NETCONF Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: 20 April 2025 Q. Wu Q. Ma Huawei A. Huang Feng INSA-Lyon T. Graf Swisscom 17 October 2024

YANG Notification Transport Capabilities draft-netana-netconf-yp-transport-capabilities-00

Abstract

This document $\frac{proposes}{proposes} \underline{-specifies}$ a YANG module for YANG notifications transport

capabilities which augments "ietf-system-capabilities" YANG module defined in {_RFC_9196}. The module __and_provides transport, encoding_ and encryption

system capabilities for $\frac{transport}{transport}$ -specific notification. This YANG

module can be used by the client to learn capability information from the server at runtime or at implementation time, by making use of the YANG instance data file format.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 20 April 2025.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2024 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document.

Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights

and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	. 2
1.1. Terminology	. 3
2. Capabilities for Systems and Datastore Update	
Notifications	3
2.1. Tree Diagram	. 4
3. YANG Module	. 4
4. IANA Considerations	. 7
4.1. Updates to the IETF XML Registry	
4.2. Updates to the YANG Module Names Registry	. 7
5. Security Considerations	
6. Contributors	
7. References	
7.1. Normative References	
7.2. Informative References	
Appendix A. Usage Example of interaction with UDP-Notif and	
HTTPS-Notif for Configured Subscription	. 11
Authors' Addresses	
	•

1. Introduction

 $\underline{ \text{The }} \underline{ \text{Notification }} \underline{ \text{notification }} \underline{ \text{capabilities model-}} \underline{ \text{defined in }} \underline{ \text{(RFC9196)}} \\ \text{allows a client }$

to discover a set of capabilities supported by the-a server (e.g., basic system capabilities and YANG-Push related capabilities) both at implementation time and at runtime (Section 2 of [RFC9196]). These capabilities allow the-a

client to adjust its behavior to take advantage of the features exposed by the server.

However, the clients and the servers may still support various different

transport specific parameters (e.g., transport protocol, encoding format, or encryption). As described in Section 3.1 of [RFC8641], a simple negotiation (i.e.e.g., inserting hints into error responses to

failed RPC request) between subscribers and publishers for subscription parameters increases the likelihood of success for subsequent RPC requests, but not guaranteed, which may cause unexpected failure or additional message exchange between client and server.

This document defines a corresponding more deterministic solution by proposing athat relies upon a YANG

module for YANG notifications transport capabilities that is built on top of [RFC9196]. The module can be used by the a client to discover capability information from the a server at runtime or at implementation time, by making use of the YANG instance data file format.

1.1. Terminology

Commenté [MB1]: As where this sentence was mirrored from :-)

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The terms "subscriber", "publisher", and "receiver" are used as defined in [RFC8639].

The term "server" is used as defined in [RFC8342].

2. Capabilities for Systems and Datastore Update Notifications

Section 3 of [RFC9196] lists the server capabilities related to YANG Push that are supported The—in the TANG module "ietf-notification-capabilities" defined in [RFC9196]

specifies the following server capabilities related to YANG Push:

- * Supported (reporting) periods for "periodic" subscriptions
 - * Maximum number of objects that can be sent in an update
 - * The set of datastores or data nodes for which "periodic" or "onchange" notification is supported
 - * Supported dampening periods for "on-change" subscriptions

These server capabilities are transport independent, session level capabilities. They can be provided either at the implementation time or reported at runtime.

This document augments System Capabilities model and provides additional transport related attributes associated with system capabilities:

- * Specification of transport protocols that a the client can request to establish an HTTPS-based [I-D.ietf-netconf-https-notif] or UDP-based [I-D.ietf-netconf-udp-notif] configured transport connection.;
- * Specification of transport encoding, such as JSON or XML as defined in [RFC8040] or CBOR as defined in [RFC9254] $\underline{\text{that}}$ $\underline{\text{the}}$ a client

can request to encode YANG notifications +.

- * Specification of secure transport mechanisms that are needed by the a client to communicate with the a server such as DTLS as defined
- —____in_[RFC9147],__TLS as defined in_[RFC8446], or SSH as defined in —___[RFC4254];].

To that aim, the model defined in this document augments the System Capabilities model [RFC9196].

2.1. Tree Diagram

Commenté [MB2]: No need to copy/paste those

```
The following tree diagram [RFC8340] provides an overview of the data
   model.
   module: ietf-notification-transport-capabilities
     augment /sysc:system-capabilities/notc:subscription-capabilities:
       +-ro transport-capabilities
         +-ro transport-capability* [transport-protocol]
             +-ro transport-protocol
                                       identityref
                                        identityref
             +-ro security-protocol?
             +-ro encoding-format*
                                        identityref
3. YANG Module
   <CODE BEGINS>
     file "ietf-notification-transport-capabilities@2024-10-14.yang"
   module ietf-notification-transport-capabilities {
     vang-version 1.1;
     namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-notification-transport-
capabilities";
    prefix ntc;
     import ietf-subscribed-notifications {
      prefix sn;
       reference
         "RFC 8639: Subscription to YANG Notifications";
     import ietf-system-capabilities {
      prefix sysc;
       reference
         "RFC 9196: YANG Modules Describing Capabilities for
                    Systems and Datastore Update Notifications, Section
4";
     import ietf-notification-capabilities {
      prefix notc;
       reference
         "RFC 9196: YANG Modules Describing Capabilities for
                   Systems and Datastore Update Notifications, Section
5";
     organization "IETF NETCONF (Network Configuration) Working Group";
     contact.
       "WG Web:
                  <https://datatracker.ietf.org/group/netconf/>
        WG List: <mailto:netconf@ietf.org>
        Authors: Qin Wu
                  <mailto:bill.wu@huawei.com>
                  Qiufang Ma
                  <mailto:maqiufang1@huawei.com>
                  Alex Huang Feng
                  <mailto:alex.huang-feng@insa-lyon.fr>
                  Thomas Graf
                  <mailto:thomas.graf@swisscom.com>";
     description
```

```
Push
        Notification Capabilities model \frac{\text{and}}{\text{that}} provides additional transport specific capabilities for YANG notifications.
        Copyright (c) 2024 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
        authors of the code. All rights reserved.
        Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
        without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
        to the license terms contained in, the <u>Simplified Revised BSD</u>
License
        set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
        Relating to IETF Documents
         (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
        This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX;
        see the RFC itself for full legal notices.";
     revision 2024-10-14 {
       description
         "Initial revision.";
         "RFC XXXX: YANG Notifications Transport Capabilities";
     identity security-protocol {
       description
         "Identity for security protocols.";
     identity tls {
       base security-protocol;
       description
          "Identity for Indicates -TLS security protocol.";
     identity dtls12 {
       base security-protocol;
       description
          "Identity for Indicates DTLS 1.2 security protocol.";
     identity dtls13 {
       base security-protocol;
       description
         "Identity for Indicates -DTLS 1.3 security protocol.";
     identity ssh {
       base security-protocol;
       description
          "Identity for Indicates -ssh transport protocol SSH.";
```

augment "/sysc:system-capabilities/notc:subscription-capabilities" {

"Adds system level capabilitycapabilities.";

description

"This module defines an extension to System Capability and YANG

Commenté [MB3]: You may clarify why versioning matters here, while this is not done for TLS?

```
description
           "Specifies Capabilities capabilities related to YANG-Push
transports.";
         list transport-capability {
           key "transport-protocol";
           description
"Indicates a <u>Capability</u> list<u>of capabilities</u> related to notification transport<del>-capabilities</del>.";
           leaf transport-protocol {
             type identityref {
               base sn:transport;
             description
                "Indicates Supported supported transport protocol for
YANG-Push.";
           leaf security-protocol {
             type identityref {
               base security-protocol;
             description
                "Type-Indicate of securea -transport security protocol.";
           leaf-list encoding-format {
             type identityref {
               base sn:encoding;
             description
                "Indicates Supported supported encoding formats.";
         }
       }
     }
   <CODE ENDS>
4. TANA Considerations
4.1. Updates to the IETF XML Registry
   This document registers a URI in the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688].
   Following the format in [RFC3688], the following registration has
   been made:
   URI:
     urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-notification-transport-
capabilities
   Registrant Contact:
      The IESG.
   XML:
      N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.
4.2. Updates to the YANG Module Names Registry
   This document registers one YANG module in the "YANG Module Names"
```

registry [RFC6020]. Following the format in [RFC6020], the following

container transport-capabilities {

Commenté [MB4]: You may clarify why a container with leaf-lists wouldn't be sufficient to reflect the capabilities vs using a list.

Are there case where the encoding format will be specific to a given transport/sec Protocol?

```
registration has been made:
```

name:

ietf-notification-transport-capabilities

namespace:

urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-notification-transport-

capabilities
 prefix:

ntc

reference:

RFC XXXX (RFC Ed.: replace XXX with actual RFC number and remove this note)

5. Security Considerations

This section is modeled after the template described in Section 3.7 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-rfc8407bis]

The "ietf-notification-transport-capabilities" YANG module defines a data model that is designed to be accessed via YANG-based management protocols, such as NETCONF [RFC6241] and RESTCONF [RFC8040]. These protocols have to use a secure transport layer (e.g., SSH [RFC4252], TLS [RFC8446], and QUIC [RFC9000]) and have to use mutual authentication.

The Network Configuration Access Control Model (NACM) [RFC8341] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

All protocol-accessible data nodes are read-only and cannot be modified. The data in the module is not security sensitive. It inherits all the security considerations of [RFC9196].

6. Contributors

Ran Tao

101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District

Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012

China

Email: taoran20@huawei.com

Liang Geng

China Mobile

32 Xuanwumen West St, Xicheng District

Beijing 10053

China

Email: gengliang@chinamobile.com

Peng Liu

China Mobile

Beiqijia Town, Changping District

Beijing 10053

China

 ${\tt Email: liupengyjy@chinamobile.com}$

Wei Wang

China Telecom 32 Xuanwumen West St, Xicheng District Beijing 102209 China Email: wangw36@chinatelecom.cn

7. References

7.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119.
- [RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6241, DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241.
- [RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", RFC 8040, DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174.

- [RFC9196] Lengyel, B., Clemm, A., and B. Claise, "YANG Modules Describing Capabilities for Systems and Datastore Update Notifications", RFC 9196, DOI 10.17487/RFC9196, February 2022, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9196.

7.2. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-netconf-https-notif]

Jethanandani, M. and K. Watsen, "An HTTPS-based Transport for YANG Notifications", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-netconf-https-notif-15, 1 February 2024, https-notif-15.

[I-D.ietf-netconf-udp-notif]

Zheng, G., Zhou, T., Graf, T., Francois, P., Feng, A. H., and P. Lucente, "UDP-based Transport for Configured Subscriptions", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-netconf-udp-notif-14, 4 July 2024, https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-netconf-udp-notif-14.

[I-D.ietf-netmod-rfc8407bis]

Bierman, A., Boucadair, M., and Q. Wu, "Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of Documents Containing YANG Data Models", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-netmod-rfc8407bis-18, 11 October 2024, https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-netmod-rfc8407bis-18.

- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020.

- Appendix A. Usage Example of interaction with UDP-Notif and HTTPS-Notif for Configured Subscription

```
transport capabilities of a hypothetical "acme-router".
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<instance-data-set xmlns=</pre>
"urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-instance-data">
  <name>acme-router-notification-capabilities
  <content-schema>
    <module>ietf-system-capabilities@2020-03-23</module>
    <module>ietf-notification-capabilities@2020-03-23</module>
    <module>ietf-notification-transport-capabilities@2024-10-14</module>
  </content-schema>
  <!-- revision date, contact, etc. -->
  <description>Server Capability Discovery</description>
  <content-data>
    <system-capabilities xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system\</pre>
      -capabilities">
      <subscription-capabilities xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:iet\</pre>
        f-notification-capabilities">
        <transport-capabilities xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf\</pre>
          -notification-transport-capabilities">
          <transport-capability>
            <transport-protocol xmlns:hnt="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:\</pre>
            ietf-https-notif-transport">hnt:https</transport-protocol>
            <encoding-format xmlns:sn="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf\</pre>
              -subscribed-notifications">sn:encode-xml</encoding-format>
            <encoding-format xmlns:sn="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf\</pre>
              -subscribed-notifications">sn:encode-json</encoding-format>
          </transport-capability>
          <transport-capability>
            <transport-protocol xmlns:unt="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:\
ietf-udp-notif-transport">unt:udp-notif</transport-protocol>
            <encoding-format xmlns:unt="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:iet\</pre>
               f-udp-notif-transport">unt:encode-cbor</encoding-format>
          </transport-capability>
        </transport-capabilities>
      </subscription-capabilities>
    </system-capabilities>
  </content-data>
</instance-data-set>
   In addition, the client could also query notification transport
   capabilities from the server. For example, the client sends <get>
   request message to the the server to query from the server.
<rpc message-id="101" xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
   <get>
     <filter type="subtree">
     <system-capabilities xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-syste\</pre>
       m-capabilities">
       <subscription-capabilities xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ie\</pre>
         tf-notification-capabilities">
       <transport-capabilities/>
       </subscription-capabilities>
     </system-capabilities>
    </filter>
   </get>
```

The following instance-data example describes the notification

```
</rpc>
   The server returns server data export capability using <rpc-reply> as
   follows:
<rpc-reply xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"</pre>
 message-id="101">
  <data>
    <system-capabilities xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system\</pre>
      -capabilities">
      <subscription-capabilities xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:iet\</pre>
        f-notification-capabilities">
        <transport-capabilities xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf\</pre>
          -notification-transport-capabilities">
          <transport-capability>
            <transport-protocol xmlns:hnt="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:\</pre>
            ietf-https-notif-transport">hnt:https</transport-protocol>
            <encoding-format xmlns:sn="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf\</pre>
            -subscribed-notifications">sn:encode-xml</encoding-format>
            <encoding-format xmlns:sn="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf\</pre>
             -subscribed-notifications">sn:encode-json</encoding-format>
          </transport-capability>
          <transport-capability>
            <transport-protocol xmlns:unt="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:\</pre>
            ietf-udp-notif-transport">unt:udp-notif</transport-protocol>
            <encoding-format xmlns:unt="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:iet\</pre>
              f-udp-notif-transport">unt:encode-cbor</encoding-format>
          </transport-capability>
        </transport-capabilities>
      </subscription-capabilities>
    </system-capabilities>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```