## Matt Boyas

Stat 222 Midterm Report—Deviations from Proposal

This document is intended to accompany the included work and serves to explain some of the deviations from my original research proposal.

- (1) It made sense to me to lump together my first and third research questions, for as I began investigating question #1, I was also testing many of the variables that I wanted to look at in question #3.
- (2) Some of the titles of plots and tables have been altered or completely changed because I discovered that the titles no longer really worked once I had an actual figure/table at which to look.
- (3) The originally-proposed Figures 3 & 4 were changed to scatterplots. I was not sure how to calculate the original proportions for conflicts with zero deaths, and the scatterplots seemed to convey a very similar idea.
- (4) I chose not to include the regression summary due to the fact that three of the five variables are categorical, split into 7-10 binaries. As a result, there are a lot of variables listed with names that do not mean all that much, so I plan on just summarizing the regression summary in the text of my paper and perhaps including the entire summary output as an appendix.
- Many of the proposed figures/tables relating to the regression were somewhat hypothetical, and as my modeling methods changed, so did some of the plots. For example, I chose not to include normal Q-Q plots due to not running linear regression. I also did not include fitted value vs. residual plots for the training dataset in favor of focusing on clear plots/tables regarding prediction accuracy (I did not want to have too many similar looking figures/tables in my paper for fear that overall meaning and flow of the paper would be affected.)
- (6) The figure to show prediction accuracy from the number of deaths model was modified to be a fitted value vs. residual plot for the three modeling methods that I attempted.