

COMP3260/COMP6360 Data Security
Week 5 Workshop – 28th and 29th March 2019

Solutions

1. For polyalphabetic substitution cipher with period d estimate the unicity distance, assuming that all keys are equally likely

Solution:

The unicity distance is defined as $U = H(k)/D$, where $H(k)$ is the entropy of the key k , and D is the redundancy of the language. For English, we estimate $D=3.2$

There are $(26!)^d$ possible keys so $U = \lg(26!)^d / 3.2 = d \times \lg 26! / 3.2 \approx 27.62d$

2. Decipher the following ciphertext, which was enciphered using a Vigenere cipher with key ART: YFN GFM IKK IXA T.

Solution:

With a Vigenere cipher the key K is a sequence of letters $K = k_1k_2\dots k_d$ where k_i gives the amount of shift in the i^{th} alphabet. That is:

$$f_i(X) = (x + k_i) \bmod n, \text{ that is}$$

$$c = (m + k) \bmod 26, \text{ so } m = (c - k) \bmod 26$$

YFN	GFM	IKK	IXA	T	Cipher
ART	ART	ART	ART	A	Key
YOU	GOT	ITR	IGH	T	Plaintext

As numbers for first three characters.

24	05	13	c
00 17	19	k	
24 14	20	m	

Alternatively, you can use the lookup table in the textbook. For plaintext letter m and key letter k , the ciphertext c is the letter in column m of row k . For ciphertext c , the plaintext m is the column containing c in row k .

Plaintext is YOU GOT IT RIGHT.

3. Decipher the following ciphertext, which was enciphered using a Beaufort cipher with key ART: CDZ ORQ WRH SZA AHP

Solution:

Beaufort cipher:

$$f_i(x) = (k_i - x) \bmod n, \text{ that is}$$

$$c = (k - m) \bmod 26, \text{ so } m = (k - c) \bmod 26$$

CDZ	ORQ	WRH	SZA	AHP	Ciphertext
ART	ART	ART	ART	ART	Key
YOU	MAD	EAM	IST	AKE	Plaintext

As number for the first three characters:

02	03	25	ciphertext c
00	17	19	key k
24	14	20	plaintext m

Alternatively use Vigenere Tableau. As $m + c = k$, for plaintext letter m , the ciphertext letter c is the row containing the key k in column m . For ciphertext c , the plaintext m is the column containing k in row c .

Plaintext is YOU MADE A MISTAKE.

4. Consider a linear substitution cipher that uses the transformation $f(a) = ak \bmod 26$. Suppose you know with certainty that the plaintext letter J(9) corresponds to the ciphertext letter P(15), that is, $9k \bmod 26 = 15$. Break the cipher by solving for k .

Solution:

We have an equation of the form $ax \bmod n = b$: there are three cases

- When $\gcd(a, n) = 1$: find solution x_0 to $ax \bmod n = 1$; then $x = bx_0 \bmod n$.
- When $\gcd(a, n) = g$:
 - If g divides b , that is, $b \bmod g = 0$, then $ax \bmod n = b$ has g solutions of the form: $x = ((b/g)x_0 + t(n/g)) \bmod n$, for $t=0, 1, \dots, g-1$, where x_0 is the solution to $(a/g)x \bmod (n/g) = 1$.
 - If g does not divide b then there are no solutions.

To solve $9k \bmod 26 = 15$ we need to calculate $\gcd(9, 26)$. Applying Euclid's algorithm (or simply by inspection, as the values are very small) we show that $\gcd(9, 26)=1$, and therefore $k=15k_0 \bmod 26$, where k_0 is a solution of $9k_0 \bmod 26 = 1$, that is, k is a multiplicative inverse of $9 \bmod 26$. Since $26=2 \times 13$, we can use CRT and we get $9k \bmod 2 = 1$, that is, $k_1 \bmod 2 = 1$, and $9k \bmod 13 = 1$, thus $k_2 \bmod 13 = 3$

Solving these two equations gives us

$$k \bmod 2 = 1, k_1=1$$

$$k \bmod 13 = 3, k_2=3$$

We are now using CRT to find a common solution in the range $[0, 25]$:

$$13y_1 \bmod 2 = 1, y_2 = 1$$

$$2y_1 \bmod 13 = 1, y_1 = 7$$

Thus $k_0 = (1 \times 1 \times 13 + 3 \times 7 \times 2) \bmod 26 = (13 + 42) \bmod 26 = (13 + 16) \bmod 26 = 3$
 Therefore $k = 15k_0 \bmod 26 = 45 \bmod 26 = 19$

5. Consider again a linear substitution cipher that uses the transformation $f(a) = ak \bmod 26$. Suppose you know with certainty that the plaintext letter N(13) corresponds to the ciphertext letter N(13), that is, $13k \bmod 26 = 13$. Can you break the cipher by solving for k ? What about if you also know that the plaintext letter C(2) corresponds to the ciphertext G(6)?

Solution:

We have $13k \bmod 26 = 13$ so we again have an equation of the form $ax \bmod n = b$, but unlike in the previous question we have $\gcd(13, 26) = 13$. Since $13 \bmod 13 = 0$, the equation has 13 solutions of the form $k = (k_0 + 2t) \bmod 26$, where $t = 0, 1, \dots, 12$, and k_0 is the solution of equation $k_0 \bmod 2 = 1$, this $k_0 = 1$. Therefore, we have $k = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 25$ and we cannot break the cipher as we cannot uniquely determine k . However, if we also know that $2k \bmod 26 = 6$, it follows that k also has to satisfy $k = 3 + 13t$, $t = 0, 1$ so $k = 3$ or 16 . Thus the common solution is 3. Also note that 16 cannot be chosen for k in any case, as $\gcd(16, 26) = 2$ and we would not have a one-to-one function, so we could not decrypt messages.

6. Consider again a linear substitution cipher that uses the transformation $f(a) = ak \bmod 26$. Suppose that you suspect that the plaintext letter N(13) corresponds to the ciphertext letter P(15), that is, $13k \bmod 26 = 15$. Can you break the cipher by solving for k ?

Solution:

No, you can't break the cipher but you can tell that your guess is wrong, as the equation $13k \bmod 26 = 15$ has no solutions.

7. Consider the Measure of Roughness $M = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (p_i - \frac{1}{n})^2$ and consider the alternative versions $M_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (p_i - \frac{1}{n})$ and $M_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |p_i - \frac{1}{n}|$. Could each of M_1 and M_2 be used in place of M ? If yes, which is a better measure and why?

Solution:

M_1 cannot be used, as $M_1 = \sum p_i - \sum 1/n = 1 - 1 = 0$ and therefore M_1 does not depend on the frequency distribution of letters. M_2 can be used, but it takes all the probability deviations from $1/n$ equally into account, while M emphasizes larger deviations (which translate into greater 'roughness'). For example, if we have

a) $p_1 = p_2 = 1/52$, $p_3 = p_4 = 3/52$, and $p_5 = \dots = p_{26} = 2/52$, and

b) $p_1 = 0$, $p_2 = 4/52$, and $p_3 = \dots = p_{26} = 2/52$

(note that $\sum p_i = 1$ in both cases as it should be),
 we have $M_1 = 0.076923$ in both cases, while $M = 0.001479$ in a) and $M = 0.002959$ in b).
 Therefore, M appears to capture the notion of 'roughness' better than M_1 .