

**2.6. Vector bundles on abelian surface.** Let  $X$  be an abelian surface with Picard rank one. Let  $H$  be an ample generator of  $\text{NS}(X)$  and  $\widehat{H}$  be the ample generator of  $\text{NS}(\widehat{X})$ . Then

$$\mathcal{S}(r + dc_1(H) + a\omega) = a - dc_1(\widehat{H}) + r\widehat{\omega},$$

where  $\omega$  and  $\widehat{\omega}$  are fundamental class of  $X$  and  $\widehat{X}$ , respectively.

**Proposition 2.6.1.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\mu$ -stable sheaf on  $X$  of Mukai vector  $v(\mathcal{E}) = r - c_1(H) + a\omega$ . If  $a > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies  $\text{WIT}_2$ -condition, and  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{E})$  is a  $\mu$ -stable torsion-free sheaf.

*Proof.* Firstly we prove that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\text{WIT}_2$ -sheaf. Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\mu$ -stable with negative slope, we know that  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}_\xi, \mathcal{E}) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \widehat{X}$ . Now we claim that

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\xi) = 0$$

except for finitely many points  $\xi \in \widehat{X}$ . Indeed, if  $H^1(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\xi) = \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{P}_{-\xi}, \mathcal{E}) \neq 0$  for distinct points  $\xi = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ , then it gives a non-trivial extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{-\xi_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we claim that it is a  $\mu$ -stable extension. Indeed, if  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  is a subsheaf such that

$$\mu(\mathcal{G}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{-H^2}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{F})},$$

then we must have  $\mu(\mathcal{G}) \geq 0$ , otherwise

$$\mu(\mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{-H^2}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{G})} < \mu(\mathcal{F})$$

since  $H$  is an ample generator of  $\text{NS}(X)$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) < \text{rk}(\mathcal{F})$ .

- (1) If  $\mu(\mathcal{G}) > 0$ , then the composite map  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{-\xi_i}$  is zero, as  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{-\xi_i}$  is semistable of degree zero, and thus  $\mathcal{G}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{E}$ , which gives a contradiction, since  $\mathcal{E}$  is stable and  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) < \mu(\mathcal{F})$ .
- (2) If  $\mu(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ , without loss of generality, we may assume that the composite map  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{-\xi_i}$  is non-trivial. Moreover, we may assume  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\mu$ -stable sheaf, since we may replace  $\mathcal{G}$  by its maximal destabilizer and the first term in Jordan-Hölder filtration when necessary. Combine these two facts together we obtain that  $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{P}_{-\xi_i}$  for some  $i$ , which contradicts to the fact that the extension is non-trivial.

Since it is a stable extension, we have  $\langle v(\mathcal{F}), v(\mathcal{F}) \rangle = \langle v(\mathcal{E}), v(\mathcal{E}) \rangle - 2na \geq 0$ . Hence  $n$  must satisfy the inequality  $n \leq \langle v(\mathcal{E}), v(\mathcal{E}) \rangle / 2a$ , and thus this completes the proof of claim. As a consequence, we have  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  is of dimension zero. This means that  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  is an  $\text{IT}_0$ -sheaf, but  $\mathcal{S}^0 \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ . This completes the proof of  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\text{WIT}_2$ -sheaf.

Now let's show that  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{E})$  is torsion-free. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a torsion subsheaf of  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{E})$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is of dimension zero, as  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{E})$  is locally free in codimension one. Hence  $\mathcal{T}$  is an  $\text{IT}_0$ -sheaf and  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{T})$  is of degree zero. Since  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{T})$  is a

subsheaf of  $\mathcal{E}$ , we must have  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ , since  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\mu$ -stable of negative degree. This shows  $\mathcal{T} = 0$ , that is,  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{E})$  is torsion-free.

Finally, let's show that  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{E})$  is  $\mu$ -stable. Since  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{E})$  has minimal positive degree, if  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{E})$  is not  $\mu$ -stable, then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\mu$ -stable sheaf with degree  $\leq 0$ . By applying the Fourier-Mukai transform, it gives

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (-1_X)^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^2(\mathcal{B}) &= 0 \\ \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^1(\mathcal{B}) &= \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^2(\mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

- (1) If  $\mathcal{B}$  is of negative degree, then  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}_\xi, \mathcal{B}) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \widehat{X}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\text{WIT}_1$ -sheaf. But  $\mathcal{S}^1 \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^1(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{S}^1 \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^2(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ , which implies  $\mathcal{B} = 0$ .
- (2) If  $\mathcal{B}$  is of degree zero, then we consider the following cases:
  - (a) If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{B}) = 1$ , that is,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}_\xi$  for some  $\xi \in \widehat{X}$ , then  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^2(\mathcal{B}) = \mathbb{C}_\xi$ , a contradiction.
  - (b) If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{B}) \geq 2$ , then  $h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\xi) = h^2(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \widehat{X}$  since  $\mathcal{B}$  is stable. This shows  $\mathcal{B}$  is an  $\text{IT}_1$ -sheaf. However,

$$\mathcal{S}^1 \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^1(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{S}^1 \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^2(\mathcal{A}) = 0,$$

which implies  $\mathcal{B} = 0$ .

This completes the proof. □

**Proposition 2.6.2.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\mu$ -stable sheaf on  $X$  of Mukai vector  $v(\mathcal{E}) = r + c_1(H) + a\omega$ . If  $a < 0$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies  $\text{WIT}_1$ -condition, and  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  is a  $\mu$ -stable torsion-free sheaf.

*Proof.* We show that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\xi) = 0$  except for finitely many points  $\xi \in \widehat{X}$ . Suppose  $k_i := h^i(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\xi_i}) \neq 0$  for distinct points  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ . We shall consider the evaluation map

$$\phi: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{\xi_i}^\vee \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\xi_i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i > r$ , otherwise we already have  $H^0(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\xi) \neq 0$  for only finitely many  $\xi \in \widehat{X}$ . By [Yos99, Lemma 2.1], one has  $\phi$  is surjective in codimension 1 and  $\ker \phi$  is  $\mu$ -stable. If we set  $b = \dim(\text{coker } \phi)$ , then the Mukai vector of  $\ker \phi$  is

$$v(\ker \phi) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i - (v(\mathcal{E}) - b\omega).$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i > r$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v(\ker \phi), v(\ker \phi) \rangle &= \langle (\sum_i^n k_i - r - c_1(H) + (b-a)\omega), \sum_i^n k_i - r - c_1(H) + (b-a)\omega \rangle \\ &= \langle v(\mathcal{E}), v(\mathcal{E}) \rangle + 2(a-b) \sum_i^n k_i + 2br \\ &\leq \langle v(\mathcal{E}), v(\mathcal{E}) \rangle + 2a \sum_i^n k_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\langle v(\ker \phi), v(\ker \phi) \rangle \geq 0$ , we get

$$\sum_i^n k_i \leq \frac{\langle v(\mathcal{E}), v(\mathcal{E}) \rangle}{-2a}.$$

This completes the proof of finiteness. The base change theorem implies that  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{E})$  is a torsion sheaf of dimension zero. Hence  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ . By the stability of  $\mathcal{E}$  and Serre duality, we have  $H^2(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \hat{X}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies WIT<sub>1</sub>-condition.

Now let's prove that  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  is torsion-free. Let  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  be the torsion subsheaf. By base change theorem, we know that  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  is locally free on the open subscheme  $\{\xi \in \hat{X} \mid H^0(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\xi) = 0\}$ . Then by above argument we know that  $\mathcal{T}$  is supported on finite many points, and thus  $\mathcal{T}$  is an IT<sub>0</sub>-sheaf. Then

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}}^0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^0(\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})) = 0$$

implies  $\mathcal{T} = 0$ .

Finally, let's prove  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  is  $\mu$ -stable. Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  is not  $\mu$ -stable. Let

$$0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. Since  $\deg(\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})) = \deg(\mathcal{E})$  has minimal positive degree, we may choose the integer  $k$  such that  $\deg(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) > 0$  for  $i \leq k$  and  $\deg(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq 0$  for  $i > k$ . In other words, we put  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  into the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})/\mathcal{F}_k \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})$  is an IT<sub>1</sub>-sheaf, it is clear that  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})/\mathcal{F}_k) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{F}_k) = 0$ . For any  $i \leq k$ , the semi-stability of  $\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  implies that  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 0$ , and thus  $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{F}_k) = 0$ . On the other hand, for  $i > k$ , one can show  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1})$  is of dimension zero. Since  $\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  is torsion-free, we have  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 0$  for  $i > k$ . Hence we conclude that  $\mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})/\mathcal{F}_k) = 0$ .

This shows both  $\mathcal{F}_k$  and  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})/\mathcal{F}_k$  are WIT<sub>1</sub>-sheaves, and we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^1(\mathcal{F}_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^1(\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})/\mathcal{F}_k) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\deg(\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{F}_k)) = \deg(\mathcal{F}_k) > 0$ , the  $\mu$ -stability of  $\mathcal{E}$  implies that  $\text{rk}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^1(\mathcal{F}_k)) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$ . Thus  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^1(\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{E})/\mathcal{F}_k)$  is of dimension zero, and thus it is an IT<sub>0</sub>-sheaf, a contradiction.  $\square$