ccg-latex.sty neither loads nor requires packages or fonts. Kerning control for typesetting CCG slashes, LF's colon, and for rule decorations in CCG derivations is in the pt unit, without the \! command. If you feel like changing it, just look for the \kern command. (This is because the eye-pleasing slash distance seems to be independent of font size, at least to my eyes.) All math is introduced by \ensuremath in ccg-latex.sty; there is no \\$..\\$ unless you introduce it manually.

To use, please include the following line somewhere in the LATEX preamble:

```
\usepackage{ccg-latex}
```

I demonstrate examples with increasingly high-level ccg-latex code, from lowest level \cgex to \begin{ccg} and \begin{ccg}.

An Example with the \cgex{n}{derivations} command. The \lf{} is already in math mode. Lexical assumption lines are drawn by one command.

John	likes	Mary
$S/(S\backslash NP)$	$(S \setminus NP_{3s})/NP$	$\overline{(S\backslash NP)\backslash ((S\backslash NP)/NP)}$ $: \lambda p.pmary'$
:λp.pjohn	:\(\lambda x \lambda y. like xy\)	:λ p.p mary
$S\NP:\lambda y.like'mary'y$		
S:like'mary'john'		

The ccg-latex code is:

```
\cgex{3}{John & likes & Mary\\
\cglines{3}\\
\cgf{S\fs(S\bs NP)} & \cgf{(S\bs\cgs{NP}{3s})\fs NP}
    & \cgf{(S\bs NP)\bs((S\bs NP)\fs NP)}\\
\lf{\lambda p.p\,\so{john}}
& \lf{\lambda x\lambda y.\so{like}xy} & \lf{\lambda p.p\,\so{mary}}\\
&\cgline{2}{\cgba}\\
&\cgres{2}{S\bs NP \lf{\lambda y.\so{like}\so{mary}y}}\\
% note that \cgres is by default in \cgf font
\cgline{3}{\cgfa}\\
\cgres{3}{S \lf{\so{like}\so{mary}\so{john}}}
}
```

Same example with lower-level ccg-latex commands for lexical assumption lines, and with shorthanded type-raising notation using subscript and superscript. NB. they are typeset in math mode without needing \$..\$.

$$\frac{\frac{\text{John}}{NP_{3s}^{\uparrow}} \frac{\text{likes}}{(S\backslash NP_{3s})/NP} \frac{\text{Mary}}{S\backslash (S/NP)}}{\frac{S\backslash (S/NP)}{S\backslash (S/NP)}} : \frac{\lambda p.pjohn' : \lambda x\lambda y.like'xy : \lambda p.pmary'}{\frac{S/NP:\lambda x.like'xjohn'}{S:like'mary'john'}}$$

The ccg-latex code is:

```
\cgex{3}{John & likes & Mary\\
% uses the alias \cat rather than \cgf above--same result
\cgul & \cgul & \cgul\\
% manually repeats the columns for comparison with \cglines above
\cat{\cgss{NP}{3s}{\uparrow}}
& \cat{(S\bs\cgs{NP}{3s})\fs NP} & \cat{S\bs(S\fs NP)}\\
\lif{\lambda p.p\,\so{john}}
& \lif{\lambda x\lambda y.\so{like}xy} & \lif{\lambda p.p\,\so{mary}}\\
\cgline{2}{\cgfc}\\
\cgres{2}{S\fs NP \lif{\lambda x.\so{like}x\so{john}}}\\
% note that \cgres is by default in \cgf font
\cgline{3}{\cgfa}\\
\cgres{3}{\cat{S} \lif{\so{like}\so{mary}\so{john}}}}
% using \cat inside \cgres is nae problem
}
```

An example with double slashes (for morphology, etc.)

$$\frac{\text{dismiss}}{VP_{\text{inf}}/NP : \lambda x \lambda y. dismiss' xy} \frac{-\text{ed}}{((S \backslash NP_{\text{agr}})/NP) \backslash (VP_{\text{inf}}/NP) : \lambda p \lambda x \lambda y. past'(Pxy)}}{(S \backslash NP_{\text{agr}})/NP : \lambda x \lambda y. past'(dismiss' xy)}$$

The ccg-latex code is below (using \cgf instead of \cat, which do the same, and native latex math for LF, which does the same as \lf{..}). NB. empty subscripts with no effect, if you keep changing the categories as I do).

The last \c is a painful reminder that if you put inline math in it using \$..\$, you will get a warning from LATEX. Use \l or \b (for 'bare math') instead.

```
\cgex{3}{dismiss& -ed\\
\cgf\cgs{VP}{inf}\fs\cgs{NP}{{}}
$:\lambda x\lambda y.\so{dismiss}\,x\,y$&
\cgf{((\cgs{S}{{}\bs\cgs{NP}{agr})\fs NP)\bss(\cgs{VP}{inf}\fs NP)}
$:\lambda p\lambda x\lambda y.\so{past}(P\,xy)$\\
\cgline{2}{\cgba}\\
\cgres{2}{\cgf{(\cgs{S}{{}\bs\cgs{NP}{agr})\fs\cgs{NP}{{}}}
\lf{\lambda x\lambda y.\so{past}(\so{dismiss}\,x\,y)}}
}
```

Here is one example with features galore, from Emmon Bach:

```
 \frac{\text{Mary } \underset{(S_{\text{pres}} \backslash NP)/VP_{1\text{sg-pl}}}{\text{musn't}} \underset{VP_{1\text{sg-pl}}/VP_{\text{en}}}{\text{have}} \underset{VP_{\text{en,ing}}/VP_{\text{ing}}}{\text{been}} \underset{VP_{\text{pass,ing}}/VP_{\text{pass}}}{\text{being}} \underset{VP_{\text{pass}} \backslash VP_{\text{pass}}}{\text{arrest}} \underset{VP_{\text{pass}} \backslash (VP_{\text{inf}}/NP)}{\text{red}} \underset{VP_{\text{pass}}}{\text{red}} \underset{V
```

ccg-latex code:

```
{\footnotesize
Mary \cgex{6}{musn't & have & been & being & arrest & -ed\\
 \csines{6}
 \cgf{(\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP)\fs\cgs{VP}{1sg-pl}}
 & \csin VP{1sg-pl}\fs\cgs{VP}{en}}
  &\cgf{\cgs{VP}{en,ing}\fs\cgs{VP}{ing}}
  &\cgf{\cgs{VP}{pass,ing}\fs\cgs{VP}{pass}}
  &\cgf{\cgs{VP}{inf}\fds NP}
  \cgf{\cgs{VP}{pass}\lds(\cgs{VP}{inf}\fs NP)}\
  \cgline{2}{\cgfc} &\&\&\cgline{2}{\cgba}\\
 \cgres{2}{(\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP)\fs\cgs{VP}{en}}
 &&&\cgres{2}{\cgs{VP}{pass}}\\
  \c {3}{\c c} \
\cgres{3}{(\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP)\fs\cgs{VP}{ing}}\\
 \c {4}{\c fc}\
 \cgres{4}{(\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP)\fs\cgs{VP}{pass}}\\
 \c {6}{\c fa}
 \cgres{6}{\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP}
}}
```

Same example with \begin{ccg}{n}{data}{derivations}\end{ccg}. No gloss line on top, and lexical assumption lines are drawn by default.

```
 \frac{(S_{\text{pres}} \backslash NP)/VP_{1\text{sg-pl}}}{(S_{\text{pres}} \backslash NP)/VP_{1\text{sg-pl}}/VP_{\text{en}}} \frac{\text{been}}{VP_{1\text{sg-pl}}/VP_{\text{en}}} \frac{\text{being}}{VP_{\text{pass,ing}}/VP_{\text{pass}}} \frac{\text{arrest}}{VP_{\text{inf}} / NP} \frac{\text{-ed}}{VP_{\text{pass}} \backslash (VP_{\text{inf}}/NP)} \frac{\text{-ed}}{VP_{\text{inf}} \backslash (VP_{\text{inf}}/NP)}
```

ccg-latex code:

```
{\footnotesize
Mary
\begin{ccg}{6}{musn't & have & been & being & arrest & -ed}
  \cgf{(\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP)\fs\cgs{VP}{1sg-pl}}
  & \cgf{\cgs{VP}_{1sg-pl}\fs\cgs{VP}_{en}}
   &\cgf{\cgs{VP}{en,ing}\fs\cgs{VP}{ing}}
   &\cgf{\cgs{VP}{pass,ing}\fs\cgs{VP}{pass}}
   &\cgf{\cgs{VP}{inf}\fds NP}
   \cgf{\cgs{VP}{pass}\lds(\cgs{VP}{inf}\fs NP)}\
   \cgline{2}{\cgfc} &\&\&\cgline{2}{\cgba}\\
 \cgres{2}{(\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP)\fs\cgs{VP}{en}}
 \&\&\cgres{2}{\cgs{VP}{pass}}\
   \c {3}{\c {cgfc}}
 \cgres{3}{(\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP)\fs\cgs{VP}{ing}}\\
 \left( \frac{4}{\left( \frac{4}{cgfc} \right)} \right)
 \cgres{4}{(\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP)\fs\cgs{VP}{pass}}\\
 \c {6}{\c fa}
 \cgres{6}{\cgs{S}{pres}\bs NP}
\end{ccg}
}
```

Another example, to show glossing in the beginning. The end gloss is typeset by \mc, for multi-column, centered.

It uses \begin{ccgg}{n}{data}{gloss}{derivations}\end{ccgg}.

```
\frac{\text{ver-dir}}{\text{give-caus}} \frac{\text{-t}}{\text{-caus}} \frac{\text{-ti.}}{\text{-past}}
\frac{VP_{\text{inf}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}}{:\lambda x \lambda y \lambda z. \text{give'} yxz} \frac{(S \backslash NP_{\text{nom}} \backslash NP_{\text{case}}) \backslash VP_{\text{inf}}}{:\lambda p \lambda x \lambda y. \text{cause'} (px)y} \frac{\text{-s}^3}{S \backslash NP_{\text{nom}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}} \frac{\text{-s}^3}{S \backslash NP_{\text{nom}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}} \frac{\text{-s}^3}{S \backslash NP_{\text{acc}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}} \frac{\text{-s}^3}{S \backslash NP_{\text{acc}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}} \frac{\text{-s}^3}{S \backslash NP_{\text{acc}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}} \frac{\text{-s}^3}{S \backslash NP_{\text{acc}} \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}} \frac{\text{-s}^3}{S \backslash NP_{\text{acc}} \backslash NP_{\text{dat}} \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}} \frac{\text{-s}^3}{S \backslash NP_{\text{acc}} \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}} \frac{\text{-s}^3}{S \backslash NP_{\text{acc}}} \frac{
```

ccg-latex code:

```
\begin{ccgg}{3}{ver-dir & -t & -ti.}{give{-caus} & {-past}}
{
\cgf{\cgs{VP}{inf}\bs\cgs{NP}{dat}\bs\cgs{NP}{dat}\bs\cgs{NP}{acc}}
& \cgf{(S\bs\cgs{NP}{nom}\bs\cgs{NP}{case})\bs\cgs{VP}{inf}}\\
\lf{\lambda x\lambda y\lambda z.\so{give}yxz}
& \lf{\lambda p\lambda x\lambda y.\so{cause}(px)y}\\
\cgline{2}{\cgbc$^3$}\\
\cgres{2}{S\bs\cgs{NP}{nom}\bs\cgs{NP}{dat}\bs\cgs{NP}{dat}}\
\bs\cgs{NP}{dat}\bs\cgs{NP}{acc}}\\
\cgres{2}{\lf{\lambda x_1\lambda x_2\lambda x_3\lambda x_4\lambda x_5.\
\so{cause}(\so{cause}(\so{give}x_1x_2x_3)x_4)x_5}}\\[\ext{lex}\]
\mc{3}{\made to let give', from Turkish}
}
\end{ccgg}
```

An example with slash modalities and centered non-derivability indicator (*):

```
*I will give flowers my very heavy friends. \frac{(VP/NP)/_{\Diamond}NP}{(VP/NP)} \frac{VP \setminus (VP/NP)}{* \cdot \mathsf{s}_{\mathsf{k}}} \frac{VP \setminus (VP/NP)}{VP \setminus (VP/NP)}
```

Code:

```
\begin{ccg}{4}{*I~will& give& flowers& my~very~heavy~friends.}
{
&\cat{(VP\fs NP)\fds NP}&\cat{VP\bs(VP\fs NP)}&\cat{VP\bs(VP\fs NP)}\\
&\badline{2}{\cgbx}
}
\end{ccg}
```

Some examples to show slash distancing by pt unit for categories in subscript:

John [should] $(S \setminus NP) / IV$ walk the dog. John [wants] $(S \setminus NP) / VP$ to walk the dog. Mary [believes] $(S \setminus NP) / S$ that John walked the dog.

And some big ones:

$$(S \setminus NP)/_{\diamond} IV$$

 $(S \setminus NP)/VP$
 $(S \setminus NP)//S$

and bigger ones:

$$(S \setminus NP)/\Diamond IV$$

 $(S \setminus NP)/VP$
 $(S \setminus NP)//S$

Here is the native LATEX math rendering of CCG categories to compare spacing in various sizes:

$$(S \setminus NP)/_{\diamond}IV$$

 $(S \setminus NP)/VP$
 $(S \setminus NP)//S$

John [should] $(S \setminus NP) / IV$ walk the dog. John [wants] $(S \setminus NP) / VP$ to walk the dog. Mary [believes] $(S \setminus NP) / S$ that John walked the dog.

Here's the native math code avoiding all ccg-latex.sty, which generated the typesetting:

{\Large

 $(S\backslash_\times NP)/_{\diamond}IV\$

\$(S\backslash\backslash NP)/VP\$\medskip

\$(S\backslash NP)// S\$}\bigskip

Mary [believes]\$_{\scriptstyle (S\backslash NP)/_{\diamond}S}\$ that John walked the dog.

 $(S \setminus NP)/_{\diamond}IV$

 $(S \backslash NP)/VP$

 $(S \backslash NP) / /S$

John [should] $(S \setminus NP) / / IV$ walk the dog.

John [wants] $(S \setminus NP)/VP$ to walk the dog. Mary [believes] $(S \setminus NP)/_{\diamond}S$ that John walked the dog.