

Estimating a relative change using a log-transformation of the outcome

Brice Ozenne

September 28, 2020

1 Interpretation of the regression coefficient after log-transformation

Let's denote by Y the outcome and by G a binary group variable. We are interested in the relative change in Y between the groups. We decide to model the group effect on the log scale:

$$\log(Y) = Z = \alpha + \beta G + \varepsilon \text{ where } \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon] = 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^2] = \sigma^2$$

We claim that:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[Y|G=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|G=0]}{\mathbb{E}[Y|G=0]} = e^\beta - 1$$

1.1 Proof: re-writting the model as a multiplicative model

We can re-write the model as:

$$Y = e^{\alpha + \beta G} e^\varepsilon \text{ where}$$

So for $g \in \{1, 2\}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y|G=g] = e^{\alpha + \beta g} \mathbb{E}[e^\varepsilon]$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y|G=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|G=0]}{\mathbb{E}[Y|G=0]} &= \frac{e^{\alpha + \beta} \mathbb{E}[e^\varepsilon] - e^\alpha \mathbb{E}[e^\varepsilon]}{e^\alpha \mathbb{E}[e^\varepsilon]} \\ &= \frac{e^{\alpha + \beta} - e^\alpha}{e^\alpha} = e^\beta - 1 \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Proof: using a Taylor expansion

Using a second order Taylor expansion of $\exp(Z)$ around $\mu(G) = \alpha + \beta G$ and assuming that the first moments of Z are finite and the remaining moments are neglectable regarding the factorial of the moment order (i.e. $\forall i \geq 1, \frac{1}{i!}\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^i] < +\infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!}\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^i] < +\infty$), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} Y = e^Z &= e^\mu + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} (Z - \mu)^i \frac{\partial^i e^\mu}{(\partial \mu)^i} \\ &= e^{\alpha + \beta G} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} (Z - \alpha - \beta G)^i e^{\alpha + \beta G} \\ \mathbb{E}[Y|G = g] &= e^{\alpha + \beta G} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \mathbb{E}[(Z - \alpha - \beta g)^i] e^{\alpha + \beta G} \\ &= e^{\alpha + \beta G} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^i] \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used that the distribution of ε is independent of g . We can now express our parameter of interest:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_G &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y|G = 1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|G = 0]}{\mathbb{E}[Y|G = 0]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y|G = 1]}{\mathbb{E}[Y|G = 0]} - 1 \\ &= \frac{e^{\alpha + \beta} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^i] \right)}{e^\alpha \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon^i] \right)} - 1 \\ &= e^\beta - 1 \end{aligned}$$

2 Note for power calculation

2.1 Recall: delta-method for normally distributed variables

Theory: we recall that for a random variable Y with finite first two moments, the delta method applied around the mean for a transformation f is:

$$f(Y) = f(\mu) + f'(\mu)(Y - \mu) + \frac{1}{2}f''(\mu)(Y - \mu)^2 + \frac{1}{6}f'''(\mu)(Y - \mu)^3 + o\left((Y - \mu)^2\right)$$

where $\mu = \mathbb{E}[Y]$. Introducing $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{V}ar[Y]$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[f(Y)] &= f(\mu) + f'(\mu)(\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mu) + \frac{1}{2}f''(\mu)\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^2] + \frac{1}{6}f'''(\mu)\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^3] + o\left(\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^3]\right) \\ &= f(\mu) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}f''(\mu) + o\left(\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^3]\right)\end{aligned}$$

for a normal distribution since $\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^3] = 0$. Also:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}ar[f(Y)] &= (f'(\mu))^2 \mathbb{V}ar[\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mu] + f'(\mu)f''(\mu)\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^3] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{f'(\mu)f'''(\mu)}{3} + \frac{(f''(\mu))^2}{4}\right)\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^4] + o\left(\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^4]\right) \\ &= (f'(\mu))^2 \sigma^2 + 3\sigma^4 \left(\frac{f'(\mu)f'''(\mu)}{3} + \frac{(f''(\mu))^2}{4}\right) + o\left(\mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^4]\right)\end{aligned}$$

Application: exponential transformation ($f = \exp$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\exp(Y)] &\approx \exp(\mu) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \\ \mathbb{V}ar[\exp(Y)] &\approx \exp(2\mu) \left(\sigma^2 + \frac{7}{4}\sigma^4\right)\end{aligned}$$

Illustration: We consider a normally distributed outcome with expectation 0.1 and variance 0.1. What is its expectation and variance after log-transformation?

```
set.seed(10); n <- 1e4
mu <- 0.1; sigma2 <- 0.1

## first order method
mu.exp1 <- exp(mu)
var.exp1 <- exp(2*mu)*sigma2

## second order method
mu.exp2 <- exp(mu)*(1+sigma2/2)
var.exp2 <- exp(2*mu)*(sigma2 + (7/4)*sigma2^2)

## empirical value
X.exp <- exp(rnorm(n, mean = mu, sd = sqrt(sigma2)))
mu.expGS <- mean(X.exp)
var.expGS <- var(X.exp)
```

Comparison mean:

```
rbind(value = c(first.order = mu.exp1,
                 second.order = mu.exp2,
                 truth = mu.expGS),
      bias = c(mu.exp1,mu.exp2,mu.expGS)-mu.expGS,
      relative.bias = (c(mu.exp1,mu.exp2,mu.expGS)-mu.expGS)/mu.expGS)
```

	first.order	second.order	truth
value	1.10517092	1.160429464	1.163026
bias	-0.05785477	-0.002596223	0.000000
relative.bias	-0.04974505	-0.002232301	0.000000

Comparison variance:

```
rbind(value = c(first.order = var.exp1,
                 second.order = var.exp2,
                 truth = var.expGS),
      bias = c(var.exp1,var.exp2,var.expGS)-var.expGS,
      relative.bias = (c(var.exp1,var.exp2,var.expGS)-var.expGS)/var.expGS)
```

	first.order	second.order	truth
value	0.12214028	0.1435148241	0.1444692
bias	-0.02232895	-0.0009544035	0.0000000
relative.bias	-0.15455853	-0.0066062752	0.0000000

The second order estimate is much more accurate, especially for the variance.

2.2 Two independent groups - normal distribution

Theory: consider two groups $G = 0$ and $G = 1$ for which we want to compare the percentage difference in outcome Y . We are willing to assume that on the log-scale Y is normally distributed. Our parameter of interest is:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[Y|G=1] - \mathbb{E}[Y|G=0]}{\mathbb{E}[Y|G=0]} = \gamma$$

we denote $\alpha = \mathbb{E}[Y|G=0]$ and we assume that on the original scale:

$$\mathbb{V}ar[Y|G=1] = \mathbb{V}ar[Y|G=0] = \sigma^2$$

How should be parametrized the gaussian distribution of $\log(Y)|G=0$ and $\log(Y)|G=1$ to satisfy $(\alpha, \gamma, \sigma^2)$? In other words we want to find m_0, m_1, s_0, s_1 such that:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 &= \log(Y)|G=0 \sim \mathcal{N}(m_0, s_0^2) \\ Z_1 &= \log(Y)|G=1 \sim \mathcal{N}(m_1, s_1^2) \end{aligned}$$

We can use the delta method to identify these parameters since:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \mathbb{E}[\exp(Z_0)] = \exp(a_0) \left(1 + \frac{s_0^2}{2}\right) \\ \sigma^2 &= \mathbb{V}ar[\exp(Z_0)] = \exp(2a_0) \left(s_0^2 + \frac{7}{4}s_0^4\right) \\ \alpha(\gamma + 1) &= \mathbb{E}[\exp(Z_1)] = \exp(a_1) \left(1 + \frac{s_1^2}{2}\right) \\ \sigma^2 &= \mathbb{V}ar[\exp(Z_1)] = \exp(2a_1) \left(s_1^2 + \frac{7}{4}s_1^4\right) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha^2}{\sigma^2} &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{s_0^2}{2}\right)^2}{s_0^2 + \frac{7}{4}s_0^4} && \rightarrow \text{gives } s_0 \\ a_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\left(s_0^2 + \frac{7}{4}s_0^4\right)} \right) && \rightarrow \text{gives } a_0 \\ \frac{\alpha^2(\gamma + 1)^2}{\sigma^2} &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{s_1^2}{2}\right)^2}{s_1^2 + \frac{7}{4}s_1^4} && \rightarrow \text{gives } s_1 \\ a_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\left(s_1^2 + \frac{7}{4}s_1^4\right)} \right) && \rightarrow \text{gives } a_1 \end{aligned}$$

The first and third equation can be solved numerically.

Illustration: We consider two groups having a 10% difference in their baseline value ($\alpha = 1.15$) and a variance of $\sigma^2 = 0.15$. What are the parameters of the corresponding normal distribution on the log-scale and the standardized effect size?

```
alpha <- 1.15
sigma2 <- 0.15
gamma <- 0.1
```

Solve the equations:

```
s0 <- uniroot(function(x){alpha^2/sigma2 - (1+x/2)^2/(x+x^2*7/4)},
              interval = c(0,1))$root
a0 <- log(sigma2/(s0+s0^2*7/4))/2
s1 <- uniroot(function(x){alpha^2*(gamma+1)^2/sigma2 - (1+x/2)^2/(x+x^2
              *7/4)},
              interval = c(0,1))$root
a1 <- log(sigma2/(s1+s1^2*7/4))/2
c(a0 = a0, s0 = s0, a1 = a1, s1 = s1)
```

```
      a0      s0      a1      s1
0.08802784 0.10608948 0.19175319 0.08851048
```

We can check that uniroot converged correctly:

```
c(exp(a0)*(1+s0/2) - alpha,
  exp(2*a0)*(s0+s0^2*7/4) - sigma2,
  exp(a1)*(1+s1/2) - alpha*(1+gamma),
  exp(2*a1)*(s1+s1^2*7/4) - sigma2)
```

```
[1] -5.563198e-05  0.000000e+00 -1.895835e-05  0.000000e+00
```

and the variables have the appropriate distribution:

```
Z0 <- exp(rnorm(1e4, mean=a0, sd = sqrt(s0)))
Z1 <- exp(rnorm(1e4, mean=a1, sd = sqrt(s1)))
c(alpha = mean(Z0),
  gamma = (mean(Z1)-mean(Z0))/mean(Z0),
  sigma2 = var(Z0),
  sigma2 = var(Z1))
```

```
      alpha      gamma      sigma2      sigma2
1.1435272 0.1090391 0.1473705 0.1507638
```

For a power calculation we would use:

```
pwr.t.test(d = (a1-a0)/sqrt(s0/2+s1/2), sig.level = 0.05, power = 0.8)
## dvmisc::power_2t_unequal(n = 143, d = a1-a0, sigsq1 = s0, sigsq2 =
  s1, alpha = 0.05)
```

Two-sample t test power calculation

```
n = 142.9312
d = 0.3325282
sig.level = 0.05
power = 0.8
alternative = two.sided
```

NOTE: n is number in *each* group

2.3 Two independent groups - log-normal distribution

An alternative approach is to use a log-normal distribution. Random variables with log normal distribution have their logarithm equal to a specific value a and their standard deviation equal to a specific value s . So we want to get:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \exp(a_0 + \frac{1}{2}s_0^2) \\ \sigma^2 &= \exp(2 * a_0 + s_0^2) * (\exp(s_0^2) - 1) \\ \alpha(1 + \gamma) &= \exp(a_1 + \frac{1}{2}s_1^2) \\ \sigma^2 &= \exp(2 * a_1 + s_1^2) * (\exp(s_1^2) - 1)\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}s_0 &= \log\left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^2}\right) \\ a_0 &= \log(\alpha) - \frac{s_0^2}{2} \\ s_1 &= \log\left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha * (1 + \gamma)^2}\right) \\ a_1 &= \log(\alpha * (1 + \gamma)) - \frac{s_1^2}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Illustration: We still consider two groups having a 10% difference in their base-line value ($\alpha = 1.15$) and a variance of $\sigma^2 = 0.15$. What are the parameters of the corresponding normal distribution on the log-scale and the standardized effect size?

```
alpha <- 1.15
sigma2 <- 0.15
gamma <- 0.1
```

We identify the parameters of the log-normal distributions:

```
s0 <- log(1+sigma2/alpha^2)
a0 <- log(alpha) - s0/2
s1 <- log(1+sigma2/(alpha*(1+gamma))^2)
a1 <- log(alpha*(1+gamma)) - s1/2
c(a0 = a0, s0 = s0, a1 = a1, s1 = s1)
```

```
      a0      s0      a1      s1
0.08604307 0.10743775 0.19027207 0.08960011
```

We can check that the variables have the appropriate distribution:

```
Z0 <- rlnorm(1e4, mean=a0, sd = sqrt(s0))
Z1 <- rlnorm(1e4, mean=a1, sd = sqrt(s1))
c(alpha = mean(Z0),
  gamma = (mean(Z1)-mean(Z0))/mean(Z0),
  sigma2 = var(Z0),
  sigma2 = var(Z1))
```

```
      alpha      gamma      sigma2      sigma2
1.1480725 0.1019535 0.1455856 0.1510286
```

For a power calculation we would use:

```
pwr.t.test(d = (a1-a0)/sqrt(s0/2+s1/2), sig.level = 0.05, power = 0.8)
## dvmisc::power_2t_unequal(n = 143, d = a1-a0, sigsq1 = s0, sigsq2 =
  s1, alpha = 0.05)
```

Two-sample t test power calculation

```
      n = 143.3238
      d = 0.3320693
sig.level = 0.05
power = 0.8
alternative = two.sided
```

NOTE: n is number in *each* group