


Lab 13: Shortest Paths

Module: Graphs


COLLABORATION LEVEL 0 (NO RESTRICTIONS). OPEN NOTES.

- Step through $\text{Dijkstra}(G, s, t)$ on the graph shown below. Complete the table below to show what the arrays $d[]$ and $p[]$ are at each step of the algorithm, and indicate what path is returned and what its cost is. Here D represents the set of vertices that have been removed from the PQ and their shortest paths found (in the notes we denoted it by S). .



	$d[s]$	$d[u]$	$d[v]$	$d[t]$	$p[s]$	$p[u]$	$p[v]$	$p[t]$
When entering the first while loop for the first time, the state is:	0	∞	∞	∞	None	None	None	None
Immediately after the first element of D is added, the state is:	0	3	∞	9	None	s	None	s
Immediately after the second element of D is added, the state is:								
Immediately after the third element of D is added, the state is:								
Immediately after the fourth element of D is added, the state is:								

- Consider the directed graph below and assume you want to compute $\text{SSSP}(s)$.



- (a) Run Dijkstra's algorithm on the graph above step by step. Are there any vertices for which $d[x]$ is correct? Are there any vertices for which $d[x]$ is incorrect? Why?
 - (b) Now run Bellman-Ford algorithm, and assume the edges are relaxed in the following order: $\{bd, cb, ab, sc, sa\}$. For each round of relaxation, show the distances $d[x]$ at the end of that round.
 - (c) How many rounds of relaxation are necessary for this graph? In general, what is the worst-case number of rounds in Bellman-Ford algorithm for a graph of $|V|$ vertices? (in this case, $|V| = 5$) Why the difference? Can you connect the number of rounds necessary with something in the graph?
 - (d) Give an order of relaxing edges for the graph above which correctly computes shortest paths for all vertices after just one round.
3. Give example of a graph $G=(V,E)$ with an arbitrary number of vertices for which one round of relaxation is always sufficient, no matter the order in which the edges are relaxed.
4. Give example of a graph $G=(V,E)$ with an arbitrary number of vertices for which $|V| - 1$ rounds of relaxation are always necessary in the worst case.
5. Consider a directed graph G , and assume that instead of shortest paths we want to compute *longest paths*. Longest paths are defined in the natural way, i.e. the longest path from u to v is the path of maximum weight among all possible paths from u to v . Note that if the graph contains a positive cycle, then longest paths are not well defined (for the same reason that shortest paths are not well defined when the graph has a negative cycle). So what we mean is the *longest simple path*, (a path is called *simple* if it contains no vertex more than once).
Show that the *longest simple path* problem does not have optimal substructure by coming up with a small graph that provides a counterexample. Note: Finding longest (simple) paths is a classical *hard* problem, and it is known to be NP-complete.
6. Prove that the following claim is false by showing a counterexample:
Claim: Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph with negative-weight edges, but no negative-weight cycles. Let $w, w < 0$, be the smallest weight in G . Then one can compute SSSP in the following way: transform G into a graph with all positive weights by adding $-w$ to all edges, run Dijkstra, and subtract from each shortest path the corresponding number of edges times $-w$. Thus, SSSP can be solved by Dijkstra's algorithm even on graph with negative weights.
7. You are given an image as a two-dimensional array of size $m \times n$. Each cell of the array represents a pixel in the image, and contains a number that represents the color of that pixel (for e.g. using the RGB model).
A segment in the image is a set of pixels that have the same color and are **connected**: each pixel in the segment can be reached from any other pixel in the segment by a sequence of moves up, down, left or right.
Design an efficient algorithm to find the size of the largest segment in the image.

Additional problems: Optional

All-Pair-Shortest-Paths via matrix multiplication: In the APSP problem the goal is to compute the shortest path between all pairs of vertices $u, v \in V$. Note that the output is of size $\Theta(|V|^2)$ which means any algorithm for APSP runs in $\Omega(|V|^2)$.

1. We can solve the problem simply by running Dijkstra's algorithm $|V|$ times. What is the running time of this approach? What does the running time become for sparse graphs ($E = \theta(V)$) and for dense graphs ($E = \theta(V^2)$)?

We can obtain another APSP algorithm by working on adjacency matrix of the graph, which we denote by A : for weighted graphs, a_{ij} is equal to the weight w_{ij} of the edge (v_i, v_j) ; w_{ij} is assumed to be ∞ if the edge does not exist.

Let A, B be two matrices, and let $C = A \cdot B$. Remember that

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$$

We redefine the \sum and \cdot operators in matrix multiplication to mean *minimum* and $+$, respectively. That is,

$$c_{ij} = \min_{k=1..n} \{a_{ik} + b_{kj}\}$$

2. What does $A \cdot A$ represent in terms of paths in graph G ?
3. What about $\min\{A, A \cdot A\}$?
4. How fast can you compute $B = \min\{A, A \cdot A\}$?
5. Sketch an algorithm for computing APSP using this approach and estimate its running time. Hint: express it as computing some power B^k . What is a sufficient value of k ?
6. Improve your algorithm by being smart about how you compute powers.
Hint: aim to compute a^n in $O(\lg n)$ rather than in $O(n)$ time.
7. Describe how this corresponds to dynamic programming.

Hint: consider the following subproblem: $d_k(u, v)$ is the shortest path from u to v that consists of at most k edges. What does $d_1(u, v)$ correspond to? How do you define $d_2(u, v)$ in terms of $d_1(u, v)$?