

# Efficient baseline adjustment in a randomized trial

Brice Ozenne

March 8, 2021

Disclaimer: this note is a compilation of section 5.4 of [Tsiatis \(2007\)](#), [Zhang and Gilbert \(2010\)](#) and a note by Torben Martinussen.

## 1 Motivation, objective, and notations

We consider a randomized trial with a single binary or continuous outcome ( $Y$ ), two treatment arms: placebo ( $A = 0$ ) and active ( $A = 1$ ), and some baseline variables ( $Z$ ). There are in total  $n = n_0 + n_1$  patients,  $n_0$  in the placebo arm and  $n_1$  in the treatment arm. The observed data is therefore  $\chi = (\chi_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} = (Y_i, A_i, Z_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ .

Our parameter of interest is the average difference in outcome:

$$\psi = \mathbb{E}[Y|A = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|A = 0] = \mu_1 - \mu_0$$

which we would like to estimate as efficiently as possible by making use of the baseline variables. We denote  $\pi = \mathbb{P}[A = 1]$  which is known.

## 2 Naive estimator

A possible estimator for  $\psi$  is:

$$\hat{\psi}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - A_i) Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - A_i)}$$

which satisfies the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\psi}_n - \psi) &= \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i} - \mu_1 \right) - \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - A_i) Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - A_i)} - \mu_0 \right) \\
&= \sqrt{n} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i (Y_i - \mu_1)}{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i} - \sqrt{n} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - A_i) (Y_i - \mu_0)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - A_i)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i (Y_i - \mu_1)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n A_i} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - A_i) (Y_i - \mu_0)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - A_i)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A_i}{\pi} (Y_i - \mu_1) - \frac{(1 - A_i)}{1 - \pi} (Y_i - \mu_0) + o_p(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\mu}_1}(\chi_i) - \mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\mu}_0}(\chi_i) + o_p(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\psi}}(\chi_i) + o_p(1)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{IF}_x$  denotes the influence function associated with the estimator  $x$ .

### 3 Derivation of the semi-parametric efficient estimator

#### 3.1 Geometry of the set of all influence function

The log-likelihood can be decomposed as:

$$\log(f(Y, A, Z)) = \log(f(Y|A, Z)) + \log(f(A|Z)) + \log(f(Z))$$

While  $f$  denotes the true density, we will denote by  $f_\theta$  a parametric model for this density with parameter  $\theta$ , where for a specific parameter value (denoted  $\theta_0$ ), the modeled density equal the true density (i.e.  $f_{\theta_0} = f$ ). For instance  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and  $f_\theta(Z)$  could be the density of a Gaussian distribution; in this case  $\theta$  would be a vector composed of the mean and variance parameters and  $\theta_0 = (0, 1)$ . We will also denote by  $\mathcal{S}_\theta(Y|A, Z) = \frac{\partial \log(f_\theta(Y|A, Z))}{\partial \theta}$  the associated score function, and by  $\{B\mathcal{S}_\theta(Y|A, Z), \forall B\}$  its nuisance tangent space, i.e. the space of all linear combinations of the score function.

If there was no restriction (i.e no randomization) the terms of the log-likelihood would be variationnally independent and the entire Hilbert space <sup>1</sup> could therefore be partitionned in three orthogonal spaces (theorem 4.5 in [Tsiatis \(2007\)](#)):

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Here, when  $Z$  has dimension 1, the Hilbert space is the space of 3-dimensional mean-zero finite-variance measurable functions, equipped with the covariance inner product.

where  $\mathcal{T}_1$  (resp  $\mathcal{T}_2$  and  $\mathcal{T}_3$ ) is the mean-square closure of parametric submodel tangent spaces for  $f(Y|A, Z)$  (resp.  $f(A|Z)$  and  $f(Z)$ ). More precisely,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is the space of functions  $h(Y|A, Z) \in \mathcal{H}$  such that there exists, for a sequence of parametric submodel indexed by  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{B_j \mathcal{S}_{\theta,j}(Y|A, Z)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that:

$$\|h(Y|A, Z) - B_j \mathcal{S}_{\theta,j}(Y|A, Z)\|^2 \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Since the corresponding score should have conditional expectation 0, we get that  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is the space of functions of  $Y, A, Z$  with finite variance and null expectation conditional to  $A$  and  $Z$ . A similar result holds for the other spaces which is summarized as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_1 &= \{\alpha_1(Y, A, Z), \mathbb{E}[\alpha_1(Y, A, Z)|A, Z] = 0\} \\ \mathcal{T}_2 &= \{\alpha_2(A, Z), \mathbb{E}[\alpha_2(A, Z)|Z] = 0\} \\ \mathcal{T}_3 &= \{\alpha_3(Z), \mathbb{E}[\alpha_3(Z)] = 0\}\end{aligned}$$

In our application, because of randomization  $f(A|Z) = f(A) = \pi^A(1 - \pi)^{1-A}$  is known. In that case the tangent space is equal to:

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_3$$

so the orthogonal of the tangent space,  $\mathcal{T}^\perp$ , is  $\mathcal{T}_2$ . We first introduce an alternative representation of the element of  $\mathcal{T}_2$ :

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \{\alpha_2(A, Z) - \mathbb{E}[\alpha_2(A, Z)|Z]\}$$

Moreover since  $A$  is binary we can write without loss of generality  $\alpha_2(A, Z) = Af(Z) + g(Z)$ . So:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_2 &= \{Af(Z) + g(Z) - \mathbb{E}[Af(Z) + g(Z)|Z]\} \\ &= \{(A - \pi)g(Z)\}\end{aligned}$$

From the semi-parametric theory we know that the set of all influence function is spanned by the orthogonal to the tangent space:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\psi}} + \mathcal{T}_2\} &= \{\mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\psi}} + (A - \pi)g(Z)\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{A}{\pi} (Y - \mu_1) - \frac{(1 - A)}{1 - \pi} (Y - \mu_0) + (A - \pi)g(Z) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

where  $g$  is an arbitrary function.

### 3.2 Identification of the efficient influence function

The efficient influence function,  $\mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\psi}}^{eff}$ , is orthogonal to the nuisance tangence space (here orthogonal to  $\mathcal{T}$ ). So we just need to remove the composant of the naive influence function that lies in the nuisance tangent space:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\psi}}^{eff} &= IF_{\hat{\psi}} - \Pi(IF_{\hat{\psi}}|\mathcal{T}^\perp) \\ &= IF_{\hat{\psi}} - \Pi(IF_{\hat{\psi}}|\mathcal{T}_2)\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Pi(\cdot|x)$  denotes the projection of  $\cdot$  onto  $x$ . We first note that any element  $h$  of the Hilbert space can be decomposed as:

$$\begin{aligned}h(Y, A, Z) &= h_1(Y, A, Z) + h_2(Y, A, Z) + h_3(Y, A, Z) \\ h_1 &= \mathbb{E}[h(Y, A, Z)|Z] \\ h_2 &= \mathbb{E}[h(Y, A, Z)|Z] - \mathbb{E}[h(Y, A, Z)|A, Z] \\ h_3 &= \mathbb{E}[h(Y, A, Z)|A, Z] - h(Y, A, Z)\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.5 in [Tsiatis \(2007\)](#) shows that for any  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $h_j = \Pi(h|\mathcal{T}_j)$ . So:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(IF_{\hat{\psi}}|\mathcal{T}_2) &= \mathbb{E}[IF_{\hat{\psi}}|Z] - \mathbb{E}[IF_{\hat{\psi}}|A, Z] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{A}{\pi}(Y - \mu_1) - \frac{(1-A)}{1-\pi}(Y - \mu_0) \middle| A, Z\right] \middle| Z\right] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{A}{\pi}(Y - \mu_1) - \frac{(1-A)}{1-\pi}(Y - \mu_0) \middle| A, Z\right] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[A]}{\pi}(\mathbb{E}[Y|A=1, Z] - \mu_1) - \frac{\mathbb{E}[1-A]}{1-\pi}(\mathbb{E}[Y|A=0, Z] - \mu_0) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{A}{\pi}(\mathbb{E}[Y=1|A, Z] - \mu_1) - \frac{(1-A)}{1-\pi}(\mathbb{E}[Y|A=0, Z] - \mu_0)\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi-A}{\pi}(\mathbb{E}[Y|A=1, Z] - \mu_1) - \frac{(1-\pi)-(1-A)}{1-\pi}(\mathbb{E}[Y|A=0, Z] - \mu_0)\end{aligned}$$

which lead to the following expression for the efficient influence function:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\psi}}^{eff} &= \frac{A}{\pi}(Y - \mu_1) + \frac{\pi-A}{\pi}(\mathbb{E}[Y|A=1, Z] - \mu_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{(1-A)}{1-\pi}(Y - \mu_0) - \frac{(1-\pi)-(1-A)}{1-\pi}(\mathbb{E}[Y|A=0, Z] - \mu_0) \\ &= \mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\mu}_1}^{eff} - \mathcal{IF}_{\hat{\mu}_0}^{eff}\end{aligned}$$

Solving  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{IF}_{\tilde{\mu}_1}^{eff} = 0$  in  $\mu_1$  gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A_i + \pi - A_i}{\pi} \tilde{\mu}_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{A_i Y_i}{\pi} + \frac{\pi - A_i}{\pi} \mathbb{E}[Y|A=1, Z] \right) \\ \tilde{\mu}_1 &= \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^n (A_i Y_i + (\pi - A_i) \mathbb{E}[Y|A=1, Z]) \\ &= \hat{\mu}_1 + \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\pi - A_i) \mathbb{E}[Y|A=1, Z] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_0 &= \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i=1}^n ((1 - A_i) Y_i + ((1 - \pi) - (1 - A_i)) \mathbb{E}[Y|A=0, Z]) \\ &= \hat{\mu}_0 + \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i=1}^n ((1 - \pi) - (1 - A_i)) \mathbb{E}[Y|A=0, Z] \end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi} &= \tilde{\mu}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_0 \\ &= \hat{\psi} + \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\pi - A_i) \mathbb{E}[Y|A=1, Z] - \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i=1}^n ((1 - \pi) - (1 - A_i)) \mathbb{E}[Y|A=0, Z] \end{aligned}$$

## 4 Relationship to the G-formula computation

When performing a logistic regression including an intercept, A, and Z the score equation is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \left( Y_i - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_i \theta)} \right) = 0$$

where  $X_i = (1, A_i, Z_i)$  is the design matrix and  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_A, \theta_Z)$  the set of model parameters. We can in fact reparametrize it as  $X_i = (1 - A_i, A_i, Z_i)$  with  $\theta = (\theta_{1-A}, \theta_A, \theta_Z)$ . Then the logistic regression solves the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \left( Y_i - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_i \theta)} \right) &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - A_i) \left( Y_i - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_i \theta)} \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A_i}{\pi} \left( Y_i - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta_A - Z_i \theta_Z)} \right) &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - A_i}{1 - \pi} \left( Y_i - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta_{1-A} - Z_i \theta_Z)} \right) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So the G-formula estimator is asymptotically equivalent to the efficient estimator:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_1 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta_A - Z_i \theta_Z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y|A_i = 1, Z_i] + o_p(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y|A_i = 1, Z_i] + \frac{A_i}{\pi} (Y_i - \mathbb{E}[Y|A_i = 1, Z_i]) + o_p(1) \\ &= \tilde{\mu}_1 + o_p(1) \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{A}{\pi} (Y - \mathbb{E}[Y|A = 1, Z]) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{A}{\pi} (Y - \mathbb{E}[Y|A, Z]) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{A}{\pi} (Y - \mathbb{E}[Y|A, Z]) \mid A, Z \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\mathbb{E}[A]}{\pi} (\mathbb{E}[Y|A, Z] - \mathbb{E}[Y|A, Z]) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## 5 References

- Tsiatis, A. (2007). *Semiparametric theory and missing data*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Zhang, M. and Gilbert, P. B. (2010). Increasing the efficiency of prevention trials by incorporating baseline covariates. *Statistical communications in infectious diseases*, 2(1).