

## Ch. 17 – Hypotheses and Test Procedures

Def'n: A null hypothesis is a claim about a population parameter that is assumed to be true until it is declared false.

An alternative hypothesis is a claim about a population parameter that will be true if the null hypothesis is false.

In carrying out a test of  $H_0$  vs.  $H_A$ , the hypothesis  $H_0$  is “rejected” in favour of  $H_A$  only if sample evidence strongly suggests that  $H_0$  is false. If the sample does not contain such evidence,  $H_0$  is “not rejected” or you “fail to reject” it.

NEVER “accept”  $H_0$  or  $H_A$ ...for different reasons.

Ex17.1)  $H_0: \mu = 2.8$                        $H_A: \mu \neq 2.8$   
                   $\uparrow$                                                $\uparrow$   
                  pop'n characteristic                      hypothesized value or “claim”

Def'n: A two-tailed test has “rejection regions” in both tails.

A one-tailed test has a “rejection region” in one tail.

A lower-tailed test has the “rejection region” in the left tail.

An upper-tailed test has the “rejection region” in the right tail.

Ex17.2)

- |    |                      |                         |
|----|----------------------|-------------------------|
| a) | $H_0: \mu = 15$      | $H_A: \mu = 15$         |
| b) | $H_0: \mu = 123$     | $H_A: \mu = 125$        |
| c) | $H_0: \mu = 123$     | $H_A: \mu < 123$        |
| d) | $H_0: \mu \geq 123$  | $H_A: \mu < 123$        |
| e) | $H_0: p = 0.4$       | $H_A: p > 0.6$          |
| f) | $H_0: p = 1.5$       | $H_A: p > 1.5$          |
| g) | $H_0: \hat{p} = 0.1$ | $H_A: \hat{p} \neq 0.1$ |

	Two-Tailed Test	Lower-Tailed Test	Upper-Tailed Test
Sign for $H_0$	=	= or $\geq$	= or $\leq$
Sign for $H_A$	$\neq$	<	>
“Rejection region”	In both tails	In the left tail	In the right tail

Ex17.3)

Def'n: A test statistic is the function of the sample data on which a conclusion to reject or fail to reject  $H_0$  is based. For example,  $Z$  and  $t$  are test statistics.

The  $P$ -value is a measure of inconsistency between the hypothesized value for a pop'n characteristic and the observed sample. Assuming  $H_0$  is true, the  $P$ -value can be defined as the probability of obtaining a test statistic value at least as inconsistent with  $H_0$  as what actually resulted. Keep in mind that we *want* to be inconsistent with  $H_0$  to reject it. **Thus, the smaller the  $P$ -value, the more likely we reject  $H_0$ .**

The significance level (denoted by  $\alpha$ ) is a number such that we reject  $H_0$  if the  $P$ -value is less than or equal to that number.

The “significance level approach”:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{reject } H_0 \text{ if } p\text{-value} \leq \alpha \\ &\text{do not reject } H_0 \text{ if } p\text{-value} > \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Common choices for  $\alpha$  are 0.01, 0.05, and 0.1, depending on the nature of the test.

#### PROBLEMS:

- If you're comparing to  $\alpha = 0.05$ , are the  $P$ -values 0.045 and 0.000 001 “different”?
- If we use a “cut-off” like  $\alpha = 0.05$ , does it make sense to conclude differently between  $P$ -values of 0.049 and 0.051?

Solution: ALWAYS report your  $P$ -value! That way a reader may draw their own conclusions. Moreover, use the “judgment approach” for rejection. Here, there's a tendency of avoiding “cut-off” points and going toward some “acceptable” guidelines:

- $0.01 > P\text{-value} > 0 \rightarrow$  strong to convincing evidence against  $H_0$
- $0.05 > P\text{-value} > 0.01 \rightarrow$  moderate to strong evidence against  $H_0$
- $0.10 > P\text{-value} > 0.05 \rightarrow$  suggestive to moderate evidence against  $H_0$ , yet inconclusive
- $1 > P\text{-value} > 0.1 \rightarrow$  weak evidence against  $H_0$

#### Steps of a Significance Test:

- Assumptions*: Specify variable/parameter. What assumptions apply? Do they hold?
- Hypotheses*: State the null/alternative hypotheses. (Select  $\alpha$  for the test.)
- Test statistic*: Use the appropriate formula for the given situation.
- $P$ -value*: Determine an exact value or range.
- Conclusion*: Make a decision and conclude within the context of the problem.

#### Significance Tests About Proportions

Recall the 3 rules from Chapter 15. They collectively imply that when  $n$  is large,

$$z_0 = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} \text{ is approximately } N(0, 1).$$

Assumptions: categorical variable, random sample,  $np_0 \geq 15$  and  $n(1 - p_0) \geq 15$ .

Ex17.4) Recall the survey of random people from Ex16.3). Suppose  $p$  is 0.240. Does the sample disprove this claim? Test the claim using both approaches and  $\alpha = 0.01$ .

(Note that one-tailed tests with this example were also discussed in class.)

#### Summary

Each hypothesis test should include:

- clear null & alternative hypotheses
- assumptions (stated and checked)
- appropriately-used test statistic (show the formula, identify its distribution)
- calculation of both the test statistic and  $P$ -value (exact or range)
- conclusion in the context of the problem

### Ch. 18 – Errors in Hypothesis Testing

In any hypothesis test, there is 1 of 2 choices: reject or not reject. There is also 1 of 2 choices as the test applies to reality:  $H_0$  is true or  $H_0$  is false.

		Actual situation	
		$H_0$ is true	$H_0$ is false
Decision	Do not reject $H_0$	Correct Decision	Type II or $\beta$ error
	Reject $H_0$	Type I or $\alpha$ error	Correct Decision

Def'n: A Type I error occurs when a true null hypothesis is rejected. The value of  $\alpha$  represents the prob. of committing this type of error; that is,

$$\alpha = P(H_0 \text{ is rejected} \mid H_0 \text{ is true})$$

The value of  $\alpha$  represents the *significance level* of the test.

A Type II error occurs when a false null hypothesis is not rejected. The value of  $\beta$  represents the prob. of committing a Type II error; that is,

$$\beta = P(H_0 \text{ is not rejected} \mid H_0 \text{ is false})$$

The value of  $1 - \beta$  is called the *power of the test*. It represents the probability of NOT making a Type II error. Or, power =  $P(\text{rejecting } H_0 \mid H_0 \text{ is false})$ .

Ex18.1)  $H_0$ : “innocent until proven guilty”

		Actual situation	
		Innocent	Guilty
Jury's decision	Find not guilty		
	Find guilty		

These 2 errors are dependent. For a fixed sample size, lowering  $\alpha$  will raise  $\beta$  and vice versa. Decreasing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  simultaneously requires increasing the sample size. Further information on the errors are not covered in this course.