11. Worksheet: Phylogenetic Diversity - Traits

Brooke Peckenpaugh; Z620: Quantitative Biodiversity, Indiana University 20 February, 2019

OVERVIEW

Up to this point, we have been focusing on patterns taxonomic diversity in Quantitative Biodiversity. Although taxonomic diversity is an important dimension of biodiversity, it is often necessary to consider the evolutionary history or relatedness of species. The goal of this exercise is to introduce basic concepts of phylogenetic diversity.

After completing this exercise you will be able to:

- 1. create phylogenetic trees to view evolutionary relationships from sequence data
- 2. map functional traits onto phylogenetic trees to visualize the distribution of traits with respect to evolutionary history
- 3. test for phylogenetic signal within trait distributions and trait-based patterns of biodiversity

Directions:

- 1. In the Markdown version of this document in your cloned repo, change "Student Name" on line 3 (above) with your name.
- 2. Complete as much of the worksheet as possible during class.
- 3. Use the handout as a guide; it contains a more complete description of data sets along with examples of proper scripting needed to carry out the exercises.
- 4. Answer questions in the worksheet. Space for your answers is provided in this document and is indicated by the ">" character. If you need a second paragraph be sure to start the first line with ">". You should notice that the answer is highlighted in green by RStudio (color may vary if you changed the editor theme).
- 5. Before you leave the classroom today, it is *imperative* that you **push** this file to your GitHub repo, at whatever stage you are. This will enable you to pull your work onto your own computer.
- 6. When you have completed the worksheet, **Knit** the text and code into a single PDF file by pressing the Knit button in the RStudio scripting panel. This will save the PDF output in your '8.BetaDiversity' folder.
- 7. After Knitting, please submit the worksheet by making a **push** to your GitHub repo and then create a **pull request** via GitHub. Your pull request should include this file (**11.PhyloTraits_Worksheet.Rmd**) with all code blocks filled out and questions answered) and the PDF output of Knitr (**11.PhyloTraits_Worksheet.pdf**).

The completed exercise is due on Wednesday, February 20th, 2019 before 12:00 PM (noon).

1) SETUP

Typically, the first thing you will do in either an R script or an RMarkdown file is setup your environment. This includes things such as setting the working directory and loading any packages that you will need.

In the R code chunk below, provide the code to:

- 1. clear your R environment,
- 2. print your current working directory,
- 3. set your working directory to your "/11.PhyloTraits" folder, and
- 4. load all of the required R packages (be sure to install if needed).

```
rm(list = ls())
getwd()
## [1] "/Users/brooke/GitHub/QB2019_Peckenpaugh/2.Worksheets/11.PhyloTraits"
package.list <- c('ape', 'seqinr', 'phylobase', 'adephylo', 'geiger', 'picante', 'stats', 'RColorBrewer</pre>
for (package in package.list) {
  if (!require(package, character.only=TRUE, quietly=TRUE)) {
    install.packages(package)
    library(package, character.only=TRUE)
  }
}
##
## Attaching package: 'seqinr'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:ape':
##
##
       as.alignment, consensus
##
## Attaching package: 'phylobase'
## The following object is masked from 'package:ape':
##
##
       edges
##
## Attaching package: 'permute'
## The following object is masked from 'package:seqinr':
##
##
       getType
## This is vegan 2.5-3
##
## Attaching package: 'nlme'
## The following object is masked from 'package:seqinr':
##
##
       gls
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
## The following object is masked from 'package:MASS':
##
##
## The following object is masked from 'package:nlme':
##
##
## The following object is masked from 'package:seqinr':
##
##
       count
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
```

##

filter, lag

```
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
##
## Attaching package: 'phangorn'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:vegan':
##
## diversity, treedist
```

2) DESCRIPTION OF DATA

The maintenance of biodiversity is thought to be influenced by **trade-offs** among species in certain functional traits. One such trade-off involves the ability of a highly specialized species to perform exceptionally well on a particular resource compared to the performance of a generalist. In this exercise, we will take a phylogenetic approach to mapping phosphorus resource use onto a phylogenetic tree while testing for specialist-generalist trade-offs.

3) SEQUENCE ALIGNMENT


Question 1: Using your favorite text editor, compare the p.isolates.fasta file and the p.isolates.afa file. Describe the differences that you observe between the two files.

Answer 1: The afa file is upper case, while the fasta file is lower case. Also, the afa file has "-" to indicate breaks in the sequence, while the fasta file does not have breaks. The afa file has N's, while the fasta file does not.

In the R code chunk below, do the following: 1. read your alignment file, 2. convert the alignment to a DNAbin object, 3. select a region of the gene to visualize (try various regions), and 4. plot the alignment using a grid to visualize rows of sequences.

```
setwd("~/GitHub/QB2019_Peckenpaugh/2.Worksheets/11.PhyloTraits")
read.aln <- read.alignment(file = "./data/p.isolates.afa", format = "fasta")
p.DNAbin <- as.DNAbin(read.aln)
window <- p.DNAbin[, 100:500]
image.DNAbin(window, cex.lab = 0.50)
grid(ncol(window), nrow(window), col = "light grey")</pre>
```





Question 2: Make some observations about the muscle alignment of the 16S rRNA gene sequences for our bacterial isolates and the outgroup, *Methanosarcina*, a member of the domain Archaea. Move along the alignment by changing the values in the window object.

- a. Approximately how long are our sequence reads?
- b. What regions do you think would are appropriate for phylogenetic inference and why?

Answer 2a: Most sequence reads are around 700-900 bp long, while Methanosarcina is more like 1500 bp long. **Answer 2b**: There are regions from \sim 200-400 and \sim 500-700 bp that have sites for most or all of the species, appear to be overall conserved but have sufficient variation to see differences.

4) MAKING A PHYLOGENETIC TREE

Once you have aligned your sequences, the next step is to construct a phylogenetic tree. Not only is a phylogenetic tree effective for visualizing the evolutionary relationship among taxa, but as you will see later, the information that goes into a phylogenetic tree is needed for downstream analysis.

A. Neighbor Joining Trees


In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. calculate the distance matrix using model = "raw",
- 2. create a Neighbor Joining tree based on these distances,
- 3. define "Methanosarcina" as the outgroup and root the tree, and
- 4. plot the rooted tree.

```
seq.dist.raw <- dist.dna(p.DNAbin, model = "raw", pairwise.deletion = FALSE)
nj.tree <- bionj(seq.dist.raw)
outgroup <- match("Methanosarcina", nj.tree$tip.label)
nj.rooted <- root(nj.tree, outgroup, resolve.root = TRUE)
par(mar = c(1,1,2,1) + 0.1)</pre>
```

```
plot.phylo(nj.rooted, main = "Neighbor Joining Tree", "phylogram", use.edge.length = FALSE, direction =
add.scale.bar(cex = 0.7)
```

Neighbor Joining Tree




Question 3: What are the advantages and disadvantages of making a neighbor joining tree?

Answer 3: It is likely not as accurate or robust as a maximum likelihood or bayesian tree, but it requires significantly less computational power, so it can be done quickly. It is also not a statistical method.


B) SUBSTITUTION MODELS OF DNA EVOLUTION

In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. make a second distance matrix based on the Felsenstein 84 substitution model,
- 2. create a saturation plot to compare the raw and Felsenstein (F84) substitution models,
- 3. make Neighbor Joining trees for both, and
- 4. create a cophylogenetic plot to compare the topologies of the trees.



```
raw.tree <- bionj(seq.dist.raw)
F84.tree <- bionj(seq.dist.F84)
raw.outgroup <- match("Methanosarcina", raw.tree$tip.label)
F84.outgroup <- match("Methanosarcina", F84.tree$tip.label)
raw.rooted <- root(raw.tree, raw.outgroup, resolve.root = TRUE)
F84.rooted <- root(F84.tree, F84.outgroup, resolve.root = TRUE)
layout(matrix(c(1,2), 1, 2), width = c(1,1))
par(mar = c(1, 1, 2, 0))
plot.phylo(raw.rooted, type = "phylogram", use.edge.length = FALSE, direction = "right", show.tip.label
par(mar = c(1, 0, 2, 1))
plot.phylo(F84.rooted, type = "phylogram", use.edge.length = FALSE, direction = "left", show.tip.label</pre>
```



In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. pick another substitution model,
- 2. create a distance matrix and tree for this model,
- 3. make a saturation plot that compares that model to the Felsenstein (F84) model,
- 4. make a cophylogenetic plot that compares the topologies of both models, and
- 5. be sure to format, add appropriate labels, and customize each plot.

Question 4:

- a. Describe the substitution model that you chose. What assumptions does it make and how does it compare to the F84 model?
- b. Using the saturation plot and cophylogenetic plots from above, describe how your choice of substitution model affects your phylogenetic reconstruction. If the plots are inconsistent with one another, explain why.
- c. How does your model compare to the F84 model and what does this tell you about the substitution rates of nucleotide transitions?

Answer 4a:

Answer 4b:

Answer 4c:

C) ANALYZING A MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD TREE


In the R code chunk below, do the following:

1. Read in the maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree used in the handout. 2. Plot bootstrap support values onto the tree

```
ml.bootstrap <- read.tree("./data/ml_tree/RAxML_bipartitions.T1")
par(mar = c(1,1,2,1) + 0.1)
plot.phylo(ml.bootstrap, type = "phylogram", direction = "right", show.tip.label = TRUE,</pre>
```

```
use.edge.length = FALSE, cex = 0.6, label.offset = 1, main = "Maximum Likelihood with Suppor add.scale.bar(cex = 0.7) nodelabels(ml.bootstrap$node.label, font = 2, bg = "white", frame = "r", cex = 0.5)
```

Maximum Likelihood with Support Values



Question 5:

- a) How does the maximum likelihood tree compare the to the neighbor-joining tree in the handout? If the plots seem to be inconsistent with one another, explain what gives rise to the differences.
- b) Why do we bootstrap our tree?
- c) What do the bootstrap values tell you?
- d) Which branches have very low support?
- e) Should we trust these branches?

Answer 5a: The maximum likelihood tree is quite different from the neighbor-joining tree, which makes sense since neighbor joining trees only use a distance matrix, while maximum likelihood take specific nucleotide states into account. Maximum likelihood is also less sensitive to the substitution model used. Answer 5b: Bootstraps are a statistical method used with maximum likelihood to determine how confident we should be in the placement of each branch. Answer 5c: Higher boostrap values suggest higher support. Answer 5d: The internal nodes have the lowest support overall, though some other branches have very low support (e.g., WG42, LL43F). Answer 5c: No, we probably should not trust these branches.

5) INTEGRATING TRAITS AND PHYLOGENY

A. Loading Trait Database

In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. import the raw phosphorus growth data, and
- 2. standardize the data for each strain by the sum of growth rates.

```
p.growth <- read.table("./data/p.isolates.raw.growth.txt", sep = "\t", header = TRUE, row.names = 1)
p.growth.std <- p.growth / (apply(p.growth, 1, sum))</pre>
```

B. Trait Manipulations

In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. calculate the maximum growth rate (μ_{max}) of each isolate across all phosphorus types,
- 2. create a function that calculates niche breadth (nb), and
- 3. use this function to calculate nb for each isolate.

```
umax <- (apply(p.growth, 1, max))
levins <- function(p_xi = ""){
  p = 0
  for (i in p_xi){
    p = p + i^2
  }
  nb = 1 / (length(p_xi) * p)
return(nb)
}

nb <- as.matrix(levins(p.growth.std))
rownames(nb) <- row.names(p.growth)
colnames(nb) <- c("NB")</pre>
```

C. Visualizing Traits on Trees

In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. pick your favorite substitution model and make a Neighbor Joining tree,
- 2. define your outgroup and root the tree, and
- 3. remove the outgroup branch.


```
nj.tree <- bionj(seq.dist.F84)
outgroup <- match("Methanosarcina", nj.tree$tip.label)
nj.rooted <- root(nj.tree, outgroup, resolve.root = TRUE)
nj.rooted <- drop.tip(nj.rooted, "Methanosarcina")</pre>
```


In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. define a color palette (use something other than "YlOrRd"),
- 2. map the phosphorus traits onto your phylogeny,
- 3. map the *nb* trait on to your phylogeny, and
- 4. customize the plots as desired (use help(table.phylo4d) to learn about the options).

```
mypalette <- colorRampPalette(brewer.pal(9, "Y10rRd"))
par(mar=c(1,1,1,1) + 0.1)
x <- phylo4d(nj.rooted, p.growth.std)</pre>
```

```
table.phylo4d(x, treetype = "phylo", symbol = "colors", show.node = TRUE,
    cex.label = 0.5, scale = FALSE, use.edge.length = FALSE,
    edge.color = "black", edge.width = 2, box = FALSE,
    col = mypalette(25), pch = 15, cex.symbol = 1.25,
    ratio.tree = 0.5, cex.legend = 1.5, center = FALSE)
```





Question 6:

- a) Make a hypothesis that would support a generalist-specialist trade-off.
- b) What kind of patterns would you expect to see from growth rate and niche breadth values that would support this hypothesis?

Answer 6a: My hypothesis is that there is a cost to being able to use a lot of different forms of phosphorus. **Answer 6b**: I would expect to see lower maximum growth rates with higher niche breadth, and vice versa.

6) HYPOTHESIS TESTING

A) Phylogenetic Signal: Pagel's Lambda

In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. create two rescaled phylogenetic trees using lambda values of 0.5 and 0,
- 2. plot your original tree and the two scaled trees, and
- 3. label and customize the trees as desired.

In the R code chunk below, do the following:

1. use the fitContinuous() function to compare your original tree to the transformed trees.

Question 7: There are two important outputs from the fitContinuous() function that can help you interpret the phylogenetic signal in trait data sets. a. Compare the lambda values of the untransformed tree to the transformed (lambda = 0). b. Compare the Akaike information criterion (AIC) scores of the two models. Which model would you choose based off of AIC score (remember the criteria that the difference in AIC values has to be at least 2)? c. Does this result suggest that there's phylogenetic signal?

Answer 7a:

Answer 7b:

Answer 7c:

B) Phylogenetic Signal: Blomberg's K

In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. correct tree branch-lengths to fix any zeros,
- 2. calculate Blomberg's K for each phosphorus resource using the phylosignal() function,
- 3. use the Benjamini-Hochberg method to correct for false discovery rate, and
- 4. calculate Blomberg's K for niche breadth using the phylosignal() function.

Question 8: Using the K-values and associated p-values (i.e., "PIC.var.P"") from the phylosignal output, answer the following questions:

- a. Is there significant phylogenetic signal for niche breadth or standardized growth on any of the phosphorus resources?
- b. If there is significant phylogenetic signal, are the results suggestive of clustering or overdispersion?

Answer 8a: Answer 8b:

C. Calculate Dispersion of a Trait

In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. turn the continuous growth data into categorical data,
- 2. add a column to the data with the isolate name,
- 3. combine the tree and trait data using the comparative.data() function in caper, and
- 4. use phylo.d() to calculate D on at least three phosphorus traits.

Question 9: Using the estimates for D and the probabilities of each phylogenetic model, answer the following questions:

- a. Choose three phosphorus growth traits and test whether they are significantly clustered or overdispersed?
- b. How do these results compare the results from the Blomberg's K analysis?
- c. Discuss what factors might give rise to differences between the metrics.

Answer 9a: Answer 9b: Answer 9c:

7) PHYLOGENETIC REGRESSION

In the R code chunk below, do the following:

- 1. Load and clean the mammal phylogeny and trait dataset, 2. Fit a linear model to the trait dataset, examining the relationship between mass and BMR, 2. Fit a phylogenetic regression to the trait dataset, taking into account the mammal supertree
 - a. Why do we need to correct for shared evolutionary history?
 - b. How does a phylogenetic regression differ from a standard linear regression?
 - c. Interpret the slope and fit of each model. Did accounting for shared evolutionary history improve or worsen the fit?
 - d. Try to come up with a scenario where the relationship between two variables would completely disappear when the underlying phylogeny is accounted for.

Answer 10a: Answer 10b:

Answer 10c: Answer 10d:

7) SYNTHESIS

Work with members of your Team Project to obtain reference sequences for 10 or more taxa in your study. Sequences for plants, animals, and microbes can found in a number of public repositories, but perhaps the most commonly visited site is the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/. In almost all cases, researchers must deposit their sequences in places like NCBI before a paper is published. Those sequences are checked by NCBI employees for aspects of quality and given an accession number. For example, here an accession number for a fungal isolate that our lab has worked with: JQ797657. You can use the NCBI program nucleotide BLAST to find out more about information associated with the isolate, in addition to getting its DNA sequence: https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/. Alternatively, you can use the read.GenBank() function in the ape package to connect to NCBI and directly get the sequence. This is pretty cool. Give it a try.


But before your team proceeds, you need to give some thought to which gene you want to focus on. For microorganisms like the bacteria we worked with above, many people use the ribosomal gene (i.e., 16S rRNA). This has many desirable features, including it is relatively long, highly conserved, and identifies taxa with reasonable resolution. In eukaryotes, ribosomal genes (i.e., 18S) are good for distinguishing course taxonomic resolution (i.e. class level), but it is not so good at resolving genera or species. Therefore, you may need to find another gene to work with, which might include protein-coding gene like cytochrome oxidase (COI) which is on mitochondria and is commonly used in molecular systematics. In plants, the ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase gene (rbcL), which on the chloroplast, is commonly used. Also, non-protein-encoding sequences like those found in **Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS)** regions between the small and large subunits of of the ribosomal RNA are good for molecular phylogenies. With your team members, do some research and identify a good candidate gene.

After you identify an appropriate gene, download sequences and create a properly formatted fasta file. Next, align the sequences and confirm that you have a good alignment. Choose a substitution model and make a tree of your choice. Based on the decisions above and the output, does your tree jibe with what is known about the evolutionary history of your organisms? If not, why? Is there anything you could do differently that would improve your tree, especially with regard to future analyses done by your team?


```
setwd("~/Desktop/align")

read.aln <- read.alignment(file = "./birds.afa", format = "fasta")
b.DNAbin <- as.DNAbin(read.aln)
window <- b.DNAbin[, 100:500]
image.DNAbin(window, cex.lab = 0.50)</pre>
```





Neighbor Joining Tree



This tree seems to align quite well with my (very limited) knowledge of these species! The two species in the Vireo genus group together. Also, Mniotilta and Dendroica are both warblers. Turdus and Catharus are both thrushes. One thing that could improve this tree would be to do more research to determine the most appropriate outgroup, or to add something that I know is an outgroup. I did some research and thought Buteo (hawks) might be appropriate, but I'm not totally sure. Also, in terms of future analyses, it might be worth looking into more bird sequences for this gene, though I'm not sure how much more is out there. There are more species in our data that are not included in this tree.