Pharo Smalltalk as Universal Development Platform

Dave Mason Ryerson Universityi







One IDE to rule them all,
One IDE to find them,
One IDE to bring them all
and in the syntax bind them.
– with apologies to J.R.R.Tolkien

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference:

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference:

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference:

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference:

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference: GlamourousToolit

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference: Roassal

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference: DrTes

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference: Seaside

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference: Scientific Workbench

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference: and so much more....

- want to program in Smalltalk
- best-in-class IDE
- live object debugging
- All the things at this conference: and so much more....
- including what Dave West said yesterday

- desktop
- headless
- configurable images
- command line scripting
- ???

- desktop
- headless
- configurable images
- command line scripting
- web with PharoJS

- desktop
- headless
- configurable images
- command line scripting
- web with PharoJS
- standalone with Illicium????

- desktop
- headless
- configurable images
- command line scripting
- web with PharoJS
- standalone with Illicium????

- desktop
- headless
- configurable images
- command line scripting
- web with PharoJS
- standalone with Illicium???

- desktop
- headless
- configurable images
- command line scripting
- web with PharoJS
- standalone with Illicium???

- primitives/plug-ins
- Foreign Function Interface FFI
- communication
- transpilation: PharoJS, Illicium, PharoJVM, Woden
- embedded VIVI

- primitives/plug-ins Slang/Illicium
- Foreign Function Interface FFI
- communication
- transpilation: PharoJS, Illicium, PharoJVM, Woder
- embedded VM

- primitives/plug-ins Slang/Illicium
- Foreign Function Interface FFI
- communication
- transpilation: PharoJS, Illicium, PharoJVM, Woden
- embedded VMI

- primitives/plug-ins Slang/Illicium
- Foreign Function Interface FFI
- communication
- transpilation: PharoJS, Illicium, PharoJVM, Woden
- embedded VM

- primitives/plug-ins Slang/Illicium
- Foreign Function Interface FFI
- communication Python-Bridge
- transpilation: PharoJS, Illicium, PharoJVM, Woden
- embedded VM

- primitives/plug-ins Slang/Illicium
- Foreign Function Interface FFI
- communication Python-Bridge
- transpilation: PharoJS, Illicium, PharoJVM, Woden
- embedded VM

- primitives/plug-ins Slang/Illicium
- Foreign Function Interface FFI
- communication Python-Bridge
- transpilation: PharoJS, Illicium, PharoJVM, Woden
- embedded VM

Why Transpile?

- convert from a friendly (Smalltalk) language to ugly one
- deployment target limitations (GPU/Browser/cloud provider/footprint)

Why Transpile?

- convert from a friendly (Smalltalk) language to ugly one
- deployment target limitations (GPU/Browser/cloud provider/footprint)

- walk the compiler AST
- typically translate to target AST
- possible type-checking/type-inference helped by target types
- optional optimization of target AST
- walk the target AST generating target code

- walk the compiler AST
- typically translate to target AST
- possible type-checking/type-inference helped by target types
- optional optimization of target AST
- walk the target AST generating target code

- walk the compiler AST
- typically translate to target AST
- possible type-checking/type-inference helped by target types
- optional optimization of target AST
- walk the target AST generating target code

- walk the compiler AST
- typically translate to target AST
- possible type-checking/type-inference helped by target types
- optional optimization of target AST
- walk the target AST generating target code

- walk the compiler AST
- typically translate to target AST
- possible type-checking/type-inference helped by target types
- optional optimization of target AST
- walk the target AST generating target code

Example: Why PharoJVM?

- want to program in Smalltalk
- sometimes need to deploy in enterprise (e.g. WebSphere)
- accessing Java frameworks Minecraft, Yarn
- possible performance advantage
- may combine with PharoJS to target WebAssembly/native
- might allow writing Android apps directly

Example: Why PharoJVM?

- want to program in Smalltalk
- sometimes need to deploy in enterprise (e.g. WebSphere)
- accessing Java frameworks Minecraft, Yarn
- possible performance advantage
- may combine with PharoJS to target WebAssembly/native
- might allow writing Android apps directly

Example: Why PharoJVM?

- want to program in Smalltalk
- sometimes need to deploy in enterprise (e.g. WebSphere)
- accessing Java frameworks Minecraft, Yarn
- possible performance advantage
- may combine with PharoJS to target WebAssembly/native
- might allow writing Android apps directly

Example: Why PharoJVM?

- want to program in Smalltalk
- sometimes need to deploy in enterprise (e.g. WebSphere)
- accessing Java frameworks Minecraft, Yarn
- possible performance advantage
- may combine with PharoJS to target WebAssembly/native
- might allow writing Android apps directly

Example: Why PharoJVM?

- want to program in Smalltalk
- sometimes need to deploy in enterprise (e.g. WebSphere)
- accessing Java frameworks Minecraft, Yarn
- possible performance advantage
- may combine with PharoJS to target WebAssembly/native
- might allow writing Android apps directly

Example: Why PharoJVM?

- want to program in Smalltalk
- sometimes need to deploy in enterprise (e.g. WebSphere)
- accessing Java frameworks Minecraft, Yarn
- possible performance advantage
- may combine with PharoJS to target WebAssembly/native
- might allow writing Android apps directly

Minecraft example



in Java

```
package lavavision:
import java.util.logging.Logger;
import org.bukkit.command.Command:
import org.bukkit.command.CommandSender;
import org.bukkit.entity.Player;
import org.bukkit.plugin.Plugin:
import org.bukkit.plugin.java.JavaPlugin;
import org.bukkit.block.Block;
import org.bukkit.util.BlockIterator:
import org.bukkit.Material:
import org.bukkit.Sound;
import org.bukkit.Effect:
public class LavaVision extends JavaPlugin [
  public boolean onCommand(CommandSender sender, Command command,
                           String commandLabel, String□ args) {
    if (commandLabel.equalsIgnoreCase("layavision")) {
      if (sender instanceof Player) {
        Player me = (Player)sender;
        BlockIterator sightItr = new BlockIterator (me, 100);
        while (sightItr.hasNext()) {
         Block b = sightItr.next();
         me.playEffect(b.getLocation(), Effect.MOBSPAWNER_FLAMES, null);
         if (b.getType() != Material.AIR) {
            b.setType(Material.LAVA);
            me.playSound(b.getLocation(), Sound.ENTITY_ENDERDRAGON_FIREBALL_EXPLODE, 1.0f, 0.5f);
            break:
        return true:
    return false:
```

in Smalltalk

```
onCommand2: me command: command label: commandLabel args: args
{org bukkit entity. org bukkit util. org bukkit Effect. org bukkit Material. org bukkit Sound.} scope: [: s |
    (commandLabel equalsIgnoreCase: 'lavavision' ) ifTrue: [
        (me isKindOf: s Player) ifTrue: [
            (s BlockIterator new: me with: 100) do: [: b |
            me playEffect: b getLocation effect: s MOBSPAWNER_FLAMES ignore: nil.
            b getType = s AIR ifFalse: [
                  b setType: s LAVA.
            me playSound: b getLocation sound: s ENTITY_ENDERDRAGON_FIREBALL_EXPLODE
            volume: 1.0 pitch: 0.5.
            ^ true

]]]]].

* false
```

Challenges - Block Closures

```
eg1: param
2
    x y z
x := 0.
  z := y := 42.
     #(2 5 7) asOrderedCollection
5
          do: [: each | w |
6
7
        w := y + param;
         x := x + w.
8
        x > 10 ifTrue: [\(\frac{1}{2}\) y].
9
10
     \uparrow x + z
11
```

- both have dynamic/manifest types
- Javascript is prototype, Smalltalk is class-based
- non-local return
- almost everything is an object
- deficit numeric stack, strings immutable
- infix artithmetic faster than method calls need to leverage

- both have dynamic/manifest types
- Javascript is prototype, Smalltalk is class-based
- non-local return
- almost everything is an object
- deficit numeric stack, strings immutable
- infix artithmetic faster than method calls need to leverage

- both have dynamic/manifest types
- Javascript is prototype, Smalltalk is class-based
- non-local return
- almost everything is an object
- deficit numeric stack, strings immutable
- infix artithmetic faster than method calls need to leverage

- both have dynamic/manifest types
- Javascript is prototype, Smalltalk is class-based
- non-local return
- almost everything is an object
- deficit numeric stack, strings immutable
- infix artithmetic faster than method calls need to leverage

- both have dynamic/manifest types
- Javascript is prototype, Smalltalk is class-based
- non-local return
- almost everything is an object
- deficit numeric stack, strings immutable
- infix artithmetic faster than method calls need to leverage

- both have dynamic/manifest types
- Javascript is prototype, Smalltalk is class-based
- non-local return
- almost everything is an object
- deficit numeric stack, strings immutable
- infix artithmetic faster than method calls need to leverage

Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types

- the JVM is fundamentally staticly typed even though many new Java language changes hide this
- could create everything as Object like Scala, Jython, Redline, jRuby
- e.g. new Integer (42) will heap allocate, final, no methods for add, etc.
- includes 8 primitive types (not Objects although)
- for performance, need to leverage this
- non-local return, BlockClosure, Symbols, immutable String deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- the JVM is fundamentally staticly typed even though many new Java language changes hide this
- could create everything as Object like Scala, Jython, Redline, jRuby
- e.g. new Integer (42) will heap allocate, final, no methods for add, etc.
- includes 8 primitive types (not Objects although)
- for performance, need to leverage this
- non-local return, BlockClosure, Symbols, immutable String deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- the JVM is fundamentally staticly typed even though many new Java language changes hide this
- could create everything as Object like Scala, Jython, Redline, jRuby
- e.g. new Integer (42) will heap allocate, final, no methods for add, etc.
- includes 8 primitive types (not Objects although)
- for performance, need to leverage this
- non-local return, BlockClosure, Symbols, immutable String deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- the JVM is fundamentally staticly typed even though many new Java language changes hide this
- could create everything as Object like Scala, Jython, Redline, jRuby
- e.g. new Integer (42) will heap allocate, final, no methods for add, etc.
- includes 8 primitive types (not Objects although)
- for performance, need to leverage this
- non-local return, BlockClosure, Symbols, immutable String deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- the JVM is fundamentally staticly typed even though many new Java language changes hide this
- could create everything as Object like Scala, Jython, Redline, jRuby
- e.g. new Integer (42) will heap allocate, final, no methods for add, etc.
- includes 8 primitive types (not Objects although)
- for performance, need to leverage this
- non-local return, BlockClosure, Symbols, immutable String deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- the JVM is fundamentally staticly typed even though many new Java language changes hide this
- could create everything as Object like Scala, Jython, Redline, jRuby
- e.g. new Integer (42) will heap allocate, final, no methods for add, etc.
- includes 8 primitive types (not Objects although)
- for performance, need to leverage this
- non-local return, BlockClosure, Symbols, immutable String, deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- the JVM is fundamentally staticly typed even though many new Java language changes hide this
- could create everything as Object like Scala, Jython, Redline, jRuby
- e.g. new Integer (42) will heap allocate, final, no methods for add, etc.
- includes 8 primitive types (not Objects although)
- for performance, need to leverage this
- non-local return, BlockClosure, Symbols, immutable String, deficient numeric stack

- why bother?
- can provide immediate access to libraries
- similar enough that long-term should be to leverage FFI
- non-local return, BlockClosure, missing fractions

- why bother?
- can provide immediate access to libraries
- similar enough that long-term should be to leverage FFI
- non-local return, BlockClosure, missing fractions

- why bother?
- can provide immediate access to libraries
- similar enough that long-term should be to leverage FFI
- non-local return, BlockClosure, missing fractions

- why bother?
- can provide immediate access to libraries
- similar enough that long-term should be to leverage FFI
- non-local return, BlockClosure, missing fractions

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- probably useful with limited semantics
- gain common environment, expressive syntax

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- probably useful with limited semantics
- gain common environment, expressive syntax

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- probably useful with limited semantics
- gain common environment, expressive syntax

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- probably useful with limited semantics
- gain common environment, expressive syntax

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- garbage collection
- non-local return
- could create everything as Object
- for performance, need to avoid this
- BlockClosure, deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- garbage collection
- non-local return
- could create everything as Object
- for performance, need to avoid this
- BlockClosure, deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- garbage collection
- non-local return
- could create everything as Object
- for performance, need to avoid this
- BlockClosure, deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- garbage collection
- non-local return
- could create everything as Object
- for performance, need to avoid this
- BlockClosure, deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- garbage collection
- non-local return
- could create everything as Object
- for performance, need to avoid this
- BlockClosure, deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- garbage collection
- non-local return
- could create everything as Object
- for performance, need to avoid this
- BlockClosure, deficient numeric stack

- Smalltalk has dynamic/manifest types
- targets are fundamentally staticly typed
- garbage collection
- non-local return
- could create everything as Object
- for performance, need to avoid this
- BlockClosure, deficient numeric stack

- can leverage FFI
- can leverage transpilation
- for some applications don't need full semantics
- transpilation is quite popular would be nice to create a common infrastructure
- contact me if you have ideas about what this would look like

- can leverage FFI
- can leverage transpilation
- for some applications don't need full semantics
- transpilation is quite popular would be nice to create a common infrastructure
- contact me if you have ideas about what this would look like

- can leverage FFI
- can leverage transpilation
- for some applications don't need full semantics
- transpilation is quite popular would be nice to create a common infrastructure
- contact me if you have ideas about what this would look like

- can leverage FFI
- can leverage transpilation
- for some applications don't need full semantics
- transpilation is quite popular would be nice to create a common infrastructure
- contact me if you have ideas about what this would look like

- can leverage FFI
- can leverage transpilation
- for some applications don't need full semantics
- transpilation is quite popular would be nice to create a common infrastructure
- contact me if you have ideas about what this would look like

Questions?

@dmasonrose @pharojs