

GROW
Internet-Draft
Updates: 7854 (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 23 April 2025

M. Srivastava
Juniper Networks
Y. Liu
China Mobile
C. Lin
New H3C Technologies
J. Li
China Mobile
20 October 2024

Commenté [MB1]: I'm not sure about this. See below

Definition For New BGP Monitoring Protocol (BMP)
Statistics Types draft-ietf-grow-bmp-bgp-rib-stats-05

Abstract

RFC 7854 ~~defined~~ defines different BGP Monitoring Protocol (BMP)
statistics messages types to observe
interesting events that occur on ~~the~~ a monitored router.

This document updates
RFC 7854 by adding new statistics type to monitor BMP Adj-RIB-In
and Adj-RIB-Out ~~tables~~ rib-
out Ribs.

Commenté [MB2]: Unless I'm mistaken, this one is not supported in 7854.

Commenté [MB3]: I don't see what is updated in 7854

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 23 April 2025.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2024 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as

described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Requirements Language	2
2. Statistics Definition	2
2.1. RIB-IN Statistics Definition	3
2.2. RIB-OUT Statistics Definition	6
3. IANA Considerations	8
3.1. BMP RIB-IN Statistics Types	8
3.2. BMP RIB-OUT Statistics Types	9
4. Security Considerations	10
5. Acknowledgements	10
6. Normative References	10
Authors' Addresses	11

1. Introduction

Section 4.8 of [RFC7854] defines a number of different BGP Monitoring Protocol (BMP) statistics types to observe interesting-major events that occur on the-a monitored router. Stats are either counters or gauges. A 32-bit Counter is a non-negative integer that monotonically increases until it reaches a maximum value, when it wraps around and starts increasing again from 0. A 64-bit Gauge is a non-negative integer that may increase or decrease, but shall never exceed a maximum value, nor fall below a minimum one.

Commenté [MB4]: No need to repeat the def as this was already in 7854#4.8

This document defines new gauges for BMP statistics message. The format of the BMP statistics message remains same as defined in [RFC7854].

xx

Commenté [MB5]: Maybe introduce RFC8671 for rib-out considerations

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

xx

Commenté [MB6]: State that the terminology is the one in 7854/8671

2. Statistics Definition

This section defines different statistics type for Adj-RIB-In and Adj-RIB-Out~~RIB-IN and RIB-OUT~~ monitoring type.

2.1. Adj-RIB-In ~~RIB-IN~~ Statistics Definition

* Type = 18: (64-bit Gauge) Current number of routes in Adj-RIBs-In-Pre-Policy. The value can increase or decrease based upon ongoing

Commenté [MB7]: Indicate where this one is defined.

Commenté [MB8]: «Adj-RIBs-In Pre-Policy» is used in the IANA section. Please use a consistent term.

The same comment applies for other similar descriptions.

~~configuration change.~~ Note that this ~~counter-gauge~~ updates stats type 7 defined in [RFC7854] and makes it ~~a-an~~ explicit for Adj-RIBs-In-Pre-Policy.

Commenté [MB9]: This is redundant with the gauge type.

* Type = 19: (64-bit Gauge) Current number of routes in per-~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)/ Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~ Adj-RIBs-In-Pre-Policy. ~~The value can increase or decrease based upon ongoing configuration change.~~ Note that this counter is similar from stats type 9 defined in [RFC7854] and makes it ~~aan~~ explicit for Adj-RIBs-In-Pre-Policy. The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

Commenté [MB10]: I don't understand this statement as the type is different

Commenté [MB11]: Add an authoritative reference for this one

Commenté [MB12]: Redundant with the type

* Type = 20: (64-bit Gauge) Current number of routes in ~~Adj-RIBs-In-Post-Policy.~~ ~~The value can increase or decrease based upon ongoing configuration change.~~

Commenté [MB13]: Add an authoritative ref for this table

* Type = 21: (64-bit Gauge) Current number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-In-Post-Policy. ~~The value can increase or decrease based upon ongoing configuration change.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

* Type = 22: (64-bit Gauge) Current number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI rejected by inbound policy. ~~The value can increase or decrease based upon ongoing configuration change.~~ Note that this counter is different from stats type 0 defined in [RFC7854]. The stats type 0 in [RFC7854] is ~~the-a 32-counter~~32-counter which is monotonically increasing number and doesn't ~~represents~~represent the current number of routes rejected by ~~an~~ inbound policy due to ongoing configuration changes. The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

* Type = 23: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI accepted by inbound policy. ~~The value can increase or decrease based upon ongoing configuration change or network events.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge. Some implementations, or configurations in implementations, may discard routes that do not match policy and thus the accepted count and the ~~Adj-Rib-in-In~~ counts will be identical in such cases.

Commenté [MB14]: I have about the intent here. May be clearer to indicate the types code you are referring to.

* Type = 24: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI selected as primary route. ~~The value can increase or decrease based upon ongoing configuration change or network events.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge. A primary ~~path-route~~ is a recursive or non-recursive path whose ~~nextthop-next-hop~~ resolution ends with an adjacency ~~draft-ietf-rtgwg-bgp-pic~~ [I-D.ietf-rtgwg-bgp-pic]. A prefix can

have more than one primary path if multipath is configured ~~draft-lapukhov-bgp-ecmp-considerations~~ [I-D.~~lapukhov-bgp-ecmp-considerations~~]. A best-~~_~~path is also considered as a primary path.

Commenté [MB15]: I would avoid having a normative dependency on an individual I-D.

Better to have the text should be self-contained

- * Type = 25: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI selected as a backup route. ~~The value can increase or decrease based upon ongoing configuration change or network events.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge. A backup path is also installed in the RIB, but it is not used until some or all primary paths become unreachable. Backup paths are used for fast convergence in the event of failures.

Commenté [MB16]: Loc-RIB?

- * Type = 26: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI suppressed by configured route damping policy. ~~The value can increase or decrease based upon configuration change or network events.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge. Suppressed refers to a path which has been declared suppressed by the BGP Route Flap Damping mechanism as described in Section 2.2 of [RFC2439].

- * Type = 27: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI marked as stale by any configuration. The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge. Stale refers to a path which has been declared stale by the BGP Graceful Restart mechanism as described in Section 4.1 of [RFC4724].

a mis en forme : Surlignage

Commenté [MB17]: Clarify how this is tagged as «configuration»

- * Type = 28: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI marked as stale by Long-Lived Graceful Restart (LLGR). The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge. Stale refers to a path which has been declared stale by the BGP ~~Long-Lived Graceful Restart-LLRG~~ mechanism as described in Section 4.3 of [RFC9494]. This is the route that are marked stale as part of LLGR process.

Commenté [MB18]: Expand

- * Type = 29: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes left until reaching the received route threshold as defined in Section 6.7 of [RFC4271]. ~~This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing configuration changes of the peer's received route threshold.~~

- * Type = 30: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI left until reaching the received route threshold as defined in Section 6.7 of [RFC4271]. ~~This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing configuration changes of the peer's received route threshold.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

- * Type = 31: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes left until reaching thea license-customized route threshold. This value is affected by whether a customized license exists for the relevant address family, and when the customized license is installed. This value

can increase or decrease based on current customized information of license.

Since the license-customized route threshold is vendor specific, this type value (31) SHOULD use enterprise-specific TLV encoding as described in Section 3.3 of [I-D.ietf-grow-bmp-tlv-ebit].

Commenté [MB19]: Not sure to see th causality effect here.

For the enterprise-specific TLV of 31, E-bit ~~SHOULD~~ MUST set to 1, and

31 is 15 bits of TLV Type. As enterprise-specific Type value, 31 is determined by the Enterprise number, and does not have to be defined by INNA.

Commenté [MB20]: This is redundant with the def of TLV with E-bit set. No need to repeat these details

* Type = 32: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI left until reaching ~~the a~~ license-customized route threshold. This value

is affected by whether a customized license exists for the relevant address family, and when the customized license is installed. ~~This value can increase or decrease based on current customized information of license.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

~~It's a~~Also since the license-customized route threshold is vendor specific, this type value (32) SHOULD also use enterprise-specific TLV encoding as described in Section 3.3 of [I-D.ietf-grow-bmp-tlv-ebit].

Commenté [MB21]: Idem as above

For the enterprise-specific TLV of 32, E-bit ~~SHOULD~~ MUST set to 1, and

32 is 15 bits of TLV Type. As enterprise-specific Type value, 32 is determined by the Enterprise number, and also does not have to be defined by INNA.

Commenté [MB22]: I would delete as this is repeating what is in the TLV/E spec.

* Type = 33: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes rejected by exceeding the length threshold of ~~asAS-path~~ PATH. ~~This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing configuration changes of the length threshold of as-path. For as-path attribute information, please refer to [RFC4271].~~

* Type = 34: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI rejected by exceeding the length threshold of ~~as-path~~ AS-PATH. ~~This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing configuration changes of the length threshold of as-path.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

* Type = 35: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-In-Post-Policy invalidated by verifying route origin Autonomous System (AS) number through the Route Origin Authorization (ROA) of Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) [RFC6811]. ~~This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing ROA changes of RPKI.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte ~~Address Family Identifier (AFI)~~, 1-byte ~~Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI)~~, followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

* Type = 36: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI

Adj-RIBs-In-Post-Policy validated by verifying route origin Autonomous System (AS) number through the Route Origin Authorization (ROA) of Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) [RFC6811]. This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing ROA changes of RPKI. The value is structured as: 2-byte Address Family Identifier (AFI), 1-byte Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI), followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

- * Type = 37: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-In-Post-Policy not found by verifying route origin Autonomous System (AS) number through the Route Origin Authorization (ROA) of Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) [RFC6811]. This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing ROA changes of RPKI. The value is structured as: 2-byte Address Family Identifier (AFI), 1-byte Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI), followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

Commenté [MB23]: Echo the same changes in the following section

2.2. RIB-OUT Adj-Rib-Out Statistics Definition

- * Type = 38: (64-bit Gauge) Current number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI rejected by outbound policy. These routes are active routes which should otherwise would have been advertised in ~~absense~~ absence of outbound policy which rejected them. The value can increase or decrease based upon ongoing configuration change. The value is structured as: 2-byte Address Family Identifier (AFI), 1-byte Subsequent

Address Family Identifier (SAFI), followed by a 64-bit Gauge. This counter only considers routes distributed from loc-rib into the adj-ribs-out and does not include cases like BGP add-paths [RFC7911].

- * Type = 39: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes refused to be sent by exceeding the length threshold of ~~as-path~~ AS-PATH. This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing configuration changes of the length threshold of as-path. For as-path attribute information, please refer to [RFC4271].
- * Type = 40: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI refused to be sent by exceeding the length threshold of ~~as-path~~ AS-PATH. This value can increase or decrease ~~base-based~~ on ongoing configuration changes of the length threshold of as-path. The value is structured as: 2-byte Address Family Identifier (AFI), 1-byte Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI), followed by a 64-bit Gauge.
- * Type = 41: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-Out-Post-Policy invalidated by verifying route origin Autonomous System (AS) number through the Route Origin Authorization (ROA) of Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) [RFC6811]. This value can increase or decrease based on ongoing ROA changes of RPKI. The value is structured as: 2-byte Address Family Identifier (AFI), 1-byte Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI), followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

- * Type = 42: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-Out-Post-Policy validated by verifying route origin ~~Autonomous System (AS) number through the Route Origin Authorization (ROA) of Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) [RFC6811]. This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing ROA changes of RPKI.~~ The value is structured as: 2-byte Address Family Identifier (AFI), 1-byte Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI), followed by a 64-bit Gauge.

- * Type = 43: (64-bit Gauge) Current Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-Out-Post-Policy not found by verifying route origin AS number through the ROA of RPKI.
The value is structured as: 2-byte AFI, 1-byte SAFI, followed by a 64-bit Gauge.
~~Autonomous System (AS) number through the Route Origin Authorization (ROA) of Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) [RFC6811]. This value can increase or decrease base on ongoing ROA changes of RPKI. The value is structured as: 2-byte Address Family Identifier (AFI), 1-byte Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI), followed by a 64-bit Gauge.~~

3. IANA Considerations

IANA has assigned the following new parameters in the BMP Statistics Types registry, part of the BMP parameters registry group (<https://www.iana.org/assignments/bmp-parameters/bmp-parameters.xhtml>).

This document requests IANA to update the reference cited for the entries with the RFC number to be assigned to this document.

~~3.1. BMP RIB-IN Statistics Types~~

~~This document defines new BMP RIB-IN statistic types for statistics reporting (Section 2.1):~~

- * Type = 18: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in Adj-RIBs-In Pre-Policy.
- * Type = 19: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-In Pre-Policy.
- * Type = 20: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in Adj-RIBs-In Post-Policy.
- * Type = 21: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-In Post-Policy.
- * Type = 22: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI rejected by inbound policy.
- * Type = 23: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI accepted by inbound policy.
- * Type = 24: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/

Commenté [MB24]: As there is no hierarchy in the registry

SAFI selected as primary route.

- * Type = 25: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI selected as backup route.
- * Type = 26: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI suppressed by configured route damping policy.
- * Type = 27: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI marked as stale by any configuration.
- * Type = 28: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI marked as stale by LLGR.
- * Type = 29: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes left until reaching the received route threshold.
- * Type = 30: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI left until reaching the received route threshold.
- * Type = 31: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes left until reaching the license-customized route threshold.
- * Type = 32: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes in per-AFI/SAFI left until reaching the license-customized route threshold.
- * Type = 33: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently rejected due to exceeding the length threshold of as-path.
- * Type = 34: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI rejected due to exceeding the length threshold of as-path.
- * Type = 35: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-In Post-Policy invalidated after verifying route origin AS number through the ROA of RPKI.
- * Type = 36: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-In Post-Policy validated after verifying route origin AS number through the ROA of RPKI.
- * Type = 37: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-In Post-Policy not found after verifying route origin AS number through the ROA of RPKI.

~~3.2. BMP RIB-OUT Statistics Types~~

~~This document defines new BMP RIB-OUT statistic types for statistics reporting (Section 2.2):~~

- * Type = 38: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI rejected by outbound policy.
- * Type = 39: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently refused by exceeding the length threshold of ~~as-path~~AS-PATH.
- * Type = 40: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI refused by exceeding the length threshold of ~~as-path~~AS-PATH.

- * Type = 41: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-Out Post-Policy invalidated after verifying route origin AS number through the ROA of RPKI.
- * Type = 42: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-Out Post-Policy validated after verifying route origin AS number through the ROA of RPKI.
- * Type = 43: (64-bit Gauge) Number of routes currently in per-AFI/SAFI Adj-RIBs-Out Post-Policy not found after verifying route origin AS number through the ROA of RPKI.

4. Security Considerations

The considerations in Section 11 of [RFC7854] apply to this document. It is also believed that this document does not add any additional security considerations.

5. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Jeff Haas for his valuable input.

6. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-grow-bmp-tlv-ebit]

Lucente, P. and Y. Gu, "Support for Enterprise-specific TLVs in the BGP Monitoring Protocol", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-grow-bmp-tlv-ebit-05, 18 March 2024, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-grow-bmp-tlv-ebit-05>>.

[I-D.ietf-rtgwg-bgp-pic]

Bashandy, A., Filsfils, C., and P. Mohapatra, "BGP Prefix Independent Convergence", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-rtgwg-bgp-pic-21, 7 July 2024, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-rtgwg-bgp-pic-21>>.

[I-D.lapukhov-bgp-ecmp-considerations]

Lapukhov, P. and J. Tantsura, "Equal-Cost Multipath Considerations for BGP", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-lapukhov-bgp-ecmp-considerations-12, 28 December 2023, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-lapukhov-bgp-ecmp-considerations-12>>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC2439] Villamizar, C., Chandra, R., and R. Govindan, "BGP Route Flap Damping", RFC 2439, DOI 10.17487/RFC2439, November 1998, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2439>>.

[RFC4271] Rekhter, Y., Ed., Li, T., Ed., and S. Hares, Ed., "A Border Gateway Protocol 4 (BGP-4)", RFC 4271, DOI 10.17487/RFC4271, January 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4271>>.

a mis en forme : Surlignage

- [RFC4724] Sangli, S., Chen, E., Fernando, R., Scudder, J., and Y. Rekhter, "Graceful Restart Mechanism for BGP", RFC 4724, DOI 10.17487/RFC4724, January 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4724>>.
- [RFC6811] Mohapatra, P., Scudder, J., Ward, D., Bush, R., and R. Austein, "BGP Prefix Origin Validation", RFC 6811, DOI 10.17487/RFC6811, January 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6811>>.
- [RFC7854] Scudder, J., Ed., Fernando, R., and S. Stuart, "BGP Monitoring Protocol (BMP)", RFC 7854, DOI 10.17487/RFC7854, June 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7854>>.
- [RFC7911] Walton, D., Retana, A., Chen, E., and J. Scudder, "Advertisement of Multiple Paths in BGP", RFC 7911, DOI 10.17487/RFC7911, July 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7911>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC9494] Uttaro, J., Chen, E., Decraene, B., and J. Scudder, "Long-Lived Graceful Restart for BGP", RFC 9494, DOI 10.17487/RFC9494, November 2023, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9494>>.

Authors' Addresses

Mukul Srivastava
Juniper Networks
10 Technology Park Dr
Westford, MA 01886
United States of America
Email: msri@juniper.net

Yisong Liu
China Mobile
32 Xuanwumen West Street
Beijing
Xicheng District, 100053
China
Email: liuyisong@chinamobile.com

Changwang Lin
New H3C Technologies
8 Yongjia North Road
Beijing
Haidian District, 100094
China
Email: linchangwang.04414@h3c.com

Jinming Li
China Mobile
32 Xuanwumen West Street
Beijing
Xicheng District, 100053
China
Email: lijnming@chinamobile.com