


# Project 7

**Public Finance in Macroeconomics**

Handed in by the **Heterogeneous Geeks**

a.k.a. Vivien Voigt, Thong Nguyen,   
Davide Difino & Celina Proffen

Project in the context of Prof. Ludwig's course:

**Public Finance in Macroeconomics: Heterogenous Agent Models**  
at the Graduate School of Economics, Finance, and Management

# 1 Simple Variant of Krusell-Smith Algorithm

## 1.1 Characterization of Equilibrium Dynamics

The proof of Proposition 3 uses a Guess and Verify.

1. Guess that households will save a constant share  $s$  of (disposable) wage,

$$a_{2,t+1} = s(1 - \tau)w_t \quad (1)$$

Since the firm optimization problem

$$\max \Pi = \zeta_t F(K_t, \Upsilon_t L) - (\bar{\delta} + r_t) \varrho_t^{-1} K_t - w_t L,$$

where  $\zeta_t$  and  $\varrho_t$  are aggregate shocks, imply the f.o.c.

$$w_t = (1 - \alpha) \Upsilon_t k_t^\alpha \zeta_t$$

where

$$k_t = \frac{K_t}{\Upsilon_t L}, \quad (2)$$

then 1 becomes

$$a_{2,t+1} = s(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha) \Upsilon_t k_t^\alpha \zeta_t \quad (3)$$

Market clearing conditions  $K_t = a_{2,t}$  and  $L = 1 + \lambda$ , growth rate of labor productivity  $\Upsilon_{t+1} = (1 + g) \Upsilon_t$  and 2 imply that

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{s(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha) k_t^\alpha \zeta_t}{(1 + g)(1 + \lambda)} \quad (4)$$

which is the equilibrium dynamics implied by our guess  $s$ .

2. We know that, given  $s$ , 4 solves the Firm's problem and the market clearing condition. Then to verify that our guess indeed an equilibrium solution we need to check for which value of  $s$  the household problem is solved.

The agent budget constraints, substituting for  $w_t$ ,  $w_{t+1}$  and  $a_{2,t+1}$  using 3 implies consumption levels

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,t} &= (1 - s)w_t = (1 - s)(1 - \tau) \Upsilon_t k_t^\alpha \zeta_t \\ c_{2,t+1} &= s(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha) \zeta_t \Upsilon_t k_t^\alpha \alpha \zeta_{t+1} \varrho_{t+1} k_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha) \Upsilon_{t+1} \zeta_{t+1} k_{t+1}^\alpha (\lambda \eta_{2,t+1} + \tau(1 + \lambda(1 - \eta_{2,t+1}))) \end{aligned}$$

in the two periods lived by the agent, where the interest rate is provided by the second f.o.c of the firm,

$$1 + r_t = \alpha k_t^{\alpha-1} \zeta_t \varrho_t$$

Substituting 4 yields

$$c_{2,t+1} = (\alpha \varrho_{t+1}(1 + \lambda) + (1 + \alpha)(\lambda \eta_{2,t+1} + \tau(1 + \lambda(1 - \eta_{2,t+1})))) \Upsilon_{t+1} \zeta_{t+1} k_{t+1}^\alpha.$$

Substituting the consumption levels  $c_{1,t}$  and  $c_{2,t+1}$  into the consumer Euler Equation

$$1 = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \frac{c_{1,t}(1 + r_{t+1})}{c_{2,t+1}} \right]$$

implies

$$1 = \frac{\beta(1 - s)}{s} \Phi(\tau)$$

where  $\Phi(\tau)$  is a function of pension system contribution  $\tau$  as defined in Proposition 3. Therefore, optimal saving rate in general equilibrium is also a function of  $\tau$ :

$$s(\tau) = \frac{\beta \Phi(\tau)}{1 + \beta \Phi(\tau)}. \quad (5)$$

3. Due to convexity, the solution represented by 4 and 5 is unique. Q.e.d.