

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 23 January 2025

B. Cheng
MIT Lincoln Laboratory
D. Wiggins

L. Berger
LabN Consulting, L.L.C.
S. Ratliff
22 July 2024

Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Credit-Based Flow Control
Messages and Data Items
draft-ietf-manet-dlep-credit-flow-control-15

Abstract

This document defines new Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Data Items that are used to support credit-based flow control. Credit window control is used to regulate when data may be sent to an associated virtual or physical queue. The Data Items are ~~defined in an~~ extensible and reusable ~~fashion~~. Their use ~~will be mandated in~~ other documents defining specific DLEP extensions.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 23 January 2025.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2024 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Commenté [BMI1]: As this is not well-known per https://www.rfc-editor.org/rpc/wiki/doku.php?id=abbrev_list

Commenté [BMI2]: I expect their use to be defined in this document.
I guess the authors refer to I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension?

a mis en forme : Surlignage

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Key Words	3
2. Credit Window Control	3
2.1. Data Plane Considerations	5
2.2. Credit Window Messages	5
2.2.1. Credit Control Message	6
2.2.2. Credit Control Response Message	6
2.3. Credit Window Control Data Items	7
2.3.1. Credit Window Initialization	7
2.3.2. Credit Window Association	10
2.3.3. Credit Window Grant	11
2.3.4. Credit Window Status	12
2.3.5. Credit Window Request	14
2.4. Management Considerations	15
3. Compatibility	15
4. Security Considerations	16
5. IANA Considerations	16
5.1. Message Values	16
5.2. Data Item Values	16
6. References	17
6.1. Normative References	17
6.2. Informative References	17
Appendix A. Acknowledgments	18
Authors' Addresses	19

1. Introduction

The Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP), ~~is~~ defined in [RFC8175]. ~~This protocol~~ provides the exchange of link related control information between DLEP peers. DLEP peers are comprised of a modem and a router. DLEP defines a base set of mechanisms as well as support for ~~possible-future~~ extensions. DLEP defines Data Items which are

sets of information that can be reused in DLEP messaging. The DLEP specification does not include any flow identification beyond DLEP endpoints nor flow control capability. There are ~~various flow~~ control techniques ~~theoretically~~ possible with DLEP. For example, a credit-window scheme for destination-specific flow control which provides aggregate flow control for both modem and routers has been proposed in [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-window], and a control plane pause based mechanism is defined in [RFC8651].

This document defines DLEP Data Items and Messages which provide a flow control mechanism for traffic sent from a router to a modem. Flow control is provided using one or more logical "Credit Windows", each of which will typically be supported by an associated virtual or physical queue. A router will use traffic flow classification information provided by the modem, as defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-traffic-classification], to identify which traffic is associated with each credit window. In this case, a flow is identified based on information found in a data plane header and one or more matches are associated with a single flow. Refer to Section 2.3 of [RFC2475] ~~(For~~ for general background on traffic classification ~~see [RFC2475] Section 2.3.)~~

Credit windows may be shared or dedicated on a per flow basis. The

Commenté [BMI3]: I failed to find a section in rfc8175 where this is discussed. It would be helpful to include a reference of this. Thanks.

a mis en forme : Surlignage

Data Items are structured to allow for reuse of the defined credit window based flow control with different traffic classification techniques. A router logically consumes credits for each credit window matching packet sent.

Note that this document defines common Messages, Data Items, and mechanisms that are reusable. They are expected to be required by DLEP extensions defined in other documents such as found in [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension].

This document ~~introduces supports support for credit-credit-window control by introducing-defining~~ two new DLEP messages in Section 2.2, and five new DLEP Data Items in Section 2.3.

1.1. Key Words

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Credit Window Control

This section defines additions to DLEP used in credit based flow control. ~~Two new messages and five Data Items are defined to support credit window control.~~ The use of credit-window control impacts the data plane.

The credit window control mechanisms defined in this document support credit based flow control of traffic sent from a router to a modem. The mapping of specific flows ~~of traffic~~ to a particular credit window is based on the Traffic Classification Data Item defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-traffic-classification]. Both types of DLEP ~~endpointspeers~~, i.e., a router and a modem, negotiate the use of ~~this extension~~ during session initialization, e.g., see [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension]. When using credit windows, data traffic is only allowed to be sent by the router to the modem when there are credits available except during ~~transients~~ when the credit window has been reduced. ~~Implementations should allow~~ exceeding the credit window during the short time that a router might take to respect the new credit window.

Credits are managed on a per logical "Credit Window" basis. Each credit window can be thought of as corresponding to a queue within a modem. Credit windows may be shared across, or dedicated to, destinations and data plane identifiers, e.g., DSCPs, at a granularity that is appropriate for a modem's implementation and its attached transmission technology. As defined ~~below~~, there is a direct one-for-one mapping of credit windows to flows as identified by Flow Identifiers (FIDs) carried within the Traffic Classification Data Item. Modems pass to the ~~router-routers~~ information on their credit windows and FIDs prior to a router being able to send data when an extension requiring the use of credit window control is used. In addition to the traffic classification information associated with ~~ana~~ FID, ~~modems~~ provide an initial credit window size, as well as the

Commenté [BMI4]: A router may be connected to multiple modems (figure 2 of 8175, for example), the spec seems to not include that when reasoning about FID uniqueness, etc. Some control checks should be also per peer.

Commenté [BMI5]: I would simplify and delete this sentence as the first sentence of the para right after conveys the message with more precision

Commenté [BMI6]: Already mentioned.

Commenté [BMI7]: To be consistent with the use in 8175

Commenté [BMI8]: Which extension?

The one on this document, traffic classification, or both?

Commenté [BMI9]: How those are identified?

Can we technically characterize those?

Commenté [BMI10]: I suggest to expose a configuration knob to control that window. Also, exposing the implementation default would be helpful.

a mis en forme : Anglais (États-Unis)

Commenté [BMI11]: Where? Please cite the section

Commenté [BMI12]: How that one is set? Is it configurable?

maximum size of the logical queue associated with each credit window. The maximum size is included for informative and potential future uses.

Commenté [BMI13]: Idem as previous comment

a mis en forme : Anglais (États-Unis)

Modems provide an initial credit window size at the time of "Credit Window Initialization". Such initialization can take place during session initiation or any point thereafter. It can also take place when rate information changes. Additional "Credit Grants", i.e., increments to Credit Window size, are provided using a Destination Up or the new "Credit Control" Message. A router provides its view of the Credit Window, which is known as "Status", in Destination Up Response and the new "Credit Control Response" Messages. Routers can also request credits using the new "Credit Control" Message.

When modems provide credits to a router, they will need to take into account any overhead of their attached transmission technology and map it into the credit semantics defined in this document. In particular, the credit window is defined below to include per frame (packet) MAC headers, and this may not match the actual overhead of the modem attached transmission technology. In that case a direct mapping, or an approximation will need to be made by the modem to provide appropriate credit values.

a mis en forme : Surlignage

Actual flows of traffic are mapped to credit windows based on flow identification information provided by modems in the Traffic Classification Data ~~item~~ Item defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-traffic-classification]. This data item supports traffic classification on a per destination or more fine grain level. Routers use the combination of the DLEP identified destination and flow information associated with a credit window in order to match traffic they send to specific credit windows.

When a destination becomes reachable, a modem "associates" (identifies) the appropriate traffic classification information via the Traffic Class Identifier (TID) to be used for traffic sent by the router to that destination. This is supported by the Credit Window Association Data Item which is carried in Destination Up and Update messages, see Section 2.3.2. The TID provides the information to support router traffic classification, based on the FIDs contained in the TID, see [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-traffic-classification]. As defined, each credit window has a corresponding FID, so traffic is mapped to a credit window by locating a matching FID that is contained in the TID that is associated with the traffic's destination. This means that the use of FIDs, TIDs, and the association of a TID to a DLEP destination enables a modem to share or dedicate resources as needed to match the specifics of its implementation and its attached transmission technology.

a mis en forme : Surlignage

The defined credit window control has similar objectives as the control found in [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-window]. One notable difference from that credit control is that in this document, credits are never provided by the router to the modem.

2.1. Data Plane Considerations

When credit windowing is used, a router MUST NOT send data traffic to a modem for forwarding when there are no credits available in the associated Credit Window.

Commenté [BMI14]: Do we need to keep this? This assumes that there will be always in place a matching CW for a flow. Is there a case where there is no "associated CW" for a flow?

This document defines credit windows in octets. A credit window value MUST be larger than the number of octets contained in a packet, including any MAC overhead (e.g., framing, headers, and trailers) used between the router and the modem, in order for the router to send the packet to a modem for forwarding. The credit window is decremented by the number of sent octets.

Commenté [BMI15]: I'm afraid the normative language is not justified here, unless we expect the router to "reject" or err when it receives such window compared to typical packet sizes it may send.

I think this is more a requirement on the (configuration of) value that will be sent by the modem.

Commenté [BMI16]: As it may not be sent if there is not enough credits

A router MUST identify the credit window associated with traffic to be sent to a modem based on the traffic classification information provided in the Data Items defined in this document.

2.2. Credit Window Messages

Two new messages are defined in support for credit window control: the Credit Control and the Credit Control Response Messages. Sending and receiving both message types is REQUIRED to support the credit window control defined in this document.

Commenté [BMI17]: 2 messages

2.2.1. Credit Control Message

Credit Control Messages are sent by modems and routers. Each sender is only permitted to have one message outstanding at one time. That is, a sender (i.e., modem or router) MUST NOT send a second or any subsequent Credit Control Message until a Credit Control Response Message is received from its peer (i.e., router or modem) for a pending message.

Commenté [BMI18]: This is likely to be dynamic, but I guess a minimum frequency guard can be enforced. Can we learn that min or control it by configuration?

Credit Control Messages are sent by modems to provide credit window increases. Modems send credit increases when there is transmission or local queue availability that exceeds the credit window value previously provided to the router. Modems will need to balance the load generated by sending and processing frequent credit window increases against a router having data traffic available to send, but no credits available.

Commenté [BMI19]: Idem as previous comment

Credit Control Messages MAY be sent by routers to request credits and provide window status. Routers will need to balance the load generated by sending and processing frequent credit window requests against having data traffic available to send, but no credits available.

The Message Type value in the DLEP Message Header is set to TBA2.

A Credit Control message sent by a modem, MUST contain one or more Credit Window Grant Data Items as defined below in Section 2.3.3. A router receiving this message MUST respond with a Credit Control Response Message.

A Credit Control message sent by a router, MUST contain one or more Credit Window Request Data Items defined below in Section 2.3.5 and SHOULD contain a Credit Window Status Data Item, defined in Section 2.3.4, corresponding to each credit window request. A modem receiving this message MUST respond with a Credit Control Response Message based on the received message and Data Item and the processing defined below,

a mis en forme : Anglais (États-Unis)

Commenté [BMI20]: Are we sure MUST is justified here? What if the message was invalid? the router uses an aggressive rate when sending Credit Control messages? Etc.

I think SHOULD is more appropriate

Commenté [BMI21]: Where?

which will, absent local policy, typically result in credit window increments being provided.

Commenté [BMI22]: Includes a provision for local policies to modems for protecting itself for being overloaded, queue availability, etc.

Specific processing associated with each Credit Data Item is provided below.

Commenté [BMI23]: Where?

2.2.2. Credit Control Response Message

Credit Control Response Messages are sent by routers to report the current Credit Window for a destination. A Credit Control Response message sent by a router, MUST contain one or more Credit Window Status Data Items as defined below in Section 2.3.4. Specific receive processing associated with the Credit Window Status Data Item is provided below.

a mis en forme : Surlignage

Credit Control Response Messages sent by modems MUST contain one or more Credit Window Grant Data Items. A Data Item for every Credit Window Request Data Item contained in the corresponding Credit Control Message received by the modem MUST be included. Each Credit Grant Data Item MAY provide zero or more additional credits based on the modem's transmission or local queue availability. Specific receive processing associated with each Grant Data Item is provided below.

a mis en forme : Surlignage

The Message Type value in the DLEP Message Header is set to TBA3.

2.3. Credit Window Control Data Items

Five new Data Items are defined to support credit window control. The Credit Window Initialization Data Item is used by a modem to identify a credit window and set its size. The Credit Window Association Data Item is used by a modem to identify which traffic classification identifiers (flows) should be used when sending traffic to a particular DLEP identified destination. The Credit Window Grant Data Item is used by a modem to provide additional credits to a router. The Credit Window Request Data Item is used by a router to request additional credits. The Credit Window Status Data Item is used to advertise the sender's view of number of available credits for state synchronization purposes.

Any errors or inconsistencies encountered in parsing Data Items are handled in the same fashion as any other data item parsing error encountered in DLEP, see [RFC8175]. In particular, the node parsing the Data Item MUST terminate the session with a Status Data Item indicating Invalid Data.


2.3.1. Credit Window Initialization

The Credit Window Initialization Data Item is used by a modem to identify a credit window and set its size. In order to avoid errors caused by use of undefined FIDs or uninitialized credit windows, this Data Item SHOULD be included in any Session Initialization Response Message that also indicates support for an extension that requires support for the credit window control mechanisms defined in this

document, e.g., see [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension]. Updates to previously identified credit windows or new credit windows MAY be sent by a modem by including the Data Item in Session Update Messages. More than one data item MAY be included in a message to provide information on multiple credit windows.

The Credit Window Initialization Data Item identifies a credit window using a Flow Identifier, or FID. It also provides the size of the identified credit window. Finally, a queue size (in **bytesoctets**) is provided for informational purposes. Note that to be used, a FID must be defined within a Traffic Classification Data Item and the associated TID must be provided via a Credit Window Association Data Item.

The format of the Credit Window Initialization Data Item is:



Data Item Type:
TBA4

Length:
16

Per [RFC8175] Length is the number of octets in the Data Item. It MUST be equal to sixteen (16).

Flow Identifier (FID):
A flow identifier as defined by the Traffic Classification Data Item [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-traffic-classification]. The FID also uniquely identifies a credit window.

Reserved:
MUST be set to zero by the sender (a modem) and ignored by the receiver (a router).

Credit Value:
A 64-bit unsigned integer representing the credits, in octets, to be added to the Credit Window. This value includes MAC headers as seen on the link between the modem and router.

Scale:
An 8-bit unsigned integer indicating the scale used in the Credit Window Max Size field. The valid values are:

Value Scale

Commenté [BMI24]: For a specific router/modem association.

```
-----
0   B - Bytes      (Octets)
1  KB - Kilobytes  (B/1024)
2  MB - Megabytes  (KB/1024)
3  GB - Gigabytes  (MB/1024)
```

Credit Window Max Size:

A 24-bit unsigned integer representing the maximum size, in the octet scale indicated by the Scale field, of the associated credit window.

A router that receives a Credit Window Initialization Data Item MUST ensure that the FID field value has been provided by the modem in a Traffic Classification Data Item carried in either the current or a previous message. If the FID cannot be found the router SHOULD report or log this information. Note that no traffic will be associated with the credit window in this case. After FID validation, the router MUST locate the credit window that is associated with the FID indicated in each received Data Item. If no associated credit window is found, the router MUST initialize a new credit window using the values carried in the Data Item. When an associated credit window is found, the router MUST update the credit window and associated data plane state using the values carried in the Data Item. If the resulting Credit Value results in the credit window exceeding the represented Credit Window Max Size, the Credit Window Max Size field value is used as the new credit window size.

It is worth noting, that such updates can result in a credit window size being reduced, for example, due to a transmission rate change on the modem. After sending the Session Update Message with one or more Credit Window Initialization Data Items that decrease the Credit Window Max Size, the modem SHOULD continue processing received packets that match the indicated FIDs, fit within the window for the unmodified Credit Window Max Size and arrive before the modem receives the corresponding Session Update Response Message. The modem SHOULD NOT issue additional credits for each affected FID until the associated affected Window has drained to be less than the new Credit Window Max Size, regardless of whether sufficient draining occurs before or after the modem receives that corresponding Session Update Response Message.

Commenté [BMI25]: Indicate how reporting can be done

Commenté [BMI26]: It may do both?

Commenté [BMI27]: May rate-limit the log per FID

a mis en forme : Anglais (États-Unis)

2.3.2. Credit Window Association

The Credit Window Association Data Item is used by a modem to associate traffic classification information with a destination. The traffic classification information is identified using a TID value that has previously been sent by the modem or is listed in a Traffic Classification Data Item carried in the same message as the Credit Window Association Data Item. TIDs in different Credit windows must not overlap.

A single Credit Window Association Data Item MUST be included in every Destination Up and Destination Update Messages sent by a modem when the credit window control defined in this document is used. Note that a TID will not be used unless it is listed in a Credit Window Association Data Item.

The format of the Credit Window Association Data Item is:

```

0                                     1                                     2                                     3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| Data Item Type                                     | Length (2) |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|Traffic Class. Identifier (TID)|
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

Data Item Type:
TBA5

Length:
2

Per [RFC8175] Length is the number of octets in the Data Item. It MUST be equal to two (2).

Traffic Classification Identifier (TID):
A 16-bit unsigned integer identifying a traffic classification set that has been identified in a Traffic Classification Data Item, see [Section 2.1 of \[I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-traffic-classification\]](#).

A router that receives ~~the a~~ Credit Window Association Data Item MUST locate the traffic classification information indicated by the received TID. If no corresponding information ~~can be located~~is found, the Credit Window Association Data Item MUST be treated as an error as described above. ~~Once-If~~ the traffic classification information is located, the router MUST ensure that any data plane state, see Section 2.1, that is associated with the TID and its corresponding FIDs is updated as needed. If a router determines that a newly received Data Item results in credit windows with overlapping TIDs, the Data Item MUST be treated as an error as described above.

2.3.3. Credit Window Grant

The Credit Window Grant Data Item is used by a modem to provide credits to a router. One or more Credit Window Grant Data Items MAY be carried in the DLEP Destination Up, Destination Announce Response, Destination Update, Credit Control Messages, and Credit Control Response Messages. Multiple Credit Window Grant Data Items in a single message are used to indicate different credit values for different credit windows. In all message types, this Data Item provides an additional number of octets to be added to the indicated credit window. Credit windows are identified using FID values that have been previously been sent by the modem or are listed in a Credit Window Initialization Data Item carried in the same message as the Data Item.

The format of the Credit Window Grant Data Item is:

Commenté [BM128]: Just to confirm, this will lead to terminating the session, right?

Can this be logged?

Commenté [BMI29]: Only one item per FID must be present. Right?

Can this be mentioned and associated behavior described?
Thanks.

Data Item Type	Length (12)
Flow Identifier (FID)	Reserved
Additional Credits	:
Additional Credits	:

Data Item Type:
TBA6

Length:
12

Per [RFC8175], Length is the number of octets in the Data Item.
It MUST be equal to twelve (12).

Flow Identifier (FID):
A flow identifier as defined by the Traffic Classification Data Item. The FID also uniquely indicates a credit window.

Reserved:
MUST be set to zero by the sender and ignored by the receiver.

Additional Credit:
A 64-bit unsigned integer representing the credits, in octets, to be added to the Credit Window. This value includes MAC headers as seen on the link between the modem and router. A value of zero indicates that no additional credits are being provided.

When receiving this Data Item, a router MUST identify the credit window indicated by the FID. If the FID is not known to the router, it SHOULD **report** or log this information and discard the Data Item. It is important to note that while this Data Item can be received in a destination specific message, credit windows are managed independently from the destination identified in the message carrying this Data Item, and the indicated FID MAY even be disjoint from the identified destination.

Commenté [BMI30]: How?

Once the credit window is identified, the credit window size MUST be **increased** by the value contained in the Additional Credits field. If the increase results in a window overflow, the Credit Window must be set to its maximum as defined by the Credit Window Max Size carried in the Credit Window Initialization Data Item.

Commenté [BMI31]: If not set to zero

No response is sent by the router to a modem after processing a Credit Window Grant Data Item received in a Credit Control Response Message. In **other cases**, the receiving router MUST send a Credit Window Status Data Item or items reflecting the resulting Credit Window value of the updated credit window. When the Credit Grant Data Item is received in a Destination Up Message, the Credit Window Status Data Item(s) MUST be sent in the corresponding Destination Up Response Message. Otherwise, a Credit Control Message MUST be sent.

Commenté [BMI32]: Such as?

2.3.4. Credit Window Status

The Credit Window Status Data Item is used by a router to report the

current credit window size to its peer modem. One or more Credit Window Status Data Items MAY be carried in a Destination Up Response Message or a Credit Control Response Message. As discussed **above**, the Destination Up Response Message is used when the Data Item is sent in response to a Destination Up Message, and the Credit Control Response Message is sent in response to a Credit Control Message. Multiple Credit Window Status Data Items in a single message are used to indicate different sizes of different credit windows. Similar to the Credit Window Grant, credit windows are identified using FID values that have been previously sent by the modem.

Commenté [BMI33]: Where?

The format of the Credit Window Status Data Item is:

```

      0               1               2               3
      0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
| Data Item Type                               | Length (12)          |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
| Flow Identifier (FID)                         | Reserved              |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|                               Current Credit Window Size              :
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
:                               Current Credit Window Size              |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

Data Item Type:
TBA7

Length:
12

Per [RFC8175] Length is the number of octets in the Data Item. It MUST be equal to twelve (12).

Flow Identifier (FID):
A flow identifier as defined by the Traffic Classification Data Item. The FID also uniquely identifies a credit window.

Reserved:
MUST be set to zero by the sender and ignored by the receiver.

Current Credit Window Size:
A 64-bit unsigned integer, indicating the current number of credits, in octets, available for the router to send to the modem. This is referred to as the Modem Receive Window in [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-window].

Commenté [BMI34]: Do we really need to mention this? That spec expired since 2016!

When receiving this Data Item, a modem MUST identify the credit window indicated by the FID. If the FID is not known to the modem, it SHOULD **report** or log this information and discard the Data Item. As with the Credit Window Grant Data Item, the FID MAY be unrelated to the Destination indicated in the message carrying the Data Item.

Commenté [BMI35]: How?

Once the credit window is identified, the modem SHOULD check the received Current Credit Window Size field value against the outstanding credit window's available credits at the time the most recent Credit Window Initialization or Grant Data Item associated with the indicated FID was sent. If the values significantly differ,

~~i.e.~~eg., greater than can be accounted for based on observed data frames, then the modem SHOULD send a Credit Window Initialization Data Item to reset the associated credit window size to the modem's current view of the available credits. As defined ~~above~~, Credit Window Initialization Data Items are sent in Session Update Messages. When multiple Data Items need to be sent, they SHOULD be combined into a single message when possible. Alternatively, and also in cases where there are small differences, the modem MAY adjust the values sent in Credit Window Grant Data Items to account for the reported Credit Window.

Commenté [BMI36]: Where?

2.3.5. Credit Window Request

The Credit Window Request Data Item is used by a router to request additional credits for particular credit windows. Credit Window Request Data Items are carried in Credit Control Messages, and one or more Credit Window Request Data Items MAY be present in a message.

Credit windows are identified using a FID as defined ~~above~~ in Section 2.3.1. Multiple FIDs MAY be present to allow for the case where the router identifies that credits are needed in multiple credit windows. A special FID value, as defined below, is used to indicate that a credit request is being made across all queues.

The format of the Credit Window Request Data Item is:

0								1								2								3																									
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1																		
Data Item Type																Length																																	
Flow Identifier (FID)																...																:																	
:																...																Flow Identifier (FID)																	

Data Item Type:
TBA8

Length:
Variable

Per [RFC8175] Length is the number of octets in the Data Item, excluding the Type and Length fields. It ~~will-is~~ equal the number of FID fields carried in the Data Item times 2 and MUST be at least 2.

Flow Identifier (FID):

A flow identifier as defined by the Traffic Classification Data Item. The FID also uniquely identifies a credit window. The special value of 0xFFFF indicates that the request applies to all FIDs. ~~Note that when the special value is included, all other FID values included in the Data Item are redundant as the special value indicates all FIDs.~~

Commenté [BMI37]: So they should not be included? Right?

A modem receiving this Data Item MUST provide a Credit Increment for the indicated credit windows via Credit Window Grant Data Items

Commenté [BMI38]: Absent parsing/validation errors.

Commenté [BMI39]: What if it returns 0 for some FIDs? Is that still considered as an increment?

carried in a new Credit Control Message. Multiple values and queue indexes SHOULD be combined into a single Credit Control Message when possible. Unknown FID values SHOULD be **reported** or logged and then ignored by the modem.

Commenté [BMI40]: How?

2.4. Management Considerations

This section provides several network management guidelines to implementations supporting the credit window mechanisms defined in this document.

Modems MAY support the configuration of the number of credit windows (queues) to advertise to a router.

Routers may have limits on the number of queues that they can support and, perhaps, even limits in supported credit window combinations, e.g., if per destination queues can even be supported at all. When modem-provided credit window information exceeds the capabilities of a router, the router SHOULD use a subset of the provided credit windows. Alternatively, a router MAY reset the session and indicate that the extension is not supported. In either case, the mismatch of capabilities SHOULD be reported to the user via normal network management mechanisms, e.g., user interface or error logging.

In all cases, if credit windows are in use, traffic for which credits are not available MUST NOT be sent to the modem by the router.

3. Compatibility

The messages and Ddata ~~items~~ Items defined in this document will only be used when extensions require their use.

The DLEP specification [RFC8175] defines handling of unexpected appearances of any Ddata ~~items~~ Items, including those defined in this document.

a mis en forme : Anglais (États-Unis)

4. Security Considerations

This document introduces credit window control and flow mechanisms to DLEP. These mechanisms expose vulnerabilities similar to existing DLEP messages, e.g., an injected message resizes a credit window to a value that results in a denial of service. The security mechanisms documented in [RFC8175] can be applied equally to the mechanism defined in this document.

5. IANA Considerations

This document requests the assignment of several values by IANA. All assignments are to registries defined by [RFC8175].

5.1. Message Values

This document requests 2 new assignments to the DLEP Message Registry named "Message Values" in the range with the "Specification Required" policy. The requested values are as follows:

Type Code	Description
TBA2	Credit Control
TBA3	Credit Control Response

Table 1: Requested Message Values

5.2. Data Item Values

This document requests the following new assignments to the DLEP Data Item Registry named "Data Item Type Values" in the range with the "Specification Required" policy. The requested values are as follows:

Type Code	Description
TBA4	Credit Window Initialization
TBA5	Credit Window Association
TBA6	Credit Window Grant
TBA7	Credit Window Status
TBA8	Credit Window Request

Table 2: Requested Data Item Values

6. References

6.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-traffic-classification]
Cheng, B., Wiggins, D., and L. Berger, "DLEP Traffic Classification Data Item", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-manet-dlep-traffic-classification-09, 10 July 2023, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-manet-dlep-traffic-classification>>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8175] Ratliff, S., Jury, S., Satterwhite, D., Taylor, R., and B. Berry, "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP)", RFC 8175, DOI 10.17487/RFC8175, June 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8175>>.

6.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-manet-credit-window]
Ratliff, S., "Credit Windowing extension for DLEP", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-manet-credit-window-07, 13 November 2016, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-manet-credit-window>>.
- [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension]
Cheng, B., Wiggins, D., and L. Berger, "DLEP DiffServ Aware Credit Window Extension", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension-14, 10 July 2023, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension>>.
- [RFC2475] Blake, S., Black, D., Carlson, M., Davies, E., Wang, Z., and W. Weiss, "An Architecture for Differentiated Services", RFC 2475, DOI 10.17487/RFC2475, December 1998, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2475>>.
- [RFC8651] Cheng, B., Wiggins, D., and L. Berger, Ed., "Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) Control-Plane-Based Pause Extension", RFC 8651, DOI 10.17487/RFC8651, October 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8651>>.

Appendix A. Acknowledgments

We mourn the loss of Stan Ratliff who passed away on October 22, 2019. His guidance, leadership and personal contributions were critical in the development of this work and DLEP as a whole. His leadership and friendship shall be missed.

We mourn the loss of David Wiggins who passed away on November 23, 2023. His guidance, leadership and personal contributions were critical in the development of this work and DLEP as a whole. His leadership and friendship shall be missed.

Many useful comments were received from contributors to the MANET working group, notably Rick Taylor, Ronald in't Velt, David Black and Eric Kinzie. This document was derived from [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension] as a result of discussions at IETF 101.

