A Review: Hermitian form
$$\langle , \rangle$$
: $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ $\{ : V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3 \times V_4 \times V_5 \times$

4, ~>= V*A~

```
<V, ei) = v*.ei as column vectors
                            √*. e; =0 ; s a linear equation
               Set of equations (T*. e:= ) is a system of linear equation
                                         Solutions is alway a vector space #
Lem. V = W D W
    Pf: D YVEV, V= W+ W miguely for WEW, w' & W'
        Uniqueness . V = W_1 + W_2^{\perp} = W_2 + W_2^{\perp} (need be show W_1 = W_2)
               Existence. Prok ONB for W: {e;}
    de W = \frac{1}{2} \langle e_i, v \rangle \cdot e_i
\langle w, e_j \rangle = \langle v, e_j \rangle
\langle \Sigma \langle e_i, v \rangle e_i, e_j \rangle \frac{1}{2} \langle v, e_j \rangle
          when where w' = V - W

Then where d

v = W + W'

v = V - W

v = V - W

v = V - W

v = V - W

v = V - W

v = V - W
         defue W1 = V - W
          precito their we to Will , or equivalently <wi, e> 20
                Lem A. W is an invariant subspace for action of H
       then W' is also an invariant subspace for H
  SH*=H => < v, Hw> = <Hv, w> standard Hermitian
form

This is because LHS = V* (H·w) = V* H*w
                           ÞHS = (Hv)* W = V* H* W
```

2HS = (Hv)* w = V* H* w need to show for whe W , that H w + E W + by deforman need <w, Hw+>=0 U w & W LHS = < ((w, w1) by Condition Hw EW => < HW, w'>=0 Pt of the for version (2) By FTA we confind eigenvalue λ_1 , eigenvertan V_1 for Hfurther mere, he can assume <u,,u,>=1 Len A: W = Span & U, y , define W+
then W, W1 are invariant subspaces Apply Same process to W which is din h-1 $\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \text{fod} & \lambda_2, & V_1 \in \mathbb{W}^{\perp} & \langle V_1 \rangle V_2 \rangle = 1 \end{array} \right)$ for W = Span {U, 1 V2} & W1 This is done in finise steps din V=n (U.) are eigen leadons & ONB Civer a Hermitian matrix H ford unitary h, S.t. h-1Hh is dragonal Similar to find Jordan normal form, but need to make sure exervectors form DNB $H=\begin{pmatrix} 2 & Hi \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$

Step 1. first eigenvalues & eigenvectors

$$P(N) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{-2} & -1-i \\ -1+i & \lambda_{-3} \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda_{-2})(\lambda_{-3}) - (-1-i)(-1+i) \\ = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6 - 2 = \lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6$$

$$\lambda_{-1} = \lambda_{-1} = 4$$
for $\lambda_{-1} = 1$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1}$$

$$\frac{\nabla^{2}(V_{1})}{\nabla^{2}(V_{1})} = \frac{\nabla^{2} - H \cdot V}{\nabla^{2} - V_{1}} = \frac{\nabla^{2} - H \cdot V}{\nabla^{2} - V_{1}} = \frac{\nabla^{2} - H \cdot V}{\nabla^{2} - V_{1}} = \frac{\nabla^{2} - V_{1}}{\nabla^{2} - V_{1}} = \frac{\nabla^{$$

A Perron - Frobenius Strang Sto.3

Motoration: Inpratice many matrices have real entires ever better

Example: Divide population into 3 groups ages 0~19

After 20 years, $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \overline{x_3'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \\ \hline P_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline O & P_2 & P_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$

F: Newborn rates from each groups (= lage F, , Fz Snell)

Pi Survival rates (Pr lange Ps smell)

A has all extricts 70 Det in this case we write A 30 Similarly A >0

△ Thm (Perron - Frobenius) Suppose A>0

O 3 an engenhalue X, E R, o eigenvector V. >0
@ \forall other e-genualine χ , we have $ \chi < \chi_0$
3) Vo 15 Unique up to Scalan
Pf. Define a set $S = S \times $
S is nonempty: because pick any x70, then Ax>0
there must be shall enough S s.t. Ex > sx
S is also bounded from above: $N = \sum_{j,\bar{\nu}} A_{ij}$
then $\forall \times 30$, $\underline{A} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ indeed suppose $\chi_i = \max\{\chi_i\}$
$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\lambda} & \lambda & \lambda \\ \overline{\lambda} & \lambda \end{array} \right)_{i} = \overline{\lambda} \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda \end{array} \right)_{i} = \overline{\lambda} \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda \end{array} \right)_{i}$
$(t^{p_0 t_0y})$
=) = maximal value to ES < N. Xi
Clain: No is actually an eigenvalue > (9
Clain: No is actually an eigenvalue > () Indeed by 2065 => 3x, st. Ax > xox Suppose Ax \$\pm\lambda.x
Apply A again (Ax) > A (x.x)
by enlarging to a tiny bit, he can guarantee $A(Ax) > (x_0 + \epsilon) Ax$ thus conductes that to is maximal
For this x $Ax=\lambda_0 x$ we have $x>0$ $\lambda_0 x=Ax>0$

This finishes D of thm.

2) Suppose I is some other eigenvalue of lo and vis eigenvector triangle - meg (x,+ x) < (x,) + (x) 3) / XIX Xx perdlet = A. [x] =) |N| ES = No. 7 (x) equality holds if all previous & are: =) x_i are parallel in C $x = c \cdot x_0 = s.t. x_0 > 0$ $|x| = |C| \times 0$ Which is the eigenvector in O

X as eigenventon for & }

Xo as eigenventon for Xn) λ > λ. this finishes (2)