Lec02: Format String Vulnerabilities

Taesoo Kim

Outline

- Off-the-shelf defenses:
 - 1. ASLR: Address Space Layout Randomization
 - 2. RELRO: Relocation Readonly

Defense 3: ASLR

- Option: -fPIE -pie
 - Make the binary position independent (so randomizable)
 - Randomization on stack/heap/libs is system-wide configuration

ASLR: Real Entrophy

In theory, 47-bit in userspace in x86_64 (run ./check-aslr.sh)

Security Implication of ASLR

- ASLR makes the exploitation harder (i.e., first line defense)
- Attackers first have to "leak" code pointers
 - Stack and heap of the program, or libc module
- Less effective in fork()-based programs
 - e.g., Zygote in Android, a thread pool in Apache

Defense 4: RELRO

- Relocation tables containing func. pointers are common attack vectors
 - RELRO makes it read-only instead of resolving them on demand
- PLT (Procedure Linkage Table) and GOT (Global Offset Table)

PLT/GOT Internals (First puts() Call)

```
0 \times 080488be <main+219> : call 0 \times 8048540 <putsaplt>
\triangleright 0x8048540 <puts@plt> : jmp dword ptr \lceil GOT +40\rceil ---+
                                                    <---+ (1)
   0x8048546 < putsaplt+6> : push  0x38
   0x804854b <putsaplt+11>: jmp 0x80484c0
   0 \times 80484c0: push dword ptr [ GOT +4]
   0x80484c6 : jmp dword ptr [0x804a008] <0xf7fe9240>
   0xf7fe9240 < dl runtime resolve> : push
                                                eax
   0xf7fe9241 < dl runtime resolve+1>: push
                                                ecx
   0xf7fe9242 < dl runtime resolve+2>: push
                                                edx
```

PLT/GOT Internals (Second puts() Call)

Checking Common Defenses

```
$ checksec /usr/bin/ls
[*] '/usr/bin/ls'
   Arch: amd64-64-little
   RELRO: Full RELRO
   Stack: Canary found
   NX: NX enabled
   PIE: PIE enabled
```

Goals and Lessons

- Learn about the format string bugs
- Understand their security implications
- Understand the off-the-shelf mitigation
- Learn them from the real-world examples (i.e., sudo/Linux)

About Format String

- How does printf() know of #arguments passed?
- How do we access the arguments in the function?

```
1) printf("hello: %d", 10);
2) printf("hello: %d/%d", 10, 20);
3) printf("hello: %d/%d/%d", 10, 20, 30);
```

About a "Variadic" Function

```
int sum_up(int count,...) {
  va_list ap;
  int i, sum = 0;

  va_start (ap, count);
  for (i = 0; i < count; i++)
     sum += va_arg (ap, int);

  va_end (ap);
  return sum;
}</pre>
```

About a "Variadic" Function

```
va_start (ap, count);
  lea eax, \lceil ebp + 0xc \rceil // Q1. 0xc?
 mov DWORD PTR [ebp-0x18], eax
for (i = 0; i < count; i++)
  sum += va arg (ap, int);
      eax, DWORD PTR [ebp-0x18]
 mov
  lea edx, \lceil eax + 0x4 \rceil // Q2. +4?
  mov DWORD PTR [ebp-0x18],edx
 mov eax, DWORD PTR [eax]
  add DWORD PTR [ebp-0x10], eax
  . . .
```

Format String: e.g., printf()

- What happen if we miss one format specifier?
- What happen if we miss one argument?

```
// buggy
1) printf("hello: %d/%d[missing]", 10, 20, 30);
2) printf("hello: %d/%d/%d", 10, 20, [missing]);
```

Format String: e.g., printf()

What does printf() print out? guess?

Format String Vulnerabilities

- What if attackers can control the format specifier (fmtstr)?
 - Arbitrary read → info leaks (e.g., code pointers)
 - Arbitrary write → control-flow hijacking
 - Bypass many existing mitigation (e.g., DEP, ASLR)

```
printf("%d/%d/%d", 10, 20, 30)
printf(fmtstr, 10, 20, 30)?
```

About Format String Specifiers

Very complex, versatile (e.g., > 482 lines document)

```
%p: pointer
%s: string
%d: int
%x: hex

Tip 1. positional argument
    %[nth]$p
    (e.g., %2$p = second argument)
    (e.g., printf("%2$d", 10, 20, 30) -> 20)
```

Implication 1: Arbitrary Read

- If fmtbuf locats on the stack (perhaps, one of caller's),
- Then, we can essentially control its argument!

More About Format String Specifiers

- We can write #chars printed so far!
- By the way, do we need this? any application?

```
printf("1234%n", &len) -> len=4

%n: write #bytes (int)
%hn (short), %hhn (byte)

Tip 2. width parameter
    %10d: print an int on 10-space word
    (e.g., " 10")
```

Write (sth) to an Arbitrary Location

Similar to the arbitrary read, we can control the arguments!

Implication 2: Arbitrary Write

In fact, we can control what to write (see more in the tutorial)!

Notes on Arbitrary Writes

- Writing a "pointer" is painful (i.e., printing humongous number of spaces)
- Utilizing %hhn (byte), %hn (short), smaller writes

```
// writing *0xddccbbaa = 0xdeadbeef -> four writes
*(0xddccbbaa+0) = 0xef
*(0xddccbbaa+1) = 0xbe
*(0xddccbbaa+2) = 0xad
*(0xddccbbaa+3) = 0xde

[ABCDXXX]
0000 = 0xef
1111 = 0xbe
2222 = 0xad
3333 = 0xde
```

Notes on More Advanced Attacks

- Previous security issues assume that the input buffer locates in stack
- The input buffer in heap has similar implications (a.k.a., blind fmtstr)!

```
(top)
+-----+ +-----+
| v | v

[ra][fmt][ ... ][fp][ ... ][fp]
I-th J-th
```

- Overwriting to the location in I-th argument (J-th)
- Referring the written value via the J-th argfument

Exercise: Real-world Examples

- Ex1. Linux block device (CVE-2013-2851)
- Ex2. Linux ext3 (CVE-2013-1848)
- Ex3. sudo (CVE-2012-0809)

CVE-2013-2851: Linux block device

```
int dev set name(struct device *dev, const char *fmt, ...) {
 va list varqs;
  int err;
 va start(varqs, fmt);
  err = kobject set name varqs(&dev->kobj, fmt, varqs);
 va end(varqs);
  return err;
// aregister disk()
dev set name(ddev, disk->disk name);
// a nbd ioctl()
kthread create(nbd thread, nbd, nbd->disk->disk name);
```

CVE-2013-1848: Linux ext3

```
void ext3_msg(struct super_block *sb, const char *prefix,
        const char *fmt, ...)
  struct va_format vaf;
 va list args;
 va start(args, fmt);
 vaf.fmt = fmt;
 vaf.va = &arqs;
 printk("%sEXT3-fs (%s): %pV\n", prefix, sb->s id, &vaf);
 va_end(args);
```

CVE-2013-1848: Linux ext3

CVE-2012-0809: sudo

```
void sudo debug(int level, const char *fmt, ...) {
 va list ap;
 char *fmt2;
  if (level > debug level) return;
  /* Backet fmt with program name and a newline
     to make it a single write */
  easprintf(&fmt2, "%s: %s\n", getprogname(), fmt);
 va_start(ap, fmt);
 vfprintf(stderr, fmt2, ap);
 va_end(ap);
 efree(fmt2);
```

Mitigation Strategies

- 1. Non-POSIX compliant (e.g., Windows)
 - Discarding %n
 - Limiting width (e.g., "%.512x" in XP, "%.622496x" in 2000)
- 2. Dynamic: enabling FORTIFY in gcc (e.g., Ubuntu)
- 3. Static: code annotation (e.g., Linux)

Defense 5: FORTIFY

- Option: -D_FORTIFY_SOURCE=2
- Ensuring that all positional arguments are used
 - e.g., %2\$d is not ok without %1\$d
- Ensuring that fmtstr is in the read-only region (when %n)
 - e.g., "%n" should not be in a writable region

```
$ ./fortify-yes %2$d
*** invalid %N$ use detected ***
$ ./fortify-yes %n
*** %n in writable segment detected ***
```

Defense 5: FORTIFY

```
// @lec02-fmtstr/fortify
$ make diff
...
    00000000000001040 <main>:
        1040: sub rsp,0x8
- 1044: mov rdi,QWORD PTR [rsi+0x8]
- 104a: call 1030 <printf@plt>

+ 1044: mov rsi,QWORD PTR [rsi+0x8]
+ 1048: mov edi,0x1
+ 104f: call 1030 <__printf_chk@plt>
```

__printf_chk()

```
// glibc/debug/printf chk.c
int ___printf_chk (int flag, const char *format, ...) {
 va list ap; int done;
  if (flag > 0)
    stdout-> flags2 |= IO FLAGS2 FORTIFY;
 va_start (ap, format);
  done = vfprintf (stdout, format, ap);
  va end (ap);
  if (flag > 0)
    stdout-> flags2 &= ~ IO FLAGS2 FORTIFY;
  return done;
```

__printf_chk()

- Ensuring that all positional arguments are used
 - e.g., %2\$d is not ok without %1\$d

__printf_chk()

- Ensuring that fmtstr is in the read-only region (when %n)
 - e.g., "%n" should not be in a writable region

```
// avprintf()
LABEL (form number):
  if (s->_flags2 & _IO_FLAGS2_FORTIFY) {
    if (! readonly format) {
      extern int readonly area (const void *, size t);
      readonly format \
        = readonly area (format, ((STR LEN (format) + 1)
                                      * sizeof (CHAR T)));
    if (readonly format < 0)</pre>
      __libc_fatal ("*** %n in writable segment detected ***\n");
```

Defense 6: Code Annotation for Compilers

Defense 6: Code Annotation for Compilers

```
// alec02-fmtstr/format
$ cc -q -Wformat test.c -o test
> format '%d' expects a matching 'int' argument
  ~^
> too many arguments for format
  dev set name(3, "test4: %d %d\n", 1, 2, 3); /* YES */
             ^~~~~~~~~~~~~
> missing $ operand number in format
  dev set name(3, "test4: %2$d %d %d\n", 1, 2); /* FALSE */
             > $ operand number used after format without operand number
  ^~~~~~~~~
```

References

- Bypassing ASLR
- Advanced return-into-lib(c) exploits
- Format string vulnerability
- Blind format string attacks
- A Eulogy for Format Strings
- CVE-2013-2851
- CVE-2013-1848
- CVE-2012-0809