


Comparison with traditional tests and other R packages

Brice Ozenne

October 16, 2025

This first part of this vignette make connexions between the output of a linear mixed model and well-known test (t.test, ANCOVA, Pearson's correlation). The second part of the vignette make connexion with other  packages.

1 Illustrative datasets

We will consider two illustrative datasets:

- data from the abeta study lifestyle and psychosocial data between patients with newly diagnosed bipolar disorder (BD) and matched healthy controls (HC) at baseline: functioning assessment test (fast0), quality of life (qol0), perceived stress score (pss0), ... and at 1 year follow-up (pss1, fast1, qol1, for BD only).

```
data(abetaW, package = "LMMstar")
abetaW$missingreason <- NULL
head(abetaW)
```

	id	sex	age	group	episode	fast0	qol0	pss0	fast1	pss1	qol1	educationyears	alcohol
1	1	M	30	BD	0	1	88	9	0	NA	NA	13	0
2	2	F	55	BD	1	32	87	21	NA	NA	NA	15	0
3	3	M	51	BD	0	29	86	23	31	27	79	21	1
4	4	M	38	BD	0	1	96	7	6	6	101	21	1
5	5	M	21	BD	0	3	97	1	1	5	105	12	1
6	6	M	42	BD	1	22	70	17	40	18	68	13	0

This dataset shows great difference in heterogeneity between the two groups, e.g.:

```
library(LMMstar)
summarize(pss0 ~ group, data = abetaW, na.rm = TRUE)
```

	group	observed	missing	mean	sd	min	q1	median	q3	max
1	BD	86	1	13.2674	6.8435	1	7.25	13	17.75	29
2	HC	44	0	7.2727	5.0272	0	3.75	6	10.50	19

We will also use a balanced version of this dataset (equal group size):

```
abetaW.B <- do.call(rbind, by(abetaW, abetaW$group, function(iDF){
  iDF[which(!is.na(iDF$pss0))[1:44],]
}))
```

- data from the calcium dataset, a two-arm randomized clinical trial comparing bone mineral density between calcium supplement (C) and placebo (P). Visits were planned every 6 months, `bmd1` refers to the baseline measurement and `bmd2`, ..., `bmd5` refers to post-intervention measurements. `time.obs1`, ..., `time.obs5` refer to the time elapsed from baseline measurement in years.

```
data(calciumL, package = "LMMstar")
calciumL <- merge(by = "girl", calciumL,
                  transform(calciumL, baseline = bmd)[calciumL$visit==1,c("girl","baseline")])
calciumL <- calciumL[order(calciumL$girl,calciumL$visit),]
head(calciumL)
```

	girl	grp	dropout	dropvisit	visit	time.obs	bmd	baseline
1	101	C	0	NA	1	0.00000	815	815
3	101	C	0	NA	2	0.51472	875	815
5	101	C	0	NA	3	0.98015	911	815
2	101	C	0	NA	4	1.49760	952	815
4	101	C	0	NA	5	1.99589	970	815
10	102	P	0	NA	1	0.00000	813	813

The corresponding wide format is

```
data(calciumW, package = "LMMstar")
calciumW$dropout <- NULL
calciumW$dropvisit <- NULL
head(calciumW)
```

	girl	grp	bmd1	bmd2	bmd3	bmd4	bmd5	time.obs1	time.obs2	time.obs3	time.obs4	time.obs5
1	101	C	815	875	911	952	970	0	0.51472	0.98015	1.4976	1.9959
2	102	P	813	833	855	881	901	0	0.51472	0.95551	1.4730	1.9521
3	103	P	812	812	843	855	895	0	0.51198	0.95825	1.4757	1.9548
4	104	C	804	847	885	920	948	0	0.51198	0.97194	1.5086	2.1136
5	105	C	904	927	952	955	1002	0	0.57495	0.97741	1.4757	1.9548
6	106	P	831	855	890	908	933	0	0.53388	1.01300	1.5907	2.1684

We will use the placebo group as reference:

```
calciumW$grp <- relevel(calciumW$grp, "P")
calciumL$grp <- relevel(calciumL$grp, "P")
```

and the change from baseline in bone mineral density:

```
calciumW$change2 <- calciumW$bmd2 - calciumW$bmd1
calciumW$change3 <- calciumW$bmd3 - calciumW$bmd1
calciumW$change4 <- calciumW$bmd4 - calciumW$bmd1
calciumW$change5 <- calciumW$bmd5 - calciumW$bmd1
calciumL$change <- calciumL$bmd - calciumL$baseline
```

For illustrative purpose, we will restrict both dataset to subjects with complete data:

```
calciumW.NNA <- calciumW[rowSums(is.na(calciumW))==0,]
calciumL.NNA <- calciumL[calciumL$girl %in% calciumW.NNA$girl,]
```

as the aim is to show equivalence between statistical tests when there is no missing data.

2 Equivalence with other statistical methods

2.1 Welch two sample t-test

A two sample t-test:

```
with(abetaW, t.test(x = pss0[group=="BD"], y = pss0[group=="HC"]))
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data: pss0[group == "BD"] and pss0[group == "HC"]
t = 5.67, df = 112, p-value = 1.1e-07
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 3.8988 8.0906
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
 13.2674    7.2727
```

is equivalent to a linear regression with a group-specific residual variance:

```
abetaW$group <- relevel(abetaW$group,"HC")
e.ttest <- lmm(pss0 ~ group, structure = IND(~group),
              data = abetaW, trace = FALSE)
model.tables(e.ttest, effects = "all")
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
(Intercept)	7.2727	0.75788	43.009	5.7443	8.8011	2.9650e-12
groupBD	5.9947	1.05781	112.201	3.8988	8.0906	1.1399e-07
sigma	5.0272	0.54210	43.009	4.0447	6.2484	NA
k.BD	1.3613	0.18014	86.351	1.0464	1.7709	2.2090e-02

For comparison a linear model would estimate different standard errors, degrees of freedom, and p-values:

```
model.tables(lmm(pss0 ~ group, data = abetaW))
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
(Intercept)	7.2727	0.94857	128.03	5.3958	9.1496	3.8629e-12
groupBD	5.9947	1.16625	128.03	3.6871	8.3023	1.0000e-06

as it does not account for heteroschedasticity. This makes the 'heteroschedastic linear regression' `e.ttest` a natural extension of the t-test when it comes to account for covariates.

In the special case of two groups of equal size, the standard errors will be estimated the same:

```
model.tables(lmm(pss0 ~ group, structure = IND(~group),
  data = abetaW.B, trace = FALSE))
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
(Intercept)	11.8636	0.98648	43.009	9.8742	13.8530	2.4425e-15
groupHC	-4.5909	1.24399	80.661	-7.0662	-2.1156	4.0523e-04

```
model.tables(lmm(pss0 ~ group, data = abetaW.B))
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
(Intercept)	11.8636	0.87964	86.017	10.1150	13.6123	0.00000000
groupHC	-4.5909	1.24399	86.017	-7.0639	-2.1179	0.00039184

leading to very similar p-values (degrees of freedom differ slightly).

2.2 Paired t-test

With complete data, a paired t-test:

```
t.test(calciumW.NNA$bmd2, calciumW.NNA$bmd1, paired = TRUE)
```

Paired t-test

```
data: calciumW.NNA$bmd2 and calciumW.NNA$bmd1
t = 13, df = 90, p-value <2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true mean difference is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 20.229 27.529
sample estimates:
mean difference
 23.879
```

is equivalent to a LMM with an unstructured covariate pattern:

```
e.lmm2tt <- lmm(bmd ~ visit, repetition = ~visit|girl, structure = "UN",
               data = calciumL.NNA)
model.tables(e.lmm2tt)["visit2",,drop=FALSE]
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
visit2	23.879	1.8371	89.968	20.229	27.529	0

2.3 Comparing change

2.3.1 Using a Welch two sample t-test

With complete data, a two sample t-test comparing the change from baseline:

```
ttc <- with(calciumW.NNA, t.test(x = change2[grp=="C"], y = change2[grp=="P"]))
ttc
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data:  change2[grp == "C"] and change2[grp == "P"]
t = 2.03, df = 88.8, p-value = 0.046
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.14074 14.49659
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
 27.659    20.340
```

is equivalent to a LMM with a stratified unstructured covariate pattern:

```
e.lmm2tt2 <- lmm(bmd ~ visit*grp, repetition = ~visit|girl, structure = UN(~grp),
                data = calciumL.NNA)
model.tables(e.lmm2tt2)[c("visit2", "visit2:grpC"),,drop=FALSE]
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
visit2	20.3404	2.5338	46.005	15.24013	25.441	2.6911e-10
visit2:grpC	7.3187	3.6124	88.734	0.14069	14.497	4.5767e-02

The estimate and standard error are exactly the same:

```
c(ttc$estimate["mean of x"] - ttc$estimate["mean of y"],
  se = ttc$stderr)
```

mean of x	se
7.3187	3.6124

The only (small) difference lies in the estimation of the degrees of freedom.

2.3.2 Using a linear regression

Using a linear model to compare change over time:

```
eLM.change <- lm(change2 ~ grp, data = calciumW.NNA)
summary(eLM.change)$coef
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	20.3404	2.5133	8.0931	2.7975e-12
grpC	7.3187	3.6144	2.0249	4.5878e-02

is equivalent to the following mixed model:

```
eLMM.change <- lmm(bmd ~ visit*grp,
  repetition =~ visit|girl, structure = UN,
  data = calciumL.NNA)
model.tables(eLMM.change)[c("visit2", "visit2:grpC"),]
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
visit2	20.3404	2.5133	88.962	15.34654	25.334	2.8044e-12
visit2:grpC	7.3187	3.6144	88.962	0.13688	14.500	4.5880e-02

Here, since the linear regression assumes the same variance in both groups, we did not stratified the covariance pattern on group. The same equivalence would hold with a continuous exposure (say dose) instead of a binary exposure (here `grp`).

In presence of a covariate:

```
calciumW2.NNA <- cbind(calciumW.NNA,
  age = round(runif(NROW(calciumW.NNA), min = 18, max = 60)))
calciumL2.NNA <- merge(calciumL.NNA, calciumW2.NNA[,c("girl", "age")], by = "girl")

eLMadj.change <- lm(change2 ~ grp + age, data = calciumW2.NNA)
summary(eLMadj.change)$coef
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	21.665002	6.66811	3.24905	0.0016405
grpC	7.340294	3.63533	2.01916	0.0465143
age	-0.033579	0.15643	-0.21465	0.8305347

one should specify interaction with time in the mixed model to retrieve the same results:

```
eLMMadj.change <- lmm(bmd ~ visit*grp + visit*age,
  repetition =~ visit|girl, structure = UN,
  data = calciumL2.NNA)
model.tables(eLMMadj.change)[c("visit2", "visit2:grpC"),]
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
visit2	21.6650	6.6681	87.963	8.4135	34.917	0.0016408
visit2:grpC	7.3403	3.6353	87.963	0.1158	14.565	0.0465156

2.4 Multiple Student's t-test

To adjust several t-tests for multiple testing, one can use the equivalence with `lmm`. This however require to specify the structure of the data (via the argument `repetition`), i.e., at which level replicates are independent so the software can deduce the appropriate number of independent observation across t-tests:

```
e.ttest2 <- lmm(change2 ~ grp, structure = IND(~grp),
               data = calciumW, repetition = ~1|girl, trace = FALSE)
e.ttest3 <- lmm(change3 ~ grp, structure = IND(~grp),
               data = calciumW, repetition = ~1|girl, trace = FALSE)
e.ttest4 <- lmm(change4 ~ grp, structure = IND(~grp),
               data = calciumW, repetition = ~1|girl, trace = FALSE)
e.ttest5 <- lmm(change5 ~ grp, structure = IND(~grp),
               data = calciumW, repetition = ~1|girl, trace = FALSE)
```

The `anova` method is then used to specify the parameter of interest and the results combined using `rbind`:

```
e.mttest <- rbind(anova(e.ttest2, effects = "grpC=0"),
                 anova(e.ttest3, effects = "grpC=0"),
                 anova(e.ttest4, effects = "grpC=0"),
                 anova(e.ttest5, effects = "grpC=0"))
model.tables(e.mttest, method = "single-step2")
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
change2: grpC=0	6.7507	3.3549	103.014	-1.2205	14.722	0.111849
change3: grpC=0	13.8150	4.8336	95.812	2.3302	25.300	0.014600
change4: grpC=0	12.5190	5.8369	86.835	-1.3497	26.388	0.084579
change5: grpC=0	19.0155	6.4666	86.916	3.6506	34.380	0.011510

Note: the `single-step2` adjustment is similar to the `single-step` adjustment of the `multcomp` package, i.e., a max test adjustment. But instead of relying on the density of a multivariate Student's t-distribution, which requires equal degrees of freedom, it samples in a multivariate distribution with Student's t marginal possibly based on different degrees of freedom and a Gaussian copula. Being based on random sampling, results will slightly change everytime the code is run unless the initial state of the random number generator is set to a specific value before running the code:

```
set.seed(1)
model.tables(e.mttest, method = "single-step2")
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
change2: grpC=0	6.7507	3.3549	103.014	-1.2151	14.717	0.113439
change3: grpC=0	13.8150	4.8336	95.812	2.3379	25.292	0.014590
change4: grpC=0	12.5190	5.8369	86.835	-1.3404	26.378	0.085339
change5: grpC=0	19.0155	6.4666	86.916	3.6609	34.370	0.011640

The `LMMstar.options` function can be used
to output the number of samples used:

and change it:

<code>LMMstar.options()\$n.sampleCopula</code>	<code>LMMstar.options(n.sampleCopula = 1e5)</code>
------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------

```
[1] 1e+05
```


This whole procedure can be streamlined using the long format and the `mlmm` function:

- the argument `by` indicates how to split the data. A separate model is fitted on each split.
- the argument `effects` indicates the test to be extracted for each model.
- the argument `name.short` is a cosmetic argument: should the name of each test be the covariate value or a combination of the covariate variable and the covariate value?

```
e.mttest2 <- mlmm(change ~ grp, structure = IND(~grp), repetition = ~visit|girl,  
                  data = calciumL[calciumL$visit!=1,], trace = FALSE,  
                  by = "visit", effects = "grpC=0", name.short = FALSE)  
set.seed(1)  
model.tables(e.mttest, method = "single-step2")
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
change2: grpC=0	6.7507	3.3549	103.014	-1.2151	14.717	0.113439
change3: grpC=0	13.8150	4.8336	95.812	2.3379	25.292	0.014590
change4: grpC=0	12.5190	5.8369	86.835	-1.3404	26.378	0.085339
change5: grpC=0	19.0155	6.4666	86.916	3.6609	34.370	0.011640

The function `mlmm` can be used not only to emulate multiple t-tests but also for multiple linear regressions or linear mixed models. In the special case of multiple Welch two-sample test, a dedicated function `mt.test` offers a more user friendly interface:

```
set.seed(1)  
mt.test(change2 + change3 + change4 + change5 ~ grp, data = calciumW)
```

Argument 'data' contains 59 missing values.

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
change2	6.7507	3.3549	103.014	-1.2151	14.717	0.113439
change3	13.8150	4.8336	95.812	2.3379	25.292	0.014590
change4	12.5190	5.8369	86.835	-1.3404	26.378	0.085339
change5	19.0155	6.4666	86.916	3.6609	34.370	0.011640

2.5 ANCOVA

Instead of comparing the final value or the change between groups using a Welch two sample t-test, the ANCOVA is often referred to as the superior approach to assess a treatment effect (Vickers and Altman, 2001). It regresses the group variable and the baseline value against the change:

```
model.tables(lmm(change2 ~ bmd1 + grp, data = calciumW.NNA))
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
(Intercept)	-25.742684	25.757918	88.018	-76.930991	25.44562	0.320337
bmd1	0.052948	0.029457	88.018	-0.005592	0.11149	0.075693
grpC	6.741021	3.584377	88.018	-0.382155	13.86420	0.063324

or the final value:

```
model.tables(lmm(bmd2 ~ bmd1 + grp, data = calciumW.NNA))
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
(Intercept)	-25.7427	25.757918	88.018	-76.93099	25.4456	0.320337
bmd1	1.0529	0.029457	88.018	0.99441	1.1115	0.000000
grpC	6.7410	3.584377	88.018	-0.38215	13.8642	0.063324

both leading to equivalent result. The corresponding mixed model constrains the both group to take the same baseline value. This can be specified by introducing a new covariate that only differ between groups after baseline:

```
calciumL.NNA$trt <- ifelse(calciumL.NNA$visit==1,"P",as.character(calciumL.NNA$grp))
calciumL.NNA$trt <- factor(calciumL.NNA$trt, levels = c("P","C"))
ftable(grp = calciumL.NNA$grp, trt = calciumL.NNA$trt, visit = calciumL.NNA$visit)
```

	visit	1	2	3	4	5
grp trt						
P P		47	47	47	47	47
C		0	0	0	0	0
C P		44	0	0	0	0
C		0	44	44	44	44

We then retrieve the same estimate and similar (but not identical) standard errors and p-values with the following mixed model:

```
e.lmmANCOVA <- lmm(bmd ~ visit*trt, repetition = ~visit|girl, structure = UN,
                    data = calciumL.NNA)
model.tables(e.lmmANCOVA)["visit2:trtC",,drop=FALSE]
```

Constant values in the design matrix for the mean structure.

Coefficient "trtC" relative to interaction "visit:trt" has been removed.

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
visit2:trtC	6.741	3.5642	88.853	-0.3411	13.823	0.061839

To avoid the message about the design matrix, one should 'manually' define the interaction terms:

```
calciumL.NNA$visit.trt <- ifelse(calciumL.NNA$trt == "C", calciumL.NNA$visit, "baseline")
calciumL.NNA$visit.trt <- factor(calciumL.NNA$visit.trt, levels = c("baseline",2:5))
ftable(grp = calciumL.NNA$grp, visit.trt = calciumL.NNA$visit.trt, visit = calciumL.NNA$visit)
```

```
      visit  1  2  3  4  5
grp visit.trt
P  baseline    47 47 47 47 47
    2          0 0 0 0 0
    3          0 0 0 0 0
    4          0 0 0 0 0
    5          0 0 0 0 0
C  baseline    44 0 0 0 0
    2          0 44 0 0 0
    3          0 0 44 0 0
    4          0 0 0 44 0
    5          0 0 0 0 44
```

```
e.lmmANCOVA2 <- lmm(bmd ~ visit + visit.trt, repetition = ~visit|girl, structure = UN,
                    data = calciumL.NNA)
model.tables(e.lmmANCOVA2) ["visit.trt2",,drop=FALSE]
```

```
      estimate      se      df      lower      upper      p.value
visit.trt2      6.741 3.5642 88.853 -0.3411 13.823 0.061839
```

As before, in presence of a covariate:

```
summary(lm(bmd2 ~ bmd1 + grp + age, data = calciumW2.NNA))$coef
```

```
      Estimate Std. Error  t value  Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -24.26195    26.312105 -0.92208 3.5904e-01
bmd1          1.05346     0.029654 35.52538 1.4385e-53
grpC          6.76689     3.603786  1.87772 6.3770e-02
age          -0.04884     0.154702 -0.31571 7.5298e-01
```

one should add the covariate along with time interactions to retrieve the same estimate and similar standard error/p-value/confidence intervals with a linear mixed model:

```
calciumL2.NNA$visit.trt <- ifelse(calciumL2.NNA$grp == "C", calciumL.NNA$visit, "1")
e.lmmANCOVAadj <- lmm(bmd ~ visit + visit.trt + visit*age, repetition = ~visit|girl,
                     structure = UN, data = calciumL2.NNA)
model.tables(e.lmmANCOVAadj) ["visit.trt2",,drop=FALSE]
```

```
      estimate      se      df      lower      upper      p.value
visit.trt2      6.7669 3.5833 87.854 -0.35423 13.888 0.062262
```

A natural extension of the ANCOVA would be to relax the assumption of common residual variance between the two treatment groups:

```
model.tables(lmm(change2 ~ bmd1 + grp, data = calciumW.NNA, structure = IND(~grp)))
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
(Intercept)	-25.833272	25.805339	83.926	-77.1506784	25.48413	0.319665
bmd1	0.053052	0.029513	84.179	-0.0056359	0.11174	0.075828
grpC	6.739886	3.585265	87.584	-0.3855470	13.86532	0.063448

However the 'straightforward' connexion with mixed model seems lost:

```
e.lmmHANCova <- lmm(bmd ~ visit + visit.trt, repetition = ~visit|girl, structure = UN(~grp),
  data = calciumL.NNA)
model.tables(e.lmmHANCova)["visit.trt2",,drop=FALSE]
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
visit.trt2	6.7516	3.5654	88.326	-0.33341	13.837	0.061542

2.6 Person's correlation

One can retrieve Pearson's correlation:

```
cor.test(calciumW.NNA$bmd1,calciumW.NNA$bmd5)
```

Pearson's product-moment correlation

```
data: calciumW.NNA$bmd1 and calciumW.NNA$bmd5
t = 18.3, df = 89, p-value <2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.83615 0.92551
sample estimates:
      cor
0.88901
```

using a linear mixed model moving to the long format and using an unstructured mean and covariance pattern over time:

```
eCor.lmm <- lmm(bmd ~ visit, repetition = ~visit|girl,
               structure = UN, data = calciumL.NNA)
model.tables(eCor.lmm, effects = "correlation")["rho(1,5)",]
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
rho(1,5)	0.88901	0.0221	96.839	0.83607	0.92555	0

P-value and confidence interval will differ (only slightly in large samples) because `cor.test` uses an exact¹ formula for the variance after `atanh` transformation while the linear mixed model rely on the observed information matrix. In this example the observed information (default option) is more in line with `cor.test` than the expected information:

```
model.tables(eCor.lmm, type.information = "expected", effects = "correlation")["rho(1,5)",]
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
rho(1,5)	0.88901	0.021914	17285033	0.83738	0.92492	0

Of note the confidence intervals and p-value of `cor.test` are not computed in a consistent way:

```
set.seed(7303)
X <- rnorm(10)
Y <- rnorm(10)
cor.test(X,Y)
```

¹assuming jointly normally distributed outcomes

Pearson's product-moment correlation

```
data: X and Y
t = 2.29, df = 8, p-value = 0.051
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.00016154 0.90179629
sample estimates:
      cor
0.62972
```

Here the confidence intervals do not overlap 0, i.e., suggest to reject the null hypothesis while the p-value is greater than 0.05, i.e., does not suggest to reject the null hypothesis. The corresponding mixed model estimate:

```
dfXY <- rbind(data.frame(value = X, variable = "x", id = 1:10),
              data.frame(value = Y, variable = "y", id = 1:10))
e.lmmXY <- lmm(value ~ variable, repetition = ~variable|id,
              structure = UN, data = dfXY)
model.tables(e.lmmXY, effects = "correlation")
```

```
      estimate      se    df    lower  upper p.value
rho(x,y)  0.62972 0.20115 7.0024 -0.047159 0.91027 0.061602
```

is the same but the confidence intervals and p-value differ more substantially (due to small sample approximations). They however are consistent with respect to whether to reject the null hypothesis.

2.7 Comparing Person's correlation

To compare the Pearson's correlation between two groups,

```
library(cocor)
cocor.indep.groups()
```

```
eCor2.lmm <- lmm(bmd ~ visit*grp, repetition = ~visit|girl,
                 structure = UN(~grp), data = calciumL.NNA)
model.tables(eCor2.lmm, effects = "correlation")[c("rho(1,5):C", "rho(1,5):P"),]
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
rho(1,5):C	0.85965	0.039801	42.111	0.75492	0.92163	1.2128e-10
rho(1,5):P	0.91701	0.023456	53.835	0.85496	0.95319	7.3275e-15

```
summary(anova(eCor2.lmm, effects = "rho(1,5):C - rho(1,5):P = 0", transform.rho = "none"))
```

Multivariate Wald test

	statistic	df	p.value
all	1.542	(1,3.7)	0.288

: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1.

df: Satterthwaite approximation w.r.t. model-based se.

Univariate Wald test

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
rho(1,5):C - rho(1,5):P = 0	-0.057	0.046	3.7	-0.19	0.076	0.288

: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1.

df: Satterthwaite approximation w.r.t. model-based se.

se: Modeled based on the observed information.

2.8 Correlation between changes

In some studies, one is interested in studying the relation between two evolutions. Say weight and glucagon before and after the operation:

```
gastricbypassW$changeG41 <- gastricbypassW$glucagonAUC4-gastricbypassW$glucagonAUC1
gastricbypassW$changeW41 <- gastricbypassW$weight4-gastricbypassW$weight1
```

One can evaluate their correlation:

```
cor.test(gastricbypassW$changeW41, gastricbypassW$changeG41)
```

Pearson's product-moment correlation

```
data:  gastricbypassW$changeW41 and gastricbypassW$changeG41
t = 1.89, df = 18, p-value = 0.075
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.043829  0.719624
sample estimates:
      cor
0.40658
```

or regress one against the other:

```
e2.change41 <- lm(changeG41 ~ changeW41, data = gastricbypassW)
summary(e2.change41)$coef
```

```
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  65.0794    24.83368   2.6206 0.017331
changeW41     1.7082     0.90473   1.8881 0.075246
```

This problem can be recast using all measurement as outcomes:

```
keep.col <- c("id","weight1","weight4","glucagonAUC1","glucagonAUC4")
gastricbypassL4 <- reshape(gastricbypassW[,keep.col], direction = "long",
                           idvar = "id", varying = 2:5, timevar = "type", v.names = "value")
gastricbypassL4$type <- factor(gastricbypassL4$type, labels = keep.col[-1])
gastricbypassL4 <- gastricbypassL4[order(gastricbypassL4$id),]
head(gastricbypassL4)
```

```
      id      type  value
1.1  1    weight1 127.200
1.2  1    weight4 108.100
1.3  1 glucagonAUC1  20.690
1.4  1 glucagonAUC4  43.434
2.1  2    weight1 165.200
2.2  2    weight4 132.000
```

fitting an unstructured mixed model:

```
e.lmm4 <- lmm(value ~ type,
              repetition = ~type|id, structure = "UN",
              data = gastricbypassL4)
```

extract the residual covariance matrix:

```
sigma.lmm4 <- sigma(e.lmm4)
sigma.lmm4
```


	weight1	weight4	glucagonAUC1	glucagonAUC4
weight1	410.8475	326.84	1.7077	-217.399
weight4	326.8357	290.84	-24.6003	-161.696
glucagonAUC1	1.7077	-24.60	241.7007	-81.649
glucagonAUC4	-217.3994	-161.70	-81.6493	442.464

Deduce the residual covariance matrix for the change:

```
Mcon <- cbind(c(-1,1,0,0),c(0,0,-1,1))
sigmeChange.lmm4 <- t(Mcon) %*% sigma.lmm4 %*% Mcon
dimnames(sigmeChange.lmm4) <- list(c("d.weight","d.glucagonAUC"),
                                   c("d.weight","d.glucagonAUC"))
sigmeChange.lmm4
```

	d.weight	d.glucagonAUC
d.weight	48.011	82.011
d.glucagonAUC	82.011	847.464

and the correlation or covariance:

```
cov2cor(sigmeChange.lmm4)[1,2]
sigmeChange.lmm4[1,2]/sigmeChange.lmm4[1,1]
```

```
[1] 0.40658
```

```
[1] 1.7082
```

The uncertainty can be quantified using a delta method:

```
estimate(e.lmm4, function(p){
  Sigma.change <- t(Mcon) %*% sigma(e.lmm4, p = p) %*% Mcon
  c(cor = cov2cor(Sigma.change)[1,2],
    beta = Sigma.change[1,2]/Sigma.change[1,1])
})
```

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
cor	0.40658	0.19150	2.5925	-0.26078	1.0739	0.13791
beta	1.70818	0.88073	2.6876	-1.28836	4.7047	0.15837

The standard errors and degrees of freedom do not match the univariate analysis, suggesting poor small sample properties of this technic.

3 Equivalence with other R packages

3.1 nlme package

The model class obtained with the `lmm` function overlaps the model class of the `lme` and `gls` functions from the `nlme` package.

```
library(nlme)
```

For instance, the compound symmetry is equivalent to `corCompSymm` correlation structure, or to a random intercept model (when the within subject correlation is positive):

```
eRI.lmm <- lmm(weight ~ visit*group, structure = "RE",
              data = gastricbypassL, repetition = ~visit|id)
eCS.gls <- gls(weight ~ visit*group, correlation = corCompSymm(form=~visit|id),
              data = gastricbypassL, na.action = na.omit)
eCS.lme <- lme(weight ~ visit*group, random = ~1|id,
              data = gastricbypassL, na.action = na.omit)
logLik(eRI.lmm)
logLik(eCS.lme)
logLik(eCS.gls)
```

```
[1] -236.21
'log Lik.' -236.21 (df=10)
'log Lik.' -236.21 (df=10)
```

The estimated random effect also match:

```
range(ranef(eRI.lmm)-ranef(eCS.lme))
```

```
[1] -1.7303e-08  2.6979e-08
```

Unstructured residual covariance matrix can also be obtained with `gls`:

```
eUN.gls <- gls(glucagonAUC ~ visit*group,
              correlation = corSymm(form=~as.numeric(visit)|id),
              weights = varIdent(form=~1|visit),
              data = gastricbypassL, na.action = na.omit)
logLik(eUN.gls)
logLik(eUN.lmm)
```

```
'log Lik.' -295.31 (df=18)
[1] -295.31
```

3.2 lme4 package

The model class obtained with the `lmm` function overlaps the model class of the `lmer` function from the `lme4` package.

```
library(lme4)
library(lmerTest)
```

For instance, the compound symmetry is equivalent to a random intercept model (when the within subject correlation is positive):

```
eRI.lmer <- lmer(weight ~ visit*group + (1|id),
               data = gastrichbypassL)
logLik(eRI.lmer)
logLik(eRI.lmm)
```

```
'log Lik.' -236.21 (df=10)
[1] -236.21
```

The estimated random effects match:

```
range(ranef(eRI.lmm)-ranef(eRI.lmer)$id)
```

```
[1] -1.5513e-08  2.4171e-08
```

Nested random effects correspond to block unstructured:

```
eNRI.lmm <- lmm(weight ~ visit*group, structure = RE(~(1|id/baseline)),
               data = gastrichbypassL, repetition = ~visit|id)
eNRI.lmer <- lmer(weight ~ visit*group + (1|id/baseline),
                data = gastrichbypassL)
logLik(eNRI.lmer)
logLik(eNRI.lmm)
```

```
'log Lik.' -234.97 (df=11)
[1] -234.97
```

And the estimated random effects still match:

```
eRanefNRI.lmm <- ranef(eNRI.lmm, format = "wide")
eRanefNRI.lmer <- ranef(eNRI.lmer)
## id
range(eRanefNRI.lmm$estimate-eRanefNRI.lmer$id)
## baseline
range(c(eRanefNRI.lmm$estimate.FALSE,eRanefNRI.lmm$estimate.TRUE)-ranef(eNRI.lmer)$'baseline:
      id')
```

```
[1] -5.8317e-06  9.0913e-06
[1] -8.5850e-05  7.8971e-05
```

An unstructure residual covariance matrix can also be obtained using random slopes:

```
eUN.lmer <- lmer(glucagonAUC ~ visit*group + (0 + visit|id),
  data = gastrichbypassL,
  control = lmerControl(check.nobs.vs.nRE = "ignore"))
logLik(eUN.lmer)
logLik(eUN.lmm)
```

Warning message:

```
In checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl = control$checkConv,  :
  Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00203036 (tol = 0.002, component 1)
'log Lik.' -295.31 (df=19)
[1] -295.31
```

The uncertainty is quantified in a slightly different way, e.g.:

```
anova(eUN.lmm)
```

Multivariate Wald test

	F-statistic	df	p.value
mean: visit	5.803 (3,16.9)	0.00647	**
: group	3.926 (1,18.0)	0.06302	.
: visit:group	2.762 (3,17.3)	0.07332	.

is very similar but not identical to:

```
## only the last line is comparable
anova(eUN.lmer)
```

Type III Analysis of Variance Table with Satterthwaite's method

	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	NumDF	DenDF	F value	Pr(>F)
visit	1339	446	3	17.4	18.29	1.3e-05 ***
group	5	5	1	18.1	0.22	0.647
visit:group	203	68	3	17.4	2.77	0.073 .

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

It is also possible to fit cross-random effects such as:

```
data("Penicillin")
eCRI.lmer <- lmer(diameter ~ 1 + (1|plate) + (1|sample), Penicillin)
logLik(eCRI.lmer)
```

```
'log Lik.' -165.43 (df=4)
```

using lmm:

```

Penicillin$index <- paste(Penicillin$sample, Penicillin$plate, sep=".")
Penicillin$id <- 1

eCRI.lmm <- lmm(diameter ~ 1 + (1|plate) + (1|sample), data = Penicillin)
logLik(eCRI.lmm)

```

```
[1] -165.43
```

Despite being significantly slower, the loglikelihood and random effect still match:

```

range(ranef(eCRI.lmm)$estimate-rbind(ranef(eCRI.lmer)$plate, ranef(eCRI.lmer)$sample))

```

```
[1] -4.3812e-07  6.0172e-07
```

3.3 mmrm package

The package `mmrm` is an alternative implementation of mixed models specified via covariance structures:

```

library(mmrm)
e.mmrm <- mmrm(
  formula = FEV1 ~ RACE + SEX + ARMCD * AVISIT + us(AVISIT | USUBJID),
  data = fev_data
)

```

It leads nearly identical results compared to `lmm`:

```

e.lmm <- lmm(
  formula = FEV1 ~ RACE + SEX + ARMCD * AVISIT,
  repetition = ~ AVISIT | USUBJID, structure = "UN",
  data = fev_data, type.information = "expected"
)

```

Warning message:

```

In .lmmNormalizeData(as.data.frame(data)[unique(stats::na.omit(var.all))], :
  3 clusters have been removed.

```

```

logLik(e.mmrm) - logLik(e.lmm)
range(coef(e.mmrm) - coef(e.lmm))
range(vcov(e.mmrm) - vcov(e.lmm))

```

```
[1] -2.5413e-06
```

```
[1] -0.00018301  0.00016268
```

```
[1] -0.00039710  0.00020479
```

The main differences are:

- `mmrm` uses the expected information matrix to quantify uncertainty instead of the observed information matrix.
- `mmrm` implements the Kenward and Roger method for computing the degrees of freedom and not only the Satterthwaite approximation
- `mmrm` implements different covariance patterns
- `mmrm` is faster and probably more memory efficient
- `mmrm` has currently fewer post-processing methods (e.g. adjustment multiple comparisons when testing several model parameters). This being said, the latest version of the package (0.3.7) included several additional extractor of model feature so this may be improved in the future.

3.4 emmeans package

To illustrate a key difference between the `emmeans` package and the `effects.lmm` function we consider an informative and unbalanced group variable:

```
gastricbypassLB$group2 <- gastricbypassLB$weight1>150
```

Since `lmm`:

```
eCS.lmm_2 <- lmm(glucagonAUC ~ visit*group2, repetition =~visit|id, structure = "CS", data =
  gastricbypassLB)
logLik(eCS.lmm_2)
```

```
[1] -315.2
```

we will use the equivalent with the random effect specification:

```
eRI.lmer_2 <- lmer(glucagonAUC ~ visit*group2 + (1|id), data = gastricbypassLB)
logLik(eRI.lmer_2)
```

```
'log Lik.' -315.2 (df=10)
```

While the two models are equivalent, the average outcome output by `effects`:

```
effects(eCS.lmm_2, variable = NULL)
```

Average counterfactual outcome

	estimate	se	df	lower	upper
(t=1)	32.317	4.426	64.3	23.476	41.158
(t=2)	29.653	4.535	65.2	20.598	38.709
(t=3)	77.308	4.535	65.1	68.25	86.366
(t=4)	51.95	4.426	64.3	43.109	60.791

substantially differ from the one of `emmeans`:

```
library(emmeans)
emmeans(eRI.lmer_2, specs=~visit)
```

NOTE: Results may be misleading due to involvement in interactions

visit	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
1	33.6	5.53	64.2	22.6	44.7
2	32.0	5.57	64.4	20.9	43.2
3	70.0	5.57	64.4	58.9	81.1
4	47.2	5.53	64.2	36.1	58.2

Results are averaged over the levels of: group2
 Degrees-of-freedom method: kenward-roger
 Confidence level used: 0.95

This is because when averaging over the level of a covariate, emmeans considers *balanced groups*. In the example, the groups are not balanced:

```
table(gastricbypassLB$group2)/NROW(gastricbypassLB)
```

```
FALSE TRUE
  0.8   0.2
```

Based on the group and timepoint specific means:

```
eCS.elmm_2 <- model.tables(effects(eCS.lmm_2, variable = "group2"))
eCS.elmm_2
```

	group2	visit	estimate	se	df	lower	upper	p.value
1	FALSE	1	31.430	4.9484	64.349	21.545	41.314	2.4688e-08
2	FALSE	2	28.067	5.0996	65.383	17.884	38.251	6.6737e-07
3	FALSE	3	82.173	5.1008	65.211	71.986	92.359	0.0000e+00
4	FALSE	4	55.126	4.9484	64.349	45.241	65.010	0.0000e+00
5	TRUE	1	35.864	9.8967	64.349	16.095	55.633	5.7374e-04
6	TRUE	2	35.997	9.8967	64.349	16.228	55.766	5.4953e-04
7	TRUE	3	57.848	9.8967	64.349	38.079	77.617	1.8339e-07
8	TRUE	4	39.246	9.8967	64.349	19.477	59.015	1.8651e-04

We illustrate the difference:

- emmeans:

```
0.5*eCS.elmm_2[eCS.elmm_2$group2==FALSE,"estimate"]+0.5*eCS.elmm_2[eCS.elmm_2$group2==TRUE,"estimate"]
```

```
[1] 33.647 32.032 70.010 47.186
```

- effects:

```
0.8*eCS.elmm_2[eCS.elmm_2$group2==FALSE,"estimate"]+0.2*eCS.elmm_2[eCS.elmm_2$group2==TRUE,"estimate"]
```

```
[1] 32.317 29.653 77.308 51.950
```

The "emmeans" approach gives equal "weight" to the expected value of both group:

```
mu.group1 <- as.double(coef(e.group)["(Intercept)"])
mu.group2 <- as.double(coef(e.group)["(Intercept)"] + coef(e.group)["group2TRUE"])
p.group1 <- 14/20 ; p.group2 <- 6/20
c(emmeans = (mu.group1+mu.group2)/2, predict = mu.group1 * p.group1 + mu.group2 * p.group2)
```

```
emmeans predict
4.450435 4.514352
```


3.5 effectsize package (R^2 or η^2)

Partial η^2 can be computed based on `lmer` using the `effectsize` package:

```
library(effectsize)
eta_squared(eCS.lmer)
cat("\n")
```

```
# Effect Size for ANOVA (Type III)
```

Parameter	Eta2 (partial)	95% CI
visit	0.64	[0.50, 1.00]
group	0.01	[0.00, 1.00]
visit:group	0.19	[0.03, 1.00]

```
- One-sided CIs: upper bound fixed at
```

and are approximately equal to what one can compute "manually":

```
eCS.Wald <- anova(eCS.lmm)$multivariate
eCS.Wald$df.num*eCS.Wald$statistic/(eCS.Wald$df.num*eCS.Wald$statistic+eCS.Wald$df.denom)
```

```
[1] 0.335374 0.033811 0.186290
```

The will not be true for heteroschedastic models:

```
eUN.Wald <- anova(eUN.lmm)$multivariate
eUN.Wald$df.num*eUN.Wald$statistic/(eUN.Wald$df.num*eUN.Wald$statistic+eUN.Wald$df.denom)
```

```
[1] 0.50787 0.17905 0.32380
```

compared to:

```
eta_squared(eUN.lmer)
cat("\n")
```

```
# Effect Size for ANOVA (Type III)
```

Parameter	Eta2 (partial)	95% CI
visit	0.76	[0.54, 1.00]
group	0.01	[0.00, 1.00]
visit:group	0.32	[0.00, 1.00]

```
- One-sided CIs: upper bound fixed at
```

But in that case both may be misleading as the proportion of explained variance is not clearly defined.

3.6 MuMIn package (R^2)

```
library(MuMIn)
r.squaredGLMM(eCS.lmer)
cat("\n")
```

```
      R2m      R2c
[1,] 0.51728 0.62222
```

To reproduce these R^2 , we extract from the random intercept model:

- the residual variance

```
sigmaW <- sigma(eCS.lmm)[1,1]-sigma(eCS.lmm)[1,2]
```

- the variance of the random effect

```
sigmaB <- sigma(eCS.lmm)[1,2]
```

- the variance of the fitted values:

```
sigma2_XB <- var(fitted(eCS.lmm))
```

and evaluate the ratios:

```
c(R2m = sigma2_XB/(sigmaW + sigmaB + sigma2_XB),
  R2c = (sigma2_XB + sigmaB)/(sigmaW + sigmaB + sigma2_XB))
```

```
      R2m      R2c
0.52549 0.62865
```

3.7 stats package (partial residuals)

The function `residuals.lm` can be used to extract partial residuals from `lm` objects. For instance:

```
gastricbypassW$group <- as.factor(as.numeric(gastricbypassW$id)%%2)
eIID.lm <- lm(weight4 ~ group + weight1, data = gastricbypassW)
pRes.lm <- residuals(eIID.lm, type = "partial")
head(pRes.lm)
```

```
      group weight1
1    7.19282   3.6648
2   -0.20504  31.7052
3    0.60631 -17.3352
4    6.44389  22.7052
5   -1.59403 -16.7352
6  -18.23382   8.4052
```

Those generally differ (by a constant) from the one provided by `residuals.lmm`:

```
eIID.lmm <- lmm(weight4 ~ group + weight1, data = gastricbypassW)
(residuals(eIID.lmm, type = "partial", variable = "group") - pRes.lm[, "group"])
(residuals(eIID.lmm, type = "partial", variable = "weight1") - pRes.lm[, "weight1"])
```

```

      1      2      3      4      5      6      7      8      9     10     11     12     13     14
2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702
      15     16     17     18     19     20
2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702 2.0702
      1      2      3      4      5      6      7      8      9     10     11     12     13     14
106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22
      15     16     17     18     19     20
106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22 106.22

```

Indeed, `residuals.lm` centers the design matrix of the variable relative to which the partial residuals are computed:

```
coef(eIID.lm) ["group1"] * mean(gastricbypassW$group=="1")
coef(eIID.lm) ["weight1"] * mean(gastricbypassW$weight1)
```

```
group1
2.0702
weight1
106.22
```

For continuous variable with a linear effect, these residuals can be obtained by setting the `type` argument to `"partial-center"`:

```
(residuals(eIID.lmm, type = "partial-center", variable = "weight1") - pRes.lm[, "weight1"])
```

```

      1      2      3      4      5      6      7      8
1.7675e-13 6.7502e-14 -6.3949e-14 5.6843e-14 -3.9080e-14 8.1712e-14 -3.7303e-14 5.9508e-14
      9     10     11     12     13     14     15     16
-4.2633e-14 4.4409e-14 -2.9310e-14 5.5123e-14 -4.6185e-14 4.4409e-14 -4.2633e-14 4.6185e-14
      17     18     19     20
-3.9968e-14 5.3291e-14 -1.4211e-14 3.5527e-14

```

⚠ When evaluating the partial residuals relative to categorical variables, interactions, or non-linear terms, the output obtained with `partial-center` will not match the one of `residuals.lm`. Indeed `partial-center` will, when numeric, center the original variable whereas `residuals.lm` will center the column relative to the coefficient in the design matrix.

References

Vickers, A. J. and Altman, D. G. (2001). Analysing controlled trials with baseline and follow up measurements. *Bmj*, 323(7321):1123–1124.