DOTS M. Boucadair, Ed. Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track T. Reddy, Ed. Expires: September 29, November 4, 2019

March 28, May 03, 2019

Orange

McAfee

Distributed Denial-of-Service Open Threat Signaling (DOTS) Data Channel Specification draft-ietf-dots-data-channel-28

Abstract

The document specifies a Distributed Denial-of-Service Open Threat Signaling (DOTS) data channel used for bulk exchange of data that cannot easily or appropriately communicated through the DOTS signal channel under attack conditions.

This is a companion document to the DOTS signal channel specification.

Editorial Note (To be removed by RFC Editor)

Please update these statements within the document with the RFC number to be assigned to this document:

- o "This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX;"
- "RFC XXXX: Distributed Denial-of-Service Open Threat Signaling (DOTS) Data Channel Specification";
- o reference: RFC XXXX

Please update the "revision" date of the YANG module.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 29, November 4, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Inti	roduction	3
2.	Terr	minology	5
3.	DOTS	S Data Channel	5
			5
		DOTS Server(s) Discovery	8
			8
		Detect and Prevent Infinite Loops	8
			9
4		S Data Channel YANG Module	9
٠.		Generic Tree Structure	
		Filtering Fields	
		YANG Module	
_			
э.		aging DOTS Clients	
		Registering DOTS Clients	
_		Unregistering DOTS Clients	
6.		aging DOTS Aliases	
		Create Aliases	
	6.2.	Retrieve Installed Aliases 4	5
	6.3.	Delete Aliases	7
7.	Mana	aging DOTS Filtering Rules 4	7
	7.1.	Retrieve DOTS Filtering Capabilities 4	7
	7.2.	Install Filtering Rules 4	9
		Retrieve Installed Filtering Rules 5	
	7.4.	Remove Filtering Rules 5	9

8.	Opera	atio	nal Cor	side	era	ti	ons	S															60	
9.	IANA	Con	siderat	ion	s.																		60	
10.	Secur	rity	Consid	era	tio	ns																	61	
11.	Conti	ribu	ting Au	tho:	rs																		63	
12.	Conti	cibu	tors .																				64	
13.	Ackno	owle	dgement	S																			65	
14.	Refer	renc	es																				65	
14	4.1.	Nor	mative	Ref	ere	nce	es																65	
14	1.2.	Inf	ormativ	e Re	efe	rei	nce	es															66	67
Appe	endix	A.	Sample	Exa	amp	le	з:	F	ilt	tei	cir	ıg	Fr	ag	gme	ent	s						68	
Appe	endix	в.	Sample	Exa	amp	le	s :	F	i1t	tei	cir	ıg	TC	ľР	Μe	988	sag	jes	3				71	
Auth	ors'	Add	resses																				71	74

1. Introduction

A distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attack is an attempt to make machines or network resources unavailable to their intended users. In most cases, sufficient scale can be achieved by compromising enough end-hosts and using those infected hosts to perpetrate and amplify the attack. The victim of such attack can be an application server, a router, a firewall, an entire network, etc.

As discussed in [I-D.ietf-dots-requirements], the lack of a common method to coordinate a real-time response among involved actors and network domains inhibits the speed and effectiveness of DDoS attack mitigation. From that standpoint, DDoS Open Threat Signaling (DOTS) defines an architecture that allows a DOTS client to send requests to a DOTS server for DDoS attack mitigation [I-D.ietf-dots-architecture]. The DOTS approach is thus meant to

[I-D.ietf-dots-architecture]. The DOTS approach is thus meant to minimize the impact of DDOS attacks, thereby contributing to the enforcement of more efficient defensive if not proactive security strategies. To that aim, DOTS defines two channels: the signal and the data channels (Figure 1).



Figure 1: DOTS Channels

The DOTS signal channel is used to carry information about a device or a network (or a part thereof) that is under a DDoS attack. Such information is sent by a DOTS client to an upstream DOTS server so that appropriate mitigation actions are undertaken on traffic deemed suspicious. The DOTS signal channel is further elaborated in [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel].

As for the DOTS data channel, it is used for infrequent bulk data exchange between DOTS agents to significantly improve the coordination of all the parties involved in the response to the attack. Section 2 of [I-D.ietf-dots-architecture] mentions that the DOTS data channel is used to perform the following tasks:

 Creating aliases for resources for which mitigation may be requested.

A DOTS client may submit to its DOTS server a collection of prefixes which it would like to refer to by an alias when requesting mitigation. The DOTS server can respond to this request with either a success or failure response (see Section 2 in [I-D.ietf-dots-architecture]).

Refer to Section 6 for more details.

o Policy management, which enables a DOTS client to request the installation or withdrawal of traffic filters, dropping or ratelimiting unwanted traffic, and permitting accept-listed traffic. A DOTS client is entitled to instruct filtering rules only on IP resources that belong to its domain.

Sample use cases for populating drop- or accept-list filtering rules are detailed hereafter:

* If a network resource (DOTS client) is informed about a potential DDoS attack from a set of IP addresses, the DOTS client informs its servicing DOTS gateway of all suspect IP addresses that need to be drop-listed for further investigation. The DOTS client could also specify a list of protocols and port numbers in the drop-list rule.

The DOTS gateway then propagates the drop-listed IP addresses to a DOTS server which will undertake appropriate actions so that traffic originated by these IP addresses to the target network (specified by the DOTS client) is blocked.

* A network, that has partner sites from which only legitimate traffic arrives, may want to ensure that the traffic from these sites is not subjected to DDoS attack mitigation. The DOTS client uses the DOTS data channel to convey the accept-listed IP prefixes of the partner sites to its DOTS server.

The DOTS server uses this information to accept-list flows

originated by such IP prefixes and which reach the network.

Refer to Section 7 for more details.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The reader should be familiar with the terms defined in [I-D.ietf-dots-requirements].

The terminology for describing YANG modules is defined in [RFC7950]. The meaning of the symbols in the tree diagrams is defined in [RFC8340].

This document generalizes the notion of Access Control List (ACL) so that it is not device-specific [RFC8519]. As such, this document defines an ACL as an ordered set of rules that is used to filter traffic. Each rule is represented by an Access Control Entry (ACE). ACLs communicated via the DOTS data channel are not bound to a device interface.

For the sake of simplicity, all of the examples in this document use "/restconf" as the discovered RESTCONF API root path. Many protocol header lines and message-body text within examples throughout the document are split into multiple lines for display purposes only. When a line ends with backslash ('\') as the last character, the line is wrapped for display purposes. It is to be considered to be joined to the next line by deleting the backslash, the following line break, and the leading whitespace of the next line.

3. DOTS Data Channel

3.1. Design Overview

Unlike the DOTS signal channel, which must remain operational even when confronted with signal degradation due to packets loss, the DOTS data channel is not expected to be fully operational at all times, especially when a DDOS attack is underway. The requirements for a DOTS data channel protocol are documented in [I-D.ietf-dots-requirements].

This specification does not require an order of DOTS signal and data channel creations nor mandates a time interval between them. These considerations are implementation— and deployment—specific.

As the primary function of the data channel is data exchange, a reliable transport mode is required in order for DOTS agents to detect data delivery success or failure. This document uses RESTCONF [RFC8040] over TLS over TCP as the DOTS data channel protocol. The abstract layering of DOTS data channel is shown in Figure 2.

+	
DOT	'S Data Channel
+	RESTCONF
	TLS
į	TCP
į	IP

Figure 2: Abstract Layering of DOTS Data Channel

The HTTP POST, PUT, PATCH, and DELETE methods are used to edit data resources represented by DOTS data channel YANG modules. These basic edit operations allow the DOTS data channel running configuration to be altered by a DOTS client. Rules for generating and processing RESTCONF methods are defined in Section 4 of [RFC8040].

DOTS data channel configuration information as well as state information can be retrieved with the GET method. An HTTP status-line header field is returned for each request to report success or failure for RESTCONF operations (Section 5.4 of [RFC8040]). The "error-tag" provides more information about encountered errors (Section 7 of [RFC8040]).

DOTS clients perform the root resource discovery procedure discussed in Section 3.1 of [RFC8040] to determine the root of the RESTCONF API. After discovering the RESTCONF API root, a DOTS client uses this value as the initial part of the path in the request URI, URI in any subsequent request to the DOTS server. The DOTS server may support the retrieval of the YANG modules it supports (Section 3.7 in [RFC8040]). For example, a DOTS client may use RESTCONF to retrieve the vendor-specific YANG modules supported by its DOTS server.

JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) [RFC8259] payloads are used to propagate the DOTS data-channel-specific payload messages that carry

request parameters and response information, such as errors. This specification uses the encoding rules defined in [RFC7951] for representing DOTS data channel configuration data using YANG (Section 4) as JSON text.

A DOTS client registers itself to its DOTS server(s) in order to set up DOTS data channel-related configuration data and receive state data (i.e., non-configuration data) from the DOTS server(s) (Section 5). Mutual authentication considerations are specified in Section 8 of [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel]. The coupling of signal and data channels is discussed in Section 4.4.1 of [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel].

A single DOTS data channel between DOTS agents can be used to exchange multiple requests and multiple responses. To reduce DOTS client and DOTS server workload, DOTS clients SHOULD re-use the same TLS session. While the communication to the DOTS server is quiescent, the DOTS client MAY probe the server to ensure it has maintained cryptographic state. Such probes can also keep alive firewall and/or NAT bindings. A TLS heartbeat [RFC6520] verifies that the DOTS server still has TLS state by returning a TLS message.

A DOTS server may detect conflicting filtering requests from distinct DOTS clients which belong to the same domain. For example, a DOTS client could request to drop-list a prefix by specifying the source prefix, while another DOTS client could request to accept-list that same source prefix, but both having the same destination prefix. DOTS servers SHOULD support a configuration parameter to indicate the behavior to follow when a conflict is detected (e.g., reject all, reject the new request, notify an administrator for validation). Section 7.2 specifies a default behavior when no instruction is supplied to a DOTS server.

How a DOTS client synchronizes its configuration with the one maintained by its DOTS server(s) is implementation-specific. For example:

- a DOTS client can systematically send a GET message before and/or after a configuration change request.
- o a DOTS client can re-establish the disconnected DOTS session after an attack is mitigated and sends a GET message before a configuration change request.

NAT considerations for the DOTS data channel are similar to those discussed in Section 3 of [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel].

How filtering rules that are instantiated on a DOTS server are translated into network configurations actions is out of scope of this specification.

Some of the fields introduced in Section 4 are also discussed in Sections 5, 6, and 7. These sections are authoritative for these fields.

3.2. DOTS Server(s) Discovery

This document assumes that DOTS clients are provisioned with a way to know how to reach their DOTS server(s), which could occur by a variety of means (e.g., local configuration, or dynamic means such as DHCP). The specification of such means are out of scope of this

Likewise, it is out of scope of this document to specify the behavior to be followed by a DOTS client to send DOTS requests when multiple DOTS servers are provisioned (e.g., contact all DOTS servers, select one DOTS server among the list).

3.3. DOTS Gateways

When a server-domain DOTS gateway is involved in DOTS data channel exchanges, the same considerations for manipulating the 'cdid' (client domain identifier) parameter specified in [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel] MUST be followed by DOTS agents. As a reminder, 'cdid' is meant to assist the DOTS server to enforce some policies (e.g., limit the number of filtering rules per DOTS client or per DOTS client domain). A loop detect mechanism for DOTS gateways is specified in Section 3.4.

If a DOTS gateway is involved, the DOTS gateway verifies that the DOTS client is authorized to undertake a data channel action (e.g., instantiate filtering rules). If the DOTS client is authorized, it propagates the rules to the upstream DOTS server. Likewise, the DOTS server verifies that the DOTS gateway is authorized to relay data channel actions. For example, to create or purge filters, a DOTS client sends its request to its DOTS gateway. The DOTS gateway validates the rules in the request and proxies the requests containing the filtering rules to its DOTS server. When the DOTS gateway receives the associated response from the DOTS server, it propagates the response back to the DOTS client.

3.4. Detect and Prevent Infinite Loops

In order to detect and prevent infinite loops, DOTS gateways ${\tt MUST}$

support the procedure defined in Section 5.7.1 of [RFC7230]. In particular, each intermediate DOTS gateway MUST check that none of its own information (e.g., server names, literal IP addresses) is present in the "Via" header field of a DOTS message it receives:

o If it detects that its own information is present in the "Via"

header field, the DOTS gateway MUST NOT forward the DOTS message. Messages that cannot be forwarded because of a loop SHOULD be logged with a "508 Loop Detected" status-line returned sent back to the DOTS peer. The structure of the reported error is depicted

error-app-tag: loop-detected error-tag: operation-failed error-type: transport, application

<via-header> : A copy of the Via header field when
the loop was detected. error-info:

Description: An infinite loop has been detected when forwarding

a requests via a proxy.

Figure 3: Loop Detected Error

It is RECOMMENDED that DOTS clients and gateways support means methods to alert administrators about loop errors so that appropriate $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$ actions are undertaken.

o Otherwise, the DOTS agent MUST update or insert the "Via" header by appending its own information.

Unless configured otherwise, DOTS gateways at the boundaries of a DOTS client domain SHOULD remove the previous "Via" header field information after checking for a loop before forwarding. This behavior is required for topology hiding purposes but can also serve to minimize potential conflicts that may arise if overlapping information is used in distinct DOTS domains (e.g., private IPv4 addresses, non globally unique aliases).

3.5. Stale Entries

In order to avoid stale entries, a lifetime is associated with alias and filtering entries created by DOTS clients. Also, DOTS servers may track the inactivity timeout of DOTS clients to detect stale

4. DOTS Data Channel YANG Module

4.1. Generic Tree Structure

The DOTS data channel YANG module (ietf-dots-data-channel) provides a method for DOTS clients to manage aliases for resources for which mitigation may be requested. Such aliases may be used in subsequent DOTS signal channel exchanges to refer more efficiently to the resources under attack.

Note that the full module's tree has been split across several figures to aid the exposition of the various sub-trees.

The tree structure for the DOTS alias is depicted in Figure 4.

```
module: ietf-dots-data-channel
    +--rw dots-data
       +--rw dots-client* [cuid]
          +--rw cuid
                                   string
           +--rw cdid?
                                   string
           +--rw aliases
              +--rw alias* [name]
                 +--rw name
                                             string
inet:ip-prefix
                  +--rw target-prefix*
                  +--rw target-port-range* [lower-port]
                  +--rw lower-port inet:port-number
+--rw upper-port? inet:port-number
                  +--rw upper-port: inco-pol-
+--rw target-protocol* uint8
                 +--rw target-fqdn*
                                               inet:domain-name
                  +--rw target-uri*
                                               inet:uri
                  +--ro pending-lifetime? int32
           +--rw acls
        +--ro capabilities
```

Figure 4: DOTS Alias Subtree

Also, the 'ietf-dots-data-channel' module provides a method for DOTS clients to manage filtering rules. Examples of filtering management in a DOTS context include, but not limited to:

- o Drop-list management, which enables a DOTS client to inform a DOTS server about sources from which traffic should be discarded.
- Accept-list management, which enables a DOTS client to inform a DOTS server about sources from which traffic should always be accepted.

o Policy management, which enables a DOTS client to request the installation or withdrawal of traffic filters, dropping or rate-limiting unwanted traffic and permitting accept-listed traffic.

The tree structure for the DOTS filtering entries is depicted in Figure 5.

Investigations into the prospect of augmenting 'ietf-access-controllist' to meet DOTS requirements concluded that such a design approach did not support many of the DOTS requirements, e.g.,

- o Retrieve a filtering entry (or all entries) created by a DOTS client.
- o Delete a filtering entry that was instantiated by a DOTS client.

Accordingly, new DOTS filtering entries (i.e., Access Control List (ACL)) are defined that mimic the structure specified in [RFC8519]. Concretely, DOTS agents are assumed to manipulate an ordered list of ACLs; each ACL contains a separately ordered list of Access Control Entries (ACEs). Each ACE has a group of match and a group of action criteria.

Once all the ACE entries have been iterated though with no match, then all the following ACL's ACE entries are iterated through until the first match at which point the specified action is applied. If there is no match during 'idle' time (i.e., no mitigation is active), then there is no further action to be taken against the packet. If there is no match during active mitigation, then the packet will still be scrubbed by the DDoS mitigator.

```
module: ietf-dots-data-channel
    +--rw dots-data
       +--rw dots-client* [cuid]
                               string
          +--rw cuid
          +--rw cdid?
                                string
          +--rw aliases
          +--rw acls
            +--rw acl* [name]
               +--rw name
                                          string
                +--rw type?
                                          ietf-acl:acl-type
                                          activation-type
                +--rw activation-type?
                +--ro pending-lifetime?
                                         int32
                +--rw aces
                   +--rw ace* [name]
                     +--rw name
                                          string
                      +--rw matches
                         +--rw (13)?
                            +--:(ipv4)
                            +--:(ipv6)
                         +--rw (14)?
                            +--:(tcp)
                            1 ...
                            +--: (udp)
                            +--:(icmp)
                        -rw actions
                         +--rw forwarding
                                             identityref
                         +--rw rate-limit?
                                            decimal64
                      +--ro statistics
                        +--ro matched-packets?
                                                 yang:counter64
                         +--ro matched-octets?
                                                 yang:counter64
       +--ro capabilities
```

Figure 5: DOTS ACLs Subtree

Filtering rules instructed by a DOTS client assumes a default direction: the destination is the DOTS client domain.

DOTS forwarding actions can be 'accept' (i.e., accept matching traffic) or 'drop' (i.e., drop matching traffic without sending any ICMP error message). Accepted traffic can be subject to ratelimiting 'rate-limit'. Note that 'reject' action (i.e., drop matching traffic and send an ICMP error message to the source) is not supported in 'ietf-dots-data-channel' because it is not appropriate in the context of DDoS mitigation. Generating ICMP messages to notify drops when mitigating a DDoS attack will exacerbate the DDoS attack. Furthermore, these ICMP messages will be used by an attacker as an explicit signal that the traffic is being blocked.

4.2. Filtering Fields

The 'ietf-dots-data-channel' module reuses the packet fields module 'ietf-packet-fields' [RFC8519] which defines matching on fields in the packet including IPv4, IPv6, and transport layer fields. The 'ietf-dots-data-channel' module can be augmented, for example, to support additional protocol-specific matching fields.

This specification defines a new ${\tt IPv4/IPv6}$ matching field called

'fragment' to efficiently handle fragment-related filtering rules. Indeed, [RFC8519] does not support such capability for IPv6 but offers a partial support for IPv4 by means of 'flags'. Nevertheless, the use of 'flags' is problematic since it does not allow to define a bitmask. For example, setting other bits not covered by the 'flags' filtering clause in a packet will allow that packet to get through (because it won't match the ACE). Sample examples to illustrate how 'fragment' can be used are provided in Appendix A.

Figure 6 shows the IPv4 match subtree.

```
module: ietf-dots-data-channel
  +--rw dots-data
     +--rw dots-client* [cuid]
        +--rw acls
           +--rw acl* [name]
              +--rw aces
                 +--rw ace* [name]
                    +--rw name
                                         string
                     +--rw matches
                        +--rw (13)?
                          +--:(ipv4)
                             +--rw ipv4
                                +--rw dscp?
+--rw ecn?
                                                              inet:dscp
                                                              uint.8
                                +--rw length?
                                                              uint16
                                 +--rw ttl?
                                                              uint8
                                 +--rw protocol?
                                 +--rw ihl?
                                 +--rw flags?
                                 +--rw offset?
                                                              uint16
                                 +--rw identification?
                                                              uint16
                                 +--rw (destination-network)?
                                  +--: (destination-ipv4-network)
                                      +--rw destination-ipv4-network?
                                              inet:ipv4-prefix
                                  ---rw (source-network)?
                                  +--:(source-ipv4-network)
                                      +--rw source-ipv4-network?
                                               inet:ipv4-prefix
                                   --rw fragment
                                    +--rw operator?
                                                           operator
                                                     fragment-type
                                    +--rw type
                           +--:(ipv6)
                        +--rw (14)?
                       -rw actions
                     .
+--ro statistics
     +--ro capabilities
```

Figure 6: DOTS ACLs Subtree (IPv4 Match)

Figure 7 shows the IPv6 match subtree.

```
module: ietf-dots-data-channel
 +--rw dots-data
    +--rw dots-client* [cuid]
       +--rw acls
          +--rw acl* [name]
             +--rw aces
                +--rw ace* [name]
                                       string
                   +--rw name
                   +--rw matches
                      +--rw (13)?
                         +--:(ipv4)
                         +--:(ipv6)
                            +--rw ipv6
                              +--rw dscp?
                                                            inet:dscp
                               +--rw ecn?
                                                            uint8
                               +--rw length?
                               +--rw ttl?
                               +--rw protocol?
                               +--rw (destination-network)?
                                +--: (destination-ipv6-network)
                                    +--rw destination-ipv6-network?
                                            inet:ipv6-prefix
                               +--rw (source-network)?
                                 +--:(source-ipv6-network)
                                    +--rw source-ipv6-network?
                                             inet:ipv6-prefix
                                --rw flow-label?
                                      inet:ipv6-flow-label
                               +--rw fragment
                                  +--rw operator?
                                                       operator
                                  +--rw type
                                                  fragment-type
```

Figure 7: DOTS ACLs Subtree (IPv6 Match)

Figure 8 shows the TCP match subtree. In addition to the fields defined in [RFC8519], this specification defines a new TCP matching field, called 'flags-bitmask', to efficiently handle TCP flags filtering rules. Some examples are provided in Appendix B.

```
module: ietf-dots-data-channel
+--rw dots-data
   +-rw dots-client* [cuid]
     +-rw acls
      +-rw acl* [name]
         +-rw aces
          +-rw ace* [name]
            +-rw name
                               string
               +-rw matches
                 +-rw (13)?
                 +-rw (14)?
                   +-:(tcp)
                      +--rw sequence-number?
                                                      uint32
                      +--rw acknowledgement-number?
                                                      uint32
                      +--rw data-offset?
                                                       uint8
                      +--rw reserved?
                                                      uint8
                      +--rw flags?
                                                      bits
                      +--rw window-size?
                                                      uint16
                       +--rw urgent-pointer?
                                                       uint16
                       +--rw options?
                                                      binary
                       +--rw flags-bitmask
                        +--rw operator?
                         +--rw bitmask
                                                       uint16
                       +--rw (source-port)?
                         +--:(source-port-range-or-operator)
                            +--rw source-port-range-or-operator
                               +--rw (port-range-or-operator)?
                                   +--:(range)
                                    +--rw lower-port
                                             inet:port-number
                                      +--rw upper-port
                                             inet:port-number
                                   +--:(operator)
                                      +--rw operator?
                                             operator
                                      +--rw port
                                             inet:port-number
                       +--rw (destination-port)?
                          +--: (destination-port-range-or-operator)
                             +--rw destination-port-range-or-operator
                                +--rw (port-range-or-operator)?
                                   +--:(range)
                                     +--rw lower-port
                                            inet:port-number
                                     +--rw upper-port
                                             inet:port-number
                                   +--:(operator)
                                      +--rw operator?
                                             operator
                                      +--rw port
                                             inet:port-number
                   +-:(udp)
                   +-: (icmp)
               +-rw actions
               +-ro statistics
  +-ro capabilities
```

Figure 8: DOTS ACLs Subtree (TCP Match)

Figure 9 shows the UDP and ICMP match subtrees. The same structure is used for both ICMP and ICMPv6. The indication whether an ACL is about ICMP or ICMPv6 is governed by the '13' match or the ACL type.

```
module: ietf-dots-data-channel
+-rw dots-data
+-rw dots-client* [cuid]
| ...
| +-rw acls
| +-rw acl* [name]
```

```
+-rw aces
                               +-rw ace* [name]
                                     +--rw name
                                                                                                        string
                                     +--rw matches
                                              +--rw (13)?
                                                +--rw (14)?
                                                         +--: (tcp)
                                                              --: (udp)
                                                                   +--rw udp
                                                                              +--rw length?
                                                                               +--rw (source-port)?
                                                                                        +--: (source-port-range-or-operator)
                                                                                                  +--rw source-port-range-or-operator
                                                                                                            +--rw (port-range-or-operator)?
                                                                                                                       +--:(range)
                                                                                                                                 +--rw lower-port
                                                                                                                                                        inet:port-number
                                                                                                                                +--rw upper-port
                                                                                                                                                       inet:port-number
                                                                                                                             --:(operator)
                                                                                                                                 +--rw operator?
                                                                                                                                                          operator
                                                                                                                                 +--rw port
                                                                                                                                                        inet:port-number
                                                                               +--rw (destination-port)?
                                                                                         +--: (destination-port-range-or-operator)
                                                                                                   +--rw destination-port-range-or-operator
                                                                                                             +--rw (port-range-or-operator)?
                                                                                                                        +--:(range)
                                                                                                                              +--rw lower-port
                                                                                                                                                         inet:port-number
                                                                                                                                 +--rw upper-port
                                                                                                                                                       inet:port-number
                                                                                                                        +--:(operator)
                                                                                                                                 +--rw operator?
                                                                                                                                                          operator
                                                                                                                                 +--rw port
                                                                                                                                                           inet:port-number
                                                            .
⊦--:(icmp)
                                                                    +--rw icmp
                                                                            +--rw type?
                                                                                                                                                               uint8
                                                                            +--rw code?
                                                                                                                                                               uint.8
                                                                            +--rw rest-of-header? binary
                                       +--rw actions
                                     +--ro statistics
    .
+-ro capabilities
                                  Figure 9: DOTS ACLs Subtree (UDP and ICMP Match)
DOTS implementations MUST support the following matching criteria:
          match based on the IP header (IPv4 and IPv6), match based on the
          transport header (TCP, UDP, and ICMP), and any combination thereof. The same matching fields are used for both ICMP and
The following match fields MUST be supported by DOTS implementations
(Table 1):
ACL
                          Mandatory Fields
Match
                           length, protocol, destination-ipv4-network, source-
ipv4
                            ipv4-network, and fragment
                           length, protocol, destination-ipv6-network, source-
ipv6
                            ipv6-network, and fragment
tcp
                           {\tt flags-bitmask,\ source-port-range-or-operator,\ and}
                           {\tt destination-port-range-or-operator}
                          length, source-port-range-or-operator, and destination-port-range-or-operator % \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 
udp
                          type and code
icmp
                                        Table 1: Mandatory DOTS Channel Match Fields
Implementations MAY support other filtering match fields and actions.
The 'ietf-dots-data-channel' provides a method for an implementation
to expose its filtering capabilities. The tree structure of the
'capabilities' is shown in Figure 10.
module: ietf-dots-data-channel
             +--rw dots-data
                        +--ro capabilities
                                  +--ro address-family*
                                  +--ro forwarding-actions*
                                                                                                                                  identityref
                                  +--ro rate-limit?
                                                                                                                                   boolean
```

uint8

+--ro transport-protocols*

+--ro ipv4

```
+--ro dscp?
                                            boolean
                +--ro ecn?
                                           boolean
                +--ro length?
                +--ro ttl?
                                           boolean
               +--ro protocol?
                                           boolean
               +--ro ihl?
                                           boolean
               +--ro flags?
                                           boolean
               +--ro offset?
                                            boolean
               +--ro identification?
                                           boolean
               +--ro source-prefix?
                                            boolean
               +--ro destination-prefix?
                                           boolean
               +--ro fragment?
                                            boolean
              --ro ipv6
               +--ro dscp?
                                            boolean
               +--ro ecn?
                                           boolean
               +--ro length?
                                           boolean
               +--ro hoplimit?
                                           boolean
               +--ro protocol?
                                           boolean
               +--ro destination-prefix? boolean
               +--ro source-prefix?
                                            boolean
               +--ro flow-label?
                                            boolean
               +--ro fragment?
               -ro tcp
               +--ro sequence-number?
                                               boolean
               +--ro acknowledgement-number? boolean
               +--ro data-offset?
                                               boolean
               +--ro reserved?
                                               boolean
               +--ro flags?
                                               boolean
               +--ro window-size?
                                               boolean
               +--ro urgent-pointer?
                                               boolean
               +--ro options?
                                              boolean
               +--ro flags-bitmask?
               +--ro source-port?
               +--ro destination-port?
                                               boolean
               +--ro port-range?
                                               boolean
              --ro udp
                                         boolean
               +--ro length?
               +--ro source-port?
                                         boolean
               +--ro source-port: boolean
+--ro port-range? boolean
              +--ro port-range?
             +--ro icmp
                                       boolean
                +--ro type?
               +--ro code?
                                       boolean
               +--ro rest-of-header? boolean
                Figure 10: Filtering Capabilities Subtree
4.3. YANG Module
   This module uses the common YANG types defined in [RFC6991] and types
  defined in [RFC8519].
 <CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-dots-data-channel@2019-02-14.yang"
 module ietf-dots-data-channel {
   yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-dots-data-channel";
  prefix data-channel;
   import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix inet;
    reference "Section 4 of RFC 6991";
   import ietf-access-control-list {
    prefix ietf-acl;
    reference
       "RFC 8519: YANG Data Model for Network Access
                 Control Lists (ACLs)";
   import ietf-packet-fields {
    prefix packet-fields;
      "RFC 8519: YANG Data Model for Network Access
                 Control Lists (ACLs)";
   organization
     "IETF DDoS Open Threat Signaling (DOTS) Working Group";
     "WG Web:
              <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/dots/>
     WG List: <mailto:dots@ietf.org>
     Editor: Mohamed Boucadair
               <mailto:mohamed.boucadair@orange.com>
     Editor: Konda, Tirumaleswar Reddy
              <mailto:TirumaleswarReddy_Konda@McAfee.com>
     Author: Jon Shallow
               <mailto:jon.shallow@nccgroup.com>
     Author: Kaname Nishizuka
```

}

contact

<mailto:kaname@nttv6.jp>

Author: Liang Xia

```
<mailto:frank.xialiang@huawei.com>
   Author: Prashanth Patil
            <mailto:praspati@cisco.com>
   Author: Andrew Mortensen
            <mailto:amortensen@arbor.net>
  Author: Nik Teague
            <mailto:nteaque@verisign.com>";
  "This module contains YANG definition for configuring
   aliases for resources and filtering rules using DOTS
   Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
   authors of the code. All rights reserved.
  Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
   to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
   set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
   Relating to IETF Documents
   (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
   This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
   the RFC itself for full legal notices.";
revision 2019-02-14 {
 description
   "Initial revision.";
    "RFC XXXX: Distributed Denial-of-Service Open Threat
               Signaling (DOTS) Data Channel Specification";
typedef activation-type {
  type enumeration {
   enum "activate-when-mitigating" {
      value 1;
        "The Access Control List (ACL) is installed only when
         a mitigation is active for the DOTS client.";
   enum "immediate" {
      value 2;
      description
        "The ACL is immediately activated.";
   enum "deactivate" {
      description
        "The ACL is maintained by the DOTS server, but it is
         deactivated.";
   }
 description
    "Indicates the activation type of an ACL.";
typedef operator {
  type bits {
   bit not
     position 0;
      description
        "If set, logical negation of operation.";
   bit match {
      description
        "Match bit.
                     If set, this This is a bitwise match operation
         defined as '(data & value) == value'; if unset, (data &
        value | . ";
   bit any {
      position 3;
      description
        "Any bit. This is a match on any of the bits in
         bitmask. It evaluates to TRUE 'true' if any of the bits
         in the value mask are set in the \frac{data}{}, data,
         i.e., '(data & value) != 0'.";
   }
 description
    "Specifies how to apply the defined bitmask.";
grouping tcp-flags {
 leaf operator {
   type operator;
   default "match";
   description
      "Specifies how to interpret the TCP flags.";
```

```
leaf bitmask {
   type uint16;
   mandatory true;
   description
    "Bitmask values can be encoded as a 1- or 2-byte bitmask.
     When a single byte is specified, it
      "The bitmask matches byte 13
     of the TCP header, which contains last 4 bits 8 though 15 of the 4th 32 bit word. When a 2 byte encoding is used,
     it matches bytes byte 12
      and byte 13 of the TCP header with header. For clarity, the bitmask fields 4 bits
      of byte 12 corresponding to the TCP data offset field being ignored for purposes of
      are not included in any matching.";
 description
    "Operations on TCP flags.";
typedef fragment-type {
  type bits {
   bit df {
     position 0;
      description
        "Don't fragment bit for IPv4.
        Must be set to 0 when it appears in an IPv6 filter.";
   bit isf {
     position 1;
     description
        "Is a fragment.";
   bit ff {
     position 2;
     description
       "First fragment.";
   bit lf {
     position 3;
     description
        "Last fragment.";
   }
 description
    "Different fragment types to match against.";
grouping target {
 description
   "Specifies the targets of the mitigation request.";
  leaf-list target-prefix {
   type inet:ip-prefix;
   description
      "IPv4 or IPv6 prefix identifying the target.";
  list target-port-range {
   key "lower-port";
   description
      "Port range. When only lower-port is
      present, it represents a single port number.";
   leaf lower-port {
     type inet:port-number;
      mandatory true;
     description
        "Lower port number of the port range.";
    leaf upper-port {
     type inet:port-number;
     must '. >= ../lower-port' {
       error-message
          "The upper port number must be greater than
          or equal to the lower-port number.";
      description
        "Upper port number of the port range.";
   }
  leaf-list target-protocol {
    type uint8;
    description
      "Identifies the target protocol number.
      Values are taken from the IANA protocol registry:
      https://www.iana.org/assignments/protocol-numbers/
      protocol-numbers.xhtml
      For example, 6 for TCP or 17 for UDP.";
  leaf-list target-fqdn {
    type inet:domain-name;
    description
      "FQDN identifying the target.";
 leaf-list target-uri {
```

```
type inet:uri;
   description
      "URI identifying the target.";
grouping fragment-fields {
 leaf operator {
   type operator;
   default "match";
   description
      "Specifies how to interpret the fragment type.";
 leaf type {
   type fragment-type;
   mandatory true;
   description
      "Indicates what fragment type to look for.";
 description
    "Operations on fragment types.";
grouping aliases {
 description
    "Top level container for aliases.";
 list alias {
   key "name";
   description
      "List of aliases.";
   leaf name {
     type string;
      description
        "The name of the alias.";
   uses target;
   leaf pending-lifetime {
     type int32;
     units "minutes";
     config false;
     description
        "Indicates the pending validity lifetime of the alias
   }
 }
grouping ports {
 choice source-port {
   container source-port-range-or-operator {
     uses packet-fields:port-range-or-operator;
     description
       "Source port definition.";
   description
      "Choice of specifying the source port or referring to
      a group of source port numbers.";
 choice destination-port {
   container destination-port-range-or-operator {
     uses packet-fields:port-range-or-operator;
     description
        "Destination port definition.";
   description
      "Choice of specifying a destination port or referring
      to a group of destination port numbers.";
    "Choice of specifying a source or destination port numbers.";
grouping access-lists {
 description
    "Specifies the ordered set of Access Control Lists.";
  list acl {
   key "name";
   ordered-by user;
      "An ACL is an ordered list of Access Control Entries (ACE).
      Each ACE has a list of match criteria and a list of actions.";
   leaf name
     type string {
       length "1..64";
     description
       "The name of the access list.";
        "RFC 8519: YANG Data Model for Network Access
                   Control Lists (ACLs)";
      type ietf-acl:acl-type;
      description
```

```
"Type of access control list. Indicates the primary intended
     type of match criteria (e.g., IPv4, IPv6) used in the list
    "RFC 8519: YANG Data Model for Network Access
               Control Lists (ACLs)";
leaf activation-type {
  type activation-type;
  default "activate-when-mitigating";
  description
    "Indicates the activation type of an ACL. An ACL can be
     deactivated, installed immediately, or installed when
     a mitigation is active.";
leaf pending-lifetime {
  type int32;
  units "minutes";
  config false;
 description
    "Indicates the pending validity lifetime of the ACL
container aces {
  description
    "The Access Control Entries container contains
     a list of ACEs.";
  list ace {
  key "name";
    ordered-by user;
    description
      "List of access list entries.";
    leaf name {
      type string {
        length "1..64";
      description
        "A unique name identifying this ACE.";
      reference
        "RFC 8519: YANG Data Model for Network Access
                   Control Lists (ACLs)";
    container matches {
      description
        "The rules in this set determine what fields will be
        matched upon before any action is taken on them.
         If no matches are defined in a particular container,
        then any packet will match that container.
         If no matches are specified at all in an ACE, then any
         packet will match the ACE.";
      reference
        "RFC 8519: YANG Data Model for Network Access
                   Control Lists (ACLs)";
      choice 13 {
        container ipv4 {
          when "derived-from(../../../type, "
             + "'ietf-acl:ipv4-acl-type')";
          uses packet-fields:acl-ip-header-fields;
          uses packet-fields:acl-ipv4-header-fields;
          container fragment {
            description
              "Indicates how to handle IPv4 fragments.";
            uses fragment-fields;
          description
            "Rule set that matches IPv4 header.";
        container ipv6 {
          when "derived-from(../../../type, '
             + "'ietf-acl:ipv6-acl-type')";
          uses packet-fields:acl-ip-header-fields;
          uses packet-fields:acl-ipv6-header-fields;
          container fragment {
            description
              "Indicates how to handle IPv6 fragments.";
            uses fragment-fields;
          description
            "Rule set that matches IPv6 header.";
        description
          "Either IPv4 or IPv6.";
      choice 14 {
        container tcp {
          uses packet-fields:acl-tcp-header-fields;
          container flags-bitmask {
            description
              "Indicates how to handle TCP flags.";
            uses tcp-flags;
          uses ports;
```

```
description
                "Rule set that matches TCP header.";
             uses packet-fields:acl-udp-header-fields;
             uses ports;
             description
                "Rule set that matches UDP header.";
            container icmp {
             uses packet-fields:acl-icmp-header-fields;
             description
                "Rule set that matches ICMP/ICMPv6 header.";
            description
              "Can be TCP, UDP, or ICMP/ICMPv6";
         }
        }
        container actions {
          description
            "Definitions of action for this ACE.";
          leaf forwarding {
            type identityref {
             base ietf-acl:forwarding-action;
           mandatory true;
           description
              "Specifies the forwarding action per ACE.";
            reference
              "RFC 8519: YANG Data Model for Network Access
                         Control Lists (ACLs)";
          leaf rate-limit {
            when "../forwarding = 'ietf-acl:accept'" {
             {\tt description}
                "Rate-limit is valid only when accept action is
                 used.";
           type decimal64 {
             fraction-digits 2;
            units "bytes per second";
            description
              "Specifies how to rate-limit the traffic.";
         }
        container statistics {
          config false;
          description
            "Aggregate statistics.";
         uses ietf-acl:acl-counters;
     }
   }
 }
container dots-data {
 description
    "Main container for DOTS data channel.";
 list dots-client {
   key "cuid";
   description
      "List of DOTS clients.";
   leaf cuid {
     type string;
     description
        "A unique identifier that is generated by a DOTS client
         to prevent request collisions.";
        "RFC YYYY: Distributed Denial-of-Service Open Threat
                Signaling (DOTS) Signal Channel Specification";
   leaf cdid {
      type string;
      description
        "A client domain identifier conveyed by a
        server-domain DOTS gateway to a remote DOTS server.";
        "RFC YYYY: Distributed Denial-of-Service Open Threat
               Signaling (DOTS) Signal Channel Specification";
   container aliases {
     description
        "Set of aliases that are bound to a DOTS client.";
     uses aliases;
        "Access lists that are bound to a DOTS client.";
      uses access-lists;
```

```
container capabilities {
  config false;
  description
    "Match capabilities";
  leaf-list address-family {
    {\tt type\ enumeration}\ \{
      enum "ipv4" {
        description
          "IPv4 is supported.";
      enum "ipv6" {
        description
          "IPv6 is supported.";
    description
      "Indicates the IP address families supported by
       the DOTS server.";
  leaf-list forwarding-actions {
    type identityref {
      base ietf-acl:forwarding-action;
    description
      "Supported forwarding action(s).";
  leaf rate-limit {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of rate-limit action.";
  leaf-list transport-protocols {
    type uint8;
    description
      "Upper-layer protocol associated with a filtering rule.
       Values are taken from the IANA protocol registry:
       https://www.iana.org/assignments/protocol-numbers/
       protocol-numbers.xhtml
       For example, this field contains 1 for ICMP, 6 for TCP
       17 for UDP, or 58 for ICMPv6.";
  container ipv4 {
    description
      "Indicates IPv4 header fields that are supported to enforce
       ACLs.";
    leaf dscp {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Support of filtering based on Differentiated Services Code
         Point (DSCP).";
    leaf ecn {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Support of filtering based on Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN).";
    leaf length {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Support of filtering based on the Total Length.";
    leaf ttl {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Support of filtering based on the Time to Live (TTL).";
      type boolean;
      description
        "Support of filtering based on protocol field.";
    leaf ihl {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Support of filtering based on the Internet Header
Length (IHL).";
    leaf flags {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Support of filtering based on the 'flags'.";
    leaf offset {
      type boolean;
        "Support of filtering based on the 'offset'.";
    leaf identification {
      type boolean;
      description
```

```
"Support of filtering based on the 'identification'.";
  leaf source-prefix {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the source prefix.";
  leaf destination-prefix {
    type boolean;
    description
       "Support of filtering based on the destination prefix.";
  leaf fragment {
    type boolean;
    description
       "Indicates the capability of a DOTS server to
enforce filters on IPv4 fragments. That is, the match
functionality based on the Layer 3 'fragment' clause
       is supported.";
 }
container ipv6 {
  description
    "Indicates IPv6 header fields that are supported to enforce
    ACLs.";
  leaf dscp {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on DSCP.";
    description
      "Support of filtering based on ECN.";
  leaf length {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the Payload Length.";
  leaf hoplimit {
    type boolean;
    description
       "Support of filtering based on the Hop Limit.";
  leaf protocol {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the Next Header field.";
  leaf destination-prefix {
    type boolean;
    description
       "Support of filtering based on the destination prefix.";
  leaf source-prefix {
  type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the source prefix.";
  leaf flow-label {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the Flow label.";
  leaf fragment {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Indicates the capability of a DOTS server to
       enforce filters on IPv6 fragments.";
container tcp {
  description
    "Set of TCP fields that are supported by the DOTS server
     to enfoce filters.";
  leaf sequence-number {
    type boolean;
       "Support of filtering based on the TCP sequence number.";
  leaf acknowledgement-number {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the TCP acknowledgement
       number.";
  leaf data-offset {
    description
       "Support of filtering based on the TCP data-offset.";
  leaf reserved {
```

```
type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the TCP reserved field.";
  leaf flags {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering, as defined in RFC 8519, based
       on the TCP flags.";
  leaf window-size {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the TCP window size.";
  leaf urgent-pointer {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the TCP urgent pointer.";
  leaf options {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the TCP options.";
  leaf flags-bitmask {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the TCP flags bitmask.";
  leaf source-port {
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the source port number.";
  leaf destination-port {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the destination port
       number.";
  leaf port-range {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on a port range.
       This includes filtering based on a source port range,
       destination port range, or both. All operators (i.e, less than or equal to, greater than or equal to,
       equal to, and not equal to) are supported.";
 }
container udp {
  description
    "Set of UDP fields that are supported by the DOTS server
     to enforce filters.";
  leaf length {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the UDP length.";
  leaf source-port {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the source port number.";
  leaf destination-port {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on the destination port
  leaf port-range {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Support of filtering based on a port range.
       This includes filtering based on a source port range,
       destination port range, or both. All operators
       (i.e, less than or equal, greater than or equal, equal to,
       and not equal to) are supported.";
 }
container icmp {
  description
    "Set of ICMP/ICMPv6 fields that are supported by the DOTS
     server to enforce filters.";
  leaf type {
      "Support of filtering based on the ICMP/ICMPv6 type.";
  leaf code {
    type boolean;
```

```
description
              "Support of filtering based on the ICMP/ICMPv6 code.";
         leaf rest-of-header {
           type boolean;
           description
             "Support of filtering based on the ICMP four-bytes
              field / the ICMPv6 message body.";
   }
 <CODE ENDS>
5. Managing DOTS Clients
5.1. Registering DOTS Clients
   In order to make use of DOTS data channel, a DOTS client MUST
   register to its DOTS server(s) by creating a DOTS client ('dots-
   client') resource. To that aim, DOTS clients SHOULD send a POST
   request (shown in Figure 11).
    POST /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data HTTP/1.1
    Host: {host}:{port}
    Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
      "ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-client": [
          "cuid": "string"
      ]
    }
                    Figure 11: POST to Register Schema
   The 'cuid' (client unique identifier) parameter is described below:
   cuid: A globally unique identifier that is meant to prevent
      collisions among DOTS clients. This attribute has the same
      meaning, syntax, and processing rules as the 'cuid' attribute
      defined in [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel].
      DOTS clients MUST use the same 'cuid' for both signal and data
      channels.
      This is a mandatory attribute.
   In deployments where server-domain DOTS gateways are enabled,
   identity information about the origin source client domain SHOULD be
   supplied to the DOTS server. That information is meant to assist the
   DOTS server to enforce some policies. These policies can be enforced per-client, per-client domain, or both. Figure 12 shows a schema
   example of a request relayed by a server-domain DOTS gateway.
    POST /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data HTTP/1.1
    Host: {host}:{port}
    Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
      "ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-client": [
          "cuid": "string",
          "cdid": "string"
      ]
    }
   Figure 12: POST to Register Schema (via a Server-Domain DOTS Gateway)
   A server-domain DOTS gateway SHOULD add the following attribute:
   cdid: This attribute has the same meaning, syntax, and processing
      rules as the 'cdid' attribute defined in
      [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel].
      In deployments where server-domain DOTS gateways are enabled,
      'cdid' does not need to be inserted when relaying DOTS methods to
      manage aliases (Section 6) or filtering rules (Section 7). {\tt DOTS}
      servers are responsible for maintaining the association between
      'cdid' and 'cuid' for policy enforcement purposes.
      This is an optional attribute.
   A request example to create a 'dots-client' resource is depicted in
   Figure 13. This request is relayed by a server-domain DOTS gateway
   as hinted by the presence of the 'cdid' attribute.
    POST /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data HTTP/1.1
    Host: example.com
    Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
```

Figure 13: POST to Register (DOTS gateway)

As a reminder, DOTS gateways may rewrite the 'cuid' used by peer DOTS clients (Section 4.4.1 of [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel]).

DOTS servers can identify the DOTS client domain using the 'cdid' parameter or using the client's DNS name specified in the Subject Alternative Name extension's dNSName type or SRV-ID in the client certificate. certificate
[RFC6125].

DOTS servers MUST limit the number of 'dots-client' resources to be created by the same DOTS client to 1 per request. Requests with multiple 'dots-client' resources MUST be rejected by DOTS servers. To that aim, the DOTS server MUST rely on the same procedure to unambiguously identify a DOTS client as discussed in Section 4.4.1 of [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel].

The DOTS server indicates the result of processing the POST request using status-line codes. Status codes in the range "2xx" codes are success, "4xx" codes are some sort of invalid requests and "5xx" codes are returned if the DOTS server has erred or is incapable of accepting the creation of the 'dots-client' resource. In particular,

- o "201 Created" status-line is returned in the response, if the DOTS server has accepted the request.
- o "400 Bad Request" status-line is returned by the DOTS server, if the request does not include a 'cuid' parameter. The error-tag "missing-attribute" is used in this case.
- o "409 Conflict" status-line is returned to the requesting DOTS client, if the data resource already exists. The error-tag "resource-denied" is used in this case.

Once a DOTS client registers itself to a DOTS server, it can create/delete/retrieve aliases (Section 6) and filtering rules (Section 7).

A DOTS client MAY use the PUT request (Section 4.5 in [RFC8040]) to register a DOTS client within the DOTS server. An example is shown in Figure 14.

Figure 14: PUT to Register

The DOTS gateway, gateway that inserted a 'cdid' in a PUT request, request MUST strip the 'cdid' parameter in the corresponding response before forwarding the response to the DOTS client.

5.2. Unregistering DOTS Clients

A DOTS client de-registers from its DOTS server(s) by deleting the 'cuid' resource(s). Resources bound to this DOTS client will be deleted by the DOTS server. An example of a de-register request is shown in Figure 15.

Figure 15: De-register a DOTS Client

6. Managing DOTS Aliases

The following sub-sections define means for a DOTS client to create aliases (Section 6.1), retrieve one or a list of aliases (Section 6.2), and delete an alias (Section 6.3).

6.1. Create Aliases

A POST or PUT request is used by a DOTS client to create aliases, for resources for which a mitigation may be requested. Such aliases may

be used in subsequent DOTS signal channel exchanges to refer more efficiently to the resources under attack. DOTS clients within the same domain can create different aliases for the same resource. The structure of POST requests used to create aliases is shown in Figure 16. POST /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\ /dots-client=cuid HTTP/1.1 Host: {host}:{port} Content-Type: application/yang-data+json {
 "ietf-dots-data-channel:aliases": { "alias": [{ "name": "string", "target-prefix": ["string" "target-port-range": [{ "lower-port": integer,
"upper-port": integer } "target-protocol": [integer "target-fqdn": ["string" "target-uri": ["string" 1] } } Figure 16: POST to Create Aliases (Request Schema) The parameters are described below: name: Name of the alias. This is a mandatory attribute. target-prefix: Prefixes are separated by commas. Prefixes are represented using Classless Inter-domain Routing (CIDR) notation [RFC4632]. As a reminder, the prefix length must be less than or equal to 32 (resp. 128) for IPv4 (resp. IPv6). The prefix list MUST NOT include broadcast, loopback, or multicast addresses. These addresses are considered as invalid values. In addition, the DOTS server MUST validate that these prefixes are within the scope of the DOTS client domain. Other validation checks may be supported by DOTS servers. This is an optional attribute. target-port-range: A range of port numbers. The port range is defined by two bounds, a lower port number (lower-port) and an upper port number (upper-port). The range is considered to include both the lower and upper bounds. When only 'lower-port' is present, it represents a single port number. For TCP, UDP, Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) [RFC4960], or Datagram Congestion Control Protocol (DCCP) [RFC4340], the range of port numbers can be, for example, 1024-65535. This is an optional attribute. target-protocol: A list of protocols. Values are taken from the IANA protocol registry [proto_numbers]. If 'target-protocol' is not specified, then the request applies to any protocol. This is an optional attribute. target-fqdn: A list of Fully Qualified Domain Names (FQDNs) identifying resources under attack [RFC8499].

How a name is passed to an underlying name resolution library is implementation- and deployment-specific. Nevertheless, once the name is resolved into one or multiple IP addresses, DOTS servers MUST apply the same validation checks as those for 'target-

```
prefix'.
   The use of FQDNs may be suboptimal because it does not guarantee
   that the DOTS server will resolve a name to the same IP addresses
   that the DOTS client does.
   This is an optional attribute.
target-uri: A list of Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)
   [RFC3986].
   The same validation checks used for 'target-fqdn' MUST be followed
   by DOTS servers to validate a target URI.
   This is an optional attribute.
In POST or PUT requests, at least one of the 'target-prefix',
'target-fqdn', or 'target-uri' attributes MUST be present. DOTS
agents can safely ignore Vendor-Specific parameters they don't
If more than one 'target-*' scope types (e.g., 'target-prefix' and
'target-fqdn' or 'target-fqdn' and 'target-uri') are included in a
POST or PUT request, the DOTS server binds all resulting IP
addresses/prefixes to the same resource.
Figure 17 shows a POST request to create an alias called "https1" for HTTPS servers with IP addresses 2001:db8:6401::1 and 2001:db8:6401::2 listening on TCP port number 443.
POST /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
     /dots-client=dz6pHjaADkaFTbjr0JGBpw HTTP/1.1
Host: www.example.com
Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
  "ietf-dots-data-channel:aliases": {
    "alias": [
      {
        "name": "https1",
        "target-protocol": [
        "target-prefix": [
          "2001:db8:6401::1/128".
           "2001:db8:6401::2/128"
         'target-port-range": [
            "lower-port": 443
          }
        ]
   }
 }
            Figure 17: Example of a POST to Create an Alias
"201 Created" status-line MUST be returned in the response if the
DOTS server has accepted the alias.
"409 Conflict" status-line MUST be returned to the requesting {\tt DOTS}
client, if the request is conflicting with an existing alias name.
The error-tag "resource-denied" is used in this case.
```

If the request is missing a mandatory attribute or it contains an invalid or unknown parameter, "400 Bad Request" status-line MUST be returned by the DOTS server. The error-tag is set to "missing-attribute", "invalid-value", or "unknown-element" as a function of the encountered error.

If the request is received via a server-domain DOTS gateway, but the DOTS server does not maintain a 'cdid' for this 'cuid' while a 'cdid' is expected to be supplied, the DOTS server MUST reply with "403 Forbidden" status-line and the error-tag "access-denied". Upon receipt of this message, the DOTS client MUST register (Section 5).

A DOTS client uses the PUT request to modify the aliases in the DOTS server. In particular, a DOTS client MUST update its alias entries upon change of the prefix indicated in the 'target-prefix'

A DOTS server MUST maintain an alias for at least 10080 minutes (1 $\,$ week). If no refresh request is seen from the DOTS client, the DOTS server removes expired entries.

6.2. Retrieve Installed Aliases

A GET request is used to retrieve one or all installed aliases by a DOTS client from a DOTS server (Section 3.3.1 in [RFC8040]). If no $\mbox{'name'}$ is included in the request, this is an indication that the request is about retrieving all aliases instantiated by the ${\tt DOTS}$ client

```
Figure 18 shows an example to retrieve all the aliases that were
   instantiated by the requesting DOTS client. The "content" query
   parameter and its permitted values are defined in Section 4.8.1 of
    {\tt GET /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data} \\
         / {\tt dots-client=dz6pHjaADkaFTbjr0JGBpw} \backslash
         /aliases?content=all HTTP/1.1
    Host: example.com
    Accept: application/yang-data+json
             Figure 18: GET to Retrieve All Installed Aliases
   Figure 19 shows an example of the response message body that includes
   all the aliases that are maintained by the DOTS server for the {\tt DOTS}
   client identified by the 'cuid' parameter.
     "ietf-dots-data-channel:aliases": {
       "alias": [
           "name": "Server1",
           "target-protocol": [
             6
           "target-prefix": [
             "2001:db8:6401::1/128",
             "2001:db8:6401::2/128"
            target-port-range": [
               "lower-port": 443
           "pending-lifetime": 3596
           "name": "Server2",
           "target-protocol": [
           "target-prefix": [
             "2001:db8:6401::10/128",
             "2001:db8:6401::20/128"
           "target-port-range": [
               "lower-port": 80
             }
           "pending-lifetime": 9869
      ]
   }
   Figure 19: An Example of Response Body Listing All Installed Aliases
   Figure 20 shows an example of a GET request to retrieve the alias
   "Server2" that was instantiated by the DOTS client.
    GET /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
         /dots-client=dz6pHjaADkaFTbjr0JGBpw\
         /aliases/alias=Server2?content=all HTTP/1.1
    Host: example.com
    Accept: application/yang-data+json
                    Figure 20: GET to Retrieve an Alias
   If an alias name ('name') is included in the request, but the DOTS
   server does not find that alias name for this DOTS client in its
   configuration data, it MUST respond with a "404 Not Found" status-
   line
6.3. Delete Aliases
  A DELETE request is used to delete an alias maintained by a DOTS
   If the DOTS server does not find the alias name, conveyed in the
   DELETE request, in its configuration data for this DOTS client, it
   MUST respond with a "404 Not Found" status-line.
  The DOTS server successfully acknowledges a DOTS client's request to remove the alias using "204 No Content" status-line in the response.
   Figure 21 shows an example of a request to delete an alias.
    DELETE /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
            /dots-client=dz6pHjaADkaFTbjr0JGBpw\
            /aliases/alias=Server1 HTTP/1.1
    Host: example.com
                         Figure 21: Delete an Alias
```

```
    Managing DOTS Filtering Rules
        The following sub-sections define means for a DOTS client to retrieve DOTS filtering capabilities (Section 7.1), create filtering rules (Section 7.2), retrieve active filtering rules (Section 7.3), and delete a filtering rule (Section 7.4).

    Retrieve DOTS Filtering Capabilities
        A DOTS client MAY send a GET request to retrieve the filtering capabilities supported by a DOTS server. Figure 22 shows an example of such request.
```

```
A DOTS client MAY send a GET request to retrieve the filtering capabilities supported by a DOTS server. Figure 22 shows an example of such request.

GET /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
    /capabilities HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang-data+json

Figure 22: GET to Retrieve the Capabilities of a DOTS Server
```

A DOTS client which issued a GET request to retrieve the filtering capabilities supported by its DOTS server, SHOULD NOT request for filtering actions that are not supported by that DOTS server.

Figure 23 shows an example of a response **body** received from a DOTS server which supports:

- o IPv4, IPv6, TCP, UDP, ICMP, and ICMPv6 mandatory match criteria listed in Section 4.2.
- o 'accept', 'drop', and 'rate-limit' actions.

```
{\tt Content-Type: application/yang-data+json}
```

```
"ietf-dots-data-channel:capabilities": {
  "address-family": ["ipv4", "ipv6"],
  "forwarding-actions": ["drop", "accept"],
  "rate-limit": true,
  "transport-protocols": [1, 6, 17, 58],
 "ipv4": {
   "length": true,
    "protocol": true
    "destination-prefix": true,
   "source-prefix": true,
    "fragment": true
  "ipv6": {
    "length": true,
    "protocol": true
    "destination-prefix": true,
   "source-prefix": true,
   "fragment": true
  "tcp": {
    "flags-bitmask": true,
    "source-port": true,
    "destination-port": true,
    "port-range": true
  "udp": {
    "length": true,
    "source-port": true,
    "destination-port": true,
    "port-range": true
  'icmp": {
   "type": true,
    "code": true
```

Figure 23: Reply to a GET Request with Filtering Capabilities (Message Body)

7.2. Install Filtering Rules

}

A POST or PUT request is used by a DOTS client to communicate filtering rules to a DOTS server.

Figure 24 shows a POST request example to block traffic from 192.0.2.0/24 and destined to 198.51.100.0/24. Other examples are discussed in Appendix A.

```
"name": "sample-ipv4-acl",
          "type": "ipv4-acl-type",
          "activation-type": "activate-when-mitigating",
         "aces": {
           "ace": [
             {
                "name": "rule1",
                "matches": {
                   "ipv4": {
                     "destination-ipv4-network": "198.51.100.0/24",
                    "source-ipv4-network": "192.0.2.0/24"
                 }
}
                "actions": {
                  "forwarding": "drop"
               Figure 24: POST to Install Filtering Rules
The meaning of these parameters is as follows:
name: The name of the access list.
    This is a mandatory attribute.
type: Indicates the primary intended type of match criteria (e.g.,
    IPv4, IPv6). It is set to 'ipv4-acl-type' in the example of
    Figure 24.
    This is an optional attribute.
activation-type: Indicates whether an ACL has to be activated
    (immediately or during mitigation time) or instantiated without
    being activated (deactivated). Deactivated ACLs can be activated
    using a variety of means such as manual configuration on a DOTS
    server or using the DOTS data channel.
    If this attribute is not provided, the DOTS server MUST use
    'activate-when-mitigating' as default value.
    When a mitigation is in progress, the DOTS server MUST only
    activate 'activate-when-mitigating' filters that are bound to the
    DOTS client that triggered the mitigation.
    This is an optional attribute.
matches: Define criteria used to identify a flow on which to apply the rule. It can be "13" (IPv4, IPv6) or "14" (TCP, UDP, ...).
    The detailed match parameters are specified in Section 4.
    In the example depicted in Figure 24, an IPv4 matching criteria is
    This is an optional attribute.
destination-ipv4-network: The destination IPv4 prefix. DOTS servers
    MUST validate that these prefixes are within the scope of the DOTS
    client domain. Other validation checks may be supported by DOTS
    servers. If this attribute is not provided, the DOTS server
    enforces the ACL on any destination IP address that belong to the
    DOTS client domain.
    This is a mandatory attribute in requests with an 'activation-
    type' set to 'immediate'.
source-ipv4-network: The source IPv4 prefix.
    This is an optional attribute.
actions: Actions in the forwarding ACL category can be "drop" or
               The "accept" action is used to accept-list traffic.
    The "drop" action is used to drop-list traffic.
   Accepted traffic may be subject to "rate-limit"; the allowed traffic rate is represented in bytes per second. This unit is the
    same as the one used for "traffic-rate" in [RFC5575].
    This is a mandatory attribute.
The DOTS server indicates the result of processing the POST request
using the status-line header. status-line. Concretely, "201 Created" status-line MUST be
returned in the response if the DOTS server has accepted the
filtering rules. If the request is missing a mandatory attribute or
contains an invalid or unknown parameter (e.g., a match field not supported by the DOTS server), "400 Bad Request" status-line MUST be returned by the DOTS server in the response. The error-tag is set to
```

"missing-attribute", "invalid-value", or "unknown-element" as a function of the encountered error.

If the request is received via a server-domain DOTS gateway, but the DOTS server does not maintain a 'cdid' for this 'cuid' while a 'cdid' is expected to be supplied, the DOTS server MUST reply with "403 Forbidden" status-line and the error-tag "access-denied". Upon receipt of this message, the DOTS client MUST register (Figure 11).

If the request is conflicting with an existing filtering installed by another DOTS client of the domain, absent any local policy, the DOTS server returns "409 Conflict" status-line to the requesting DOTS client. The error-tag "resource-denied" is used in this case.

The "insert" query parameter (Section 4.8.5 of [RFC8040]) MAY be used to specify how an access control entry is inserted within an ACL and how an ACL is inserted within an ACL set.

The DOTS client uses the PUT request to modify its filtering rules maintained by the DOTS server. In particular, a DOTS client MUST update its filtering entries upon change of the destination-prefix. How such change is detected is out of scope.

A DOTS server MUST maintain a filtering rule for at least 10080 minutes (1 week). If no refresh request is seen from the DOTS client, the DOTS server removes expired entries. Typically, a refresh request is a PUT request which echoes the content of a response to a GET request with all of the read-only parameters stripped out (e.g., pending-lifetime).

7.3. Retrieve Installed Filtering Rules

A DOTS client periodically queries its DOTS server to check the counters for installed filtering rules. A GET request is used to retrieve filtering rules from a DOTS server. In order to indicate which type of data is requested in a GET request, the DOTS client sets adequately the "content" query parameter.

If the DOTS server does not find the access list name conveyed in the GET request in its configuration data for this DOTS client, it responds with a "404 Not Found" status-line.

In order to illustrate the intended behavior, consider the example depicted in Figure 25. In reference to this example, the DOTS client requests the creation of an immediate ACL called "test-acl-ipv6-udp".

```
PUT /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
    /dots-client=paL8p4Zqo4SLv64TLPXrxA/acls\
    /acl=test-acl-ipv6-udp HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
  "ietf-dots-data-channel:acls": {
    "acl": [
     {
        "name": "test-acl-ipv6-udp",
        "type": "ipv6-acl-type",
        "activation-type": "immediate",
        "aces": {
          "ace": [
            {
              "name": "my-test-ace",
              "matches": {
                "ipv6": {
                   destination-ipv6-network": "2001:db8:6401::2/127",
                  "source-ipv6-network": "2001:db8:1234::/96",
                   "protocol": 17,
                  "flow-label": 10000
                 "udp": {
                  "source-port": {
    "operator": "lte",
                     "port": 80
                   destination-port": {
                     "operator": "neq",
                     "port": 1010
                }
               "actions": {
  }
                "forwarding": "accept"
}
```

Figure 25: Example of a PUT Request to Create a Filtering

```
The peer DOTS server follows the procedure specified in Section 7.2
to process the request. We consider in the following that a positive
response is sent back to the requesting DOTS client to confirm that
the "test-acl-ipv6-udp" ACL is successfully installed by the DOTS
server.
The DOTS client can issue a GET request to retrieve all its filtering
rules and the number of matches for the installed filtering rules as illustrated in Figure 26. The "content" query parameter is set to
'all'. The message body of the response to this GET request is shown
in Figure 27.
  GET /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
       /dots-client=dz6pHjaADkaFTbjr0JGBpw\
      /acls?content=all HTTP/1.1
  Host: example.com
  Accept: application/yang-data+json
  Figure 26: Retrieve the Configuration Data and State Data for the
                     Filtering Rules (GET Request)
  "ietf-dots-data-channel:acls": {
    "acl": [
      {
        "name": "test-acl-ipv6-udp",
"type": "ipv6-acl-type",
"activation-type": "immediate",
         "pending-lifetime":9080,
        "aces": {
    "ace": [
            {
               "name": "my-test-ace",
               "matches": {
                 "ipv6": {
                   "destination-ipv6-network": "2001:db8:6401::2/127",
                   "source-ipv6-network": "2001:db8:1234::/96",
                    "protocol": 17,
                   "flow-label": 10000
                  .
"udp": {
                   "source-port": {
                     "operator": "lte",
                     "port": 80
                   "destination-port": {
   "operator": "neq",
                      "port": 1010
                   }
                 }
               "actions": {
                 "forwarding": "accept"
  }
              }
  }
  Figure 27: Retrieve the Configuration Data and State Data for the
                Filtering Rules (Response Message Body)
Also, a DOTS client can issue a GET request to retrieve only
configuration data related to an ACL as shown in Figure 28. It does
so by setting the "content" query parameter to 'config'.
  GET /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
      /dots-client=paL8p4Zqo4SLv64TLPXrxA/acls\
      /acl=test-acl-ipv6-udp?content=config HTTP/1.1
  Host: example.com
  Accept: application/yang-data+json
Figure 28: Retrieve the Configuration Data for a Filtering Rule (GET
                                Request)
A response to this GET request is shown in Figure 29.
  "ietf-dots-data-channel:acls": {
    "acl": [
        "name": "test-acl-ipv6-udp",
        "type": "ipv6-acl-type",
        "activation-type": "immediate",
        "aces": {
           "ace": [
             {
               "name": "my-test-ace",
               "matches": {
                 "ipv6": {
                   "destination-ipv6-network": "2001:db8:6401::2/127",
```

```
"source-ipv6-network": "2001:db8:1234::/96",
                       "protocol": 17,
                       "flow-label": 10000
                     "udp": {
                       "source-port": {
   "operator": "lte",
                         "port": 80
                       "destination-port": {
                          "operator": "neq",
                         "port": 1010
                     }
                   "actions": {
                     "forwarding": "accept"
      }
                  }
     }
      Figure 29: Retrieve the Configuration Data for a Filtering Rule
                            (Response Message Body)
   A DOTS client can also issue a GET request with a "content" query
   parameter set to 'non-config' to exclusively retrieve non-
   configuration data bound to a given ACL as shown in Figure 30. A
   response to this GET request is shown in Figure 31.
     {\tt GET /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data} \\
          /dots-client=paL8p4Zqo4SLv64TLPXrxA/acls\
          /acl=test-acl-ipv6-udp?content=non-config HTTP/1.1
     Host: example.com
     Accept: application/yang-data+json
    Figure 30: Retrieve the Non-Configuration Data for a Filtering Rule
                                  (GET Request)
     "ietf-dots-data-channel:acls": {
        "acl": [
         {
            "name": "test-acl-ipv6-udp",
            "pending-lifetime": 8000,
             'aces": {
              "ace": [
                   "name": "my-test-ace"
                }
              ]
           }
      ] }
     }
   }
    Figure 31: Retrieve the Non-Configuration Data for a Filtering Rule
                            (Response Message Body)
7.4. Remove Filtering Rules
   A DELETE request is used by a DOTS client to delete filtering rules
   from a DOTS server.
   If the DOTS server does not find the access list name carried in the
   DELETE request in its configuration data for this DOTS client, it
   MUST respond with a "404 Not Found" status-line. The DOTS server successfully acknowledges a DOTS client's request to withdraw the filtering rules using "204 No Content" status-line, and removes the
   filtering rules accordingly.
   Figure 32 shows an example of a request to remove the IPv4 ACL "sample-ipv4-acl" created in Section 7.2.
     DELETE /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
              /dots-client=dz6pHjaADkaFTbjr0JGBpw/acls\
              /acl=sample-ipv4-acl HTTP/1.1
     Host: example.com
             Figure 32: Remove a Filtering Rule (DELETE Request)
   Figure 33 shows an example of a response received from the DOTS
   server to confirm the deletion of "sample-ipv4-acl".
    HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
    Server: Apache
    Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2018 10:05:15 GMT
    Cache-Control: no-cache
    Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
```

Content-Length: 0
Connection: Keep-Alive

Figure 33: Remove a Filtering Rule (Response)

8. Operational Considerations

The following operational considerations should be taken into account:

- DOTS servers MUST NOT enable both DOTS data channel and direct configuration, to avoid race conditions and inconsistent configurations arising from simultaneous updates from multiple sources.
- o DOTS agents SHOULD enable the DOTS data channel to configure aliases and ACLs, and only use direct configuration as a stop-gap mechanism to test DOTS signal channel with aliases and ACLs. Further, direct configuration SHOULD only be used when the on-path DOTS agents are within the same domain.
- o If a DOTS server has enabled direct configuration, it can reject the DOTS data channel connection using hard ICMP error [RFC1122] or RST (Reset) bit in the TCP header or reject the RESTCONF request using an error response containing a "503 Service Unavailable" status-line.

9. IANA Considerations

This document requests IANA to register the following URI in the "ns" subregistry within the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688]:

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-dots-data-channel Registrant Contact: The IESG.
XML: N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document requests IANA to register the following YANG module in the "YANG Module Names" subregistry [RFC7950] within the "YANG Parameters" registry.

Name: ietf-dots-data-channel Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-dots-data-channel Prefix: data-channel Reference: RFC XXXX

This module is not maintained by IANA.

10. Security Considerations

RESTCONF security considerations are discussed in [RFC8040]. In particular, DOTS agents MUST follow the security recommendations in Sections 2 and 12 of [RFC8040]. Also, DOTS agents MUST support the mutual authentication TLS profile discussed in Sections 7.1 and 8 of [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel].

Authenticated encryption MUST be used for data confidentiality and message integrity. The interaction between the DOTS agents requires Transport Layer Security (TLS) with a cipher suite offering confidentiality protection and the guidance given in [RFC7525] MUST be followed to avoid attacks on TLS.

The installation of drop- or accept-list rules using RESTCONF over TLS reveals the attacker IP addresses and legitimate IP addresses only to the DOTS server trusted by the DOTS client. The secure communication channel between DOTS agents provides privacy and prevents a network eavesdropper from directly gaining access to the drop- and accept-listed IP addresses.

An attacker may be able to inject RST packets, bogus application segments, etc., regardless of whether TLS authentication is used. Because the application data is TLS protected, this will not result in the application receiving bogus data, but it will constitute a DoS on the connection. This attack can be countered by using TCP-AO [RFC5925]. If TCP-AO is used, then any bogus packets injected by an attacker will be rejected by the TCP-AO integrity check and therefore will never reach the TLS layer.

In order to prevent leaking internal information outside a client-domain, client-side DOTS gateways SHOULD NOT reveal the identity of internal DOTS clients (e.g., source IP address, client's hostname) unless explicitly configured to do so.

DOTS servers MUST verify that requesting DOTS clients are entitled to enforce filtering rules on a given IP prefix. That is, only filtering rules on IP resources that belong to the DOTS client domain can be authorized by a DOTS server. The exact mechanism for the DOTS servers to validate that the target prefixes are within the scope of the DOTS client domain is deployment-specific.

Rate-limiting DOTS requests, including those with new 'cuid' values, from the same DOTS client defends against DoS attacks that would result from varying the 'cuid' to exhaust DOTS server resources. Rate-limit policies SHOULD be enforced on DOTS gateways (if deployed) and DOTS servers.

Applying resources quota per DOTS client and/or per DOTS client domain (e.g., limit the number of aliases and filters to be installed by DOTS clients) prevents DOTS server resources to be aggressively used by some DOTS clients and ensures, therefore, DDoS mitigation usage fairness. Additionally, DOTS servers may limit the number of DOTS clients that can be enabled per domain.

When FQDNs are used as targets, the DOTS server MUST rely upon DNS privacy enabling protocols (e.g., DNS over TLS [RFC7858], [RFC7858] or DoH [RFC8484]) to prevent eavesdroppers from possibly identifying the target resources protected by the DDOS mitigation service service, and means to ensure the target FQDN resolution is authentic (e.g., DNSSEC [RFC4034]).

The presence of DOTS gateways may lead to infinite forwarding loops, which is undesirable. To prevent and detect such loops, a mechanism is defined in Section 3.4.

All data nodes defined in the YANG module which can be created, modified, and deleted (i.e., config true, which is the default) are considered sensitive. Write operations applied to these data nodes without proper protection can negatively affect network operations. This module reuses YANG structures from [RFC8519], and the security considerations for those nodes continue to apply for this usage. Appropriate security measures are recommended to prevent illegitimate users from invoking DOTS data channel primitives. Nevertheless, an attacker who can access a DOTS client is technically capable of launching various attacks, such as:

- o Setting an arbitrarily low rate-limit, which may prevent legitimate traffic from being forwarded (rate-limit).
- o Setting an arbitrarily high rate-limit, which may lead to the forwarding of illegitimate DDoS traffic (rate-limit).
- o Communicating invalid aliases to the server (alias), which will cause the failure of associating both data and signal channels.
- Setting invalid ACL entries, which may prevent legitimate traffic from being forwarded. Likewise, invalid ACL entries may lead to forward DDoS traffic.

11. Contributing Authors

The following individuals co-authored this document:

Kaname Nishizuka NTT Communications GranPark 16F 3-4-1 Shibaura, Minato-ku Tokyo 108-8118 Japan

Email: kaname@nttv6.jp

Liang Xia Huawei 101 Software Avenue, Yuhuatai District Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012

Email: frank.xialiang@huawei.com

Prashanth Patil Cisco Systems, Inc.

Email: praspati@cisco.com

Andrew Mortensen Arbor Networks, Inc. 2727 S. State St Ann Arbor, MI 48104 United States

Email: andrew.mortensen@netscout.com

Nik Teague Verisign, Inc. United States

Email: nteague@verisign.com

12. Contributors

The following individuals have contributed to this document:

- o Dan Wing, Email: dwing-ietf@fuggles.com
- o Jon Shallow, NCC Group, Email: jon.shallow@nccgroup.com

13. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Christian Jacquenet, Roland Dobbins, Roman Danyliw, Ehud Doron, Russ White, Gilbert Clark, Kathleen Moriarty, Nesredien

Suleiman, Roni Even, and Brian Trammel for the discussion and comments.

The authors would like to give special thanks to Kaname Nishizuka and Jon Shallow for their efforts in implementing the protocol and performing interop testing at IETF Hackathons.

Many thanks to Ben Kaduk for the detailed AD review.

Thanks to Martin Bjorklund for the guidance on RESTCONF.

Thanks to Alexey Melnikov, Adam Roach, Suresh Krishnan, Mirja Kuehlewind, and Warren Kumari for the review.

14. References

14.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-dots-signal-channel]
 K, R., Boucadair, M., Patil, P., Mortensen, A., and N.
 Teague, "Distributed Denial-of-Service Open Threat
 Signaling (DOTS) Signal Channel Specification", draft ietf-dots-signal-channel-30
 ietf-dots-signal-channel-31 (work in progress), March
 2019.

- [RFC6125] Saint-Andre, P. and J. Hodges, "Representation and Verification of Domain-Based Application Service Identity within Internet Public Key Infrastructure Using X.509 (PKIX) Certificates in the Context of Transport Layer Security (TLS)", RFC 6125, DOI 10.17487/RFC6125, March 2011, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6125.

- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC
 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,
 May 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174.

14.2. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-dots-architecture]

Mortensen, A., Andreasen, F., K, R., Andreasen, F., Teague, N., Compton, R., and e. christopher_gray3@cable.comcast.com, R.

Compton, "Distributed-Denial-of-Service Open Threat

```
Signaling (DOTS) Architecture", draft-ietf-dots-architecture-12 draft-ietf-dots-
                architecture-13 (work in progress), February April 2019.
                Mortensen, A., K, R., and R. Moskowitz, "Distributed
                Denial of Service (DDoS) Open Threat Signaling
                Requirements", draft-ietf-dots-requirements-22 (work in
                progress), March 2019.
   [proto numbers]
                "IANA, "Protocol Numbers", 2011,
                <http://www.iana.org/assignments/protocol-numbers>.
   [RFC1122] Braden, R., Ed., "Requirements for Internet Hosts -
                Communication Layers", STD 3, RFC 1122, DOI 10.17487/RFC1122, October 1989,
                <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1122>.
   RFC 3986, DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005,
                <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>.
   [RFC4034] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S.
Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions",
RFC 4034, DOI 10.17487/RFC4034, March 2005,
                <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4034>
   [RFC4340] Kohler, E., Handley, M., and S. Floyd, "Datagram
Congestion Control Protocol (DCCP)", RFC 4340,
                DOI 10.17487/RFC4340, March 2006,
                <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4340>.
   <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4960>.
   [RFC5575] Marques, P., Sheth, N., Raszuk, R., Greene, B., Mauch, J.,
and D. McPherson, "Dissemination of Flow Specification
Rules", RFC 5575, DOI 10.17487/RFC5575, August 2009,
                <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5575>.
   [RFC5925] Touch, J., Mankin, A., and R. Bonica, "The TCP
                Authentication Option", RFC 5925, DOI 10.17487/RFC5925, June 2010, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5925">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5925</a>.
   [RFC6520] Seggelmann, R., Tuexen, M., and M. Williams, "Transport Layer Security (TLS) and Datagram Transport Layer Security
                (DTLS) Heartbeat Extension", RFC 6520,
                DOI 10.17487/RFC6520, February 2012,
                <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6520>.
   [RFC7858] Hu, Z., Zhu, L., Heidemann, J., Mankin, A., Wessels, D.,
and P. Hoffman, "Specification for DNS over Transport
Layer Security (TLS)", RFC 7858, DOI 10.17487/RFC7858, May
2016, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7858">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7858</a>.
   [RFC8340] Bjorklund, M. and L. Berger, Ed., "YANG Tree Diagrams",
                BCP 215, RFC 8340, DOI 10.17487/RFC8340, March 2018,
                <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8340>.
   \verb|\https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8484>.\\
   January 2019, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8499">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8499</a>.
Appendix A. Sample Examples: Filtering Fragments
   This specification strongly recommends the use of "fragment" for
   handling fragments.
   Figure 34 shows the content of the POST request to be issued by a
   DOTS client to its DOTS server to allow the traffic destined to
   198.51.100.0/24 and UDP port number 53, but to drop all fragmented
   packets. The following ACEs are defined (in this order):
   o "drop-all-fragments" ACE: discards all fragments.
   o "allow-dns-packets" ACE: accepts DNS packets destined to
      198.51.100.0/24.
    POST /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
          /dots-client=dz6pHjaADkaFTbjr0JGBpw HTTP/1.1
    Host: example.com
    Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
    {
  "ietf-dots-data-channel:acls": {
        "acl": [
            "name": "dns-fragments",
```

```
"type": "ipv4-acl-type",
         "aces": {
            "ace": [
              {
                 "name": "drop-all-fragments",
                 "matches": {
                   "ipv4": {
                     "fragment": {
    "operator": "match",
                       "type": "isf"
                     }
                  }
                 "actions": {
                   "forwarding": "drop"
              }
            "ace": [
              {
                 "name": "allow-dns-packets",
                 "matches": {
    "ipv4": {
                     "destination-ipv4-network": "198.51.100.0/24"
                   } : "abu"
                     "destination-port": {
                       "operator": "eq",
                        "port": 53
                  }
                 "actions": {
                   "forwarding": "accept"
  } }
              Figure 34: Filtering IPv4 Fragmented Packets
Figure 35 shows a POST request example issued by a DOTS client to its DOTS server to allow the traffic destined to 2001:db8::/32 and UDP port number 53, but to drop all fragmented packets. The following
ACEs are defined (in this order):
   "drop-all-fragments" ACE: discards all fragments (including atomic
   fragments). That is, IPv6 packets which include a Fragment header
    (44) are dropped.
o "allow-dns-packets" ACE: accepts DNS packets destined to
   2001:db8::/32.
 POST /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
       /dots-client=dz6pHjaADkaFTbjr0JGBpw HTTP/1.1
 Host: example.com
 Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
 {
  "ietf-dots-data-channel:acls": {
     "acl": [
         "name": "dns-fragments",
         "type": "ipv6-acl-type",
         "aces": {
            "ace": [
              {
                 "name": "drop-all-fragments",
                 "matches": {
    "ipv6": {
                     "fragment": {
   "operator": "match",
                        "type": "isf"
                     }
                  }
                 "actions": {
                   "forwarding": "drop"
              }
            "ace": [
              {
                 "name": "allow-dns-packets",
                 "matches": {
                   "ipv6": {
                     "destination-ipv6-network": "2001:db8::/32"
                     "destination-port": {
   "operator": "eq",
```

```
"port": 53
                    }
                   }
                 "actions": {
                   "forwarding": "accept"
  } } 1 }
                }
               Figure 35: Filtering IPv6 Fragmented Packets
Appendix B. Sample Examples: Filtering TCP Messages
   Figure 36 shows an example of a DOTS request sent by a DOTS client to
   install immediately a filter to discard incoming TCP messages having
   all flags unset.
   PUT /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
          /dots-client=paL8p4Zqo4SLv64TLPXrxA/acls\
          /acl=tcp-flags-example HTTP/1.1
   Host: example.com
   Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
     "ietf-dots-data-channel:acls": {
       "acl": [{
    "name": "tcp-flags-example",
         "activation-type": "imediate",
         "aces": {
    "ace": [{
             "name": "null-attack",
             "matches": {
               "tcp": {
                 "flags-bitmask": {
                   "operator": "not any",
                   "bitmask": 4095
              }
             "actions": {
               "forwarding": "drop"
     }1
}
   Figure 36: Example of a DOTS Request to Deny TCP Null Attack Messages
   Figure 37 depicts an example of a DOTS request sent by a DOTS client
   to allow incoming IPv4 TCP messages having ACK or RST flags set.
   PUT /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
          /dots-client=paL8p4Zqo4SLv64TLPXrxA/acls\
          /acl=tcp-flags-example HTTP/1.1
   Host: example.com
   Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
     "ietf-dots-data-channel:acls": {
       "acl": [{
         "name": "tcp-flags-example",
         "type": "ipv4-acl-type",
         "activation-type": "activate-when-mitigating",
         "aces": {
           "ace": [{
             "name": "ack-or-rst",
             "matches": {
               "tcp": {
                 "flags-bitmask": {
                   "operator": "any",
                   "bitmask": 20
                }
              }
             "actions": {
               "forwarding": "accept"
  } } } } 
            }
    Figure 37: Example of a DOTS Request to Allow Incoming TCP Messages
                         with ACK or RST Flags Set
```

```
Figure 38 shows an ACL example rate-limits incoming SYNs during a
   SYN-flood attack.
   PUT /restconf/data/ietf-dots-data-channel:dots-data\
           /dots-client=paL8p4Zqo4SLv64TLPXrxA/acls\
           /acl=tcp-flags-example HTTP/1.1
   Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang-data+json
     "ietf-dots-data-channel:acls": {
        "acl": [{
    "name": "tcp-flags-example",
          "activation-type": "activate-when-mitigating",
          "aces": {
    "ace": [{
        "name": "rate-limit-syn",
               "matches": {
    "tcp": {
                    "flags-bitmask": {
                     "operator": "match",
"bitmask": 2
                }
              "actions": {
  "forwarding": "accept",
  "rate-limit": "20.00"
  Figure 38: Example of Rate-Limiting TCP SYNs
Authors' Addresses
   Mohamed Boucadair (editor)
   Orange
   Rennes 35000
   France
   {\tt Email: mohamed.boucadair@orange.com}
   Tirumaleswar Reddy (editor)
   McAfee, Inc.
Embassy Golf Link Business Park
```

Bangalore, Karnataka 560071

Email: kondtir@gmail.com