ASSIGNMENT/ASSESSMENT ITEM COVER SHEET

Student Name:

<center>FIRST NAME   FAMILY / LAST NAME</center>

Student Number: Email:

Course Code   Course Title

(Example)   *(Example)*

A	B	C	D	1	2	3	4

Intro to University

Campus of Study: (eg Callaghan, Ourimbah, Port Macquarie)

Assessment Item Title: Due Date/Time:

Tutorial Group (If applicable):   Word Count (If applicable):

Lecturer/Tutor Name:

Extension Granted: Yes   No   Granted Until:

Please attach a copy of your extension approval

NB: STUDENTS MAY EXPECT THAT THIS ASSIGNMENT WILL BE RETURNED WITHIN 3 WEEKS OF THE DUE DATE OF SUBMISSION

Please tick box if applicable

Students within the Faculty of Business and Law, Faculty of Science and Information Technology, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment and the School of Nursing and Midwifery:
I verify that I have completed the online Academic Q đ^*¦ă Module and adhered to its principles

Students within the School of Education:
"I understand that a minimum standard of correct referencing and academic literacy is required to pass all written assignments in the School of Education; and I have read and understood the School of Education *Course Outline Policy Supplement,* which includes important information related to assessment policies and procedures.

I declare that this assessment item is my own work unless otherwise acknowledged and is in accordance with the University's academic integrity policy available from the Policy Library on the web at http://www.newcastle.edu.au/policylibrary/000608.html I certify that this assessment item has not been submitted previously for academic credit in this or any other course. I certify that I have not given a copy or have shown a copy of this assessment item to another student enrolled in the course.

I acknowledge that the assessor of this assignment may, for the purpose of assessing this assignment:
- Reproduce this assessment item and provide a copy to another member of the Faculty; and/or
- Communicate a copy of this assessment item to a plagiarism checking service (which may then retain a copy of the item on its database for the purpose of future plagiarism checking).
- Submit the assessment item to other forms of plagiarism checking.

I certify that any electronic version of this assessment item that I have submitted or will submit is identical to this paper version.

DATE STAMP HERE

Insert this way

Turnitin ID:
(if applicable)

Signature: _____ Date:

THE UNIVERSITY OF
NEWCASTLE
AUSTRALIA

SENG3500 Laboratory 2 Report – Simulation Experiment II

Introduction

The purpose of the simulations in this report is to analyse the queues, traffic patterns and delays of the M/D/1, M/M/1 and M/M/1/K systems under a variety of different parameters. The results of the simulations will be analysed to determine which systems are appropriate for each circumstance. Using the provided FIFO model, a number of simulations will be run to test:

1. The mean packet delay, mean queue delay and mean queue length of the 3 queue systems given different loads,
2. M/M/1 packet delay for 3 different random seed values,
3. M/M/1/10 packet loss for different load values,
4. Queue size vs. packet loss for different load values.

Simulation Model

The simulation uses a basic first-in-first-out (FIFO) queuing model. As a FIFO model, packets are processed in the order that they arrive. All iterations use a single input for arrivals, a single output for services and a delay box to simulate a queue. The delay box has a function for "dropping" packets, but this only applies to queues of finite length.

The M/D/1 model uses an exponential packet inter-arrival time and a fixed service time, simulated by keeping packet length as a fixed variable.
The M/M/1 model uses an exponential packet inter-arrival time and an exponential service time, simulated by having exponential packet length. Both of these models have a queue of infinite length.
The M/M/1/10 model has a queue with a packet limit of 10, but is otherwise identical to the M/M/1 model. The model will dump packets when the queue is maxed, logging these details.

To ensure that results remain consistent across all tests, a random seed value can be set. The model will always output the same results with a specific seed. All simulations use a seed value of "100" unless otherwise specified.


Figure 1. FIFO queue, with a packet generator (gen), packet queue (fifo) and packet destination (sink).

Results

Traffic load	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.9	1
Mean packet delay	0.1047	0.121	0.1575	0.2361	0.9676	8.6367
Mean queue length	0.4955	0.7073	1.1064	1.8739	9.2959	88.2663
Mean queue delay	0.0047	0.021	0.0575	0.1361	0.8675	8.5383

Table 1. M/D/1, seed = 100

Traffic load	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.9	1
Mean packet delay	0.1096	0.1395	0.2002	0.3359	0.9386	6.5609
Mean queue length	0.5704	0.946	1.4906	2.8725	8.8615	68.3581
Mean queue delay	0.0092	0.043	0.1025	0.2365	0.8395	6.4639

Table 2. M/M/1, seed = 100

| Traffic load	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.9	1
Mean packet delay	0.1096	0.1395	0.2002	0.3128	0.5164	0.6241
Mean queue length	0.5704	0.946	1.4906	2.5422	4.3411	5.2152
Mean queue delay	0.0092	0.043	0.1025	0.2132	0.4169	0.5242
Packet loss	0	0	0	36	270	304.5

Table 3. M/M/1/10, seed = 100

Figure 2. M/M/1/10 packet loss, p *= 0.7, seed = 100*

Figure 3. M/M/1/10 packet loss, p *= 0.6, seed = 100*

| Queue size	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75
Packet loss	443	277	186	141	113	88	65	53	47	34	29	19	16	11

Table 4. M/M/1/n, p = 0.95


Figure 4. M/M/1, p *= 0.6, seed = 38*

Figure 5. M/M/1, p = 0.6, seed = 94

Figure 6. M/M/1, p = 0.6, seed = 100

Analysis

The M/D/1 model shows the best results when the traffic load is smaller, showing lower queue lengths and delays. As the traffic load increases and the queue becomes longer, it begins to underperform.

The M/M/1 model starts out less efficient than the M/D/1 model. While delays become much more significant as the queue length increases, they are notably smaller than the previous model

The M/M/1/10 model starts out as efficient as the M/M/1 model. However, as the queue reaches the maximum and packets are dropped, delays only increase to about 10% compared to the previous model.

Knowledge

1. *Calculate the theoretical packet delay values for M/M/1/10 and M/M/1 models, using ρ = 0.5 and 0.7. Use the same service rate as that in the simulation model.*

    ρ = 0.5   ρ = 0.7
    μ = 10000/1000 = 10   μ = 10000/1000 = 10
    E[T] = [0.5 / (1-0.5)] * (1/10) + (1/10)   E[T] = [0.7 / (1-0.7)] * (1/10) + (1/10)
         = **0.2**   = **0.333...**

2. *Compare the theoretical values calculated in Question 1 with the simulation results obtained. Explain why these results are the same or different?*

    The results are similar, however the simulated figures are slightly higher.

	Theoretical	Simulated
ρ = 0.5	0.2	0.2002
ρ = 0.7	0.333...	0.3359

    This discrepancy can be explained by factoring in randomness. The theoretical equation implies a "perfect" environment – no unexpected interruptions and tightly controlled variables. By contrast, the simulation might account for unexpected interruptions or differently-sized delays.

3. *Compare the packet delay and packet loss values obtained using the M/M/1 and M/D/1 models. Explain the differences you can observe by examining the load vs packet delay and load vs packet loss simulations.*

    As the load increased, the packet delay values increased exponentially. A major spike in the values could be observed when the load value reached 0.7. It is at this point where the queue became particularly bloated. Both models showed high growth in this area, although the packet delay growth was slightly less in the M/M/1 model.
    Observing the M/M/1/10 model, we can see that at this time, the number of lost packets also increased sharply. From this it can be confirmed that the load was not sufficient to overly tax the queue, and thus packet delays remained relatively small.

4. *Explain the effect of using a random number for different seed values in the simulation model. Do you see any change of the packet delay distribution? Explain any differences you have observed using the different seed values.*

    The seed produces a stream of random numbers. Owing to the difficulty of producing a truly random number in the purely mathematical environment of a computer, that seed is processed with a fixed algorithm which therefore always produces the same result. This is called a pseudo-random number, as it only seems to be random.
    The benefit to this is that a seed will always produce the same "random" stream of values, allowing the user to get consistent results. These values are multiplied with the fixed delay and process times and the final seemingly-random value is what is used.

    Although the average packet delay remains relatively stable, the packet delay distribution is completely different across all seed values. These differences can be explained with simple

probability – though the distribution is random, given a range of random variables, over time they will average out to the midpoint of the range.

5. *Explain the effect(s) of buffer size selection on the QoS value of the outgoing traffic of a network switch.*

A smaller buffer size will shorten packet/queue delays but will result in more lost packets. This means that networks will be less congested and faster moving, but the quality/integrity of data will be diminished. If not excessive, this can be acceptable for video conferencing or streaming services.
A larger buffer size will lengthen delays but it is more likely that data will be preserved. This is important for things like financial services, where precision is more important than speed.

6. *Consider a real communication network where you don't have control over the traffic arrival process. What changes can you make within a packet switched node to reduce the probability of packet losses and high packet delay?*

The best way of helping this issue is by improving the bandwidth. As the rate of bps is increased, packets are processed more quickly and thus spend less time waiting in the queue. This will reduce packet and queue delay, and reduce or prevent packets from being dropped in networks with smaller queues.