

Informing IPCC accounting of forest carbon using the global forest carbon database (ForC v4.0)

Madison Williams¹, Valentine Herrmann¹, Rebecca Banbury Morgan², Ben Bond-Lamberty (confirm)³, Susan Cook-Patton⁴, Sandro Federici⁵, Helene Muller-Landau⁶, Camille Piponiot⁷, Teagan Rogers¹, Valentyna Slivinska⁵, and Kristina J. Anderson-Teixeira^{1,6*}

¹Center for Conservation Ecology, Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute, Front Royal, VA, United States

²

³

⁴

⁵IPCC Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Technical Support Unit, Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, Hayama, Japan

⁶Forest Global Earth Observatory, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panama, Republic of Panama

⁷CIRAD, Montpellier, France

Correspondence: Kristina J. Anderson-Teixeira (teixeirak@si.edu)

Abstract. The abstract goes here. It can also be on *multiple lines*.

Copyright statement. The author's copyright for this publication is transferred to institution/company.

Important: Always double-check with the official manuscript preparation guidelines at https://publications.copernicus.org/for_authors/manuscript_preparation.html, especially the sections “Technical instructions for LaTeX” and “Manuscript composition”. Please contact Daniel Nüst, daniel.nuest@uni-muenster.de, with any problems.

1 Introduction

(Forest are critical for climate change mitigation)

(Need for good data in international carbon accounting)

(Introduce EFDB & ForC)

10 Here, we: (1) clarify definitions of relevant carbon stocks and increments (2) describe mapping of ForC to IPCC's EFDB, (3) describe updates to ForC (ForC v4.0), (4) summarize the data in ForC that's relevant to EFDB, identifying gaps, and (5) provide recommendations for improving data collection, analysis, database, and accounting. We note that

2 Defining carbon stocks and incremenets

For quantifying forest role in global C cycle, we ultimately care about: (1) C stocks –stores of C that would be released to the
15 atmosphere upon and use change (2) C increments – changes in those C stocks.

2.1 Carbon stocks

Forest ecosystem C stocks may be parsed into pools in various ways. IPCC parses into biomass (aboveground and below-ground), dead organic matter (dead wood and litter), and soil organic matter (Table 1). Quantifying these requires a one-time measurement.

pool	pool	definition	major sources of estimate variation	IPCC guidance
biomass	aboveground	all biomass of living vegetation, both woody and herbaceous, above the soil	allometry, min dbh	acceptable to exclude understory
	belowground	all biomass of live roots	allometry, min dbh, assumed ratio of belowground to aboveground biomass (IPCC table 4.4)	fine roots may be excluded when they cannot be distinguished empirically from soil organic matter or litter
dead organic matter	dead wood	all non-living woody biomass not contained in the litter, either standing, lying on the ground, or in the soil	min dbh, ...	default min dbh = 10cm, but may be chosen by country
	litter	all non-living biomass with a size greater than the limit for soil organic matter and less than the minimum diameter chosen for dead wood, lying dead, in various states of decomposition above or within the mineral or organic soil	min dbh for dead wood , ..	
soils	soil organic matter	organic carbon in mineral soils to a specified depth	sampling depth	default sampling depth = 30cm, but may be chosen by country

Figure 1. Table 1

Table 1. This is a start at table 1 using the template format.

pool	subpool	definition	major sources of estimate variation	
biomass	aboveground	all biomass of living vegetation, both woody and herbaceous, above the soil	allometry, min dbh	accept

I don't know how to adjust so that it doesn't run off the page.

20 **Table 1: variables with definitions and measurement methods.** Definitions from IPCC Table 1.1. (See Table 1.1 in IPCC guidance). *(Currently adding this as a figure (generated from original draft) because kableExtra doesn't seem to work in this template, and I can't quickly get the template format to work. Table that we want here is "figures_tables/C_pools.csv")*

2.2 Carbon increments

C increments are defined as the change over time, in annual increments, in each C pool. These may be estimated as the difference between C stocks at two time points, or as the difference between inputs and outputs to the pool (i.e., fluxes). Quantifying these requires at least two measurements.

Fluxes are the inputs and outputs to each pool.

Figure: schematic illustrating fluxes in and out of each pool

3 Mapping ForC to EFDB

3.1 Carbon cycle variables

Table: variable mapping and equations– give equations to calculate IPCC variables from C cycle variables

Define relationship among NEE, NEP, and delta.C., especially noting role of harvest.

3.2 Land use categories

4 Updates to ForC (ForC v4.0)

To support export of data to EFDB, and to improve the overall quality of the ForC database, we implemented some modest restructuring, resolved duplicate records, and conducted quality control.

This section describes changes relative to ForC v2.0 (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2018).

4.1 ForC restructuring

Table: changes to ForC fields.

Use this table : https://github.com/forc-db/ForC/blob/master/database_management_records/record_of_changes.csv.

4.2 Resolving duplicates

4.3 Quality control

5 Results

figure: map of relevant ForC data with underlying FAO ecozones

summarize the data in ForC that’s relevant to EFDB, identifying gaps

dead wood and litter comparisons will be particularly interesting, as IPCC values are based on just a handful of references for each climate zone (table 2.2 in 2019 guidelines)

6 Recommendations

6.1 Data collection and analysis needs

50 (Paragraph highlighting important gaps in variables / regions)

Several variables of value to IPCC, including standing dead wood, woody mortality, delta.agb, are not calculated and presented as frequently as are AGB and ANPP_woody, even though they can readily be derived from the same census data. We recommend that researchers calculate and report these, as specified below. Furthermore, there is an opportunity to fill data gaps by calculating these from existing census data. For example, the core census protocol of the Forest Global Earth Observatory [ForestGEO; REFS] collects the data required to calculate standing dead wood, woody mortality, and delta.agb, but these have not been calculated and reported for all sites for which the appropriate number of censuses are available (n=1 for standing dead wood, n=2 for woody mortality and delta.agb) [but see REFS].

A universal challenge in estimating biomass (living or dead) from forest census data is applying appropriate allometries to convert DBH measurements to biomass. *(Camille/Helene can write this paragraph easily.)*

60 6.2 Data reporting needs

We recommend that, unless they have some specific reason to do otherwise, researchers calculate and report the values according to IPCC standards:

- adopt common standards for variables like min diameter of deadwood, select soil sampling increments to include a cutoff at 30.
- 65 – report 95% CIs, SE, or STD and n
- report C variables in article text, table, or SI table. EFDB cannot accept data digitized from figures

6.3 Database needs

There are plenty of relevant, published data that are not included in ForC. Systematic review of the literature could vastly improve data coverage. *(There are some efforts underway, including a few that Susan can specify.)*

70 6.4 IPCC

An important challenge is that forests are changing rapidly, and data collected a decade ago may no longer be relevant, particularly in the cases of C increments and fluxes.

7 Conclusions

The conclusion goes here. You can modify the section name with \conclusions[modified heading if necessary].

75 *Code and data availability.* use this to add a statement when having data sets and software code available

Author contributions. (fill this in)

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements. Thank you to all researchers who collected and published the data contained in ForC, and to all research assistants and collaborators who have helped to build the database. Funding for this study was provided by The Nature Conservancy, the Institute for Global

80 Environmental Strategies, WLS(?)

References

Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., Wang, M. M. H., McGarvey, J. C., Herrmann, V., Tepley, A. J., Bond-Lamberty, B., and LeBauer, D. S.: ForC : A Global Database of Forest Carbon Stocks and Fluxes, *Ecology*, 99, 1507–1507, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2229>, 2018.