ALTO
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: January 12, 2023

L. Contreras
Telefonica
S. Randriamasy
Nokia Bell Labs
X. Liu
IBM Corporation

July 11, 2022

ALTO Eextensions for handling Handling Service Functions
draft-lcsr-alto-service-functions-01

Abstract

This document proposes defines the usage of ALTO Protocol (and including companion its extensions) to provide information about service functions to clients (e.g., external systems). These systems that could are intended to consume such an information for their decision-making processes thats requiring require specific network information (service composition, traffic steering to via service chains, etc.).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 12, 2023.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

Network services are commonly formed by ~~means of the concatenation~~invoking ~~of~~ several atomic service functions (SF), resulting in a connected graph of functions. This graph is called Service Function Chain (RFC7665). Those functions can be topologically spread across the network. In addition ~~to that~~, there ~~will be~~are typically more than one instance of ~~any particular~~specific atomic service function in the network for different purposes (e.g., ~~such as~~ load balancing, redundancy, traffic optimization~~, etc~~).

During the definition phase of a network service, there ~~will be~~is a process for defining the type of service functions needed for implementing a given network service, as well as the ~~way~~ order in which they should be connected to steer the traffic flows through them. The type of an SF can be, for instance, a User Plane Function (UPF) of the 3GPP mobile packet core (including, UPFs at N6/Gi interface), a ~~cache of a~~ Content Delivery Network (CDN) cache, a TCP proxy, a DDoS mitigator, etc. Thus, when having multiple instances of an ~~function~~ SF (~~i.e~~e.g.~~,~~, multiple UPFs or multiple caches ~~as in the example before~~), a decision process should be in place to determine the particular instances for each type of service function (~~i.e~~e.g.~~,~~, what instance of UPF and CDN cache) to be part of the realization of the network service.

At this point of the network service realization, having timely information of the characteristics of the interconnection paths among SFs ~~can be~~ is crucial. Aspects such as number of hops, associated performance metrics, etc., can enrich (or even determine) the decision of which instances of the service function consider as final election. This process is also called SFC placement.

This document proposes the usage of the ALTO protocol –[RFC7285] and its extensions to ~~provide~~ expose information about service functions or their interconnection paths to ALTO clients (e.g., external systems) that ~~could~~might consume such an information for decisions requiring network information.

2. Service Function ~~information~~Information

Several initiatives in IETF ~~deal~~ delt with the interconnection of service functions.

[RFC7665] defines a data plane architecture for ~~the~~ Service Function Chaining (SFC) ~~architecture~~.

~~There, t~~The traffic is steered through SFC-enabled domains with the objective of making the flow passing through a number of service functions to run a service. When entering the domain, the traffic is classified and assigned to an SF~~C~~ Path (SFP). Reclassification may happen along an SFP. Specific information is added to the packet flows within the SFC-enabled domain, being this SFC encapsulation containing metadata and contextual information useful for the processing of the flows by the service functions and other components in the architecture. This can be realized, for example, using the NSH (RFC8300).

~~In all this process, there is no explicit identification of the service function to direct the traffic to, as it is implicit in the definition of a specific SFC Path.~~The identification of the appropriate SF instances to invoke is provisioned via the control plane to SFFs (Service Function Forwarders).

Similarly, in [RFC8986] the Segment Identifiers of SRv6 structures the 128 bits of the IPv6 address in the form LOC:FUNCT:ARG. LOC is the locator used to route a packet to the endpoint and encoded in the L most significant bits of the Segment Identifier (SID)~~—~~. FUNCT represents a Function ID and uses F bits. ARG represents optional parameters to be interpreted by the function, and uses A bits. ~~Furthermore~~Also, [I-D.ietf-spring-sr-service-programming] defines data plane functionalities required to implement service segments, in a similar way as [RFC7665] for SFC.

Finally, [I-D.ietf-teas-sf-aware-topo-model] proposes a YANG data model ~~able~~ to integrate both the network topology and service location on the same traffic engineering topology. In this model, the service functions are represented by service-function-id and sf-connection-point-id.

In all these previous cases, the information relative to the service functions is quite limited, if present. ~~—~~Richer information could be needed for an integration between the control systems responsible for the service operation and the control systems responsible for the network actions that could optimize the delivery of services relying upon network information (that is, acting in an integrated fashion).

For instance, taking as example OpenStack [OpenStack], a network service relies upon descriptors providing information about Virtual Deployment Units (VDUs), Connection Points (CPs), and Virtual Links (VLs).

A VDU describes the properties of the virtual construct that hosts ~~the~~ a service function. Important information is the function

Commenté [BMI1]: 7665 is just for the data plane

Commenté [BMI2]: You may also want citing draft-ietf-spring-nsh-sr

Commenté [BMI3]: You may check https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9015 were some more information about SFs is provided: SF type, locators, etc.

Still that draft does not cover cases where more advanced data is needed: available capacity, application features, geocoordinates, ..

identifier and its type. The CPs contain the IP and MAC addresses for such function, showing the binding as well between a VDU and a VL. Finally, the VL identifies the connectivity between VDUs.

The level of information is not the same in all the solutions overviewed, however a solution like ALTO could help to reconcile all these different approaches by mapping and matching information on the service and the network planes.

3. Usage of ALTO for ~~retrieving~~ Retrieving information relative to service functions

The ALTO Protocol ~~—~~can expose combined information of the service overlay together with the underlying network characteristics. This section details the potential usage of ALTO in this respect.

3.1. Information of ~~interest~~Interest

There can be several kinds of ALTO information requests to take into consideration. Some examples are listed below:

- Path characteristics, from a PID, to any instance of a service function type.
- Path characteristics, from a PID, to a specific instance of a service function type.
- Path characteristics among any instance of a service function type X to any other instance of a service function type Y.
- Path characteristics among a specific instance of a service function type X to any other instance of a service function type Y.
- Path characteristics, from a PID, to a chain of service functions.
- Path characteristics, from a PID, to a chain of specific instances of service functions.

Other type of requests could be further identified.

An ALTO server could be able to provide information for a limited set of requests. Thus, some indication of the possible requests to be served should be in place when interacting with the client.

3.2. ALTO mechanisms to support the requests about service functions

The ALTO Protocol ~~—~~can determine the path characteristics between two endpoints as ~~determined~~ discussed in~~by~~ [RFC7285]. The ALTO Protocol can ~~—~~also ~~can~~ provide the view of chain of functions by leveraging on the path vector concept developed in [I-D.ietf-alto-path-vector], where the endpoints considered represent service functions.

[I-D.ietf-alto-path-vector] introduces the concept of Abstract

Commenté [BMI4]: Indeed.

Commenté [BMI5]: I guess, the natural question to discuss here is why RFC9015 wouldn't be sufficient. Having a section to cover this would be helpful. Thanks

Commenté [BMI6]: Add the explicit section.

Network Element (ANE) to specify a component or an aggregation of components sharing some characteristics in a network. Furthermore, [I-D.ietf-alto-unified-props-new] generalizes the concept of endpoint properties to entity properties, where entities may be defined in semantic domains such as as IPv4 or IPv6, or PIDs, or ANEs.

This ~~draft~~ document makes use of these capabilities to support the retrieval of information relative to service functions.

4. ALTO architecture for service function information retrieval

The following logical architecture defines the usage of ALTO for the retrieval of information about service functions or interconnection of service functions.



The network topological information will be complemented with information relative to the service functions as provided by the orchestration system managing and controlling that part.

The ALTO server will integrate the information of the service functions based on some parameters, such as the ~~IP address~~locators (e.g., IP addresses) of the service functions.

5. Proposed ALTO extensions

As proposed extension to existing ALTO specifications, the following aspects are considered:

- Extension to ALTO protocol to allow ALTO clients to express detailed requests in line with the information of interest described in Section 3.1.

- Extensions to ALTO in order to collect and combine both service and network information, in line with the architecture depicted in Section 3.3. These extensions can involve particularizations of both [I-D.ietf-alto-path-vector] and [I-D.ietf-alto-unified-props-new].

~~Further extensions could be required.~~

Next iterations of ~~this~~ the ~~draft~~ document will further analyze the gap between

Commenté [BMI7]: I would add a statement to confirm that SFs do not participate in the mechanism.

Commenté [BMI8]: Isn't this an SF inventory?

a mis en forme : Surlignage

existing ALTO features and requirements to support the provisioning of infrastructure information needed to perform efficient SF management.

6. Security Considerations

To be provided.

7. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-alto-path-vector]
Gao, K., Lee, Y., Randriamasy, S., Yang, Y. R., and J. J. Zhang, "An ALTO Extension: Path Vector", draft-ietf-alto-path-vector-25 (work in progress), March 2022.

[I-D.ietf-alto-unified-props-new]
Roome, W., Randriamasy, S., Yang, Y. R., Zhang, J. J., and K. Gao, "An ALTO Extension: Entity Property Maps", draft-ietf-alto-unified-props-new-24 (work in progress), February 2022.

[I-D.ietf-spring-sr-service-programming]
Clad, F., Xu, X., Filsfils, C., Bernier, D., Li, C., Decraene, B., Ma, S., Yadlapalli, C., Henderickx, W., and S. Salsano, "Service Programming with Segment Routing", draft-ietf-spring-sr-service-programming-06 (work in progress), June 2022.

[I-D.ietf-teas-sf-aware-topo-model]
Bryskin, I., Liu, X., Lee, Y., Guichard, J., Contreras, L. M., Ceccarelli, D., Tantsura, J., and D. Shytyi, "SF Aware TE Topology YANG Model", draft-ietf-teas-sf-aware-topo-model-09 (work in progress), February 2022.

[OpenStack]
"VNF Descriptor (VNFD) Template Guide, https://docs.openstack.org/tacker/latest/contributor/vnfd_template_description.html", n.d..

[RFC7285] Alimi, R., Ed., Penno, R., Ed., Yang, Y., Ed., Kiesel, S., Previdi, S., Roome, W., Shalunov, S., and R. Woundy, "Application-Layer Traffic Optimization (ALTO) Protocol", RFC 7285, DOI 10.17487/RFC7285, September 2014, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7285>.

[RFC7665] Halpern, J., Ed. and C. Pignataro, Ed., "Service Function Chaining (SFC) Architecture", RFC 7665, DOI 10.17487/RFC7665, October 2015, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7665>.

[RFC8986] Filsfils, C., Ed., Camarillo, P., Ed., Leddy, J., Voyer, D., Matsushima, S., and Z. Li, "Segment Routing over IPv6 (SRv6) Network Programming", RFC 8986, DOI 10.17487/RFC8986, February 2021, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8986>.

Authors' Addresses

Luis M. Contreras
Telefonica

Email: luismiguel.contrerasmurillo@telefonica.com

Sabine Randriamasy
Nokia Bell Labs

Email: sabine.randriamasy@nokia-bell-labs.com
Xufeng Liu
IBM Corporation

Email: xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com