

Exploration 4: Two Variables Relationships as Causal Relationships

Jake Bowers

September 16, 2016

```
download.file("http://jakebowers.org/Data/ANES/anes_pilot_2016_csv.zip",
  destfile = "anespilot2016.csv.zip")
unzip("anespilot2016.csv.zip")
anespi16 <- read.csv("anes_pilot_2016.csv", as.is = TRUE, strip.white = TRUE)
```

You friend calls back. “I really appreciated all of the work that you did with describing the relationships between age and Trump support. Of course, as soon as your results came in, the team began to bicker:”Older people like Trump because they are just inherently conservative. It is not age **per se** that causes this relationship, but the fact that ideology changes over time within the life span.” Then the others argued, “First, there is no fact that ideology changes over time within the life span. Second, I think that this relationship just shows that people who are older have had more time to dislike Clinton — the young people don’t know that much about the Clintons, and so they are not yet disillusioned.” And other group argued, “So one of you is saying that age causes Trump support because ideology is related to age? And the other is that age causes Trump support because political knowledge and experience is related to age? But, both of you seem to be ignoring the nonlinear descriptions that we did. Didn’t it seem like there were different age groups that responded to Trump differently? Don’t you think that this is caused by the fact that the ethnic composition of the country has changed, and that Trump consistently offends non-white voters? That is, that the relationship is caused by the underlying relationship between age and ethnicity and Trump’s offensive comments?”

“I started to try to calm the waters in my team, but I only go this far.”

```
require(knitr)
kable(t(as.matrix(table(anespi16$fttrump, useNA = "ifany"))))
```

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
162	93	51	46	23	31	33	12	9	6	18	12	5	9	8	2	4	9	6	7	14	7	10	1	1

```
table(anespi16$birthyr, useNA = "ifany")
```

1921	1924	1925	1926	1927	1930	1931	1932	1933	1934	1935	1936	1937	1938	1939
1	1	2	1	1	1	2	3	4	2	1	9	7	10	6
1940	1941	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954
12	8	10	14	8	16	12	16	9	16	18	20	24	28	38
1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969
31	34	27	23	26	26	29	21	17	22	17	9	14	18	8
1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
13	10	24	34	20	24	21	25	26	16	24	20	22	19	18
1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997		
17	21	22	24	27	26	20	22	19	20	13	17	14		

```
anespi16$fttrump[anespi16$fttrump == 998] <- NA
anespi16$age <- 2016 - anespi16$birthyr
```

```
summary(anespi16$age)
```

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
19.00	34.00	48.00	48.06	61.25	95.00

```
summary(anespi16$fttrump)
```

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0.00	2.00	30.00	38.38	72.00	100.00	3

“First, I was getting confused about the use of the word, ‘cause’. I didn’t quite know what they meant. And, I didn’t know how to start using the data on hand to engage with these alternative causal explanations if I didn’t know what they meant by cause. The problem is now that I’m back abroad, I can’t ask them. What is your best guess? Can you explain it to me? For example, why would thinking about cause one way help me use data to engage with these kinds of arguments? And, of course, I’m relying on you for your advice about which explanation is correct. Please help! I’m also wondering about your own favorite explanation. One that is not one of those three. What is it? What is the evidence in favor of it or against it?”

References