

At least one property group must match for individuals of a specific context. Context may be a class, a shape, or an application profile.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{21}}$  R-7-DISJOINT-CLASSES

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  R-66-PROPERTY-GROUPS

 $<sup>^{23}</sup>$  R-202-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-INCLUSIVE-OR-OF-PROPERTIES

#### 3.19 Recursive Queries

Resource Shapes is a recursive language<sup>24</sup> (the value shape of a Resource Shape is in turn another Resource Shape). There is no way to express that in SPARQL without hand-waving "and then you call the function again here" or "and then you embed this operation here" text. The embedding trick doesn't work in the general case because SPARQL can't express recursive queries, e.g. "test that this Issue is valid and all of the Issues that references, recursively". Most SPARQL engines already have functions that go beyond the official SPARQL 1.1 spec. The cost of that sounds manageable.

#### 3.20 Individual Inequality

An individual inequality  $axiom^{25}$  DifferentIndividuals(  $a_1 \dots a_n$ ) states that all of the individuals  $a_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , are different from each other; that is, no individuals  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  with  $i \ne j$  can be derived to be equal. This axiom can be used to axiomatize the unique name assumption — the assumption that all different individual names denote different individuals.

#### 3.21 Equivalent Properties\*

An equivalent object properties axiom<sup>26</sup> EquivalentObjectProperties(  $OPE_1$  ...  $OPE_n$ ) states that all of the object property expressions  $OPE_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , are semantically equivalent to each other. This axiom allows one to use each  $OPE_i$  as a synonym for each  $OPE_j$ —that is, in any expression in the ontology containing such an axiom,  $OPE_i$  can be replaced with  $OPE_j$  without affecting the meaning of the ontology. The axiom  $EquivalentObjectProperties(OPE_1OPE_2)$  is equivalent to the following two axioms  $SubObjectPropertyOf(OPE_1OPE_2)$  and  $SubObjectPropertyOf(OPE_1OPE_2)$ .

An equivalent data properties axiom<sup>27</sup> EquivalentDataProperties( $DPE_1 \dots DPE_n$ ) states that all the data property expressions  $DPE_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , are semantically equivalent to each other. This axiom allows one to use each  $DPE_i$  as a synonym for each  $DPE_j$  — that is, in any expression in the ontology containing such an axiom,  $DPE_i$  can be replaced with  $DPE_j$  without affecting the meaning of the ontology. The axiom EquivalentDataProperties( $DPE_1 DPE_2$ ) can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the following axiom  $SubDataPropertyOf(DPE_1 DPE_2)$  and  $SubDataPropertyOf(DPE_1 DPE_2)$ .

DATA-CUBE-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of Data Cube can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{24}}$  R-222-RECURSIVE-QUERIES

 $<sup>^{25}</sup>$  R-14-DISJOINT-INDIVIDUALS

 $<sup>^{26}</sup>$  R-4-EQUIVALENT-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> R-5-EQUIVALENT-DATA-PROPERTIES

- DCAT-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of DCAT can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.
- DISCO-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties
  from different versions of Disco can be marked as equivalent, e.g. disco:containsVariable
  and disco:variable. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each
  other without affecting the meaning.
- PHDD-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of PHDD can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.
- SKOS-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of SKOS can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.
- XKOS-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01: Equivalent properties from different versions of XKOS can be marked as equivalent. As a consequence, the properties can be replaced by each other without affecting the meaning.

#### 3.22 Property Assertions

Property Assertions<sup>28</sup> and includes positive property assertions and negative property assertions. A positive object property assertion ObjectPropertyAssertion (OPE  $a_1$   $a_2$ ) states that the individual  $a_1$  is connected by the object property expression OPE to the individual  $a_2$ . A negative object property assertion NegativeObjectPropertyAssertion (OPE  $a_1$   $a_2$ ) states that the individual  $a_1$  is not connected by the object property expression OPE to the individual  $a_2$ . A positive data property assertion DataPropertyAssertion (DPE  $a_1$   $b_1$ ) states that the individual  $b_2$  is connected by the data property expression DPE to the literal  $b_1$   $b_2$  states that the individual  $b_3$  is not connected by the data propertyAssertion (DPE  $b_4$   $b_4$   $b_5$   $b_4$   $b_5$   $b_6$   $b_6$   $b_7$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_8$   $b_9$   $b_9$ 

#### 3.23 Data Property Facets

For datatype properties it should be possible to declare frequently needed facets<sup>29</sup> to drive user interfaces and validate input against simple conditions, including min/max value, regular expressions, string length - similar to XSD datatypes. Constraining facets, to restrict datatypes of RDF literals, may be: xsd:length, xsd:minLength, xsd:maxLength, xsd:pattern, xsd:enumeration, xsd:whiteSpace, xsd:maxInclusive, xsd:maxExclusive, xsd:minExclusive, xsd:minInclusive, xsd:total Digits, xsd:fractionDigits.

- **DISCO-C-DATA-PROPERTY-FACETS-01:** The abstract of a study (disco:purpose) should have a minimum length (xsd:minInclusive) of x.

 $<sup>^{28}</sup>$  R-96-PROPERTY-ASSERTIONS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> R-46-CONSTRAINING-FACETS

# 3.24 Literal Pattern Matching

There are multiple use cases associated with the requirement to match literals according to given patterns $^{30}$ .

- DISCO-C-LITERAL-PATTERN-MATCHING-01: Each disco: Variable of a given disco:LogicalDataSet must have a given prefix for its variable name (skos:notation).

#### **Negative Literal Pattern Matching** 3.25

Literals of given data properties within given contexts do not have to match given patterns $^{31}$ .

- DISCO-C-NEGATIVE-LITERAL-PATTERN-MATCHING-01:

#### 3.26 Object Property Paths\*

Object Property Paths<sup>32</sup> (or Object Property Chains and in DL terminology complex role inclusion axiom or role composition) is the more complex form of sub properties. This axiom states that, if an individual x is connected by a sequence of object property expressions  $OPE_1, ..., OPE_n$  with an individual y, then x is also connected with y by the object property expression OPE. Role composition can only appear on the left-hand side of complex role inclusions [5].

#### 3.27 Intersection\*

Concept inclusions allow us to state that all mothers are female and that all mothers are parents, but what we really mean is that mothers are exactly the female parents. DLs support such statements by allowing us to form complex concepts such as the intersection<sup>33</sup> (also called conjunction) which denotes the set of individuals that are both female and parents. A complex concept can be used in axioms in exactly the same way as an atomic concept, e.g., in the equivalence Mother  $\equiv$  Female  $\sqcap$  Parent .

#### 3.28 Disjunction\*

A union class expression<sup>34</sup> contains all individuals that are instances of at least one class  $C_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A union data range contains all tuples of literals that are contained in at least one data range  $DR_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Synonyms of disjunction are union and inclusive or.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{30}}$  R-44-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-LITERALS  $^{31}$  R-44-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-LITERALS

 $<sup>^{32}</sup>$  R-55-OBJECT-PROPERTY-PATHS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> R-15-CONJUNCTION-OF-CLASS-EXPRESSIONS, R-16-CONJUNCTION-OF-DATA-RANGES

 $<sup>^{34}</sup>$  R-17-DISJUNCTION-OF-CLASS-EXPRESSIONS, R-18-DISJUNCTION-OF-DATA-RANGES

DISCO-C-DISJUNCTION-01: Only disco: Variables or disco: Questions or disco: Represented Variables can have disco: concept relationships to skos: Concepts.
 Variable □ Question □ Represented Variable □ ∀ concept. Concept

#### 3.29 Negation\*

A complement class expression  $^{35}$  ObjectComplementOf( CE ) contains all individuals that are not instances of the class expression CE.

#### 3.30 Existential Quantifications\*

An existential class expression<sup>36</sup> (existential restriction in DL terminology) contains all those individuals that are connected by the property P to an individual x that is an instance of the class C or to literals that are in the data range DR.

- DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: There must be at least one disco:universe relationship from disco:Studies or disco:StudyGroups to disco:Universe (Study ⊔ StudyGroup ⊑ ∃ universe.Universe).
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Dimensions have range (IC-4 [3]) Every dimension declared in a qb:DataStructureDefinition must have a declared rdfs:range.
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-02: Concept dimensions have code lists (IC-5 [3]) - Every dimension with range skos:Concept must have a gb:codeList.
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-03: Slice dimensions complete (IC-10 [3]) Every qb:Slice must have a value for every dimension declared in its qb:sliceStructure.
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-04: All dimensions required (IC-11 [3]) Every qb:Observation has a value for each dimension declared in its associated qb:DataStructureDefinition.
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-05: DSD includes measure (IC-3 [3]) Every qb:DataStructureDefinition must include (qb:component, qb:componentProperty) at least one declared measure.
- DATA-CUBE-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-06: Slice Keys must be declared (IC-7 [3]) Every qb: Slice Key must be associated with (qb: slice Key) a qb: DataStructure Definition ( $Slice Key \sqsubseteq \exists slice Key$ -. DataStructure Definition).

# 3.31 Universal Quantifications\*

A universal class expression  $^{37}$  (value restriction in DL) contains all those individuals that are connected by an object property only to individuals that are instances of a particular class.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{35}}$  R-19-NEGATION-OF-CLASS-EXPRESSIONS, R-20-NEGATION-OF-DATA-RANGES

 $<sup>^{36}\</sup> R-86-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATION-ON-PROPERTIES$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> R-91-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATION-ON-PROPERTIES

- DATA-CUBE-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each Data Cube object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DCAT-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01:  $Universal\ quantifications$  are defined for each DCAT object and data property. Only dcat:Catalogs can have dcat:dataset relationships to dcat:Datasets (Catalog  $\sqsubseteq \forall$  dataset.Dataset).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each Disco object and data property. Only disco:LogicalDataSets can have disco:aggregation relationships to qb:DataSets (LogicalDataSet 

  ∀ aggregation.DataSet).
  - Severity level: ERROR
- PHDD-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each PHDD object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each SKOS object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- XKOS-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01: Universal quantifications are defined for each XKOS object and data property.
  - Severity level: ERROR

#### 3.32 Minimum Unqualified Cardinality Restrictions\*

A minimum cardinality restriction<sup>38</sup> contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to at least n different individuals/literals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl:Thing. If the data range is missing, it is taken to be rdfs:Literal.  $\leq nR$ . T is the minimum unqualified cardinality restriction where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (written  $\leq nR$  in short). For unqualified cardinality restrictions, classes respective data ranges are not stated.

#### 3.33 Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions\*

A minimum cardinality restriction<sup>39</sup> contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to at least n different individuals/literals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl: Thing. If the data range is missing, it is taken to be  $rdfs:Literal. \ge nR.C$  is a minimum qualified cardinality restriction where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{38}}$  R-81-MINIMUM-UNQUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES, R-211-CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS

 $<sup>^{39} \</sup> R-75-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES, \\ CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS \\ R-211-$ 

#### $-\ DATA-CUBE-C-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-$

01: Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions constraints are defined for each Data Cube object and data property.

• Severity level: ERROR

#### $-\ DCAT\text{-}C\text{-}MINIMUM\text{-}QUALIFIED\text{-}CARDINALITY\text{-}RESTRICTIONS\text{-}$

01: Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions constraints are defined for each DCAT object and data property.

• Severity level: ERROR

#### - DISCO-C-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-

**01:** Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions constraints are defined for each Disco object and data property. A disco:Questionnaire, e.g., has at least one disco:question relationship to disco:Questions (Questionnaire  $\sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  question.Question).

• Severity level: ERRÓR

## - PHDD-C-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-

01: Minimum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions constraints are defined for each PHDD object and data property.

• Severity level: ERROR

#### 3.34 Maximum Unqualified Cardinality Restrictions\*

A maximum cardinality restriction contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to at most n different individuals/literals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl:Thing. If the data range is not present, it is taken to be rdfs:Literal. Unqualified means that the class respective the data range is not stated.  $\geq nR$ . T is a maximum unqualified cardinality restriction<sup>40</sup> where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (written  $\geq nR$  in short).

#### 3.35 Maximum Qualified Cardinality Restrictions\*

A maximum cardinality restriction contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to at most n different individuals/literals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl: Thing. If the data range is not present, it is taken to be rdfs:Literal. Qualified means that the class respective the data range is stated.  $\leq nR.C$  is a maximum qualified cardinality restriction<sup>41</sup> where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# $-\ DISCO-C-MAXIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-$

01: A disco:Variable has at most one disco:concept relationship to a theoretical concept (skos:Concept) (Variable  $\sqsubseteq \leqslant 1$  concept.Concept).

• Severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>^{40}</sup>$  R-82-MAXIMUM-UNQUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES,  $\,$  R-211-CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS

 $<sup>^{41}\</sup> R-76-MAXIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES, \qquad R-211-CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS$ 

## 3.36 Exact Unqualified Cardinality Restrictions\*

An exact cardinality restriction<sup>42</sup> contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to exactly n different individuals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl: Thing. If the data range is not present, it is taken to be rdfs:Literal. Unqualified means that the class respective data range is not stated.  $\geq nR. \top \sqcap \leq nR. \top$  is an exact unqualified cardinality restriction where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### $-\ DATA-CUBE-C-EXACT-UNQUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-$

 ${\it 01:}$  Unique slice structure (IC-9 [3]) - Each  ${\it qb:Slice}$  must have exactly one associated  ${\it qb:sliceStructure}.$ 

• Severity level: ERROR

## 3.37 Exact Qualified Cardinality Restrictions\*

An exact cardinality restriction<sup>43</sup> contains all those individuals that are connected by a property to exactly n different individuals that are instances of a particular class or data range. If the class is missing, it is taken to be owl: Thing. If the data range is not present, it is taken to be  $rdfs:Literal. \ge nR.C \sqcap \le nR.C$  is an exact qualified cardinality restriction where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### - DISCO-C-EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-

01: A disco: Question has exactly 1 disco: universe relationship to disco: Universe (Question  $\sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  universe. Universe  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  universe. Universe).

• Severity level: ERROR

#### $-\ DATA-CUBE-C-EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-$

**01:** Unique data set (*IC-1* [3]) - Every *qb:Observation* has (*qb:dataSet*) exactly one associated *qb:DataSet* (Observation  $\sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  dataSet.DataSet  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  dataSet.DataSet).

• Severity level: ERŔOR

# - DATA-CUBE-C-EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-

02: Unique DSD (IC-2 [3]) - Every qb:DataSet has (qb:structure) exactly one associated qb:DataStructureDefinition (DataSet  $\sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  structure.DataStructureDefinition  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  structure.DataStructureDefinition).

• Severity level: ERROR

#### 3.38 Transitive Object Properties\*

Transitivity is a special form of complex role inclusion. An object property transitivity  $axiom^{44}$  states that the object property is transitive — that is, if an individual x is connected by the object property to an individual y that is connected by the object property to an individual z, then x is also connected by the object property to z.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{42}}$  R-80-EXACT-UNQUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES, R-211-CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> R-74-EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-ON-PROPERTIES, R-211-CARDINALITY-CONSTRAINTS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> R-63-TRANSITIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

#### 3.39 Context-Specific Exclusive OR of Properties

Exclusive or is a logical operation that outputs true whenever both inputs differ (one is true, the other is false). Only one of multiple properties within some context (e.g. a class, a shape, or an application profile) leads to valid data<sup>45</sup>. This constraint is generally expressed in DL as follows:  $C \sqsubseteq (\neg A \sqcap B) \sqcup (A \sqcap \neg B)$ .

#### 3.40 Context-Specific Exclusive OR of Property Groups

Exclusive or is a logical operation that outputs true whenever both inputs differ (one is true, the other is false). Only one of multiple property groups leads to valid  $data^{46}$ .

DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-EXCLUSIVE-OR-OF-PROPERTY-GROUPS-01: Within the context of Disco, skos:Concepts can have either skos:definition (when interpreted as theoretical concepts) or skos:notation and skos:prefLabel properties (when interpreted as codes and categories), but not both.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Concept} &\sqsubseteq (\neg \ D \ \sqcap \ C) \ \sqcup \ (D \ \sqcap \ \neg \ C) \\ &D &\equiv A \ \sqcap \ B \end{aligned}$$

 $A \sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  notation.string  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  notation.string

 $B \sqsubseteq \geqslant 1 \text{ prefLabel.string } \sqcap \leqslant 1 \text{ prefLabel.string}$ 

 $C \sqsubseteq \geqslant 1$  definition.string  $\sqcap \leqslant 1$  definition.string

#### 3.41 Allowed Values

It is a common requirement to narrow down the value space of a property by an exhaustive enumeration of the valid values (both literals or resources). This is often rendered in drop down boxes or radio buttons in user interfaces. *Allowed values*<sup>47</sup> for properties can be IRIs, IRIs (matching one or multiple patterns), (any) literals, literals of a list of allowed literals (e.g. 'red' 'blue' 'green'), typed literals of one or multiple type(s) (e.g. *xsd:string*).

DISCO-C-ALLOWED-VALUES-01. disco:CategoryStatistics can only have disco:computationBase relationships to the values valid and invalid of the datatype rdf:langString (CategoryStatistics ≡ ∀ computationBase. {valid,invalid} ⊓ langString).

#### 3.42 Not Allowed Values

A matching triple has any literal / object except those explicitly excluded 48.

<sup>45</sup> R-11-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-EXCLUSIVE-OR-OF-PROPERTIES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> R-13-DISJOINT-GROUP-OF-PROPERTIES-CLASS-SPECIFIC

 $<sup>^{47}\</sup> R\text{--}30\text{--}ALLOWED\text{--}VALUES\text{--}FOR\text{--}RDF\text{--}OBJECTS}$  and  $R\text{--}37\text{--}ALLOWED\text{--}VALUES\text{--}FOR\text{--}RDF\text{--}LITERALS}$ 

 $<sup>^{48}\</sup> R-33-NEGATIVE-OBJECT-CONSTRAINTS, \qquad R-200-NEGATIVE-LITERAL-CONSTRAINTS$ 

#### 3.43 Literal Ranges

P1 is a data property (of an instance of class C1) and its literal value must be between the range of  $[V_{min}, V_{max}]^{49}$ .

- **DISCO-C-LITERAL-RANGES-01:** disco:percentage (domain: disco:CategoryStatistics) literals must be of the datatype xsd:double whose range should be restricted to be between 0 and 100.

#### 3.44 Negative Literal Ranges

P1 is a data property (of an instance of class C1) and its literal value must not be between the range of  $[V_{min}, V_{max}]^{50}$ .

#### 3.45 Required Properties

Properties may be required $^{51}$ .

#### 3.46 Optional Properties

Properties may be optional  $^{52}$ .

### 3.47 Repeatable Properties

Properties may be repeatable  $^{53}$ .

# 3.48 Negative Property Constraints

Instances of a specific class must not have some object property<sup>54</sup>.

#### 3.49 Individual Equality\*

 $Individual\ equality^{55}$  states that two different names are known to refer to the same individual [5].

 $<sup>^{49}</sup>$  R-45-RANGES-OF-RDF-LITERAL-VALUES

 $<sup>^{50}</sup>$  R-142-NEGATIVE-RANGES-OF-RDF-LITERAL-VALUES

 $<sup>^{51}</sup>$  R-68-REQUIRED-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{52}</sup>$  R-69-OPTIONAL-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{53}</sup>$  R-70-REPEATABLE-PROPERTIES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> R-52-NEGATIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTY-CONSTRAINTS, R-53-NEGATIVE-DATA-PROPERTY-CONSTRAINTS

 $<sup>^{55}</sup>$  R-6-EQUIVALENT-INDIVIDUALS

#### 3.50 Functional Properties\*

An object property functionality  $axiom^{56}$  FunctionalObjectProperty(OPE) states that the object property expression OPE is functional — that is, for each individual x, there can be at most one distinct individual y such that x is connected by OPE to y. Each such axiom can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the following axiom:  $SubClassOf(owl:Thing\ ObjectMaxCardinality(\ 1\ OPE\ )$ ).

# 3.51 Inverse-Functional Properties\*

An object property inverse functionality  $axiom^{57}$  InverseFunctionalObjectProperty(OPE) states that the object property expression OPE is inverse-functional - that is, for each individual x, there can be at most one individual y such that y is connected by OPE with x. Each such axiom can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the following axiom:  $SubClassOf(owl:Thing\ ObjectMaxCardinality(1\ ObjectInverseOf(OPE)))$ .

DISCO-C-INVERSE-FUNCTIONAL-PROPERTIES-01: for each rdfs:Resource x, there can be at most one distinct rdfs:Resource y such that y is connected by adms:identifier to x (funct identifier).

#### 3.52 Value Restrictions\*

Individual Value Restrictions <sup>58</sup>: A has-value class expression ObjectHas Value (OPE a) consists of an object property expression OPE and an individual a, and it contains all those individuals that are connected by OPE to a. Each such class expression can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the class expression ObjectSome Values From (OPE ObjectOne Of (a)). Literal Value Restrictions: A has-value class expression DataHas Value (DPE lt) consists of a data property expression DPE and a literal lt, and it contains all those individuals that are connected by DPE to lt. Each such class expression can be seen as a syntactic shortcut for the class expression DataSome Values From (DPE DataOne Of (lt)).

#### 3.53 Self Restrictions\*

A self-restriction ObjectHasSelf(OPE) consists of an object property expression OPE, and it contains all those individuals that are connected by OPE to themselves.

Thomas: Achim, we created an open issue for DDI 4 how keys should be defined

 $<sup>^{56}</sup>$  R-57-FUNCTIONAL-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{57}</sup>$  R-58-INVERSE-FUNCTIONAL-OBJECT-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{58}</sup>$  R-88-VALUE-RESTRICTIONS

#### 3.54 Primary Key Properties

The Primary Key Properties<sup>59</sup> constraint is often useful to declare a given (datatype) property as the "primary key" of a class, so that a system can enforce uniqueness and also automatically build URIs from user input and data imported from relational databases or spreadsheets.. Starfleet officers, e.g., are uniquely identified by their command authorization code (e.g. to activate and cancel autodestruct sequences). It means that the property commandAuthorizationCode is inverse functional - mapped to DL as follows: (funct commandAuthorizationCode<sup>-</sup>) Keys, however, are even more general, i.e., a generalization of inverse functional properties [7]. A key can be a datatype property, an object property, or a chain of properties. For this generalization purposes, as there are different sorts of key, and as keys can lead to undecidability, DL is extended with key boxes and a special keyfor construct[6]. This leads to the following DL mapping (only one simple property constraint): commandAuthorizationCode keyfor StarfleetOfficer

- see inverse-functional properties

#### 3.55 Class-Specific Property Range\*

Class-Specific Property Range<sup>60</sup> restricts the range of object and data properties for individuals within a specific context (e.g. class, shape, application profile). The values of each member property of a class may be limited by their value type, such as xsd:string or foaf:Person.

- DISCO-C-CLASS-SPECIFIC-PROPERTY-RANGE-01: Only disco: Questions can have disco: question Text relationships to literals of the datatype rdf:langString (¬Question ⊑ ¬∃ questionText.langString).

#### 3.56 Class-Specific Reflexive Object Properties\*

Using DL terminology *Class-Specific Reflexive Object Properties* is called local reflexivity - a set of individuals (of a specific class) that are related to themselves via a given role [5].

#### 3.57 Membership in Controlled Vocabularies.

Resources can only be members of listed controlled vocabularies<sup>61</sup>.

 $<sup>^{59}</sup>$  R-226-PRIMARY-KEY-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{60}</sup>$  R-29-CLASS-SPECIFIC-RANGE-OF-RDF-OBJECTS, R-36-CLASS-SPECIFIC-RANGE-OF-RDF-LITERALS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> R-32-MEMBERSHIP-OF-RDF-OBJECTS-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES, R-39-MEMBERSHIP-OF-RDF-LITERALS-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES

#### - DISCO-C-MEMBERSHIP-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES-

01: disco:SummaryStatistics can only have disco:summaryStatisticType relationships to skos:Concepts which must be members of the controlled vocabulary ddicv:SummaryStatisticType which is a skos:ConceptScheme.

SummaryStatistics  $\sqsubseteq \forall summaryStatisticType.A$ 

 $A \equiv Concept \sqcap \forall inScheme.B$ 

 $B \equiv ConceptScheme \sqcap \{SummaryStatisticType\}$ 

 DATA-CUBE-C-MEMBERSHIP-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES-01: Codes from code list (IC-19 [3]) - If a dimension property has a qb:codeList, then the value of the dimension property on every qb:Observation must be in the code list.

#### 3.58 IRI Pattern Matching

IRI pattern matching applied on subjects, properties, and objects<sup>62</sup>.

- **DISCO-C-IRI-PATTERN-MATCHING**: disco:Study resources must match a given IRI pattern.

## 3.59 Literal Value Comparison

Depending on the property semantics, there are cases where two different literal values must have a specific ordering with respect to an operator. P1 and P2 are the datatype properties we need to compare and OP is the comparison operator  $(<, <=, >, >=, =, !=)^{63}$ . The  $COMP\ Pattern$ , one of the Data Quality Test Patterns, can be used to validate the  $Literal\ Value\ Comparison\ constraint\ [4]$ :

 DISCO-C-LITERAL-VALUE-COMPARISON-01: disco:startDates must be before ('<') disco:endDates. To validate this constraint we bind the variables as follows (P1: disco:startDate, P2: disco:endDate, OP: <).</li>

#### 3.60 Ordering

With this constraint objects of object properties can be ordered as well as literals of data properties<sup>64</sup>.

 $<sup>^{62}</sup>$  R-21-IRI-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-SUBJECTS, R-22-IRI-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-OBJECTS, R-23-IRI-PATTERN-MATCHING-ON-RDF-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{63}</sup>$  R-43-LITERAL-VALUE-COMPARISON

 $<sup>^{64}</sup>$   $R\text{-}121\text{-}SPECIFY\text{-}ORDER\text{-}OF\text{-}RDF\text{-}RESOURCES},$   $R\text{-}217\text{-}DEFINE\text{-}ORDER\text{-}FORMS/DISPLAY}$ 

In DDI, variables, questions, and codes/categories are typically organized in a particular order. For obtaining this order, *skos:OrderedCollection* resources are used.

- DISCO-C-ORDERING-01: If disco: Variables of a given disco: LogicalDataSet should be ordered, a collection of variables must be present in the data and connected with the data set. The collection of variables is of the type skos: OrderedCollection containing multiple variables (each represented as skos: Concept) in a skos: memberList.
- DISCO-C-ORDERING-02: If disco: Questions of a given disco: Questionnaire should be ordered, a collection of questions must be present in the data and connected with the questionnaire. The collection of questions is of the type skos: Ordered Collection containing multiple questions (each represented as skos: Concept) in a skos: member List.
- DISCO-C-ORDERING-03: If codes/categories (skos:Concepts) of a given disco:Representation of a given disco:Variable should be ordered, the variable representation should also be of the type skos:OrderedCollection containing multiple codes/categories (each represented as skos:Concept) in a skos:memberList.

#### 3.61 Validation Levels

Different levels of severity (priority)<sup>65</sup> should be assigned to constraints. Possible validation levels could be: informational, warning, error, fail, should, recommended, must, may, optional, closed (only this) constraints, open (at least this) constraint.

For Disco each constraint should be assigned to exactly one validation level.

#### 3.62 String Operations

Many different  $string\ operations^{66}$  are possible. Some constraints require building new strings out of other strings. Calculating the string length would also be another constraint of this type.

DISCO-C-STRING-OPERATIONS-01: The title of a study (dcterms:title)
 (e.g. 'EU-SILC 2005') may be calculated out of the title of the containing series (dcterms:title)
 (e.g. 'EU-SILC') and the human-readable label of the study (rdfs:label)
 (e.g. '2005').

 $<sup>^{65}\</sup> R-205-VARYING-LEVELS-OF-ERROR,\ R-135-CONSTRAINT-LEVELS,\ R-158-SEVERITY-LEVELS-OF-CONSTRAINT-VIOLATIONS,\ R-193-MULTIPLE-CONSTRAINT-VALIDATION-EXECUTION-LEVELS$ 

 $<sup>^{66}\</sup> R\text{-}194\text{-}PROVIDE\text{-}STRING\text{-}FUNCTIONS\text{-}FOR\text{-}RDF\text{-}LITERALS$ 

#### 3.63 Context-Specific Valid Classes

What types are valid in a specific context?<sup>67</sup> Context can be an input stream, a data creation function, or an API.

- DATA-CUBE-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01: For future versions of Data Cube, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED
- DCAT-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01: For future versions of DCAT, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED
- **DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01**: For future versions of *Disco*, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED
- PHDD-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01: For future versions of PHDD, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED
- SKOS-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01: For future versions of SKOS, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED
- XKOS-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01: For future versions of XKOS, out-dated classes can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED

#### 3.64 Context-Specific Valid Properties

What properties can be used within this context?<sup>68</sup> Context can be an data receipt function, data creation function, or API.

- DATA-CUBE-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01:
   For future versions of Data Cube, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED
- DCAT-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01: For future versions of DCAT, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED
- **DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01**: For future versions of *Disco*, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED

 $<sup>^{67}</sup>$  R-209-VALID-CLASSES

 $<sup>^{68}</sup>$  R-210-VALID-PROPERTIES

- PHDD-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01: For future versions of PHDD, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED
- SKOS-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01: For future versions of SKOS, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED
- XKOS-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01: For future versions of XKOS, out-dated properties can be marked as deprecated.
  - severity level: RECOMMENDED

#### 3.65 Default Values\*

*Default values*<sup>69</sup> for objects and literals are inferred automatically. It should be possible to declare the default value for a given property, e.g. so that input forms can be pre-populated and to insert a required property that is missing in a web service call.

- **DISCO-C-DEFAULT-VALUES-01:** The value 'true' for the property disco:isPublic (xsd:boolean) indicates that the data set (disco:LogicalDataSet) can be accessed (usually downloaded) by anyone. Per default, access to data sets should be restricted ('false').

#### 3.66 Mathematical Operations

Examples for  $Mathematical\ Operations^{70}$  are the addition of two dates, the addition of days to a start date, and statistical computations (e.g. average, mean, sum).

- DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-01: The sum of disco:percentage (datatype: xsd:double) values of all codes (represented as skos:Concepts) of a code list (skos:ConceptScheme or skos:OrderedCollection), serving as representation of a particular disco:Variable, must exactly be 100.
  - severity level: ERROR
- DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-02: For a given variable, the sum of all category statistics (frequency) has to be equal to the 'total' value of summary statistics.
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-03**: For a given variable, the sum of 'valid total' and 'missing total' has to be equal to 'total'.
  - severity level: ERROR

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{^{69}}$  R-31-DEFAULT-VALUES-OF-RDF-OBJECTS, R-38-DEFAULT-VALUES-OF-RDF-LITERALS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> R-42-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS, R-41-STATISTICAL-COMPUTATIONS

- DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-04: For a given variable, the 'total' value for country 'All' must be equal to the sum of the 'total' value for all Countries.
  - severity level: ERROR
- **DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-05**: Minimum values do not have to be greater than maximum values (*disco:SummaryStatistics*).
  - severity level: ERROR

#### 3.67 Language Tag Matching

For particular data properties, values must be stated for predefined languages<sup>71</sup>.

- **DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-MATCHING:** There must be an English variable name (*skos:notation*) for each *disco:Variable* within *disco:LogicalDataSets*.

#### 3.68 Language Tag Cardinality

For particular data properties, values of predefined languages must be stated for determined number of times<sup>72</sup>.

- **DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-01:** There must be at least one English *disco:questionText* for each *disco:Question* within *disco:LogicalDataSets*.
- **DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-02:** There should be at most one English literal value for variable names (*skos:notation*, domain: *disco:Variable*).
- DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-03: For each question (disco:Question), there must be at least one question text (disco:questionText) associated with a language tag of an arbitrary language or with an English language tag.
- SKOS-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-01<sup>73</sup>: Some controlled vocabularies contain literals in natural language, but without information what language has actually been used. Language tags might also not conform to language standards, such as RFC 3066. Iteration over all triples in the vocabulary that have a predicate which is a (subclass of) rdfs:label or skos:note. Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-02<sup>74</sup>: Some concepts in a thesaurus are labeled in only one language, some in multiple languages. It may be desirable to have each concept labeled in each of the languages that also are used on the other concepts. This is not always possible, but incompleteness of language coverage for some concepts can indicate shortcomings of the vocabulary. Severity level: INFO

<sup>72</sup> R-49-RDF-LITERALS-HAVING-AT-MOST-ONE-LANGUAGE-TAG, MISSING-LANGUAGE-TAGS R-48-

 $<sup>^{71}</sup>$  R-47-LANGUAGE-TAG-MATCHING

 $<sup>^{73}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Omitted or Invalid Language Tags

 $<sup>^{74}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Incomplete Language Coverage

- SKOS-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-03<sup>75</sup>: Checks if all concepts have at least one common language, i.e. they have assigned at least one literal in the same language. Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-04<sup>76</sup>: Inconsistent Preferred Labels: According to the SKOS reference document, "A resource has no more than one value of skos:prefLabel per language tag".
  - Implementation: A SPARQL query is used to find concepts with at least two prefLabels. In a second step, the language tags of these prefLabels are analyzed and an ambiguity is detected if they are equal.
  - Severity level: INFO

#### 3.69 Whitespace Handling

Avoid whitespaces in literals neither leading nor trailing white spaces<sup>77</sup>.

DISCO-C-WHITESPACE-HANDLING-01: Delete whitespaces of series and study abstracts (dcterms:abstract; domain: disco:StudyGroup, disco:Study) automatically.

#### 3.70 HTML Handling

Check if all HTML tags, included in literals (of specific data properties within the context of specific classes)<sup>78</sup>, are closed properly.

- DISCO-C-HTML-HANDLING-01: Check if all HTML tags, included in literals of all Disco data properties, are closed properly.
- DISCO-C-HTML-HANDLING-02: Check if all HTML tags, included in literals of all data properties whose domains are *Disco* classes, are closed properly.

#### 3.71 Conditional Properties

If specific properties exist, then specific other properties must also be present<sup>79</sup>.

- **DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-01**: If a skos:Concept represents a code (having a skos:notation property) and a category (having a skos:prefLabel property), then the property disco:isValid has to be stated indicating if the code is valid ('true') or missing ('false').
- **DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-02**: If the abstract (*dcterms:abstract*) of a *disco:Study* is missing, a study title (*dcterms:title*) has to be stated.

 $<sup>^{75}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - No Common Language

 $<sup>^{76}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - SKOS Semi-Formal Consistency Issues - Inconsistent Preferred Labels

 $<sup>^{77}</sup>$  R-50-WHITESPACE-HANDLING-OF-RDF-LITERALS

 $<sup>^{78}</sup>$  R-51-HTML-HANDLING-OF-RDF-LITERALS

 $<sup>^{79}</sup>$  R-71-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES

#### 3.72 Recommended Properties

Which properties are not necessarily required but recommended within a particular context  $^{80}$ .

#### - DATA-CUBE-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:

• severity level: RECOMMENDED

#### - DCAT-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:

• severity level: RECOMMENDED

# DISCO-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01: The property skos:notation is not mandatory for disco: Variables, but recommended to indicate variable

• severity level: RECOMMENDED

#### - PHDD-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:

• severity level: RECOMMENDED

#### - SKOS-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:

• severity level: RECOMMENDED

#### - XKOS-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01:

• severity level: RECOMMENDED

#### 3.73 Handle RDF Collections

Examples of the *Handle RDF Collections*<sup>81</sup> constraint are: a collection must have a specific size; the first/last element of a given list must be a specific literal; the elements of collections are compared; are collections identical?; actions on RDF lists<sup>82</sup>; the 2. list element must be equal to 'XXX'; does the list have more than 10 elements?

- DISCO-C-HANDLE-RDF-COLLECTIONS-01: Have comparable disco: Variables the same number of codes in their code lists?
- DISCO-C-HANDLE-RDF-COLLECTIONS-02: Does the actual number of disco: Variables within an (un)ordered collection of a given disco: Logical DataSet match the expected number?

 $<sup>^{80}</sup>$  R-72-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES

 $<sup>^{81}\</sup> R\text{-}120\text{-}HANDLE\text{-}RDF\text{-}COLLECTIONS$ 

<sup>82</sup> See http://www.snee.com/bobdc.blog/2014/04/rdf-lists-and-sparql.html

#### 3.74 Value is Valid for Datatype

Make sure that a value is valid for its datatype. It has to be ensured, e.g., that a date is really a date, or that a *xsd:nonNegativeInteger* value is not negative.

- DISCO-C-VALUE-IS-VALID-FOR-DATATYPE-01: Check if all literal values of properties used within the Disco context of the datatype xsd:date (e.g. disco:startDate, disco:endDate, dcterms:date) are really of the datatype xsd:date.
- DATA-CUBE-C-VALUE-IS-VALID-FOR-DATATYPE-01: Datatype consistency (IC-0 [3]) The RDF graph must be consistent under RDF D-entailment using a datatype map containing all the datatypes used within the graph.

#### 3.75 Use Sub-Super Relations in Validation

83

The validation of instances data (direct or indirect) exploits the sub-class or sub-property link in a given ontology. This validation can indicate when the data is verbose (redundant) or expressed at a too general level, and could be improved. If dcterms:date and one of its sub-properties dcterms:created or dcterms:issued are present, e.g., check that the value in dcterms:date is not redundant with dcterms:created or dcterms:issued for ingestion.

- DISCO-C-USE-SUB-SUPER-RELATIONS-IN-VALIDATION-01:
   If one or more dcterms:coverage properties are present, suggest the use of one of its sub-properties dcterms:spatial or dcterms:temporal.
- DISCO-C-USE-SUB-SUPER-RELATIONS-IN-VALIDATION-02: If the dcterms:contributor property is present, suggest the use of one of its sub-properties, e.g. disco:fundedBy.

## 3.76 Cardinality Shortcuts\*

In most library applications, cardinality shortcuts tend to appear in pairs, with repeatable/non-repeatable establishing maximum cardinality and optional/mandatory establishing minimum cardinality. These are shortcuts for more detailed *cardinality restrictions*.

#### 3.77 Aggregation

Some constraints require aggregating multiple values, especially via COUNT, MIN and MAX.

DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-01: calculate the number of theoretical concepts in the thematic classification of a given study.

<sup>83</sup> R-224-USE-SUB-SUPER-RELATIONS-IN-VALIDATION

- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-02: calculate the number of variables of a data set.
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-03: calculate the number of questions in a given questionnaire.
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-04: the number of codes of a given variable must be below a maximum value.
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-05: the number of questions of a given questionnaire must exactly be a given value.
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-06: the cumulative percentage of all codes of a given variable must be 100.
- DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-07: the absolute frequency of all valid codes of a given variable must be equal to a given value.

#### 3.78 Structure

SKOS is based on RDF, which is a graph-based data model. Therefore we can concentrate on the vocabulary's graph-based structure for assessing the quality of SKOS vocabularies and apply graph- and network-analysis techniques.

- DISCO-C-STRUCTURE-01: there must be exactly one root in the hierarchy of DDI concepts.
- DATA-CUBE-C-STRUCTURE-01: Codes from hierarchy (IC-20 [3])
   If a dimension property has a qb:HierarchicalCodeList with a non-blank qb:parentChildProperty then the value of that dimension property on every qb:Observation must be reachable from a root of the hierarchy using zero or more hops along the qb:parentChildProperty links.
- DATA-CUBE-C-STRUCTURE-02: Codes from hierarchy (inverse) (IC-21 [3]) If a dimension property has a qb:HierarchicalCodeList with an inverse qb:parentChildProperty then the value of that dimension property on every qb:Observation must be reachable from a root of the hierarchy using zero or more hops along the inverse qb:parentChildProperty links.
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-01<sup>84</sup>: Orphan Concepts: An orphan concept is a concept without any associative or hierarchical relations. It might have attached literals like e.g., labels, but is not connected to any other resource, lacking valuable context information. A controlled vocabulary that contains many orphan concepts is less usable for search and retrieval use cases, because, e.g., no hierarchical query expansion can be performed on search terms to find documents with more general content.
  - Implementation: Iteration over all concepts in the vocabulary and returning that don't have associated resources using (subproperties of) skos:semanticRelation.
  - Severity level: INFO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Orphan Concepts

- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-02<sup>85</sup>: Disconnected Concept Clusters: Checking the connectivity of the graph, it is possible to identify all weakly connected components. These datasets form "islands" in the vocabulary and might be caused by incomplete data acquisition, "forgotten" test data, outdated terms and the like.
  - Implementation: Creation of an undirected graph that includes all nonorphan concepts as nodes and all semantic relations as edges. Tarjan's algorithm then finds and returns all weakly connected components.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-03<sup>86</sup>: Cyclic Hierarchical Relations: Although perfectly consistent with the SKOS data model, cyclic relations may reveal a logical problem in the thesaurus. Consider the following example: "decision" → "problem resolution" → "problem" (→ "decision": here the cycle is closed). The concepts are connected using skos:broader relationships (indicated with "→"). Due to the fact that a thesaurus is in many cases a product of consensus between the contributors (or just the decision of one dedicated thesaurus manager), it will be almost impossible to automatically resolve the cycle (i.e. deleting an edge).
  - Implementation: Construction of a graph having all concepts as nodes and the set of edges being *skos:broader* relations.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-04<sup>87</sup>: Valueless Associative Relations: Two concepts are sibling, but also connected by an associative relation. In that context, the associative relation is not necessary. See ISO\_DIS\_25964-1, 11.3.2.2
  - Implementation: Identification of all pairs of concepts that have the same broader or narrower concepts, i.e. they are "sibling terms". All siblings that are related by a *skos:related* property are returned.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-05<sup>88</sup>: Solely Transitively Related Concepts: skos:broaderTransitive and skos:narrowerTransitive are, according to the SKOS reference document, "not used to make assertions", so they should not be the only relations hierarchically relating two concepts.
  - Implementation: Identification of all concept pairs that are related by skos:broaderTransitive or skos:narrowerTransitive properties but not by their skos:broader and skos:narrower subproperties.
  - Severity level: INFO

 $^{85}$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Disconnected Concept Clusters

 $<sup>^{86}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Cyclic Hierarchical Relations

 $<sup>^{87}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Valueless Associative Relations

 $<sup>^{88}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Solely Transitively Related Concepts

- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-06<sup>89</sup>: Unidirectionally Related Concepts: Reciprocal relations (e.g., broader/narrower, related, hasTopConcept/topConceptOf) should be included in the controlled vocabularies to achieve better search results using SPARQL in systems without reasoner support.
  - Implementation: This issue is checked without inference of *owl:inverseOf* properties. We iterate over all triples and check for each property if an inverse property is defined in the SKOS ontology and if the respective statement using this property is included in the vocabulary. If not, the resources associated with this property are returned.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-07<sup>90</sup>: Omitted Top Concepts: A vocabulary should provide "entry points" to the data to provide "efficient access" (SKOS primer) and guidance for human users.
  - Implementation: For every ConceptScheme in the controlled vocabulary, a SPARQL query is issued finding resources that are associated with this ConceptScheme by one of the properties skos:hasTopConcept or skos:topConceptOf. Top concepts are also concepts having no broader concept.
  - Severity level: SHOULD
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-08<sup>91</sup>: Top Concepts Having Broader Concepts:
   Concepts "internal to the tree" should not be indicated as top concepts.
  - Implementation: A SPARQL query finds all top concepts (being defined by one of the properties skos:hasTopConcept or skos:topConceptOf) having associated a broader concept.
  - Severity level: ERROR
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE-09<sup>92</sup>: Hierarchical Redundancy: As stated in the SKOS reference document, skos:broader and skos:narrower are not transitive properties. However, they are subproperties of skos:broaderTransitive and skos:narrowerTransitive which enables inference of a "transitive closure". This, in fact, leaves it up to the user to interpret wheter a vocabulary's hierarchical structure is seen as transitive or not. In the former case, this check can be useful. It finds pairs of concepts (A,B) that are directly hierarchically related but there also exits an hierarchical path through a concept C that connects A and B. Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-STRUCTURE- $10^{93}$ : Reflexive Relations: Concepts related to themselves.
  - Severity level: INFO

 $<sup>^{89}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Unidirectionally Related Concepts

Ocrresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Omitted Top Concepts
 Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Top Concepts Having Broader Concepts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Hierarchical Redundancy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Structural Issues - Reflexive Relations

#### 3.79 Labeling and Documentation

- SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-01<sup>94</sup>: Undocumented Concepts: The SKOS standard defines a number of properties useful for documenting the meaning of the concepts in a thesaurus also in a human-readable form. Intense use of these properties leads to a well-documented thesaurus which should also improve its quality. Iteration over all concepts in the vocabulary and find those not using one of skos:note, skos:changeNote, skos:definition, skos:editorialNote, skos:example, skos:historyNote, or skos:scopeNote.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-02<sup>95</sup>: Overlapping Labels: This is a generalization of a recommendation in the SKOS primer, that "no two concepts have the same preferred lexical label in a given language when they belong to the same concept scheme". This could indicate missing disambiguation information and thus lead to problems in autocompletion application.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-03<sup>96</sup>: Missing Labels: To make the vocabulary more convenient for humans to use, instances of SKOS classes (Concept, ConceptScheme, Collection) should be labeled using e.g., skos:prefLabel, altLabel, rdfs:label, dc:title.
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-04<sup>97</sup>: Unprintable Characters in Labels: pref/alt/hiddenlabels contain characters that are not alphanumeric characters or blanks.
  - Severity level: INFO
- **SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-05**<sup>98</sup>: Empty Labels: Labels also need to contain textual information to be useful, thus we find all SKOS labels with length 0 (after removing whitespaces).
  - Severity level: INFO
- SKOS-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-06<sup>99</sup>: Ambiguous Notation References: Concepts within the same concept scheme should not have identifical skos:notation literals.
  - Severity level: INFO

 $<sup>^{94}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Undocumented Concepts

 $<sup>^{95}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Overlapping Labels

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Missing Labels

 $<sup>^{97}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Unprintable Characters in Labels

 $<sup>^{98}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Empty Labels

 $<sup>^{99}</sup>$  Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Labeling and Documentation Issues - Ambiguous Notation References

# 3.80 Vocabulary

Vocabularies should not invent any new terms or use deprecated elements.

- DATA-CUBE-C-VOCABULARY-01
  - Severity level: FATALERROR
- DCAT-C-VOCABULARY-01
  - Severity level: FATALERROR
- DISCO-C-VOCABULARY-01
  - Severity level: FATALERROR
- PHDD-C-VOCABULARY-01
  - Severity level: FATALERROR
- SKOS-C-VOCABULARY-01<sup>100</sup>: Undefined SKOS Resources: The vocabulary should not invent any new terms within the SKOS namespace or use deprecated SKOS elements.
  - Severity level: FATALERROR
- XKOS-C-VOCABULARY-01
  - Severity level: FATALERROR
- 4 SKOS-Related Constraint Types
- 5 Validation in Combination with other Vocabularies
- 5.1 RDF Data Cube Vocabulary
- 5.2 SKOS and XKOS
- 5.3 PHDD
- 5.4 DCAT
- 6 Conclusion and Future Work

#### References

- Thomas Bosch and Kai Eckert. Requirements on rdf constraint formulation and validation. Proceedings of the DCMI International Conference on Dublin Core and Metadata Applications (DC 2014), 2014.
- Thomas Bosch, Andreas Nolle, Erman Acar, and Kai Eckert. Rdf validation requirements evaluation and logical underpinning. 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> Corresponds to qSKOS Quality Issues - Linked Data Specific Issues - Undefined SKOS Resources

- 3. Richard Cyganiak and Dave Reynolds. The rdf data cube vocabulary. W3C recommendation, W3C, January 2014.
- 4. Dimitris Kontokostas, Patrick Westphal, Sören Auer, Sebastian Hellmann, Jens Lehmann, Roland Cornelissen, and Amrapali Zaveri. Test-driven evaluation of linked data quality. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on World Wide Web*, WWW '14, pages 747–758, Republic and Canton of Geneva, Switzerland, 2014. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.
- Markus Krötzsch, Frantisek Simancik, and Ian Horrocks. A description logic primer. CoRR, abs/1201.4089, 2012.
- Carsten Lutz, Carlos Areces, Ian Horrocks, and Ulrike Sattler. Keys, nominals, and concrete domains. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 23(1):667–726, June 2005.
- Michael Schneider. OWL 2 Web Ontology Language RDF-Based Semantics. W3C recommendation, W3C, October 2009.