# CS561\_HW3\_Boya\_Zhou

#### Question1:

	Partition1	Partition2	Partition3
Query1	1	2	3
Query2	4	5	6
Query3	7	8	9

#### There is **m** machine.

## 1. Query1, Partition1

- a. Parallel group by city: Server i partitions chunks Ri using a hash function (Customer.city mod P) on city (there is p city), get Ri1, Ri2....Ri,p-1.
- b. Server i send partition Rij to server j(assume j less than m)
- c. Server j computers AVG(age) on R0j, R1j, ....., Rp-1,j
- d. Send the result from server j to other server

## 2. Query1, Partition2:

- a. Since the data is evenly distributed to all nodes, still need to exchange data.
- b. The rest is as same as 1

## 3. Query1, Partition3:

- a. No need to do parallel group by
- b. Calculate the avg(age) just on the local machine

#### 4. Query2, Partition1

- a. Parallel duplicate elimination: Duplicate elimination the age on local machine on Customer.age since is a range-based partition, no need to exchange the data
- b. Each sever deal its own data on its own server.

### 5. Query2, Partition 2

- a. Since all the records is evenly distributed on each server.
- b. Each server partition chunks Ri using a hash function (Customer.city mod P) on city, get Ri1, Ri2....Ri,p-1
- c. Server j send partition Rij to other server
- d. Right now, each city is only in one server. Server j eliminate duplicate (age duplication) on R0j, R1j, ....., Rp-1,j

## 6. Query2, Partition3

a. Since it is based on city hash-based partition, each server perform duplication eliminate on its own server when considering age.

## 7. Query3, Partition 1

- a. Since the partition is range-based partition on age. Only the nodes contain age > 30 will work on data.
- b. Then select city="Boston" or city="New York"

## 8. Query3, Partition 2

- a. Since the data is well distributed on all servers, each server will perform the selection and projection on its own server.
- 9. Query3, Partition 3

a. Only the server contain city=Boston and city = New York do selection on age > 30

### Question2:

#### 1. First question

- Send only S.y to R's location
- Select R.x1 on R
- Do the join based on Y columns in R's location after selection
- Send the records of R that will join (without duplicates) to S's location
- Perform the final join in S's location

## 2. Second question

- Select on S.z1
- Send all the records in S fit the selection to R's location
- Perform the final join based on column y in R's location.

### 3. Third question

- We assume here are two relations: R(<u>cusID</u>, age, saraly), S(<u>cusID</u>, phoneNum, gender), cusID is primary key, two relations have same number of primary key and the two relations are almost the same large, we assume the is C customers.
- When using semi-join, the cost is S.cusID to R. The whole relation R to S.
- When just send S to R, the cost is whole relation S to R.
- Since the two relations are almost the same large, so the semi-join is less efficient here.
- Beside this scenario, if column y is large, semi-join will be less effective, too.

#### Question 3

#### 1. First question

- The purpose of two-phase commit is coordinates all the processes that participate in a distributed atomic transaction on whether to commit or abort the transaction
- Why it is widely is because The protocol achieves its goal even in many cases of temporary system failure.

## 2. Second question

- Since the coordinator receive "ready T" from all sites, it will go to the Phase 2, after coordinator send "commit T" to all sites.
  - i. After just the site recover, it will frozen in ready state. It will re-contact the coordinator, if can not, it will contact other participants, if there is any site in abort of commit state, this site will do the same thing. Abort T or Commit T.

#### 3. Third question

- Right now when coordinator recover, it is in prepare state, it will either
  - i. Resend "prepare T" to other sites and wait for response.
  - ii. Put "abort T" in its own log, send global "abort T" to all participants.
  - iii. If after a long time, coordinator recover, maybe the participants already selected a new coordinator to replace it.