

```

\ * Copyright (c) 2018, Backyard Innovations Pte. Ltd., Singapore.
\ *
\ * Released under the terms of the Apache License 2.0
\ * See: file LICENSE that came with this software for details.
\ *
\ * This file contains Intellectual Property that belongs to
\ * Backyard Innovations Pte Ltd., Singapore.
\ *
\ * Authors: Santhosh Raju < santhosh@byisystems.com >
\ *          Cherry G. Mathew < cherry@byisystems.com >
\ *          Fransisca Andriani < sisca@byisystems.com >
\ *

```

MODULE *VoucherTransfer*

The description is based on the “Transfer” operation mentioned in *RFC* 3506. This specification describes the transfer of Voucher between two Holders. It is implemented over the Two-Phase Commit protocol, in which a Voucher Transaction Provider (*VTP*) coordinates the “Source” Voucher Holders (*SHs*) to trade vouchers (*Vs*) to “Destination” Voucher Holders (*DHs*) described in the *VoucherLifeCycle* specification module. In this specification, *SHs* and *DHs* spontaneously issue *Prepared* messages. We ignore the Prepare messages that the *VTP* can send to the *SHs* and *DHs*.

For simplicity, we also eliminate *Abort* messages sent by an *SHs* and *DHs* when it decides to abort. Such a message would cause the *VTP* to abort the transaction, an event represented here by the *VTP* spontaneously deciding to abort.

Note: The *RFC* does not differentiate between a Holder who is initiating the transfer (*i.e.* the holder of the voucher) and the Holder who is receiving the voucher (*i.e.* the holder who would be the future owner of this voucher). In order to make this distinction we have the “Source” Voucher Holders (*SHs*), a subset of Holders who would like to transfer an existing voucher they are “holding”. We also have the “Destination” Voucher Holders (*DHs*), a subset of Holders who are “waiting” to receive the transferred vouchers.

CONSTANT

<i>V</i> ,	The set of Vouchers
<i>SH</i> ,	The set of “Source” Voucher Holders
<i>DH</i>	The set of “Destination” Voucher Holders

VARIABLES

<i>vState</i> ,	<i>vState</i> [<i>v</i>] is the state of voucher <i>v</i> .
<i>vlcState</i> ,	<i>vlcState</i> [<i>v</i>] is the state of the voucher life cycle machine.
<i>shState</i> ,	<i>shState</i> [<i>sh</i>] is the state of “source” voucher holder <i>sh</i> .
<i>dhState</i> ,	<i>dhState</i> [<i>dh</i>] is the state of “destination” voucher holder <i>dh</i> .
<i>vtpState</i> ,	The state of the voucher transaction provider.
<i>vtpTPrepared</i> ,	The set of <i>SHs</i> and <i>DHs</i> from which the <i>VTP</i> has received “Prepared for Voucher Transfer” messages.
<i>msgs</i>	

In the protocol, processes communicate with one another by sending messages. For simplicity, we represent message passing with the variable $msgs$ whose value is the set of all messages that have been sent. A message is sent by adding it to the set $msgs$. An action that, in an implementation, would be enabled by the receipt of a certain message is here enabled by the presence of that message in $msgs$. For simplicity, messages are never removed from $msgs$. This allows a single message to be received by multiple receivers. Receipt of the same message twice is therefore allowed; but in this particular protocol, that's not a problem.

$Messages \triangleq$

The set of all possible messages. Messages of type "Prepared" are sent from the SH indicated by the message's vsh field to the VTP . Similar "Prepared" is also sent from DH indicated by message's vdh field to the VTP . Messages of type "Transfer" and "Abort" are broadcast by the VTP s, to be received by all SH s and DH s. The set $msgs$ contains just a single copy of such a message.

$[type : \{ \text{"Prepared"} \}, vsh : SH] \cup$
 $[type : \{ \text{"Prepared"} \}, vdh : DH] \cup$
 $[type : \{ \text{"Transfer"}, \text{"Abort"} \}]$

$VTPTypeOK \triangleq$

The type-correctness invariant

$\wedge vState \in [V \rightarrow \{ \text{"valid"} \}]$
 $\wedge vlcState \in [V \rightarrow \{ \text{"working"} \}]$
 $\wedge shState \in [SH \rightarrow \{ \text{"holding"}, \text{"prepared"}, \text{"transferred"}, \text{"aborted"} \}]$
 $\wedge dhState \in [DH \rightarrow \{ \text{"waiting"}, \text{"prepared"}, \text{"holding"}, \text{"aborted"} \}]$
 $\wedge vtpState \in \{ \text{"init"}, \text{"done"} \}$
 $\wedge vtpTPrepared \subseteq (SH \cup DH)$
 $\wedge msgs \subseteq Messages$

$VTPInit \triangleq$

The initial predicate.

$\wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}]$
 $\wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}]$
 $\wedge shState = [sh \in SH \mapsto \text{"holding"}]$
 $\wedge dhState = [dh \in DH \mapsto \text{"waiting"}]$
 $\wedge vtpState = \text{"init"}$
 $\wedge vtpTPrepared = \{ \}$
 $\wedge msgs = \{ \}$

We now define the actions that may be performed by the processes, first the VTP 's actions, the SH s' actions, then the DH s' actions.

$VTPRcvPrepared(sh, dh) \triangleq$

The VTP receives a "Prepared" message from Source Voucher Holder sh and the Destination Voucher Holder dh . We could add the additional enabling condition $sh, dh \setminus \text{not in } vtpTPrepared$, which disables the action if the VTP has already received this message. But there is no need, because in that case the action has no effect; it leaves the state unchanged.

$\wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}]$
 $\wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}]$
 $\wedge vtpState = \text{"init"}$

$\wedge [type \mapsto \text{"Prepared"}, vsh \mapsto sh] \in msgs$
 $\wedge [type \mapsto \text{"Prepared"}, vdh \mapsto dh] \in msgs$
 $\wedge vtpTPrepared' = vtpTPrepared \cup \{sh, dh\}$
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vState, vlcState, shState, dhState, vtpState, msgs \rangle$

$VTPTransfer(v) \triangleq$

The *VTP* Transfers the voucher; enabled iff the *VTP* is in its initial state and every *SH* and *DH* has sent a "Prepared" message.

$\wedge vState[v] = \text{"valid"}$
 $\wedge vlcState[v] = \text{"working"}$
 $\wedge vtpState = \text{"init"}$
 $\wedge vtpTPrepared = SH \cup DH$
 $\wedge vtpState' = \text{"done"}$
 $\wedge msgs' = msgs \cup \{[type \mapsto \text{"Transfer"}]\}$
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle shState, dhState, vState, vlcState, vtpTPrepared \rangle$

$VTPAbort(v) \triangleq$

The *VTP* spontaneously aborts the transaction.

$\wedge vState[v] = \text{"valid"}$
 $\wedge vlcState[v] = \text{"working"}$
 $\wedge vtpState = \text{"init"}$
 $\wedge vtpState' = \text{"done"}$
 $\wedge msgs' = msgs \cup \{[type \mapsto \text{"Abort"}]\}$
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vState, vlcState, shState, dhState, vtpTPrepared \rangle$

$SHPrepare(sh) \triangleq$

Source Voucher holder *sh* prepares.

$\wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}]$
 $\wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}]$
 $\wedge shState[sh] = \text{"holding"}$
 $\wedge shState' = [shState \text{ EXCEPT } ![sh] = \text{"prepared"}]$
 $\wedge msgs' = msgs \cup \{[type \mapsto \text{"Prepared"}, vsh \mapsto sh]\}$
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vState, vlcState, vtpState, dhState, vtpTPrepared \rangle$

$SHChooseToAbort(sh) \triangleq$

Source Voucher holder *sh* spontaneously decides to abort. As noted above, *sh* does not send any message in our simplified spec.

$\wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}]$
 $\wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}]$
 $\wedge shState[sh] = \text{"holding"}$
 $\wedge shState' = [shState \text{ EXCEPT } ![sh] = \text{"aborted"}]$
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vState, vlcState, vtpState, dhState, vtpTPrepared, msgs \rangle$

$SHRcvTransferMsg(sh) \triangleq$

Source Voucher holder *sh* is told by the *VTP* to *Transfer*.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}] \\
& \wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}] \\
& \wedge shState[sh] = \text{"holding"} \\
& \wedge [type \mapsto \text{"Transfer"}] \in msgs \\
& \wedge shState' = [shState \text{ EXCEPT } ![sh] = \text{"transferred"}] \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vtpState, vlcState, vState, dhState, vtpTPrepared, msgs \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$SHRcvAbortMsg(sh) \triangleq$

Source Voucher holder sh is told by the VTP to abort.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}] \\
& \wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}] \\
& \wedge shState[sh] = \text{"holding"} \\
& \wedge [type \mapsto \text{"Abort"}] \in msgs \\
& \wedge shState' = [shState \text{ EXCEPT } ![sh] = \text{"aborted"}] \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vState, vlcState, vtpState, dhState, vtpTPrepared, msgs \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$DHPprepare(dh) \triangleq$

Destination Voucher holder dh prepares.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}] \\
& \wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}] \\
& \wedge dhState[dh] = \text{"waiting"} \\
& \wedge dhState' = [dhState \text{ EXCEPT } ![dh] = \text{"prepared"}] \\
& \wedge msgs' = msgs \cup \{[type \mapsto \text{"Prepared"}, vdh \mapsto dh]\} \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vState, vlcState, vtpState, shState, vtpTPrepared \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$DHChooseToAbort(dh) \triangleq$

Destination Voucher holder dh spontaneously decides to abort. As noted above, dh does not send any message in our simplified spec.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}] \\
& \wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}] \\
& \wedge dhState[dh] = \text{"waiting"} \\
& \wedge dhState' = [dhState \text{ EXCEPT } ![dh] = \text{"aborted"}] \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vState, vlcState, vtpState, shState, vtpTPrepared, msgs \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$DHRcvTransferMsg(dh) \triangleq$

Destination Voucher holder dh is told by the VTP to *Transfer*.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}] \\
& \wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}] \\
& \wedge dhState[dh] = \text{"waiting"} \\
& \wedge [type \mapsto \text{"Transfer"}] \in msgs \\
& \wedge dhState' = [dhState \text{ EXCEPT } ![dh] = \text{"holding"}] \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vtpState, vState, vlcState, shState, vtpTPrepared, msgs \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$DHRcvAbortMsg(dh) \triangleq$

Destination Voucher holder dh is told by the VTP to abort.

$\wedge vState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"valid"}]$
 $\wedge vlcState = [v \in V \mapsto \text{"working"}]$
 $\wedge dhState[dh] = \text{"waiting"}$
 $\wedge [type \mapsto \text{"Abort"}] \in msgs$
 $\wedge dhState' = [dhState \text{ EXCEPT } ![dh] = \text{"aborted"}]$
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle vState, vlcState, vtpState, shState, vtpTPrepared, msgs \rangle$

$VTPNext \triangleq$
 $\vee \exists v \in V :$
 $\quad VTPTransfer(v) \vee VTPAbort(v)$
 $\vee \exists sh, dh \in SH \cup DH :$
 $\quad VTPRcvPrepared(sh, dh)$
 $\vee \exists sh \in SH :$
 $\quad SHPrepare(sh) \vee SHChooseToAbort(sh)$
 $\quad \vee SHRcvAbortMsg(sh) \vee SHRcvTransferMsg(sh)$
 $\vee \exists dh \in DH :$
 $\quad DHPrepare(dh) \vee DHChooseToAbort(dh)$
 $\quad \vee DHRcvAbortMsg(dh) \vee DHRcvTransferMsg(dh)$

$VTPConsistent \triangleq$
 A state predicate asserting that a SH and an DH have not reached conflicting decisions. It is an invariant of the specification.
 $\wedge \forall sh \in SH, dh \in DH :$ $\wedge \neg \wedge shState[sh] = \text{"transferred"}$
 $\wedge dhState[dh] = \text{"aborted"}$
 $\wedge \neg \wedge shState[sh] = \text{"aborted"}$
 $\wedge dhState[dh] = \text{"holding"}$

$VTPVars \triangleq \langle shState, dhState, vState, vlcState, vtpState, vtpTPrepared, msgs \rangle$

$VTPSpec \triangleq VTPInit \wedge \Box[VTPNext]_{VTPVars}$

The complete spec of the a Voucher *Transfer* using Two-Phase Commit protocol.

THEOREM $VTPSpec \Rightarrow \Box(VTPTTypeOK \wedge VTPConsistent)$

This theorem asserts the truth of the temporal formula whose meaning is that the state predicate $VTPTTypeOK \wedge VTPConsistent$ is an invariant of the specification $VTPSpec$. Invariance of this conjunction is equivalent to invariance of both of the formulas $VTPTTypeOK$ and $VTPConsistent$.

We now assert that the Voucher *Transfer* specification implements the Voucher Life Cycle specification of a voucher mentioned in module *VoucherLifeCycle*. The following statement imports all the definitions from module *VoucherLifeCycle* into the current module.

INSTANCE *VoucherLifeCycle*

THEOREM $VTPSpec \Rightarrow VSpec$

This theorem asserts that the specification $VTPSpec$ of the Two-Phase Commit protocol implements the specification $VSpec$ of the Voucher life cycle specification.

\ * Modification History

* Last modified *Tue Jun 12 13:15:55 IST 2018* by Fox
* Created *Fri Mar 16 17:45:37 SGT 2018* by Fox