

Your Opinion

In 2008 Chris Anderson wrote a provocative article in Wired magazine questioning whether science has moved into a new way of knowing about the world, where theory was no longer central to understanding and decisions, but data and algorithms alone could provide insights.

Read the article (<https://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>).

Do you think we have come to the end of theory? If so, what does this mean for scientific research and discover in theory-heavy fields such as the social sciences? If not, what does theory provide which data and algorithms alone cannot?

Your thought?*

DISAGREE

Explain / Describe (minimum = 90 words)*

I believe data-driven models are just a convenient way to automate the way we build models. It is useful but cannot replace human thinking and innovation, which often can be theory-driven. Often, a theory can be wrong but it is a useful tool to think about possible ways to look at the world that may not be a reality yet. For example, when Newton discovered that the laws of physics were not only to be tested but also to be used to build models, it is observations with data and reasoning and innovation which often without the theory, we often wouldn't be gathering data, to be sure, without data, also to possible ways to be looking. We don't have data to be a reality yet. For example, when Newton increased the fundamental laws of physics, it was only the way that he was able to confirm his observations with data and experiments. However, I believe without the gap of data, but won't automate away theory by any means.

Word count: 131

This field must be at least 90 words long.

Submit