

2

$$N(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

$$P(x | \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2}}$$

A) General PDFs have the following properties:

for a PDF $p(\vec{x})$, for all $\vec{x} \in \Omega_{\vec{x}}$ applies:

$$\textcircled{1} p(\vec{x}) \geq 0, \text{ and } \textcircled{2} \int_{\vec{x} \in \Omega_{\vec{x}}} p(\vec{x}) d\vec{x} = 1$$

$\textcircled{1}$ The first condition is fulfilled because this new PDF is composed of Gaussian functions $N(x; \mu, \sigma^2)$ which already comply with this principle, and these both being added cannot allow to make a point $p(\vec{x}) \leq 0$, as we are adding 2 positive functions together.

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} \int_{\vec{x} \in \Omega_{\vec{x}}} P(\vec{x} | \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2) \cdot d\vec{x} &= \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\int_{\vec{x} \in \Omega_{\vec{x}}} N(\vec{x}; \mu_1, \sigma_1^2) d\vec{x}}_1 + \dots \\ &\dots + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\int_{\vec{x} \in \Omega_{\vec{x}}} N(\vec{x}; \mu_2, \sigma_2^2) d\vec{x}}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

B) To find ~~a~~ Maximum Likelihood solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(N)}; \theta) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \log(P(x^{(n)} | \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2)) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \log \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The sum within the logarithm, means that we cannot just simply solve the equation analytically.

We could use instead ~~use~~ a gradient ascend method, or try to do further analytical steps with the EM algorithms.