

An Evaluation of RDF Constraint Types to Validate Metadata on Person-Level and Aggregated Data

Thomas Bosch¹, Benjamin Zapilko¹, Joachim Wackerow¹, and Kai Eckert²

¹ GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences, Germany
`{firstname.lastname}@gesis.org`,

² University of Mannheim, Germany
`kai@informatik.uni-mannheim.de`

Abstract. ...

Keywords: RDF Validation, RDF Constraints, DDI-RDF Discovery Vocabulary, Disco, RDF Data Cube Vocabulary, Linked Data, Semantic Web

1 Evaluation

Criteria (Counts)	Vocabularies			
	Person-Level Metadata (Disco)	Aggregated Metadata (Data Cube)	Thesauri (SKOS)	Rectangular Data (PHDD) Statistical Classifications (XKOS) Total
<i>Validated Triples</i>	9,673,055	3,775,983,610	477,737,281	
<i>Validated Data Sets</i>	1,526	9,990	4,178	
<i>Constraint Violations</i>	XXXXXX			
<i>Constraint Violations (\mathcal{SL}_0)</i>	XXXXXX			
<i>Constraint Violations (\mathcal{SL}_1)</i>	473,574 \equiv XXXXXX%			
<i>Constraint Violations (\mathcal{SL}_2)</i>	XXXXXX			
<i>Constraint Types</i>	52 (15 37)			
<i>Constraint Types (\mathcal{C}_C)</i>	30 \equiv 57.7 %			
<i>Constraint Types (\mathcal{C}_T)</i>	22 \equiv 42.3 %			
<i>Constraints</i>	142 (77 65)			
<i>Constraints (\mathcal{C}_C)</i>	72 \equiv 50.7 %			
<i>Constraints (\mathcal{C}_T)</i>	70 \equiv 49.3 %			
<i>Constraints (\mathcal{SL}_0)</i>	75 \equiv 52.8% (44 31)			
<i>Constraints (\mathcal{SL}_1)</i>	9 \equiv 6.3% (8 1)			
<i>Constraints (\mathcal{SL}_2)</i>	58 \equiv 40.8% (25 33)			

Table 1: Evaluation

1.1 Legend

Validation Successful: X indicates the number of raised constraint violation triples.

Symbol	Description
X	Validation Successful
$>X$	Poor Performance/Scaling
\times	Very Poor Performance/Scaling
$(!)$	Not Yet Implemented Constraint
(X)	The validation of X data sets could not be finished due to SPARQL endpoints' technical restrictions (e.g. the defined timeout).
$*$	severity level \mathcal{SL}_0 (informational)
$**$	severity level \mathcal{SL}_1 (warning)
$***$	severity level \mathcal{SL}_2 (error)

Table 2: Legend

Poor Performance/Scaling: The performance of the implementation of the underlying SPARQL CONSTRUCT query is too poor to get all resulting constraint violation triples. Therefore, a limit of X result constraint violation triples is set. It is likely that there are more than X constraint violations. Although, the result set contains not the whole set of raised constraint violation triples, the constraint can be used as an indicator if there data not conforming to the constraint and to resolve constraint violations step by step. As part of future work, the performance will be improved.

Very Poor Performance/Scaling: The performance of the implementation of the underlying SPARQL CONSTRUCT query is too poor to get any results, even though a limit of result constraint violation triples is set. As part of future work, the performance will be improved.

2 Person-Level Metadata (Disco)

2.1 Evaluation Results

Legend: total (implemented|not yet implemented)

Evaluation Criteria	Counts
<i>Validated Triples</i>	9,673,055
<i>Validated Data Sets</i>	1,526
<i>Constraint Violations</i>	XXXXXX
<i>Constraint Violations (SL_0)</i>	XXXXXX
<i>Constraint Violations (SL_1)</i>	473,574 \equiv XXXXXX%
<i>Constraint Violations (SL_2)</i>	XXXXXX
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations)</i>	<i>DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-06</i> (547,916) <i>DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-02</i> (547,916)
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations (SL_0))</i>	<i>DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-06</i> (547,916)
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations (SL_1))</i>	<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-46</i> (468,807)
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations (SL_2))</i>	<i>DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-02</i> (547,916)
<i>Constraint Types</i>	52 (15 37)
<i>Constraint Types (C_C)</i>	30 \equiv 57.7 %
<i>Constraint Types (C_T)</i>	22 \equiv 42.3 %
<i>Constraint Types (Most Constraints)</i>	1. Existential Quantifications: 46 \equiv 32.4% (46 0) 2. Data Model Consistency: 7 (1 6) 3. Aggregation: 7 (0 7)
<i>Constraint Type (Most Constraints (SL_2))</i>	Existential Quantifications: 9 (9 0)
<i>Constraints</i>	142 (77 65)
<i>Constraints (C_C)</i>	72 \equiv 50.7 %
<i>Constraints (C_T)</i>	70 \equiv 49.3 %
<i>Constraints (SL_0)</i>	75 \equiv 52.8% (44 31)
<i>Constraints (SL_1)</i>	9 \equiv 6.3% (8 1)
<i>Constraints (SL_2)</i>	58 \equiv 40.8% (25 33)

Table 3: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Evaluation Results

2.2 Data Sets Overview

Abbr.	Disco Data Sets
<i>Missy</i>	<i>Microdata Information System</i> ³
<i>DwB</i>	<i>DwB Discovery Portal</i> ⁴
<i>DDA-SND</i>	<i>DDI-RDF</i> ⁵ provided by the <i>Danish Data Archive (DDA)</i> ⁶ and Swedish National Data Service (SND) ⁷

Table 4: Disco Data Sets Abbreviations

Data Sets	Counts									
	triples	disco:StudyGroup	disco:Study	disco:LogicalDataSet	disco:Universe	disco:Variable	disco:Question	disco:SummaryStatistics	disco:CategoryStatistics	skos:Concept
<i>Missy</i>	5,068,838	6	45	159	1,125	21,040	0	0	0	147,193
<i>DwB</i>	2,332,802	0	1,387	1,367	2,796	446,806	0	0	0	0
<i>DDA-SND</i>	2,271,415	0	1,490	0	10,188	80,070	139,237	0	0	290,963
Total	9,673,055			1,526						

Table 5: Disco Data Sets Overview

Data Sets	SPARQL Endpoint
<i>Missy</i>	http://svko-missy:8181/openrdf-workbench/repositories/native-java-store/summary
<i>DwB</i>	http://dwb-dev.nsd.uib.no/sparql
<i>DDA-SND</i>	http://ddi-rdf.borsna.se/endpoint/

Table 6: Disco SPARQL Endpoints

³ <http://www.geis.org/missy/eu/missy-home>

⁴ <http://dwb-dev.nsd.uib.no/portal>

⁵ <http://ddi-rdf.borsna.se/>

⁶ <http://samfund.dda.dk/dda/default-en.asp>

⁷ <http://snd.gu.se/en>

2.3 Detailed Evaluation

Existential Quantifications (1)	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-02</i> ***	7	17	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-03</i> *	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-04</i> *	11,021	445,381	62,260
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-05</i> *	✓	✓	139,237
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-06</i> *	12	1,367	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-07</i> *	6	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-08</i> *	45	1,387	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-09</i> *	6	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-10</i> *	45	1,387	1,490

Table 7: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Existential Quantifications (1)

	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
Existential Quantifications (2)			
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-11</i> [*]	6	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-12</i> [*]	6	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-13</i> [*]	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-14</i> [*]	45	1,387	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-15</i> [*]	45	1,387	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-16</i> [*]	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-17</i> [*]	159	1,367	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-18</i> [*]	159	1,367	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-19</i> [*]	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-20</i> [*]	✓	1,367	✓

Table 8: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Existential Quantifications (2)

	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DuB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
Existential Quantifications (3)			
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-21</i> [*]	✓	1,367	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-22</i> [*]	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-23</i> [*]	6	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-24</i> [*]	45	1,387	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-25</i> [*]	45	1,387	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-26</i> [*]	45	1,387	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-27</i> ^{***}	✓	130	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-28</i> ^{**}	159	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-29</i> ^{**}	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-30</i> ^{**}	✓	✓	✓

Table 9: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Existential Quantifications (3)

	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
Existential Quantifications (4)			
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-31</i> **	159	1,367	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-32</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-33</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-34</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-35</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-36</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-37</i> *	18,625	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-38</i> *	✓	✓	750
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-39</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-40</i> *	✓	✓	139,237

Table 10: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Existential Quantifications (4)

	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
Existential Quantifications (5)			
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-41</i> *	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-42</i> *	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-43</i> *	15,733	446,806	80,070
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-44</i> *	159	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-45</i> *	6,784	446,806	19,221
<i>DISCO-C-EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-46</i> **	11,550	446,806	10,451

Table 11: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Existential Quantifications (5)

Conditional Properties	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-01</i> ^{***}	✓	✓	80,070
<i>DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-02</i> ^{**}	12	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-03</i> ^{**}	90	✓	2,980
<i>DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-04</i> ^{***}	6	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-05</i> ^{***}	45	1,387	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-CONDITIONAL-PROPERTIES-06</i> ^{***}	✓	✓	✓

Table 12: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Conditional Properties

Provenance	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-PROVENANCE-01</i> [*]	6	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-PROVENANCE-02</i> [*]	45	1,387	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-PROVENANCE-03</i> [*]	159	1,367	✓
<i>DISCO-C-PROVENANCE-04</i> [*]	✓	1,367	✓

Table 13: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Provenance

Labeling and Documentation	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-01</i> [*]	6	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-02</i> [*]	45	1,387	1,490
<i>DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-03</i> [*]	159	1,367	✓
<i>DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-04</i> [*]	✓	1,367	✓
<i>DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-05</i> [*]	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-06</i> [*]	21,040	446,806	80,070

Table 14: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Labeling and Documentation

Data Model Consistency	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01</i> (!) ^{***}			
<i>DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02</i> (!) ^{***}			
<i>DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03</i> (!) ^{***}			
<i>DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-04</i> (!) ^{***}			
<i>DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-05</i> ^{***}	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-06</i> (!) ^{***}			
<i>DISCO-C-DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-07</i> (!) ^{***}			

Table 15: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Data Model Consistency

Comparison	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DuB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-01 (!)**</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-02***</i>	21,040	446,806	80,070
<i>DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-03 (!)***</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-04*</i>	18,625	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-COMPARISON-VARIABLES-05***</i>	159	✓	✓

Table 16: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Comparison

Mathematical Operations	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DuB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-01 (!)***</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-02 (!)***</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-03 (!)***</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-04 (!)***</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-MATHEMATICAL-OPERATIONS-05 (!)***</i>			

Table 17: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Mathematical Operations

Language Tags	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-MATCHING-01 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-01 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-02 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-03 (!)*</i>			

Table 18: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Language Tags

Aggregation	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-01 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-02 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-03 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-04 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-05 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-06 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-AGGREGATION-07 (!)*</i>			

Table 19: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Aggregation

Disco Constraints	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-ALLOWED-VALUES-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-LITERAL-RANGES-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-INVERSE-FUNCTIONAL-PROPERTIES-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-INVERSE-FUNCTIONAL-PROPERTIES-02</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-CLASS-SPECIFIC-PROPERTY-RANGE-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-MEMBERSHIP-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✗
<i>DISCO-C-LITERAL-VALUE-COMPARISON-01</i> ***	✓	1,299	✓
<i>DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01</i> *	21,038	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-DATA-PROPERTY-FACETS-01</i> **	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-DATA-PROPERTY-FACETS-02</i> **	✓	✓	✓

Table 20: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Disco Constraints (1)

Disco Constraints	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DuB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-VALUE-IS-VALID-FOR-DATATYPE-01</i> ***	30	6,932	✓
<i>DISCO-C-VALUE-IS-VALID-FOR-DATATYPE-02</i> ***	✓	✓	✓
<i>DISCO-C-SUBSUMPTION-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-CLASS-EQUIVALENCE-01 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-SUB-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-PROPERTY-RANGES-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-02 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-INVERSE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-03 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> ***			

Table 21: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Disco Constraints (2)

Disco Constraints	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-ASYMMETRIC-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-CLASS-SPECIFIC-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-CLASS-SPECIFIC-IRREFLEXIVE-OBJECT-PROPERTIES-02 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-DISJOINT-CLASSES-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-LITERAL-PATTERN-MATCHING-01 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-DISJUNCTION-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01 (!)</i> ***			

Table 22: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Disco Constraints (3)

Disco Constraints	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-MAXIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01 (!)</i> ***			
<i>DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-EXCLUSIVE-OR-OF-PROPERTY-GROUPS-01 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-IRI-PATTERN-MATCHING-01 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-ORDERING-01 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-ORDERING-02 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-ORDERING-03 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-STRING-OPERATIONS-01 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01 (!)</i> *			
<i>DISCO-C-CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> *			

Table 23: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Disco Constraints (4)

Disco Constraints	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-DEFAULT-VALUES-01 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-WHITESPACE-HANDLING-01 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-HTML-HANDLING-01 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-HTML-HANDLING-02 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-HANDLE-RDF-COLLECTIONS-01 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-HANDLE-RDF-COLLECTIONS-02 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-USE-SUB-SUPER-RELATIONS-IN-VALIDATION-01 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-USE-SUB-SUPER-RELATIONS-IN-VALIDATION-02 (!)*</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-STRUCTURE-01 (!)***</i>			

Table 24: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Disco Constraints (5)

Disco Constraints	Data Sets		
	<i>Missy</i>	<i>DwB</i>	<i>DDA-SND</i>
<i>DISCO-C-VOCABULARY-01 (!)***</i>			
<i>DISCO-C-HTTP-URI-SCHEME-VIOLATION (!)***</i>			

Table 25: Evaluation of Disco Data Sets - Disco Constraints (6)

3 Aggregated Metadata (Data Cube)

3.1 Evaluation Results

Evaluation Criteria	Counts
<i>Validated Triples</i>	3,775,983,610
<i>Validated Data Sets</i>	9,990
<i>Constraint Violations</i>	45,635,846
<i>Constraint Violations (SL_0)</i>	0 \equiv 0%
<i>Constraint Violations (SL_1)</i>	45,520,613 \equiv 99.75%
<i>Constraint Violations (SL_2)</i>	115,233 \equiv 0.25%
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations)</i>	DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-05 (45,514,102)
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations (SL_0))</i>	-
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations (SL_1))</i>	DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-05 (45,514,102)
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations (SL_2))</i>	MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-02 (1,556)
<i>Constraint Types</i>	20 (7 13)
<i>Constraint Types (C_C)</i>	5 \equiv 25 %
<i>Constraint Types (C_T)</i>	15 \equiv 75 %
<i>Constraint Types (Most Constraints)</i>	1. Data Model Consistency: 11 \equiv 31.4% (10 1) 2. Existential Quantifications: 4 \equiv 11.4% (4 0)
<i>Constraint Type (Most Constraints (SL_2))</i>	Data Model Consistency: 8 \equiv 22.9% (7 1)
<i>Constraints</i>	35 (20 15)
<i>Constraints (C_C)</i>	16 (12 4)
<i>Constraints (C_T)</i>	19 (8 11)
<i>Constraints (SL_0)</i>	4 \equiv 11.4% (0 4)
<i>Constraints (SL_1)</i>	3 \equiv 8.6% (3 0)
<i>Constraints (SL_2)</i>	28 \equiv 80% (17 11)

Table 26: Evaluation of Data Cube Data Sets - Evaluation Results

3.2 Data Sets Overview

- overview over Data Cube data sets⁸
- <http://ontologycentral.com/>

⁸ <http://270a.info/>; <http://datahub.io/de/dataset?tags=format-qb>

Abbr.	Data Cube Data Sets
<i>ECB</i>	<i>European Central Bank</i> ⁹
<i>UIS</i>	<i>UNESCO Institute for Statistics</i> ¹⁰
<i>IMF</i>	<i>International Monetary Fund</i> ¹¹
<i>BFS</i>	<i>Bundesamt für Statistik - Swiss Federal Statistics</i> ¹²
<i>FAO</i>	<i>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</i> ¹³
<i>WB</i>	<i>World Bank</i> ¹⁴
<i>FRB</i>	<i>Federal Reserve Board</i> ¹⁵
<i>TI</i>	<i>Transparency International</i> ¹⁶
<i>OECD</i>	<i>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</i> ¹⁷
<i>BIS</i>	<i>Bank for International Settlements</i> ¹⁸
<i>ABS</i>	<i>Australian Bureau of Statistics</i> ¹⁹
<i>IEEE-VIS</i>	<i>IEEE VIS Source Data</i>
<i>ACORN-SAT</i>	<i>Australian Climate Observations Reference Network - Surface Air Temperature Dataset</i>
<i>HDP</i>	<i>HealthData.gov Platform (HDP) on the Semantic Web</i>
<i>Eurostat</i>	<i>The Eurostat Linked Data</i> (SPARQL endpoint unavailable)
<i>Asturias</i>	<i>Nomenclator Asturias</i> (SPARQL endpoint unavailable!)
<i>ISTAT</i>	<i>ISTAT Immigration (LinkedOpenData.it)</i> (SPARQL endpoint unavailable)
<i>ICANE</i>	<i>Statistical Office of Cantabria (Instituto Cántabro de Estadística, ICANE)</i> (SPARQL endpoint unavailable)
<i>EE-2009</i>	<i>European Election Results 2009</i> (SPARQL endpoint unavailable)
<i>EU-B</i>	<i>Standard Eurobarometer</i> (SPARQL endpoint unavailable)
<i>ECB-S</i>	<i>European Central Bank Statistics (PublicData.eu)</i> (SPARQL endpoint unavailable)
<i>CPV-2008</i>	<i>Common Procurement Vocabulary (CPV) 2008</i> (SPARQL endpoint unavailable)
<i>CPV-2003</i>	<i>Common Procurement Vocabulary (CPV) 2003</i> (SPARQL endpoint unavailable)

Table 27: Data Cube Data Sets Abbreviations

⁹ <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/home/html/index.en.html>

¹⁰ <http://www.uis.unesco.org/Pages/default.aspx>

¹¹ <http://www.imf.org/external/index.htm>

¹² <http://www.bfs.admin.ch/>

¹³ <http://www.fao.org/home/en/>

¹⁴ <http://www.worldbank.org/>

¹⁵ <http://www.federalreserve.gov/>

¹⁶ <http://www.transparency.org/>

¹⁷ <http://www.oecd.org/>

¹⁸ <http://www.bis.org/>

¹⁹ <http://abs.gov.au/>

Data Sets	Counts				
	triples	qb:DataSet	qb:DataSetDefinition	qb:Observation	qb:Slice
<i>ECB</i>	468,899,474	55	46	>11,000,000	428,698
<i>UIS</i>	10,400,534	5	5	1,437,651	0
<i>IMF</i>	35,688,446	4	8	3,603,719	0
<i>BFS</i>	1,533,743	0	0	8	0
<i>FAO</i>	53,000,000	10	10	>7,100,000	0
<i>WB</i>	174,006,552	9,466	59	>17,000,000	0
<i>FRB</i>	185,266,900	49	98	>9,500,000	0
<i>TI</i>	52,233	6	6	3,928	0
<i>OECD</i>	304,995,160	136	140	>12,000,000	0
<i>BIS</i>	54,197,482	6	12	3,606,466	47,914
<i>ABS</i>	2,357,400,000	253	257	>11,000,000	0
<i>IEEE-VIS</i>	19,935,340	0	0	1,350	0
<i>ACORN-SAT</i>	98,381,319	0	4	0	0
<i>HDP</i>	12,226,427	0	0	0	0
Total	3,775,983,610	9,990			

Table 28: Data Cube Data Sets Overview

Data Sets	SPARQL Endpoints
<i>ECB</i>	http://ecb.270a.info/sparql
<i>UIS</i>	http://uis.270a.info/sparql
<i>IMF</i>	http://imf.270a.info/sparql
<i>BFS</i>	http://bfs.270a.info/sparql
<i>FAO</i>	http://fao.270a.info/sparql
<i>WB</i>	http://worldbank.270a.info/sparql
<i>FRB</i>	http://frb.270a.info/sparql
<i>TI</i>	http://transparency.270a.info/sparql
<i>OECD</i>	http://oecd.270a.info/sparql
<i>BIS</i>	http://bis.270a.info/sparql
<i>ABS</i>	http://abs.270a.info/sparql
<i>ACORN-SAT</i>	http://lab.environment.data.gov.au/sparql
<i>HDP</i>	http://healthdata.tw.rpi.edu/sparql

Table 29: Data Cube SPARQL Endpoints

3.3 Detailed Evaluation

Data Model Consistency	Data Sets						
	<i>ECB</i>	<i>UIS</i>	<i>IMF</i>	<i>BFS</i>	<i>FAO</i>	<i>WB</i>	<i>FRB</i>
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01</i> **	✓ (2)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02</i> ***	✓ (2)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03</i> ***	✓ (2)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-04</i> ***	✓ (6)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	14,372
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-05</i> **	1,198,352 (50)	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	16,175,814 (42)
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-06</i> ***	✓ (2)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-07</i> ***	✓ (9)	✓	99,091	✓	✓	✓	✓ (1)
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-08</i> ***	✓ (2)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-09</i> ***	✓ (2)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-10</i> *** (!)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-11</i> **	6,511 (10)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 30: Evaluation of Data Cube Data Sets - Data Model Consistency (1)

Data Model Consistency	Data Sets						
	<i>TI</i>	<i>OECD</i>	<i>BIS</i>	<i>ABS</i>	<i>IEEE-VIS</i>	<i>ACORN-SAT</i>	<i>HDP</i>
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01</i> **	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	8	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-04</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓ (6)	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-05</i> **	✓	21,142,838 (116)	✗	6,997,098 (246)	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-06</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-07</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓ (8)	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-08</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-09</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-10</i> *** (!)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-11</i> **	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 31: Evaluation of Data Cube Data Sets - Data Model Consistency (2)

Existential Quantifications	Data Sets													
	<i>ECB</i>	<i>UIS</i>	<i>IMF</i>	<i>BFS</i>	<i>FAO</i>	<i>WB</i>	<i>FRB</i>	<i>TI</i>	<i>OECD</i>	<i>BIS</i>	<i>ABS</i>	<i>IEEE-VIS</i>	<i>ACORN-SAT</i>	<i>HDP</i>
<i>EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01</i> ***	9	✓	11	7	8	77	8	9	7	8	7	✓	✓	✓
<i>EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-02</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-03</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	59	✓	6	✓	✓	✓	✓	4	✓
<i>EXISTENTIAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-04</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 32: Evaluation of Data Cube Data Sets - Existential Quantifications

Cardinality Restrictions	Data Sets									
	<i>ECB</i>	<i>UIS</i>	<i>IMF</i>	<i>BFS</i>	<i>FAO</i>	<i>WB</i>	<i>FRB</i>	<i>TI</i>	<i>OECD</i>	<i>BIS</i>
<i>MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01 (!)</i> ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-02</i> ***	✗	118	8	8	30	✓	30	✓	✗	12
<i>MAXIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>EXACT-UNQUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-02</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 33: Evaluation of Data Cube Data Sets - Cardinality Restrictions (1)

Cardinality Restrictions	Data Sets			
	<i>ABS</i>	<i>IEEE-VIS</i>	<i>ACORN-SAT</i>	<i>HDP</i>
<i>MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01 (!)</i> ***	-	-	-	-
<i>MINIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-02</i> ***	✗	1,350	✓	✓
<i>MAXIMUM-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01</i> ***	✓ (2)	✓	✓	✓
<i>EXACT-UNQUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>EXACT-QUALIFIED-CARDINALITY-RESTRICTIONS-02</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 34: Evaluation of Data Cube Data Sets - Cardinality Restrictions (2)

	Data Sets													
Structure	<i>ECB</i>	<i>UIS</i>	<i>IMF</i>	<i>BFS</i>	<i>FAO</i>	<i>WB</i>	<i>FRB</i>	<i>TI</i>	<i>OECD</i>	<i>BIS</i>	<i>ABS</i>	<i>IEEE-VIS</i>	<i>ACORN-SAT</i>	<i>HDP</i>
<i>STRUCTURE-01</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>STRUCTURE-02</i> ***	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 35: Evaluation of Data Cube Data Sets - Structure

	Data Sets													
Constraints	ECB	UIS	IMF	BFS	FAO	WB	FRB	TI	OECD	BIS	ABS	IEEE-VIS	ACORN-SAT	HDP
PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01 (!)***														
PROPERTY-RANGES-01 (!)***														
DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)***														
DISJOINT-CLASSES-01 (!)***														
EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)*														
UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01 (!)***														
MEMBERSHIP-IN-CONTROLLED-VOCABULARIES-01 (!)***														
CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01 (!)*														
CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01 (!)*														
RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01 (!)*														
VALUE-IS-VALID-FOR-DATATYPE-01 (!)***														
VOCABULARY-01 (!)***														

Table 36: Evaluation of Data Cube Data Sets - Constraints (1)

	Data Sets
Constraints	<div> <div>ECB</div> <div>UIS</div> <div>IMF</div> <div>BFS</div> <div>FAO</div> <div>WB</div> <div>FRB</div> <div>TI</div> <div>OECD</div> <div>BIS</div> <div>ABS</div> <div>IEEE-VIS</div> <div>ACORN-SAT</div> <div>HDP</div> </div>
<i>HTTP-URI-SCHEME-VIOLATION (!)</i> ***	

Table 37: Evaluation of Data Cube Data Sets - Constraints (2)

4 Thesauri (SKOS)

4.1 Evaluation Results

Evaluation Criteria	Counts
<i>Validated Triples</i>	XXXXXX
<i>Validated Data Sets</i>	XXXXXX
<i>Constraint Violations</i>	XXXXXX
<i>Constraint Violations (SL_0)</i>	XXXXXX
<i>Constraint Violations (SL_1)</i>	XXXXXX \equiv XXXXX%
<i>Constraint Violations (SL_2)</i>	XXXXXX
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations)</i>	LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-01 (2,508,903)
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations (SL_0))</i>	LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-06 (1,022,362)
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations (SL_1))</i>	LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-01 (2,508,903)
<i>Constraint (Most Constraint Violations (SL_2))</i>	-
<i>Constraint Types</i>	14 (4 10)
<i>Constraint Types (C_C)</i>	5 \equiv 35.7%
<i>Constraint Types (C_T)</i>	9 \equiv 64.3%
<i>Constraint Types (Most Constraints)</i>	1. Structure: 10 \equiv XX% (8 2) 2. Labeling and Documentation: 6 \equiv XX% (5 1) 3. Language Tag Cardinality: 4 \equiv XX% (4 0)
<i>Constraint Type (Most Constraints (SL_2))</i>	Structure: 1 (0 1)
<i>Constraints</i>	35 (17 18)
<i>Constraints (C_C)</i>	21 (13 8)
<i>Constraints (C_T)</i>	14 (4 10)
<i>Constraints (SL_0)</i>	21 \equiv 60% (12 9)
<i>Constraints (SL_1)</i>	5 \equiv 14.3% (5 0)
<i>Constraints (SL_2)</i>	9 \equiv 25.7% (0 9)

Table 38: Evaluation of Thesauri Data Sets - Evaluation Results

4.2 Data Sets Overview

- overview over SKOS data sets²⁰
- overview over thesauri²¹ (table 40)

²⁰ <http://datahub.io/de/dataset?tags=format-skos>

²¹ <http://datahub.io/de/dataset?tags=thesaurus>

Abbr.	Thesauri
<i>TheSoz</i>	<i>Thesaurus for the Social Sciences</i> ²²
<i>STW</i>	<i>Thesaurus for Economics</i> ²³
<i>AGROVOC</i>	<i>AGROVOC Multilingual agricultural thesaurus</i> ²⁴
<i>UNESCO</i>	<i>UNESCO Thesaurus</i> ²⁵
<i>TGN</i>	<i>The Getty Thesaurus of Geographic Names</i> ²⁶
<i>EARTH</i>	<i>Environmental Applications Reference Thesaurus</i> ²⁷
<i>ODT</i>	<i>Open Data Thesaurus</i> ²⁸
<i>SLD</i>	<i>Spanish Linguistic Datasets</i> ²⁹
<i>SSWT</i>	<i>Social Semantic Web Thesaurus</i> ³⁰
<i>GBA-GU</i>	<i>Thesaurus of the Geological Survey of Austria (GBA) - Geology Unit</i> ³¹
<i>GBA-GTS</i>	<i>Thesaurus of the Geological Survey of Austria (GBA) - Geologic Time Scale</i> ³²
<i>GBA-L</i>	<i>Thesaurus of the Geological Survey of Austria (GBA) - Lithology</i> ³³
<i>GBA-LU</i>	<i>Thesaurus of the Geological Survey of Austria (GBA) - Lithotectonic Unit</i> ³⁴
<i>GEMET</i>	<i>GEneral Multilingual Environmental Thesaurus</i> ³⁵
<i>EuroVoc</i>	<i>EuroVoc</i> ³⁶
<i>CECCT</i>	<i>Clean Energy and Climate Change Thesaurus</i> ³⁷

Table 39: Thesauri Abbreviations

²² <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/home/html/index.en.html>

²³ <http://zbw.eu/stw/versions/latest/about>

²⁴ <http://202.45.139.84:10035/catalogs/fao/repositories/agrovoc>

²⁵ <http://skos.um.es/sparql/>

²⁶ <http://vocab.getty.edu/sparql>

²⁷ <http://linkeddata.ge.imati.cnr.it/resource/EARTH/>

²⁸ <http://vocabulary.semantic-web.at/PoolParty/wiki/OpenData>

²⁹ <http://linguistic.linkeddata.es>

³⁰ <http://vocabulary.semantic-web.at/PoolParty/wiki/semweb>

³¹ <http://resource.geolba.ac.at/>

³² <http://resource.geolba.ac.at/>

³³ <http://resource.geolba.ac.at/>

³⁴ <http://resource.geolba.ac.at/>

³⁵ <http://www.eionet.europa.eu/gemet/>

³⁶ <http://open-data.europa.eu/de/data/dataset/eurovoc>

³⁷ <http://data.reegle.info/thesaurus/guide>

Thesauri	Counts						
	triples	skos:ConceptScheme	sko:Concept	skos:broader	skos:narrower	skos:hasTopConcept	skos:inScheme
<i>TheSoz</i>	439,153	1	8,426	13,705	13,706	0	48,529
<i>STW</i>	221,668	1	13,468	13,732	13732	7	13,180
<i>AGROVOC</i>	6,080,477	1	32,310	33,507	33,507	25	32,310
<i>UNESCO</i>	288,346	9	26,714	20,028	20,028	607	32,009
<i>TGN</i>	16,112,321	8	2,898,775	0	0	0	1,453,767
<i>EARTh</i>	9,287,364	11	295,375	288,208	93,827	479	295,376
<i>ODT</i>	3,290	6	108	93	93	30	0
<i>SLD</i>	7,629,211	0	31,195	0	0	0	0
<i>SSWT</i>	64,698	9	2,127	2,300	2,301	38	0
<i>GBA-GU</i>	25,718	3	878	1,005	1,005	14	0
<i>GBA-GTS</i>	7,875	3	213	208	208	5	0
<i>GBA-L</i>	9,317	1	249	249	249	4	0
<i>GBA-LU</i>	9,504	3	364	359	359	7	0
<i>GEMET</i>	372,889,229	3,680	414,659	62,193	21,685	30,806	409,290
<i>EuroVoc</i>	64,477,774	439	79,557	6,922	0	532	14,428
<i>CECCT</i>	191,336	3	3,419	3,761	3,762	28	0
Total	477,737,281	4,178					

Table 40: Thesauri Overview

Thesauri	SPARQL Endpoints
<i>TheSoz</i>	http://lod.gesis.org/thesoz/sparql
<i>STW</i>	http://zbw.eu/beta/sparql/stw/query
<i>AGROVOC</i>	http://202.45.139.84:10035/catalogs/fao/repositories/agrovoc
<i>UNESCO</i>	http://skos.um.es/sparql/
<i>TGN</i>	http://vocab.getty.edu/
<i>EARTH</i>	http://linkeddata.ge.imati.cnr.it:8890/sparql
<i>ODT</i>	http://vocabulary.semantic-web.at/PoolParty/sparql/OpenData
<i>SLD</i>	http://linguistic.linkeddata.es/sparql
<i>SSWT</i>	http://vocabulary.semantic-web.at/PoolParty/sparql/semweb
<i>GBA-GU</i>	http://resource.geolba.ac.at/PoolParty/sparql/GeologicUnit
<i>GBA-GTS</i>	http://resource.geolba.ac.at/PoolParty/sparql/GeologicTimeScale
<i>GBA-L</i>	http://resource.geolba.ac.at/PoolParty/sparql/lithology
<i>GBA-LU</i>	http://resource.geolba.ac.at/PoolParty/sparql/tectonicunit
<i>GEMET</i>	http://semantic.eea.europa.eu/sparql
<i>EuroVoc</i>	http://open-data.europa.eu/de/linked-data
<i>CECCT</i>	http://poolparty.reegle.info/PoolParty/sparql/glossary

Table 41: Thesauri SPARQL Endpoints

4.3 Detailed Evaluation

	Data Sets
	<i>TheSoz</i> <i>STW</i> <i>AGROVOC</i> <i>TGN</i> <i>UNESCO</i> <i>ODT</i> <i>SSWT</i> <i>GBA-GU</i> <i>GBA-GTS</i> <i>GBA-L</i> <i>GBA-LU</i> <i>CECCT</i>
Data Model Consistency	
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01 (!)*</i>	
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02 (!)*</i>	
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03 (!)*</i>	

Table 42: Thesauri Evaluation - Data Model Consistency (1)

Data Model Consistency	Data Sets
	<i>Earth</i> <i>GEMET</i> <i>Euro Voc</i> <i>SLD</i>
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-01 (!)*</i>	
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-02 (!)*</i>	
<i>DATA-MODEL-CONSISTENCY-03 (!)*</i>	

Table 43: Thesauri Evaluation - Data Model Consistency (2)

Labeling and Documentation	Data Sets											
	<i>TheSoz</i>	<i>STW</i>	<i>AGROVOC</i>	<i>TGN</i>	<i>UNESCO</i>	<i>ODT</i>	<i>SSWT</i>	<i>GBA-GU</i>	<i>GBA-GTS</i>	<i>GBA-L</i>	<i>GBA-LU</i>	<i>CECCT</i>
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-01*</i>	8,426	11,508	19,829	1,110	✗	36	1,475	5	2	✓	107	486
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-02*</i>	>1	✗	>100	287	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-03*</i>	✓	✓	1	14,114	✗	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	1	✓
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-04 (!)*</i>												
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-05*</i>	✓	✓	4	✓	1	2	2	1	✓	✓	✓	7
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-06*</i>	975,340	✓	✓	2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 44: Thesauri Evaluation - Labeling and Documentation (1)

	Data Sets			
	<i>EARTh</i>	<i>GEMET</i>	<i>Euro Voc</i>	<i>SLD</i>
Labeling and Documentation				
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-01</i> [*]	264,687	✗	54,911	31,195
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-02</i> [*]	✗	✗	✗	✓
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-03</i> [*]	2	✗	55,556	31,195
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-04 (!)</i> [*]				
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-05</i> [*]	39	✗	✗	978
<i>LABELING-AND-DOCUMENTATION-06</i> [*]	302	46,718	✓	✓

Table 45: Thesauri Evaluation - Labeling and Documentation (2)

Structure	Data Sets											
	<i>TheSoz</i>	<i>STW</i>	<i>AGROVOC</i>	<i>TGN</i>	<i>UNESCO</i>	<i>ODT</i>	<i>SSWT</i>	<i>GBA-GU</i>	<i>GBA-GTS</i>	<i>GBA-L</i>	<i>GBA-LU</i>	<i>CECCT</i>
<i>STRUCTURE-01</i> **	1	1,074	✓	✓	1	5	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>STRUCTURE-02 (!)</i> *												
<i>STRUCTURE-03</i> **	✓	✓	✓	✓	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>STRUCTURE-04</i> *	2,906	8,046	726	✓	3,840	12	124	84	256	68	22	2,422
<i>STRUCTURE-05</i> *	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	90	5,150	✓	✓	✓	✓	9,864
<i>STRUCTURE-06</i> *	1,457	37	✓	✓	✗	✓	4	1	1	64	✓	136
<i>STRUCTURE-07</i> **	40	5,370	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>STRUCTURE-08 (!)</i> ***												
<i>STRUCTURE-09</i> *	7,897	19,844	99	✓	552	2	16	26	✓	✓	✓	82
<i>STRUCTURE-10</i> **	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 46: Thesauri Evaluation - Structure (1)

Structure	Data Sets			
	<i>EARTh</i>	<i>GEMET</i>	<i>Euro Voc</i>	<i>SLD</i>
<i>STRUCTURE-01</i> **	18,240	✗	55,757	31,195
<i>STRUCTURE-02 (!)</i> *				
<i>STRUCTURE-03</i> **	39	4,244	✓	✓
<i>STRUCTURE-04</i> *	11,286	74	✓	✓
<i>STRUCTURE-05</i> *	✓	✗	✓	✓
<i>STRUCTURE-06</i> *	239,346	✗	13,876	✓
<i>STRUCTURE-07</i> **	110,015	✗	366,155	155,975
<i>STRUCTURE-08 (!)</i> ***				
<i>STRUCTURE-09</i> *	107,195	32	✓	✓
<i>STRUCTURE-10</i> **	27	2,122	✓	✓

Table 47: Thesauri Evaluation - Structure (2)

Language Tag Cardinality	Data Sets											
	<i>TheSoz</i>	<i>STW</i>	<i>AGROVOC</i>	<i>TGN</i>	<i>UNESCO</i>	<i>ODT</i>	<i>SSWT</i>	<i>GBA-GU</i>	<i>GBA-GTS</i>	<i>GBA-L</i>	<i>GBA-LU</i>	<i>CECCT</i>
<i>LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-01</i> **	9,435	13,468	98,894	✓	541	10,147	5,117	2,061	1,742	2,272	15,550	
<i>LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-02</i> *	8,222	36,936	✗	✓	265	3,627	2,212	635	631	1,253	9,607	
<i>LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-03</i> *	8,222	✓	135	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-04</i> *	✓	476	✗	50	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 48: Thesauri Evaluation - Language Tag Cardinality (1)

Language Tag Cardinality	Data Sets			
	<i>EARTh</i>	<i>GEMET</i>	<i>Euro Voc</i>	<i>SLD</i>
<i>LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-01</i> **	✗	2,318,895	✗	30,781
<i>LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-02</i> *	✗	✗	✗	✗
<i>LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-03</i> *	224,206	✗	✗	31,195
<i>LANGUAGE-TAG-CARDINALITY-04</i> *	✗	✗	✓	✓

Table 49: Thesauri Evaluation - Language Tag Cardinality (2)

Constraints	Data Sets									
	<i>TheSoz</i>	<i>STW</i>	<i>AGROVOC</i>	<i>TGN</i>	<i>UNESCO</i>	<i>ODT</i>	<i>SSWT</i>	<i>GBA-GU</i>	<i>GBA-GTS</i>	<i>GBA-L</i> <i>GBA-LU</i> <i>CECCT</i>
<i>PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01 (!)</i> ***										
<i>PROPERTY-RANGES-01 (!)</i> ***										
<i>DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> ***										
<i>DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-02 (!)</i> ***										
<i>DISJOINT-CLASSES-01 (!)</i> ***										
<i>EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> *										
<i>UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01 (!)</i> ***										
<i>CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01 (!)</i> *										
<i>CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> *										
<i>RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> *										
<i>VOCABULARY-01 (!)</i> ***										
<i>HTTP-URI-SCHEME-VIOLATION (!)</i> ***										

Table 50: Thesauri Evaluation - Constraints (1)

Constraints	Data Sets			
	<i>EARTH</i>	<i>GEMET</i>	<i>Euro Voc</i>	<i>SLD</i>
<i>PROPERTY-DOMAIN-01 (!)</i> ***				
<i>PROPERTY-RANGES-01 (!)</i> ***				
<i>DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> ***				
<i>DISJOINT-PROPERTIES-02 (!)</i> ***				
<i>DISJOINT-CLASSES-01 (!)</i> ***				
<i>EQUIVALENT-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> *				
<i>UNIVERSAL-QUANTIFICATIONS-01 (!)</i> ***				
<i>CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-CLASSES-01 (!)</i> *				
<i>CONTEXT-SPECIFIC-VALID-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> *				
<i>RECOMMENDED-PROPERTIES-01 (!)</i> *				
<i>VOCABULARY-01 (!)</i> ***				
<i>HTTP-URI-SCHEME-VIOLATION (!)</i> ***				

Table 51: Thesauri Evaluation - Constraints (2)

5 Rectangular Data (PHDD)

Thomas: ToDo

5.1 Evaluation Results

5.2 Data Sets Overview

5.3 Detailed Evaluation

6 Statistical Classifications (XKOS)

Thomas: ToDo

6.1 Evaluation Results

6.2 Data Sets Overview

Abbr.	Statistical Classifications
NAF	<i>Nomenclature d'activités française</i> ³⁸
PCS	<i>Nomenclature des Professions et Catégories Socioprofessionnelles</i> ³⁹
CJ	<i>Nomenclature des catégories juridiques</i> ⁴⁰
ISIC	⁴¹
ISCO	⁴²

Table 52: Statistical Classifications Abbreviations

- *Nomenclature d'activités française (NAF)*: French classification expressed in XKOS. the French refinement of the NACE, because it has explanatory notes.
- *Nomenclature des Professions et Catégories Socioprofessionnelles (PCS)*: French classification expressed in XKOS.
- *Nomenclature des catégories juridiques (CJ)*: French classification expressed in XKOS.
- *ISIC*: has explanatory notes too.
- *ISCO*

³⁸ <http://rdf.insee.fr/codes/index.html>

³⁹ <http://rdf.insee.fr/codes/index.html>

⁴⁰ <http://rdf.insee.fr/codes/index.html>

⁴¹

⁴²

	Counts
Data Sets	triples
<i>NAF</i>	
<i>PCS</i>	
<i>CJ</i>	
<i>ISIC</i>	
<i>ISCO</i>	
Total	

Table 53: Statistical Classifications Overview

6.3 Detailed Evaluation

References