	<50	<65	<75	<85	>=85
Criterion	Not sufficiently addressed (F)	Minimal solution (P)	Acceptable solution (C)	Good solution (D)	Outstanding solution (HD)
Snapshots (Including attendance in sprint planning meeting (9+1)) **See Below**	Areas with limited or no coverage.	Areas with limited coverage.	Areas with detailed coverage.	Areas with thorough coverage and discussion (where required).	Areas with thorough coverage and detailed discussion (where required).
What went well in the Sprint?	Limited or no discussion of what went well in the sprint with limited or no examples or discussion of how it built better software	Limited discussion of what went well in the sprint with limited examples or discussion of how it built better software.	Discussion of what went well in the sprint. Providing examples of specific instances but with vague examples. No discussion on how this helped build better software.	Detailed discussion of what went well in the sprint. Providing examples of specific instances. Linking what went well and their examples back to how this helped the team but with limited understanding of how this helped build better software	Detailed discussion of what went well in the sprint. Providing examples of specific instances. Linking what went well and examples back to how this helped the team. Displays a deep understanding of how it helped build better software
What could be improved?	Limited or no discussion of what could be improved in the sprint with limited or no examples or discussion of how it affected the software development	Limited discussion of what could be improved in the sprint with limited examples or discussion of how it affected the software development	Discussion of what could be improved in the sprint. Providing examples of specific instances but with vague examples. No discussion on how this affected the software development	Detailed discussion of what could be improved in the sprint. Providing examples of specific instances. Linking what went well and their examples back to how this affected the team but with limited understanding of how this effected software development	Detailed discussion of what could be improved in the sprint. Providing examples of specific instances. Linking what went well and examples back to how this affected the team. Displays a deep understanding of how this effected software development
What will the group commit to improve in the next Sprint?	Limited or no discussion of what the team will commit to improving in the next sprint. No implementation details.	Limited discussion of what the team will commit to improving in the next sprint. Limited implementation details.	Discussion of what the team will commit to improving in the next sprint. Examples and implementation details provided of how exactly what will be done.	Detailed discussion of what the team will commit to improving in the next sprint. Examples and implementation details provided of how exactly what will be done. Limited discussion on how this will help the software development process.	Detailed discussion of what the team will commit to improving in the next sprint. Examples and implementation details provided of how exactly what will be done. Detailed discussion on how this will help the software development process. Have addressed at least some of the aspects mentioned in the

					"what could be improved" section.
Comment on your progress this sprint	Non-sufficient amount of work completed for the sprint and no justification on task complexity.	Minimal amount of work completed for the sprint and limited justification of task complexity	Minimal amount of work completed for the sprint and limited justification of task complexity	Acceptable amount of work completed for the sprint and justification of task complexity	Outstanding amount of work completed for the sprint and detailed justification of task complexity
Requirements Changes					
(for postgraduate students only)	Limited or no discussion of any requirement changes that occurred in the sprint. OR Limited or no discussion of possible future requirement changes	Limited discussion of any requirement changes that occurred in the sprint. No or limited discussion of how this will affect their project. OR Limited or no discussion of possible future requirement changes. No or limited discussion of how this could affect their project.	Discussion of any requirement changes that occurred in the sprint. Discussion of how this will affect their project. OR Discussion of possible future requirement changes. Discussion of how this could affect their project.	Detailed discussion of any requirement changes that occurred in the sprint. Detailed discussion of how this will affect their project. OR Detailed discussion of possible future requirement changes. Detailed discussion of how this could affect their project.	Detailed discussion of any requirement changes that occurred in the sprint. Detailed discussion of how this will affect their project. Discussion of a possible solution to the issue. OR Detailed discussion of possible future requirement changes. Detailed discussion of how this could affect their project. Discussion of a possible solution to the issue.

The Snapshot part of the rubric is limited because it's hard to encapsulate all the areas – so instead here is the marking scheme we will be using for the snapshot section:

Snapshot (10 Marks):

- 1 for declaration
- 9 remaining, divided by 2 for the two-week snapshots.
- 4.5 for four questions.

- Definition of Done (0.5)
- Product Backlog and Task Board (1)
- Summary of Changes (2)
- User Stories (1)