

COMS 4236: Computational Complexity (Fall 2018)

Problem Set #3

Wenbo Gao - wg2313@columbia.edu

December 21, 2018

Problem 1

Problem 11.5.18 on page 275 of CC: Show that, if $NP \subseteq BPP$, then $RP = NP$. (That is, if SAT can be solved by randomized machine, then it can be solved by randomized machines with no false positives, presumably by computing a satisfying truth as in Example 10.3.)

Goal. Prove $SAT \in NP\text{-complete} \subseteq NP \subseteq RP$.

Proof.

Let A be a machine in BPP that decides SAT, $L(A) = SAT$,

Given.

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in SAT &\Rightarrow \Pr_{y \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)}}[D(x, y) = 1] \geq \frac{2}{3} \\ \forall x \notin SAT &\Rightarrow \Pr_{y \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)}}[D(x, y) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{3}\end{aligned}$$

$\forall w \in SAT$, where w is binary encoding of a boolean formula Φ , where $w = \langle \lambda x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n. \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \rangle$, construct a DTM, D , as the following,

1. Initialize counter $i = 1$
2. Simulate both $\lambda x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n. \Phi(x_1, \dots, True, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ and $\lambda x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n. \Phi(x_1, \dots, False, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ on A
3. If $(A(\Phi(x_1, \dots, T, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)), A(\Phi(x_1, \dots, F, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)))$
 - $= (0, 0)$, then reject
 - $= (1, 0)$, then keep $x_i = True$, increment counter $i = i + 1$, and repeat step 2
 - $= (0, 1)$, then keep $x_i = False$, increment counter $i = i + 1$, and repeat step 2
 - $= (1, 1)$, then keep $x_i = True$ (or $x_i = False$, the choice doesn't matter), increment counter $i = i + 1$, and repeat step 2.
4. Once we have an assignment of Φ , verify the assignment

- If $\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 1$, then accept;
- otherwise, reject.

This way we guarantee that if $w \notin SAT$, our machine D always rejects.

If $w \in SAT$, there is $\geq (\frac{2}{3})^n$ chance that the machine A is correct in all n iterations.

We can amplify this probability to be $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ by repeating this experiment polynomial times.

Therefore, $L(D) = SAT \wedge L(D) \in RP$, and thus $SAT \in RP$. \square

Problem 2

Let $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < 1$ denote two constants. Let $D(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a deterministic polynomial-time computable Boolean function, and let L be a language (the setting so far is exactly the same as the definition of BPP.) D and L satisfy the following property: Given any $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, if y is sampled uniformly at random from $\{0, 1\}^m$ for some m polynomial in n , then

$$x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr_{y \in \{0,1\}^m}[D(x, y) = 1] \geq \epsilon_2 \text{ and } x \notin L \Rightarrow \Pr_{y \in \{0,1\}^m}[D(x, y) = 1] \leq \epsilon_1.$$

Show that $L \in \text{BPP}$. (Note that ϵ_2 can be smaller than $1/2$. Use the Chernoff bound.)

Proof. Construct a DTM, D' , which independently draws k instances from $\{0, 1\}^m$, $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$, $\forall x \notin L$,

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^k D(x, y_i)\right] = k \cdot \epsilon_1$$

By Chernoff bound, $\Delta = k \cdot \left(\frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2} - \epsilon_1\right) = k \cdot \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{2}$,

$$\Pr[D'(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) = 1] = \Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^k D(x, y_i) \geq k \cdot \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}\right] \leq e^{\frac{-2(k \cdot \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{2})^2}{k}} = e^{-\Omega(k)}$$

Therefore, $L = L(D') \in \text{Strong BPP}$, and thus $L \in \text{BPP}$. □

Problem 3

Problem. Similar to P/poly , one can define $P/\log n$, where the advice string is of length only $O(\log n)$ for input size n . Show that, if $\text{SAT} \in P/\log n$, then $P = \text{NP}$. (Hint: Self-reducibility.)

Proof. Since advice string is of $O(\log n)$, it's able to enumerate all $2^{O(\log n)} = O(n)$ advice strings in poly-time and, $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, if at least one advice string accepts then accept; otherwise, reject.

This way we can deterministically decide SAT in poly-time. Therefore, $P = \text{NP}$. □

Problem 4

Show that, if $\text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{P/poly}$, then $\text{PSPACE} = \Sigma_2^P$. (Hint: Use self-reducibility to "implicitly" build a winning strategy for the existential player in the TQBF game.)

Proof. $\text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{P/poly} \Rightarrow$ there exists a poly-size circuit sequence $\{C_m\}$ where C_m decides TQBF instances of size m .

For a TQBF instance of size m , $Q_1X_1.Q_2X_2.\dots Q_nX_n.\Phi(X_1,\dots,X_n)$, use \exists quantifier of Σ_2^P to non-deterministically guess the circuit sequence,

1. initialize counter $i = 1$
2. for \exists -player's turn, $\exists X_i$, check $C_k(Q_{i+1}X_{i+1}.\dots Q_nX_n.\Phi(X_1,\dots, \text{True}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n))$ and $C_k(Q_{i+1}X_{i+1}.\dots Q_nX_n.\Phi(X_1,\dots, \text{False}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n))$, where $k \leq m$,
 - if both = 0, then reject
 - Otherwise, keep the assignment of X_i which leads to $C_k(\dots) = 1$, increment counter $i = i + 1$, and repeat step 2 - 3
3. for \forall -player's turn, use \forall quantifier of Σ_2^P

Therefore, $\text{TQBF} \in \Sigma_2^P$ and thus $\text{PSPACE} = \Sigma_2^P$. □