## Exercise Sheet 4 solutions

Przemyslaw Joniak, pj46

November 21, 2017

## Exercise 1

Given  $L_i$  (1 <  $i \leq N$ ) prove that  $E(L_X) \geq H(X)$ . I will prove this using Lagrange multiplier technique. Following equations will be useful:

$$E(L_X) = \sum_{i} p_i L_i \qquad (Expectation \ def.)$$
 (1)

$$\sum_{i} 2^{-L_i} \le 1 \qquad (Kraft's inequality) \tag{2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $S = \sum_i 2^{-L_i}$ . Now a constraint equation can be written:  $\sum_i 2^{-L_i} - S = 0$ . Having both function to minimize and the constraint, a *Lagrange function* can be defined as follow:

$$\mathcal{L}(L_1, L_2, ..., L_N, \lambda) = \sum_{i} p_i L_i + \lambda (\sum_{i} 2^{-L_i} - S)$$

Taking partial derivatives and comparing to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial L_i} = p_i - \lambda 2^{-L_i} \log 2 = 0$$

we get:

$$2^{-L_i} = \frac{p_i}{\lambda \log 2}$$

Use Kraft's inequality and a fact that  $\sum_i p_i = 1$ :

$$\sum_{i} 2^{-L_i} = \sum_{i} \frac{p_i}{\lambda \log 2} = \frac{1}{\lambda \log 2} \le 1$$

Hence:

$$2^{-L_i} = p_i \frac{1}{\lambda \log 2} \leq p_i$$

$$2^{-L_i} \leq p_i$$

$$\frac{1}{p_i} \leq 2^{L_i}$$

$$L_i \geq \log_2 \frac{1}{p_i} = -\log_2 p_i$$

Thus, we get:

$$E(L_X) = \sum_{i} p_i L_i$$

$$\geq -\sum_{i} p_i \log_2 p_i$$

$$= H(X)$$

Exercise 3

What we know:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{j} = \ln m + O(1) \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\ln j}{j} = \frac{\ln^2 m}{2} + O(1) \tag{4}$$

$$|L_1| \ge |L_2| \ge \dots \ge |L_m| \tag{5}$$

$$|L_j| \sim \frac{1}{j}$$
 (List length is Zipf's distributed) (6)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} |L_j| = N \tag{7}$$

Observation: Since list length is proportional to  $\frac{1}{i}$ , then there must exist a constant c such that:

$$|L_j| = c\frac{1}{j}$$

Note that for j = 1 it holds:  $|L_1| = c$ . Since lists are sorted in descending order, than  $c = |L_1|$  Putting it into equation (7):

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} |L_j| = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{|L_1|}{j} \tag{8}$$

Now we enough knowledge to solve to exercise. We are suppose to calculate expected total number of bits required to gap-encode all the inverted lists. In the other words, we have to multiply expected number of gaps in a list by length of the list, and then sum up results for the all lists. Since expected code length for a gap from  $L_j$  is  $\log_2 j + O(1)$ :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} (\log_2 j + O(1))|L_j| = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \log_2 j |L_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} |L_j|$$

$$= |L_1| \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\log_2 j}{j} + N$$

$$= |L_1| \frac{1}{\ln 2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\ln j}{j} + N$$

$$= |L_1| \frac{1}{\ln 2} (\frac{\ln^2 m}{2} + O(1)) + N$$

What have I done so far? First, I applied (7)-th and (8)-th equation. Then I changed logarithm basis, and at the end I used (4). Let's continue calculations. In the first step I'll switch a logarithm basis and then I'll apply equation (3)

$$\begin{split} |L_1| \frac{1}{\ln 2} (\frac{\ln^2 m}{2} + O(1)) + N &= \frac{|L_1|}{\ln 2} (\frac{\ln 2 \log_2 m \ln m}{2} + O(1)) \\ &= \frac{\log_2 m}{2} |L_1| \ln m + \frac{|L_1|}{\ln 2} + O(1) + N \\ &= \frac{\log_2 m}{2} |L_1| (\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{j} - O(1)) + \frac{|L_1|}{\ln 2} + O(1) + N \\ &= \frac{\log_2 m}{2} (\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|L_1|}{j} - |L_1|) + \frac{|L_1|}{\ln 2} + O(1) + N \\ &= \frac{\log_2 m}{2} (N - |L_1|) + \frac{|L_1|}{\ln 2} + O(1) + N \\ &= N \frac{\log_2 m}{2} - |L_1| \frac{\log_2 m}{2} + \frac{|L_1|}{\ln 2} + O(1) + N \\ &= N \frac{\log_2 m}{2} - |L_1| \frac{\log_2 m}{2} + O(N) \\ &\leq N \frac{\log_2 m}{2} + O(N) \end{split}$$

## Exercise 2

First, consider remainder part of coding. Its length is:  $\lceil \log_2 M \rceil$ 

$$\lceil \log_2 M \rceil = \lceil \log_2 \lceil \frac{\ln 2}{p_i} \rceil \rceil$$

$$\leq \lceil \log_2 (\frac{\ln 2}{p_i} + 1) \rceil$$

$$\leq \log_2 (\frac{\ln 2}{p_i} + 1) + 1$$

Now note that, for  $x > \ln 2$  the following is always true:  $x + 1 \le 4x$ . Let's apply it into logarithm arguments:

$$\log_2(\frac{\ln 2}{p_i} + 1) + 1 \le \log_2 \frac{\ln 2}{p_i} + 3$$
$$= \log_2 \frac{1}{p_i} + \log_2 \ln 2 + 3$$

Consider first part of coding, the one written in unary. It's length is  $\lfloor \frac{i}{M} \rfloor \leq \lceil \frac{i}{M} \rceil = \lceil \frac{i}{\lceil \frac{\ln 2}{p_i} \rceil} \rceil$  Since  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and right hand side expression is continuous, monotonically increasing function, we can apply theorem 3.10 from Graham, Knuth and Pathashnik's *Concrete Mathematics* (2nd edition, p.71):

$$\left\lceil \frac{i}{\left\lceil \frac{\ln 2}{p_i} \right\rceil} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{i p_i}{\ln 2} \right\rceil$$

Of course:  $\lceil \frac{ip_i}{\ln 2} \rceil \le \frac{ip_i}{\ln 2} + 1 = \frac{1}{\ln 2}i(1-p)^{i-1} + 1 \le i(1-p)^{i-1} + 1$ . Calculate  $L_i$ :

$$L_{i} = \lfloor \frac{i}{M} \rfloor + 1 + \lceil \log_{2} M \rceil$$

$$\leq i(1-p)^{i-1} + 1 + 1 + \log_{2} \frac{1}{p_{i}} + \log_{2} \ln 2 + 3$$

$$= i(1-p)^{i-1} + \log_{2} \frac{1}{p_{i}} + O(1)$$

We want this code to be optimal. It'd be great it following inequality is true:

$$i(1-p)^{i-1} + \log_2 \frac{1}{p_i} + O(1) \le \log_2 \frac{1}{p_i} + O(1)$$

It is true on condition  $i(1-p)^{i-1}$  is O(1). Intuitively it's true: for i=1 we get 1. If i increases, then  $(1-p)^{i-1}$  decreases drastically (since  $p \in [0,1]$ )