

### Teacher-Student Paradigm

 Teacher: DINOv2+DPT

- **Monocular only** (single images)
- Standard DPT decoder
- No multi-view / No camera tokens
- Trained on synthetic data only

 Student: DA3

- **Multi-view** (2-18 images)
- Dual-DPT + cross-view attention
- Camera tokens + camera head
- Trained on mixed real+synthetic

Synthetic

→

Teacher

→

Relative Depth

RANSAC align (s,t) → cached pseudo-labels

↓

Real+Noisy


→

Pseudo-Labels

→

Student (DA3)

### Mixed Data Strategy

 Real Depth

 3D Recon


 Synthetic


~40% synthetic, ~30% real (ScanNet++), ~30% reconstructed (DL3DV)

#### KEY DETAILS

 Base res 504<sup>2</sup> (varied AR)

 Wall-clock: ~5-7 days

 Pose cond prob p=0.2

 Camera head D<sub>C</sub>: ~1ms

200k steps on 128 H100 GPUs

### Student Training Objective

$$L = L_D + L_M + L_P + \beta L_C + \alpha L_{grad}$$

(where  $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$ )

**Student:** Multi-view depth + rays + pose (mixed real+synthetic data)

**Teacher:**  $L_T = L_{grad} + L_{gl} + 0.5L_N + L_{sky} + L_{obj}$  (monocular, synthetic only)

### Student Loss Components

#### 1. Confidence-Aware Depth Loss ( $L_D$ )

Weighted L1 loss with learned uncertainty  $D_{c,p}$  that down-weights ambiguous regions.

#### 2. Ray Map Loss ( $L_M$ )

L1 loss on ray vectors  $M = (t, d)$  for direction consistency.

#### 3. Point Consistency ( $L_P$ )

Loss on 3D points  $P = t + \hat{D} \odot d$  to enforce geometric validity.

#### 4. Camera Head ( $L_C$ )

Supervision for pose predictions  $(f, q, t)$  from camera head.

#### 5. Gradient Loss ( $L_{grad}$ )

Edge-aware smoothness (shared with teacher).

#### Teacher Losses (monocular)

ROE, surface normals, sky/object masks