## Introduction

Motor skills recruits an extended network of cerebral and spinal regions, which involvement evolves differently across learning stages (Dayan and Cohen 2011). Motor sequence learning (MSL) is a adequate paradigm [@] to study neural processes involved from initial learning to automation of a skill such as a temporally ordered succession of coordinated movements. While MSL aggregates cognitive abilities to improve performance of execution, the local or distributed network specifically encoding different features of the sequence is only partially known.

Cortical networks are critical to sequence learning for which large-scale network is activated (Dayan and Cohen 2011) including primary and supplementary motor cortices as well as posterior parietal and dorso-lateral prefrontal cortices. The execution implicates primary motor cortex which is topologically structured to encode both single and multi-joint finger movements (Diedrichsen, Wiestler, and Krakauer 2013; Ejaz, Hamada, and Diedrichsen 2015; Shen et al. 2014). While motor execution network is strongly lateralized to the cortex contralateral to the effector, ipsilateral activity and finger specific neural patterns are also observed (Diedrichsen, Wiestler, and Krakauer 2013) that might enable bi-manual coordination.

At the subcortical level, hippocampus and striatum (Albouy et al. 2013) were also identified as important players in the learning and consolidation of motor sequences. Hippocampus is a major memory structure that not only encodes episodic memory but also supports procedural memory acquisition in it's early stages (???). On the other hand, the striatum participates in automation of sequences processing through reinforcement learning (Jin, Tecuapetla, and Costa 2014; Graybiel and Grafton 2015), but is not limited to procedural motor skills. Optimality of motor production is progressively attained through local optimization of transition often achieved through chunking of sub-sequences (Rosenbaum, Kenny, and Derr 1983; Diedrichsen and Kornysheva 2015), which variations are explored during learning process and depends on learning strategy (Lungu et al. 2014). The generality of such structures for learning sequences could also support the transfer between modality (Mosha and Robertson 2016).

Sleep-supported consolidation restructures the trace of memory, alleviating the implication of hippocampus, while striatum enables the skill to become automated, requiring lower cognitive load, and long-term storage of behavior. Congruent with models of consolidation (Born and Wilhelm 2012), hippocampus acts as a buffer for recent memories, delaying selective transfer to cortical or specialized structures during offline period, enabling balanced plasticity versus stability, necessary for system homeostasis.

In the light of memory consolidation theory, large-scale motor network activity exhibit changes across days after learning which are supported by offline processing notably during sleep (Born and Wilhelm 2012). Active System Consolidation model hypothesize that relative implication of subparts of the network is modified by consolidation, sometimes called a "transfer" but is rather a rebalancing. However while motor practice is less variable with automation of the skill, subparts of the networks stabilize (Costa, Cohen, and Nicolelis 2004,???) as well as evoked BOLD fMRI activity patterns (Wiestler and Diedrichsen 2013). Premotor patterns sub-components can both encode spatial and temporal features (Kornysheva and Diedrichsen 2014), the former characterizing the learned sequences.

The goal of our study is to provide further insight in brain representation of motor sequence with Multivariate Pattern Analysis (MVPA). We extend previous research (Wiestler and Diedrichsen 2013; Kornysheva and Diedrichsen 2014) by scanning larger extent of human brain to measures sub-cortical activity including cerebellum, basal ganglia and lower temporal cortex. We also intend to measure changes induced by learning and consolidation by comparing MVPA performances between sequences at their early stages of these processes.

### Method

# **Participants**

The study includes ## right-handed young (18-35 years old) healthy volunteers recruited by advertising on scholar and public website.

The subject were not included in case of history of neurological psychological or psychiatric disorders or scoring 4 and above on the short version of Beck Depression Scale (Beck et al. 1961).

Subjects with BMI greater than 27, smokers, extreme chronotype, night-workers, having travelled across meridian during the 3 previous months, or training as musician or professional typist (for over-training on coordinated finger movements) were also excluded.

Sleep quality was assessed by Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index questionnaire (Buysse et al. 1989), and daytime sleepiness (Epworth Sleepiness Scale (Johns 1991)) had to be lower or equal to 9.

Subject were also instructed to abstain from caffeine, alcohol and nicotine, and have regular sleep schedule (bed-time 10PM-1AM, wake-time 7AM-10AM) and avoid taking daytime nap for the duration of the study. Instruction compliance was controlled

by non-dominant hand wrist actigraphy (Actiwatch 2, Philips Respironics, Andover, MA, USA) for the week preceding the experiment.

### Behavioral experiment

The experiment was conducted over 3 consecutive days, at the end of the day, with all motor task performed in the scanner using an ergonomic MRI-compatible 4-keys response pad.

- 1. In the first evening (D1), subjects were trained to perform with left-hand a 5 elements sequence (TSeq) for 14 blocks of 12 sequences or a maximum of 12x5=60 keypresses. Subject were instructed to execute repeatedly as fast and as accurate as possible the sequence of keypresses and to start from the beginning of the sequences in case they noticed that they did an error. They were retested approximately 20 minutes later for an additional single block of 12 sequences.
- 2. On the second evening (D2), subjects were tested for 1 block on TSeq. Then half of the subjects of "Interference" (Int) group were trained on an interfering sequences (IntSeq) of 5 elements with left-hand for 14 blocks of 12 sequences as for TSeq. "No-Interference" (NoInt) group had scanned resting-state which duration was yoked to a IntGroup subject.
- 3. On the last evening (D3) subjects first practised TSeq for 7 blocks x 12 repetitions for retest, then practised IntSeq for 7 blocks of 12 sequences, which was followed by a task specifically designed for MVPA analysis, that will be called "MVPA task" thereafter. This task was similar to (Wiestler and Diedrichsen 2013), which rapidly alternates short blocks of practice of 4 different sequences. Significant differences are that 4 sequences were performed with the left hand four fingers excluding the thumb and no feedback was given regarding the correctness of performance and sequence was performed uninterruptedly. Each block, composed of an instruction period of 4 sec when 5 numbers (eg. 1-4-2-3-1) representing in reading order the sequence of finger to be pressed, was displayed followed by an execution period indicated by a green cross. Subject had to perform 5 times the sequence, or a maximum of 5x5=25 key-presses before being instructed to stop and rest when a red cross was displayed. Rest duration was variable and set to synchronize the beginning of each block with the same slice in the volume being acquired.

Ordering of the sequences in blocks was chosen to include all possible successive pairs of the sequences using De Bruijn cycles (Aguirre, Mattar, and Magis-Weinberg 2011) allowing unbiased analysis of dynamics described below. Given 4 sequences, a 2-length De Bruijn cycle would contains 16 blocks, repeated twice to give 8 repetitions of each of the 4 sequences which amounts to 32 blocks.

Each subject performed the task twice in scans separated by few minutes to allow rest and enable study of cross-scans pattern stability using classification generalization.

### Scan acquisition

MRI data were acquired on a Siemens Trio 3T scanner on 2 two separate sessions. The first session used 32-channel coil to acquire high-resolution anatomical T1 weighted image using Multi-Echo (4) MPRAGE (MEMPRAGE) at 1mm isotropic resolution.

Functional data were acquired during the second session with 12-channel coil for comparison with other dataset. EPI sequence consists of 40 slices with 3.44mm in-plane resolution and 3.3mm slice thickness to provide full cortical and cerebellum coverage with a TR of 2.16 sec. Consecutively fieldmap was obtained to measure B0 field inhomogeneity to allow retrospective compensation of induced distortions.

#### Preprocessing

Custom pipeline was used to preprocess fMRI data prior to analysis. First, high-resolution anatomical T1 weighted image was preprocessed with Freesurfer (A. M. Dale, Fischl, and Sereno 1999; B. Fischl et al. 1999; Fischl et al. 2008) to segment subcortical regions, reconstruct cortical surfaces and provide inter-subjects alignment of cortical folding patterns. Pial and grey/white matter interface surfaces were downsampled to match the 32k sampling of Human Connectome Project (HCP) (Glasser et al. 2013) and we averaged pial and white surface to get coordinates at the half of the thickness of cortical sheet. HCP subcortical rois coordinates were warped onto individual T1 data using non-linear registration based on Ants software (B. B. Avants et al. 2008; Klein et al. 2009). Combination of cortical and subcortical coordinates then corresponds to grayordinates of HCP datasets (Glasser et al. 2013).

fMRI data underwent estimation of subject motion (Roche 2011) and coregistration to T1. Registration and motion parameters were used to interpolate Blood-Oxygen-Level-Dependent (BOLD) signal at anatomical grayordinates above-mentioned taking into account B0 inhomogeneity induced distortions using fieldmap acquisition.

BOLD signal was further processed to remove drifts and abrupt motion-related signal change.

Of note is that our preprocessing does not include smoothing, even though interpolation inherent to any motion correction causes averaging of values of neighboring voxels. We intended to minimize blurring of data to preserve fine-grained patterns of activity, resolution of relevant patterns being hypothetically at columnar scale.

### Multivariate Pattern Analysis

Similarly to (Wiestler and Diedrichsen 2013) we aim to uncover activity patterns to predict the sequence being produced. We also aim to analyze the classification of trained sequences versus untrained one, however TSeq and IntSeq are probably still undergoing consolidation by the third day of the study, potentially lowering their pattern stability.

For this reason, we first aimed at classifying untrained sequences which are comparable as being completely new to the subjects, and are learned along the course of the "MVPA task". This 2-class scheme allows mapping representation of non-consolidated sequence.

Then TSeq and IntSeq are also analyzed separately in IntGroup mapping sequences consolidated on two separate nights of sleep.

Morover, the instruction stimuli presented before each execution, enable disambiguation of the memory traces from explicit recall from that during execution of the motor plan.

The MVPA analysis was based on PyMVPA software (Hanke et al. 2009) package with additional development of custom cross-validation scheme, Searchlight and measures to adapt to the study design and analyses.

# Samples

Each blocks was modelled by having 2 boxcars, respectively instruction and execution phase, convolved with Hemodynamic Response Functions (HRF). Volumes (TRs) corresponding to HRF level above 50% of maximum response level were taken as samples for the performed sequence. Maximum value of instruction and execution regressors determine the TR to pertain to instruction or execution phase, for which HRF is overlapping. A TR based approach was chosen to explore the fine dynamic of patterns related to the task, that model driven such as GLM cannot fully analyze at the cost of lower signal-to-noise ratio.

Regular GLM-based approach was also performed using least-square separate (LS-S) regression of each event (Mumford et al. 2012) shown to provide improved activation patterns estimates for MVPA. For each blocks, regressors for instruction and execution phases provided t-value maps that was further used as MVPA samples.

#### Cross-validation

The De Bruijn cycles ordering of the sequence in the task aims at providing unbiased cross-validation by balancing the temporal succession of any pair of the 4 sequences.

Chosen cross-validation schema includes:

- Leave-One-Chunk-Out (LOCO): each block is successively taken out of the dataset to be used in prediction. Classifier is trained on remaining data by randomly selecting balanced number of samples of the 4 sequences which are further than 60 secondes to the block of test data. Random selection of balanced data is performed 5 times for each of the 64 blocks amounting to 64\*5 = 320 folds of cross-validation.
- Leave-One-Scan-Out (LOSO): random balanced subset of samples from a scan is fed for training to the classifier which then predicts one the other scan the sequences. Random subset was selected 5 times for the 2 scans giving 10 cross-validation folds.

# Searchlight analysis

Searchlight (Kriegeskorte, Goebel, and Bandettini 2006) is an exploratory technique that apply MVPA techniques repeatedly on small spatial neighborhood with the purpose to localize representation of information of interest across brain while avoiding high-dimensional limitation of multivariate algorithms.

Applying cross-validation using the Searchlight schema allowed to extract brain-wise map of classifier performance giving information of regions having stable sequences related patterns. Gaussian Naive Bayes (GNB) linear classifier, optimized for Searchlight, was performed with the 2 proposed cross-validation schema analysis on the execution labelled TRs. Also GNB-based Searchlight have been argued to allow smoothness of generated maps (Raizada and Lee 2013) despite unsmoothed data, allowing more-reliable cross-subject study and thus higher cross-scans generalization.

Searchlight was configured to select for each grayordinate the 64 closest neighboring coordinates, using surface distance for cortical grayordinates as the subset of features to be classified. Searchlight size has been shown to inflate the extent of significant clusters in searchlight analysis (Etzel, Zacks, and Braver 2013; Viswanathan, Cieslak, and Grafton 2012) which motivated the small neighborhood for our analysis.

Cross-validation confusion matrix was computed for each block of practice providing a more complete representation of classification performance and biases from which can be derived specific or global accuracy percentage.

For both LOCO and LOSO cross-validation schema, we measured following accuracy searchlights maps:

- global accuracy: the percentage of samples for which the correct sequence is detected,
- sequence specific sensitivity: for each sequence, TP/(TP+FN) with TP: true positives, FN: false negatives.

### Searchlight Group Analysis

Group searchlight maps were computed using mass-univariate one-sample T-test to find regions which consistently departed from chance level across subjects.

To further investigate the change in representation strength and localization between trained and untrained sequences, we contrasted their accuracy maps in Int group only using a subject pair t-test. Then a conjunction with significant trained sequence accuracy using minimum t-value was computed to only extract representation enhancement reaching above chance level.

# Dynamics analysis

Also we complemented the analysis by taking TRs subsamples with similar delay from instruction time, ranging from -2 to 20 TRs, and then to discriminate the sequences in cross-validation schema. Having 64 blocks in total across the 2 scans give 64 samples for each TR delay. This is similar to (Wiestler and Diedrichsen 2013 fig.4,D-E) ROI based temporal analysis.

Such analysis aims at uncovering the dynamics of motor sequence execution, as instruction phase might causes motor planning and simulation of sequence performance, while execution phase generally includes warm-up and then automation of repetition. Furthermore, this method is independent of HRF model allowing potential non-hemodynamic related neurally-driven BOLD signal changes.

While the rapid block design might hampers temporal disambiguation, De Bruijn cycle ordering imposes balanced successive blocks pairs in the dataset. Thus temporal leaking of BOLD activity of the previous sequence production is then balanced across the 4 sequences and should yield chance level prediction if no signal related to the present sequence is observable in the data, allowing unbiased analysis relative to the chance level.

# ROI analyzes

A network of local neuronal populations has been shown to contribute to sequence production learning (Dayan and Cohen 2011), with their activity (Albouy et al. 2013,???) evolving in accordance theoretical models of consolidation (Born and Wilhelm 2012). To extract wether their activity independently encodes the spatio-temporal pattern of the sequence, we conducted ROI cross-validation using a priori atlas including:

- hippocampus and striatum including caudate nucleus and putamen
- cortical network including posterior parietal, primary motor, premotor, supplementary motor area and dorso-lateral prefrontal.

hypotheses for ROI discriminating sequences/learning stages

## Results

### Searchlight

Non-consolidated additional sequences were analyzed separately to provide a localizer of early sequence representation (fig. ??). A very limited network emerges from both instruction and execution phase patterns, including bilateral hippocampi and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. This weaker representation of new sequence is in agreement with (Wiestler and Diedrichsen 2013) but could be sourced in higher variability in motor production.

Consolidated sequences in Int group has, despite lower number of subjects, an extended representation spanning over posterior parietal and premotor cortex, cerebellum, caudate and putamen bilateraly. When contrasted with the untrained sequences, only bilateral anterior putamen clusters contains significantly higher discrimination patterns relative to untrained sequences. (???)

#### ROIS

### Discussion

# MVPA analyses

Our study aimed at providing an "as unbiased as possible" mapping of sequences representation in the human brain. Compared to (Wiestler and Diedrichsen 2013), the use of only one hand ensure that classification is not aided by lateralized activity level. However the task used differs in multiple aspects, including...

While we didn't controlled for differences in execution force, velocity and other parameters for potential influence on activity patterns increasing classification accuracy, we controlled for more basic features of the task. Also these execution parameters are in fact inherent to the motor plan stabilized during learning and cannot be truly disentangled from the sequence specific memory trace.

# Searchlight

Using Searchlight even in a very controlled design gave individual maps with variabilities despite important common network found across subjects as highlighted by group analysis. This raise an interest regarding potential causes of variable extended representation of sequences in each subject.

Our group analysis revealed implication of a cortical network specific to sequences features, similar to (Wiestler and Diedrichsen 2013), as well as subcortical contributions to this representation.

- representation of sequences characteristics and ROIs: (Kornysheva and Diedrichsen 2014)
- address all limitation and confounds (Todd, Nystrom, and Cohen 2013; Etzel, Zacks, and Braver 2013; Etzel, Cole, and Braver 2012) of MVPA and Searchlight

comparison with (Wiestler and Diedrichsen 2013):

- worst acquisition (12-channel, resolution...) but shorter TR.
- sequences not trained using mvpa small-block design
- sequences not as intensively trained
- sequences at different stages
- continuous execution of sequences
- using TRs
- cross-scan validation

Aguirre, Geoffrey Karl, Marcelo Gomes Mattar, and Lucía Magis-Weinberg. 2011. "de Bruijn Cycles for Neural Decoding." *NeuroImage* 56 (3) (June): 1293–1300. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.02.005. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811911001431.

Albouy, Geneviève, Bradley R. King, Pierre Maquet, and Julien Doyon. 2013. "Hippocampus and Striatum: Dynamics and Interaction During Acquisition and Sleep-Related Motor Sequence Memory Consolidation." *Hippocampus* 23 (11) (November): 985–1004. doi:10.1002/hipo.22183. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hipo.22183/abstract.

Avants, B. B., C. L. Epstein, M. Grossman, and J. C. Gee. 2008. "Symmetric Diffeomorphic Image Registration with Cross-Correlation: Evaluating Automated Labeling of Elderly and Neurodegenerative Brain." *Medical Image Analysis, Special Issue on The Third International Workshop on Biomedical Image Registration – WBIR 2006* 12 (1) (February): 26–41. doi:10.1016/j.media.2007.06.004. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1361841507000606.

Beck, A. T., C. H. Ward, M. Mendelson, J. Mock, and J. Erbaugh. 1961. "An Inventory for Measuring Depression." *Archives of General Psychiatry* 4 (June): 561–571.

Born, Jan, and Ines Wilhelm. 2012. "System Consolidation of Memory During Sleep." Psychological Research 76 (2) (March): 192-203. doi:10.1007/s00426-011-0335-6. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00426-011-0335-6.

Buysse, Daniel J., Charles F. Reynolds III, Timothy H. Monk, Susan R. Berman, and David J. Kupfer. 1989. "The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A New Instrument for Psychiatric Practice and Research." *Psychiatry Research* 28 (2) (May): 193–213. doi:10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0165178189900474.

Costa, Rui M., Dana Cohen, and Miguel A. L. Nicolelis. 2004. "Differential Corticostriatal Plasticity During Fast and Slow Motor Skill Learning in Mice." *Current Biology* 14 (13) (July): 1124–1134. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2004.06.053. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982204004658.

Dale, Anders M., Bruce Fischl, and Martin I. Sereno. 1999. "Cortical Surface-Based Analysis: I. Segmentation and Surface Reconstruction." *NeuroImage* 9 (2) (February): 179–194. doi:10.1006/nimg.1998.0395. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811998903950.

Dayan, Eran, and Leonardo G. Cohen. 2011. "Neuroplasticity Subserving Motor Skill Learning." Neuron~72~(3) (November): 443-454.~doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.10.008.~http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0896627311009184.

Diedrichsen, Jörn, and Katja Kornysheva. 2015. "Motor Skill Learning Between Selection and Execution." *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 19 (4) (April): 227–233. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2015.02.003. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364661315000273.

Diedrichsen, Jörn, Tobias Wiestler, and John W. Krakauer. 2013. "Two Distinct Ipsilateral Cortical Representations for Individuated Finger Movements."  $Cerebral\ Cortex\ 23\ (6)\ (June)$ : 1362-1377. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhs120. http://cercor.oxfordjournals.org/content/23/6/1362.

Ejaz, Naveed, Masashi Hamada, and Jörn Diedrichsen. 2015. "Hand Use Predicts the Structure of Representations in Sensorimotor Cortex." *Nature Neuroscience* 18 (7) (July): 1034–1040. doi:10.1038/nn.4038. http://www.nature.com/neuro/journal/v18/n7/full/nn.4038.html.

Etzel, Joset A., Michael W. Cole, and Todd S. Braver. 2012. "Looking Outside the Searchlight." In *Machine Learning and Interpretation in Neuroimaging*, edited by Georg Langs, Irina Rish, Moritz Grosse-Wentrup, and Brian Murphy, 26–33. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 7263. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-34713-9\_4.

Etzel, Joset A., Jeffrey M. Zacks, and Todd S. Braver. 2013. "Searchlight Analysis: Promise, Pitfalls, and Potential." NeuroImage 78 (September): 261–269. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.03.041. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811913002917.

Fischl, B., M. I. Sereno, R. B. Tootell, and A. M. Dale. 1999. "High-Resolution Intersubject Averaging and a Coordinate System for the Cortical Surface." *Human Brain Mapping* 8 (4): 272–284.

Fischl, Bruce, Niranjini Rajendran, Evelina Busa, Jean Augustinack, Oliver Hinds, B. T. Thomas Yeo, Hartmut Mohlberg, Katrin Amunts, and Karl Zilles. 2008. "Cortical Folding Patterns and Predicting Cytoarchitecture." *Cerebral Cortex* 18 (8) (August): 1973–1980. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhm225. http://cercor.oxfordjournals.org/content/18/8/1973.

Glasser, Matthew F., Stamatios N. Sotiropoulos, J. Anthony Wilson, Timothy S. Coalson, Bruce Fischl, Jesper L. Andersson, Junqian Xu, et al. 2013. "The Minimal Preprocessing Pipelines for the Human Connectome Project." *NeuroImage* 80 (October): 105–124. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.127. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811913005053.

Graybiel, Ann M., and Scott T. Grafton. 2015. "The Striatum: Where Skills and Habits Meet." Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 7 (8) (August): a021691. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a021691. http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/content/7/8/a021691.

Hanke, Michael, Yaroslav O. Halchenko, Per B. Sederberg, Stephen José Hanson, James V. Haxby, and Stefan Pollmann. 2009. "PyMVPA: a Python Toolbox for Multivariate Pattern Analysis of fMRI Data." Neuroinformatics 7 (1) (March): 37-53. doi:10.1007/s12021-008-9041-y. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12021-008-9041-y.

Jin, Xin, Fatuel Tecuapetla, and Rui M. Costa. 2014. "Basal Ganglia Subcircuits Distinctively Encode the Parsing and Concatenation of Action Sequences." *Nature Neuroscience* 17 (3) (March): 423–430. doi:10.1038/nn.3632. http://www.nature.com/neuro/journal/v17/n3/abs/nn.3632.html.

Johns, M. W. 1991. "A New Method for Measuring Daytime Sleepiness: the Epworth Sleepiness Scale." *Sleep* 14 (6) (December): 540–545.

Klein, Arno, Jesper Andersson, Babak A. Ardekani, John Ashburner, Brian Avants, Ming-Chang Chiang, Gary E. Christensen, et al. 2009. "Evaluation of 14 Nonlinear Deformation Algorithms Applied to Human Brain MRI Registration." *NeuroImage* 46 (3) (July): 786–802. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.12.037. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811908012974.

Kornysheva, Katja, and Jörn Diedrichsen. 2014. "Human Premotor Areas Parse Sequences into Their Spatial and Temporal Features." *ELife* 3 (August): e03043. doi:10.7554/eLife.03043. http://elifesciences.org/content/3/e03043.

Kriegeskorte, Nikolaus, Rainer Goebel, and Peter Bandettini. 2006. "Information-Based Functional Brain Mapping." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103 (10) (March): 3863-3868. doi:10.1073/pnas.0600244103. http://www.pnas.org/content/103/10/3863.

Lungu, Ovidiu, Oury Monchi, Geneviève Albouy, Thomas Jubault, Emanuelle Ballarin, Yves Burnod, and Julien Doyon. 2014. "Striatal and Hippocampal Involvement in Motor Sequence Chunking Depends on the Learning Strategy." *PLoS ONE* 9 (8) (August): e103885. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103885. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103885.

Mosha, Neechi, and Edwin M. Robertson. 2016. "Unstable Memories Create a High-Level Representation That Enables Learning Transfer." Current Biology 26 (1) (November): 100–105. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.035. http://www.cell.com/article/S0960982215014293/abstract.

Mumford, Jeanette A., Benjamin O. Turner, F. Gregory Ashby, and Russell A. Poldrack. 2012. "Deconvolving BOLD Activation in Event-Related Designs for Multivoxel Pattern Classification Analyses." *NeuroImage* 59 (3) (February): 2636–2643. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.076. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811911010081.

Raizada, Rajeev D S, and Yune-Sang Lee. 2013. "Smoothness Without Smoothing: Why Gaussian Naive Bayes Is Not Naive for Multi-Subject Searchlight Studies." *PloS One* 8 (7): e69566. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069566.

Roche, A. 2011. "A Four-Dimensional Registration Algorithm With Application to Joint Correction of Motion and Slice Timing in fMRI." *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 30 (8) (August): 1546–1554. doi:10.1109/TMI.2011.2131152.

Rosenbaum, David A., Sandra B. Kenny, and Marcia A. Derr. 1983. "Hierarchical Control of Rapid Movement Sequences." Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance 9 (1): 86–102. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.9.1.86.

Shen, Guohua, Jing Zhang, Mengxing Wang, Du Lei, Guang Yang, Shanmin Zhang, and Xiaoxia Du. 2014. "Decoding the Individual Finger Movements from Single-Trial Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Recordings of Human Brain Activity." European Journal of Neuroscience 39 (12) (June): 2071–2082. doi:10.1111/ejn.12547. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ejn.12547/abstract.

Todd, Michael T., Leigh E. Nystrom, and Jonathan D. Cohen. 2013. "Confounds in Multivariate Pattern Analysis: Theory and Rule Representation Case Study." *NeuroImage* 77 (August): 157–165. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.03.039. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811913002887.

Viswanathan, Shivakumar, Matthew Cieslak, and Scott T. Grafton. 2012. "On the Geometric Structure of fMRI Searchlight-Based Information Maps." ArXiv:1210.6317 [Q-Bio, Stat] (October). http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.6317.

Wiestler, Tobias, and Jörn Diedrichsen. 2013. "Skill Learning Strengthens Cortical Representations of Motor Sequences." *ELife* 2 (July): e00801. doi:10.7554/eLife.00801. http://elifesciences.org/content/2/e00801.