CSC413/2516 Lecture 2: Multilayer Perceptrons & Backpropagation

Jimmy Ba and Bo Wang

Course information

- Expectations and marking **(undergrads)**
  - Written homeworks (30% of total mark)
    - Due Thurs nights at 11:59pm
    - first homework is out, due 1/28
    - 2-3 short conceptual questions
    - Use material covered up through Tuesday of the preceding week
  - 4 programming assignments (40% of total mark)
    - Python, PyTorch
    - 10-15 lines of code
    - may also involve some mathematical derivations
    - give you a chance to experiment with the algorithms
  - Exams
    - midterm (10%), due Feb 09, covering the first 4 lectures
    - final project (20%)
- See Course Information handout for detailed policies

Course information

- Final Projects **(undergrad and grad students)**
  - Form a group: 2-3 persons
    - Undergrads can collaborate with grad students
    - Contributions have to be stated in the final report
    - Students from different backgrounds are encouraged to form a group
  - Proposal
    - One-page summary of the main topics
    - Deadline: TBD
  - Final report
    - tutorial (How to Write a Good Course Project Report , Feb 11)
    - 4 pages (excluding references)
    - Open review format
    - Deadline: TBD

Recap: Linear Classification and Gradient Descent





- Advantages: Easy to understand and implement; Widely-adopted;

Limits of Linear Classification

- Single neurons (linear classifiers) are very limited in expressive power.
- **XOR** is a classic example of a function that's not linearly separable.



- There's an elegant proof using convexity.

Limits of Linear Classification

Convex Sets



- A set $\mathcal{S}$ is convex if any line segment connecting points in $\mathcal{S}$ lies entirely within $\mathcal{S}$. Mathematically,

$$\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathcal{S} \implies \lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1-\lambda)\mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1.$$

- A simple inductive argument shows that for $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N \in \mathcal{S}$, weighted averages, or convex combinations, lie within the set:

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_N \mathbf{x}_N \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{for } \lambda_i > 0,\ \lambda_1 + \cdots \lambda_N = 1.$$

Limits of Linear Classification

Showing that XOR is not linearly separable

- Half-spaces are obviously convex.
- Suppose there were some feasible hypothesis. If the positive examples are in the positive half-space, then the green line segment must be as well.
- Similarly, the red line segment must line within the negative half-space.



- But the intersection can't lie in both half-spaces. Contradiction!

Limits of Linear Classification

A more troubling example



- These images represent 16-dimensional vectors. White = 0, black = 1.
- Want to distinguish patterns A and B in all possible translations (with wrap-around)
- Translation invariance is commonly desired in vision!

Limits of Linear Classification

A more troubling example



- These images represent 16-dimensional vectors. White = 0, black = 1.
- Want to distinguish patterns A and B in all possible translations (with wrap-around)
- Translation invariance is commonly desired in vision!
- Suppose there's a feasible solution. The average of all translations of A is the vector $(0.25, 0.25, \ldots, 0.25)$. Therefore, this point must be classified as A.
- Similarly, the average of all translations of B is also $(0.25, 0.25, \ldots, 0.25)$. Therefore, it must be classified as B. Contradiction!

Credit: Geoffrey Hinton

Limits of Linear Classification

- Sometimes we can overcome this limitation using feature maps, just like for linear regression. E.g., for **XOR**:

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_1 x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

x_1	x_2	$\phi_1(\mathbf{x})$	$\phi_2(\mathbf{x})$	$\phi_3(\mathbf{x})$	t
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	0

- This is linearly separable. (Try it!)
- Not a general solution: it can be hard to pick good basis functions. Instead, we'll use neural nets to learn nonlinear hypotheses directly.

Multilayer Perceptrons

- We can connect lots of units together into a directed acyclic graph.
- This gives a feed-forward neural network. That's in contrast to recurrent neural networks, which can have cycles. (We'll talk about those later.)
- Typically, units are grouped together into layers.



Multilayer Perceptrons

- Each layer connects N input units to M output units.
- In the simplest case, all input units are connected to all output units. We call this a fully connected layer. We'll consider other layer types later.
- Note: the inputs and outputs for a layer are distinct from the inputs and outputs to the network.

- Recall from softmax regression: this means we need an $M \times N$ weight matrix.
- The output units are a function of the input units:

$$\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$$

- A multilayer network consisting of fully connected layers is called a multilayer perceptron. Despite the name, it has nothing to do with perceptrons!



Some activation functions:



Linear

$$y = z$$



Hard Threshold

$$y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z \leq 0 \end{cases}$$



Logistic

$$y = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

Multilayer Perceptrons

Some activation functions:



Hyperbolic Tangent (tanh)

$$y = \frac{e^z - e^{-z}}{e^z + e^{-z}}$$



Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU)

$$y = \max(0, z)$$



Soft ReLU

$$y = \log 1 + e^z$$

Multilayer Perceptrons

Designing a network to compute XOR:

Assume hard threshold activation function



Multilayer Perceptrons



Exercise: Could you come up another set of weights to compute XOR?

Multilayer Perceptrons

- Each layer computes a function, so the network computes a composition of functions:

$$\mathbf{h}^{(1)} = f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$$
$$\mathbf{h}^{(2)} = f^{(2)}(\mathbf{h}^{(1)})$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\mathbf{y} = f^{(L)}(\mathbf{h}^{(L-1)})$$

- Or more simply:

$$\mathbf{y} = f^{(L)} \circ \cdots \circ f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}).$$

- Neural nets provide modularity: we can implement each layer's computations as a black box.



Feature Learning

- Neural nets can be viewed as a way of learning features:



Feature Learning

- Neural nets can be viewed as a way of learning features:



- The goal:



Feature Learning

Input representation of a digit : 784 dimensional vector.

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	221.0	115.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.0	254.0	148.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	230.0	134.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	168.0	254.0	115.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	253.0	104.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.0	234.0	254.0	81.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.0	253.0	104.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	221.0	254.0	160.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	141.0	254.0	127.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	114.0	253.0	253.0	76.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	207.0	253.0	93.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	232.0	253.0	102.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	207.0	253.0	17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	229.0	253.0	202.0	19.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.0	240.0	253.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	170.0	254.0	254.0	46.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.0	254.0	254.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	253.0	254.0	253.0	234.0	163.0	47.0	47.0	26.0	0.0	0.0	130.0	253.0	253.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0	246.0	254.0	253.0	253.0	253.0	254.0	253.0	232.0	174.0	208.0	232.0	253.0	177.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.0	161.0	211.0	219.0	219.0	254.0	253.0	253.0	253.0	254.0	253.0	244.0	69.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.0	142.0	142.0	93.0	170.0	254.0	230.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	153.0	253.0	213.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	170.0	253.0	137.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	220.0	253.0	137.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	255.0	254.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.0	254.0	253.0	46.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.0	254.0	215.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	106.0	106.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Feature Learning

Each first-layer hidden unit computes $\sigma(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x})$

Here is one of the weight vectors (also called a feature).

It's reshaped into an image, with gray = 0, white = +, black = -.

To compute $\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x}$, multiply the corresponding pixels, and sum the result.



Feature Learning

There are 256 first-level features total. Here are some of them.



Expressive Power

- We've seen that there are some functions that linear classifiers can't represent. Are deep networks any better?
- Any sequence of *linear* layers can be equivalently represented with a single linear layer.

$$\mathbf{y} = \underbrace{\mathbf{W}^{(3)}\mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{W}^{(1)}}_{\triangleq \mathbf{W}'}\mathbf{x}$$

  - Deep linear networks are no more expressive than linear regression!
  - Linear layers do have their uses — stay tuned!

Expressive Power

- Multilayer feed-forward neural nets with *nonlinear* activation functions are universal approximators: they can approximate any function arbitrarily well.
- This has been shown for various activation functions (thresholds, logistic, ReLU, etc.)
    - Even though ReLU is "almost" linear, it's nonlinear enough!

Expressive Power

Universality for binary inputs and targets:

- Hard threshold hidden units, linear output
- Strategy: 2^D hidden units, each of which responds to one particular input configuration

x_1	x_2	x_3	t
	$\vdots$		$\vdots$
-1	-1	1	-1
-1	1	-1	1
-1	1	1	1
	$\vdots$		$\vdots$



- Only requires one hidden layer, though it needs to be extremely wide!

Expressive Power

- What about the logistic activation function?
- You can approximate a hard threshold by scaling up the weights and biases:



$$y = \sigma(x)$$



$$y = \sigma(5x)$$

- This is good: logistic units are differentiable, so we can tune them with gradient descent. (Stay tuned!)

Expressive Power

- Limits of universality

Expressive Power

- Limits of universality
  - You may need to represent an exponentially large network.
  - If you can learn any function, you'll just overfit.
  - Really, we desire a *compact* representation!

Expressive Power

- Limits of universality
  - You may need to represent an exponentially large network.
  - If you can learn any function, you'll just overfit.
  - Really, we desire a *compact* representation!
- We've derived units which compute the functions AND, OR, and NOT. Therefore, any Boolean circuit can be translated into a feed-forward neural net.
  - This suggests you might be able to learn *compact* representations of some complicated functions

After the break

After the break: **Backpropagation**

Overview

- We've seen that multilayer neural networks are powerful. But how can we actually learn them?
- Backpropagation is the central algorithm in this course.
  - It's is an algorithm for computing gradients.
  - Really it's an instance of **reverse mode automatic differentiation**, which is much more broadly applicable than just neural nets.
    - This is "just" a clever and efficient use of the Chain Rule for derivatives.
    - We'll see how to implement an automatic differentiation system next week.

Recap: Gradient Descent

- **Recall:** gradient descent moves opposite the gradient (the direction of steepest descent)



- Weight space for a multilayer neural net: one coordinate for each weight or bias of the network, in *all* the layers
- Conceptually, not any different from what we've seen so far — just higher dimensional and harder to visualize!
- We want to compute the cost gradient $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}/\mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}$, which is the vector of partial derivatives.
  - This is the average of $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}/\mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}$ over all the training examples, so in this lecture we focus on computing $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}/\mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}$.

Univariate Chain Rule

- We've already been using the univariate Chain Rule.
- Recall: if $f(x)$ and $x(t)$ are univariate functions, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} f(x(t)) = \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t}.$$

Univariate Chain Rule

Recall: Univariate logistic least squares model

$$
\begin{aligned}
z &= wx + b \\
y &= \sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's compute the loss derivatives.

Univariate Chain Rule

How you would have done it in calculus class

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2 \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2 \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(\sigma(wx+b)-t) \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\sigma'(wx+b)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(wx+b) \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\sigma'(wx+b)x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial b}(\sigma(wx+b)-t)^2 \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\frac{\partial}{\partial b}(\sigma(wx+b)-t) \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\sigma'(wx+b)\frac{\partial}{\partial b}(wx+b) \\
&= (\sigma(wx+b)-t)\sigma'(wx+b)
\end{aligned}
$$

What are the disadvantages of this approach?

Univariate Chain Rule

A more structured way to do it

Computing the loss:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z &= wx + b \\
y &= \sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}y} &= y - t \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}z} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}y}\,\sigma'(z) \\
\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial w} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}z}\,x \\
\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial b} &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remember, the goal isn't to obtain closed-form solutions, but to be able to write a program that efficiently computes the derivatives.

Univariate Chain Rule

- We can diagram out the computations using a computation graph.
- The nodes represent all the inputs and computed quantities, and the edges represent which nodes are computed directly as a function of which other nodes.



Univariate Chain Rule

A slightly more convenient notation:

- Use $\overline{y}$ to denote the derivative $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}/\mathrm{d}y$, sometimes called the error signal.
- This emphasizes that the error signals are just values our program is computing (rather than a mathematical operation).
- This is not a standard notation, but I couldn't find another one that I liked.

Computing the loss:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z &= wx + b \\
y &= \sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{y} &= y - t \\
\overline{z} &= \overline{y}\,\sigma'(z) \\
\overline{w} &= \overline{z}\,x \\
\overline{b} &= \overline{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multivariate Chain Rule

Problem: what if the computation graph has fan-out > 1?
This requires the multivariate Chain Rule!

L_2-Regularized regression



$$z = wx + b$$
$$y = \sigma(z)$$
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2$$
$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2}w^2$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}} = \mathcal{L} + \lambda \mathcal{R}$$

Multiclass logistic regression



$$z_\ell = \sum_j w_{\ell j} x_j + b_\ell$$
$$y_k = \frac{e^{z_k}}{\sum_\ell e^{z_\ell}}$$
$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_k t_k \log y_k$$

Multivariate Chain Rule

- Suppose we have a function $f(x, y)$ and functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. (All the variables here are scalar-valued.) Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} f(x(t), y(t)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t}$$



- Example:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= y + e^{xy} \\ x(t) &= \cos t \\ y(t) &= t^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Plug in to Chain Rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ &= (ye^{xy}) \cdot (-\sin t) + (1 + xe^{xy}) \cdot 2t \end{aligned}$$

Multivariable Chain Rule

- In the context of backpropagation:

Mathematical expressions to be evaluated

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Values already computed by our program



- In our notation:

$$\overline{t} = \overline{x}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \overline{y}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Backpropagation

Full backpropagation algorithm:

Let $v_1, \ldots, v_N$ be a topological ordering of the computation graph (i.e. parents come before children.)

v_N denotes the variable we're trying to compute derivatives of (e.g. loss).

forward pass

$$\text{For } i = 1, \ldots, N$$

$$\qquad \text{Compute } v_i \text{ as a function of } \mathrm{Pa}(v_i)$$

backward pass

$$\overline{v_N} = 1$$

$$\text{For } i = N-1, \ldots, 1$$

$$\qquad \overline{v_i} = \sum_{j \in \mathrm{Ch}(v_i)} \overline{v_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial v_i}$$

Backpropagation

Example: univariate logistic least squares regression



Forward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z &= wx + b \\
y &= \sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2 \\
\mathcal{R} &= \frac{1}{2}w^2 \\
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}} &= \mathcal{L} + \lambda\mathcal{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Backward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}} &= 1 \\
\overline{\mathcal{R}} &= \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}} \\
&= \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}}\, \lambda \\
\overline{\mathcal{L}} &= \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}} \\
&= \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}} \\
\overline{y} &= \overline{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{d}y} \\
&= \overline{\mathcal{L}}\,(y - t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{z} &= \overline{y}\, \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}z} \\
&= \overline{y}\, \sigma'(z) \\
\overline{w} &= \overline{z}\, \frac{\partial z}{\partial w} + \overline{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}}{\mathrm{d}w} \\
&= \overline{z}\, x + \overline{\mathcal{R}}\, w \\
\overline{b} &= \overline{z}\, \frac{\partial z}{\partial b} \\
&= \overline{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Backpropagation

Multilayer Perceptron (multiple outputs):



Forward pass:

$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ij}^{(1)} x_j + b_i^{(1)}$$

$$h_i = \sigma(z_i)$$

$$y_k = \sum_i w_{ki}^{(2)} h_i + b_k^{(2)}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (y_k - t_k)^2$$

Backward pass:

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}} = 1$$

$$\overline{y_k} = \overline{\mathcal{L}}\,(y_k - t_k)$$

$$\overline{w_{ki}^{(2)}} = \overline{y_k}\, h_i$$

$$\overline{b_k^{(2)}} = \overline{y_k}$$

$$\overline{h_i} = \sum_k \overline{y_k} w_{ki}^{(2)}$$

$$\overline{z_i} = \overline{h_i}\, \sigma'(z_i)$$

$$\overline{w_{ij}^{(1)}} = \overline{z_i}\, x_j$$

$$\overline{b_i^{(1)}} = \overline{z_i}$$

Vector Form

- Computation graphs showing individual units are cumbersome.
- As you might have guessed, we typically draw graphs over the vectorized variables.



- We pass messages back analogous to the ones for scalar-valued nodes.

Vector Form

- Consider this computation graph:



$$\mathbf{z} \longrightarrow \mathbf{y}$$

- Backprop rules:

$$\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{R}^N, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}^D \qquad \overline{z_j} = \sum_k \overline{y_k} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial z_j} \qquad \overline{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}^\top \overline{\mathbf{y}},$$

where $\partial \mathbf{y} / \partial \mathbf{z}$ is the Jacobian matrix (**note**: check the matrix shapes):

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}\right)_{D \times N} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial z_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial z_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Vector Form

Examples

- Matrix-vector product

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} \qquad \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{W} \qquad \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{W}^\top \bar{\mathbf{z}}$$

- Elementwise operations

$$\mathbf{y} = \exp(\mathbf{z}) \qquad \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(z_1) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \exp(z_D) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \bar{\mathbf{z}} = \exp(\mathbf{z}) \circ \bar{\mathbf{y}}$$

- Note: we never explicitly construct the Jacobian. It's usually simpler and more efficient to compute the VJP directly.

Vector Form

Full backpropagation algorithm (vector form):

Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_N$ be a topological ordering of the computation graph (i.e. parents come before children.)

$\mathbf{v}_N$ denotes the variable we're trying to compute derivatives of (e.g. loss). It's a scalar, which we can treat as a 1-D vector.

forward pass

For $i = 1, \ldots, N$

Compute $\mathbf{v}_i$ as a function of $\mathrm{Pa}(\mathbf{v}_i)$

backward pass

$\overline{\mathbf{v}_N} = 1$

For $i = N-1, \ldots, 1$

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}_i} = \sum_{j \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbf{v}_i)} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_j}{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}^{\top} \overline{\mathbf{v}_j}$$

MLP example in vectorized form:



Forward pass:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{z} &= \mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)} \\
\mathbf{h} &= \sigma(\mathbf{z}) \\
\mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}^{(2)} \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Backward pass:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{L}} &= 1 \\
\overline{\mathbf{y}} &= \overline{\mathcal{L}}\,(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{t}) \\
\overline{\mathbf{W}^{(2)}} &= \overline{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{h}^\top \\
\overline{\mathbf{b}^{(2)}} &= \overline{\mathbf{y}} \\
\overline{\mathbf{h}} &= \mathbf{W}^{(2)\top}\overline{\mathbf{y}} \\
\overline{\mathbf{z}} &= \overline{\mathbf{h}} \circ \sigma'(\mathbf{z}) \\
\overline{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}} &= \overline{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x}^\top \\
\overline{\mathbf{b}^{(1)}} &= \overline{\mathbf{z}}
\end{aligned}$$

Computational Cost

- Computational cost of forward pass: one add-multiply operation per weight

$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ij}^{(1)} x_j + b_i^{(1)}$$

- Computational cost of backward pass: two add-multiply operations per weight

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w_{ki}^{(2)}} &= \overline{y_k}\, h_i \\ \overline{h_i} &= \sum_k \overline{y_k} w_{ki}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

- Rule of thumb: the backward pass is about as expensive as two forward passes.
- For a multilayer perceptron, this means the cost is linear in the number of layers, quadratic in the number of units per layer.

Closing Thoughts

- Backprop is used to train the overwhelming majority of neural nets today.
  - Even optimization algorithms much fancier than gradient descent (e.g. second-order methods) use backprop to compute the gradients.
- Despite its practical success, backprop is believed to be neurally implausible.
  - No evidence for biological signals analogous to error derivatives.
  - All the biologically plausible alternatives we know about learn much more slowly (on computers).
  - So how on earth does the brain learn?

Closing Thoughts

The psychological profiling [of a programmer] is mostly the ability to shift levels of abstraction, from low level to high level. To see something in the small and to see something in the large.

– Don Knuth

- By now, we've seen three different ways of looking at gradients:
  - **Geometric:** visualization of gradient in weight space
  - **Algebraic:** mechanics of computing the derivatives
  - **Implementational:** efficient implementation on the computer
- When thinking about neural nets, it's important to be able to shift between these different perspectives!