Spanning families of the form $m_j = \gamma \circ f_j$

Brian Preskitt

June 7, 2018

1 Notation

- Indices of matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$ and vectors in \mathbb{C}^d are always taken modulo d.
- For $k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, [k]_n = \{n, n+1, \dots, n+k-1\}$ and $[k] = [k]_1$.
- $S_d \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the $d \times d$ shift operator, such that $(S_d x)_i = x_{i-1}$. Typically we imply the subscript by context, writing S.
- $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the operator that reverses a vector's entries, leaving the first entry fixed. Namely, $(Rx)_i = x_{2-i}$.
- Given $x \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $k \in [d]$, $\operatorname{circ}_k(x) \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times k}$ denotes the first k columns of the circulant matrix whose first column is x. In particular, $\operatorname{circ}_k(x)e_i = S^{i-1}x$ for $i \in [k]$. When the subscript is omitted, $\operatorname{circ}(x) = \operatorname{circ}_d(x)$.
- $\omega_d := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}$ is the d^{th} root of unity. When context permits, d is implied and we use just ω .
- For $i, n \in \mathbb{N}, e_i^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the i^{th} column of the $n \times n$ identity matrix. When context permits, n is implied and we write e_i . In particular, whenever e_i is used in a matrix multiplication, n is taken to be appropriate so that the multiplication is legal.
- For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $F_k \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ is the $k \times k$ unitary Fourier matrix with $(F_k)_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \omega_k^{(i-1)(j-1)}$.
- For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n^m = F_m e_n$ is the n^{th} column of the $m \times m$ unitary Fourier matrix, where $e_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ has its index taken modulo m.
- Given $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $x \circ y$ denotes the Hadamard/elementwise product of x and y; specifically $(x \circ y)_i = x_i y_i$.
- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$, diag $(A, m) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ denotes the m^{th} circulant off-diagonal of A. That is, diag $(A, m)_i = A_{i,i+m}$.
- Given $x \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $\operatorname{diag}(x) \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the entries of x. Namely, $\operatorname{diag}(x)e_i = x_ie_i$. When the intention is clear from context, we may write $D_x := \operatorname{diag}(x)$.
- \mathcal{H}^d is the set of Hermitian matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$, to be viewed as a d^2 -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} .

• $\mathcal{R}_d: \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}^d$ is a resize mapping, where for $v \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and $i \in [d]$,

$$\mathcal{R}_d(v)_i = \begin{cases} v_i, & i \le k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ for } i \in [d].$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{R}_{m \times n}: \bigcup_{k_1, k_2}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}^{k_1 \times k_2} \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ truncates or zero-pads matrices to size $m \times n$.

• Given $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the operator $T_k : \mathbb{C}^{d \times d} \to \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ by

$$T_k(A)_{ij} = \begin{cases} A_{ij}, & |i-j| \mod d < k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that T_k is simply the orthogonal projection operator onto its range $T_k(\mathbb{C}^{d\times d})$. We use T_k interchangeably to refer to both the operator and its range.

2 Necessary and sufficient conditions for a spanning family

Proposition 1. Suppose that $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ has $1 \in \text{supp}(\gamma) = [\delta]$. Set $D = \min\{2\delta - 1, d\}$, take $K \geq 2\delta - 1$ and let

$$v_j = \sqrt{K} \mathcal{R}_d(F_K e_j)$$

 $v_j^D = \sqrt{K} \mathcal{R}_D(F_K e_j)$, $j \in [D], 2\delta - 1 \le K$.

Define a local measurement system $\{m_j\}_{j\in[D]}$ by setting $m_j = \gamma \circ v_j$. Then $\{m_j\}_{j\in[D]}$ is a spanning family if and only if all the sets $J_k := \{m \in [\delta]_0 : (F_d(\gamma \circ S^{-m}\gamma))_k \neq 0\}$, for all $k \in [d]$ satisfy

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 2|J_k|-1 \geq D, & 0 \in J_k \\ 2|J_k| \geq D, & otherwise \end{array} \right. .$$

The proof will make use of the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Define $w_j = \mathcal{R}_{N_1}(f_j^{N_2}), j \in [N_2]$ and set

$$\rho_i = \mathfrak{Re}(w_i) \quad and \quad \mu_i = \mathfrak{Im}(w_i)$$

to be vectors containing the real and imaginary components of w_j . Then for $1 \le \ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_k \le \frac{N_2+1}{2}$ with $k \le N_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim &\operatorname{span}\{w_{\ell_i}, w_{2-\ell_i}\}_{i=1}^k = \dim &\operatorname{span}\{\rho_{\ell_i}, \mu_{\ell_i}\}_{i=1}^k \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 2k-1, & \ell_1 = 1 \\ 2k, & otherwise \end{array} \right., \end{aligned}$$

where the indices are taken modulo N_2 .

Proof of lemma 1. The first equality is clear by considering that $w_{2-i} = \overline{w_i}$, so $\rho_k = \frac{1}{2}(w_i + w_{2-i})$ and $\mu_i = -\frac{i}{2}(w_i - w_{2-i})$. We set $M = \dim \text{span}\{w_{\ell_i}, w_{2-\ell_i}\}_{i=1}^k$ to be the common dimension of the two spaces under consideration.

We now divide into two cases: if $N_1 < N_2$, then $\{w_j\}_{j \in [N_2]}$ is full spark, as any $N_1 \times N_1$ submatrix of $[w_1 \cdots w_{N_2}]$ will be a Vandermonde matrix of the form

$$V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_2}} \begin{bmatrix} w_{\ell_1} & \cdots & w_{\ell_{N_1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

with determinant

$$N_2^{-N_1/2} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N_1} (\omega_{N_2}^{\ell_i - 1} - \omega_{N_2}^{\ell_j - 1}),$$

which is immediately non-zero since $\omega_{N_2}^{\ell_i-1}-\omega_{N_2}^{\ell_j-1}=0$ only when $\ell_i-\ell_j=0 \mod N_2$, which cannot happen when $N_1< N_2$.

When $N_1 \geq N_2, \{w_j\}_{j \in [N_2]}$ is linearly independent, since its members form the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} F_{N_2} \\ 0_{N_1-N_2 \times N_2} \end{bmatrix}$.

In either case, M is equal to the cardinality of $\{\ell_i, 2-\ell_i\}_{i=1}^k$, which has 2k-1 elements if and only if $\ell_1=1$; otherwise it has 2k. We remark that a collision where $\ell_i=(2-\ell_i \mod N_2)=N_2/2+1$ is precluded since we have asserted $\ell_i\leq \frac{N_2+1}{2}$.

Lemma 2. For $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

 $\operatorname{circ}(v)\rho_k^d = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{Re}((Fv)_k f_k^d) \tag{1}$

$$\operatorname{circ}(v)\mu_k^d = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{Im}((Fv)_k f_k^d). \tag{2}$$

In particular, if $(Fv)_k \neq 0$ and $k \notin \{1, \frac{d}{2} + 1\}$, then $\rho_k^d, \mu_k^d \notin \text{Nul}(\text{circ}(v))$; if $k \in \{1, \frac{d}{2} + 1\}$, then $\rho_k^d \notin \text{Nul}(\text{circ}(v))$ and $\mu_k^d = 0$. On the other hand, if $(Fv)_k = 0$, then $\rho_k^d, \mu_k^d \in \text{Nul}(\text{circ}(v))$.

Proof of lemma 2. We set $\lambda_k^d = (Fv)_k$, and recalling that $\operatorname{circ}(v) = F \operatorname{diag}(Fv)F^*$, we observe that

$$\operatorname{circ}(v)\mu_{k}^{d} = \operatorname{circ}(v)\frac{1}{2}(f_{k}^{d} + f_{2-k}^{d}) = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{circ}(v)f_{k}^{d} + \operatorname{circ}(v)f_{2-k}^{d})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{k}^{d}f_{k}^{d} + \lambda_{2-k}^{d}f_{2-k}^{d}).$$

(1) follows immediately since $\lambda_k^d = \overline{\lambda_{2-k}^d}$ when $v \in \mathbb{R}^D$. (2) follows from an analogous calculation.

If $\lambda_k^d \neq 0$ and $k \notin \{1, \frac{d}{2} + 1\}$, then ω_d^{k-1} is a non-real root of unity and there exists some j such that $\Re \mathfrak{e}(\omega_d^{(j-1)(k-1)}\lambda_k^d) \neq 0$, and similarly for $\Im \mathfrak{m}(\omega_d^{(j-1)(k-1)}\lambda_k^d) \neq 0$. When $k \in \{1, \frac{d}{2} + 1\}, \omega_d^{(k-1)} \in \mathbb{R}$ so $\mu_k^d = 0$, but $\lambda_k^d \in \mathbb{R}$ in this case (because $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$), so $\operatorname{circ}(v)\rho_k^d = \lambda_k^d\rho_k^d \neq 0$. The claim concerning the case of $\lambda_k^d = 0$ is immediate from (1) and (2).

Proof of proposition 1. For this proof, we set

$$\begin{split} (\rho_k^d, \mu_k^d) &= (\mathfrak{Re}(f_k^d), \mathfrak{Im}(f_k^d)) \\ (\rho_k, \mu_k) &= (\mathfrak{Re}(v_k), \mathfrak{Im}(v_k)) \\ (\rho_k^D, \mu_k^D) &= (\mathfrak{Re}(v_k^D), \mathfrak{Im}(v_k^D)) \end{split}$$

We consider the conditions under which a linear combination of the matrices

$$B_{\gamma} := \{ S^{\ell} m_j m_j^* S^{-\ell} \}_{(\ell,j) \in [d] \times [D]}$$

can be equal to zero; by a basic dimension count, $\{m_j\}_{j\in[D]}$ is a spanning family if and only if B_γ is linearly independent. To this end, we define the operator $\mathcal{A}^*: \mathbb{R}^{d\times D} \to \mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}^*(C) = \sum_{\ell \in [d], j \in [D]} C_{\ell,j} S^{\ell} m_j m_j^* S^{-\ell}$$
(3)

and begin with the observation that, for any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ we have

$$\operatorname{diag}(S^{\ell}AS^{-\ell}, m) = S^{\ell}\operatorname{diag}(A, m).$$

We then have

$$\sum_{j \in [D], \ell \in [d]} C_{\ell,j} S^{\ell} m_j m_j^* S^{-\ell} = 0$$

$$\iff \operatorname{diag} \left(\sum_{j \in [D], \ell \in [d]} C_{\ell,j} S^{\ell} m_j m_j^* S^{-\ell}, m \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in [\delta]_0$$

$$\iff \sum_{j \in [D], \ell \in [d]} C_{\ell,j} \operatorname{diag}(S^{\ell} m_j m_j^* S^{-\ell}, m) = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in [\delta]_0$$

$$\iff \sum_{j \in [D], \ell \in [d]} C_{\ell,j} S^{\ell} \operatorname{diag}(m_j m_j^*, m) = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in [\delta]_0$$

At this point, we consider that

$$\operatorname{diag}(m_j m_j^*, m) = \operatorname{diag}((\gamma \circ v_j)(\gamma \circ v_j)^*, m) = \operatorname{diag}(D_{v_j} \gamma \gamma^* D_{\overline{v_j}}, m)$$

$$= \omega_K^{m(j-1)} \operatorname{diag}(\gamma \gamma^*, m).$$
(5)

We now set $g_m := \operatorname{diag}(\gamma \gamma^*, m) = \gamma \circ S^{-m} \gamma$ and proceed with the previous chain of implications:

$$\sum_{j \in [D], \ell \in [d]} C_{\ell,j} S^{\ell} \operatorname{diag}(m_{j} m_{j}^{*}, m) = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in [\delta]_{0}$$

$$\iff \sum_{j \in [D], \ell \in [d]} C_{\ell,j} S^{\ell}(\omega_{K}^{m(j-1)} g_{m}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in [\delta]_{0}$$

$$\iff \sum_{j \in [D], \ell \in [d]} C_{\ell,j} \omega_{K}^{m(j-1)} S^{\ell} g_{m} = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in [\delta]_{0}$$

$$\iff \operatorname{circ}(g_{m}) C v_{m+1}^{D} = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \in [\delta]_{0}$$

We now recall that any circulant matrix $\operatorname{circ}(v)$ is diagonalized by the Discrete Fourier Matrix, such that, for $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$,

$$\operatorname{circ}(v) = F_d \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{d}F_d v) F_d^* = \sqrt{d} \sum_{j=1}^d (F_d v)_j f_j^d (f_j^d)^*.$$

By writing $\lambda_k^m = \sqrt{d}(Fg_m)_k$, we get a natural decoupling of the previous equations: for a fixed m, we have that $\operatorname{circ}(g_m)Cf_{m+1} = 0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k^m f_k^d (f_k^d)^* C f_{m+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} (\lambda_k^m (f_k^d)^* C f_{m+1}) f_k^d = 0.$$

Since this last expression is a linear combination of an orthonormal basis, it occurs only when $\lambda_k^m(f_k^d)^*C f_{m+1} = 0$ for all $k \in [d]$. We collect these equations over $m \in [\delta]_0$, considering the definition of J_k and that $g_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ implies $\lambda_k^m = 0 \iff \lambda_{2-k}^m = 0$ to restate this condition as $\begin{bmatrix} f_k^d & f_{2-k}^d \end{bmatrix}^*Cv_{m+1}^D = 0$ for all $k \in [d], m \in J_k$. Since $\operatorname{span}\{f_k^d, f_{2-k}^d\} = \operatorname{span}\{\rho_k^d, \mu_k^d\}$, we further restate this as $\begin{bmatrix} \rho_k^d & \mu_k^d \end{bmatrix}^*Cv_{m+1}^D = 0$ for all $k \in [d], m \in J_k$; setting $W_k = C^* \begin{bmatrix} \rho_k^d & \mu_k^d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times 2}$, we now get that $\mathcal{A}^*(C) = 0 \iff \operatorname{Col}(W_k) \subseteq \{v_{m+1}^D\}_{m \in J_k}^\perp \cap \mathbb{R}^D$ for all $k \in [d]$.

We now claim that \mathcal{A}^* is invertible if and only if the subspaces $\{v_{m+1}^D\}_{m\in J_k}^\perp\cap\mathbb{R}^D$ are all trivial. Indeed, if we fix a k and have some non-zero $u\in\{v_{m+1}^D\}_{m\in J_k}^\perp\cap\mathbb{R}^D$, then we may set $C=\rho_k^du^*$, such that

$$\operatorname{circ}(g_m)Cv_{m+1}^D = (\operatorname{circ}(g_m)\rho_k^d)(u^*v_{m+1}^D).$$

For $m \in J_k, u^*v_{m+1}^D = 0$ by hypothesis on u, and for $m \notin J_k, \mathrm{circ}(g_m)\rho_k^d = 0$ by definition of J_k and lemma 2.

For the other direction, assume $\{v_{m+1}^D\}_{m\in J_k}^{\perp}\cap\mathbb{R}^D=0$ for each $k\in[d]$. Then $\mathcal{A}^*(C)=0\iff \operatorname{Col}(W_k)=\{0\}\iff W_k=0$ for all k. However, $\{\rho_k^d\}_{k\in[d]}\cup\{\mu_k^d\}_{k\in[d]\setminus\{1,\frac{d}{2}+1\}}$ is an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^d , so

We complete the proof by considering that, for $u \in \mathbb{R}^D$, $\langle v_j^D, u \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\langle \rho_j^D, v \rangle = \langle \mu_j, v \rangle = 0$, so

$$\{v_{m+1}\}_{m\in J_k}^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{R}^D = \{\rho_{m+1}, \mu_{m+1}\}_{m\in J_k}^{\perp}$$

which has dimension $\max\{D-(2|J_k|-\mathbb{1}_{0\in J_k}),0\}$ by lemma 1. Therefore, \mathcal{A}^* is invertible if and only if $2|J_k|-\mathbb{1}_{0\in J_k}\leq D$ for all $k\in[d]$, as claimed.

Remark. It turns out that this condition is generic, in the sense that it fails to hold only on a subset of \mathbb{R}^d with Lebesgue measure zero. We consider that the set of $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ giving at least one zero in $F(\gamma \circ S^{-m}\gamma)$ is a finite union of zero sets of non-trivial quadratic polynomials (except when $2 \mid d, \delta \geq d/2$, and m = d/2, discussed below) and hence a set of

zero measure; therefore, $J_k = [\delta]_0$ for all γ outside a set of measure zero and B_{γ} is linearly independent under generic conditions.

To address the case of m = d/2, we first remark that this is the only possible exception: indeed, when $m \neq d/2$, we have that

$$F((e_1 + e_{m+1}) \circ S^m(e_1 + e_{m+1}))_k = f_k^* e_{m+1} = \omega^{m(k-1)},$$

so $\gamma \to F(\gamma \circ S^m \gamma)_k$ is a non-zero, homogeneous quadratic polynomial and therefore has a zero locus of measure zero.

However, when d=2m, then $\gamma \circ S^m \gamma$ is periodic with period m and $F(\gamma \circ S^m \gamma)_{2i}=0$ for $i \in [m]_0$. In particular, if $\delta \geq m$, then D=d and $m \notin J_{2i}$ for all $i \in [m]_0$ for any γ . In particular, $|J_2| \leq \delta - 1$ and $2|J_2| - \mathbb{1}_{0 \in J_2} \leq 2\delta - 3$, so if $\delta \in \{d/2, d/2 + 1\}$, all choices of γ automatically fail to produce a spanning family.

This exception is quite pathological, though: since our intention is to have $\delta \ll d$, this will rarely be an impediment. Nonetheless, in the case that you do want to have span $B_{\gamma} = \mathcal{H}^d$, then taking $\delta > d/2 + 1$ gives some space for the condition $2|J_k| - \mathbb{1}_{0 \in J_k}$, and we again have that generic γ will produce spanning families.

3 Condition number

$$\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{C}^{d \times d} \to \mathbb{R}^{[d] \times [D]}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(X)_{(\ell,j)} = \langle S^{\ell} m_j m_j^* S^{-\ell}, X \rangle$$
(6)

Now that we have characterized this collection of spanning families, we are interested in the condition number for solving the linear system $y = \mathcal{A}(T_{\delta}(xx^*)) + \eta$ to estimate $T_{\delta}(xx^*)$. We begin by introducing the main result of this section:

Proposition 2. Accept the hypotheses of proposition 1 and define A as in (6). If we additionally assume that $2\delta - 1 \le d$ and $K = 2\delta - 1$, then the condition number of A is

$$\kappa(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{\max_{m \in [\delta]_0, j \in [d]} |F_d(\gamma \circ S^{-m} \gamma)_j|}{\min_{m \in [\delta]_0, j \in [d]} |F_d(\gamma \circ S^{-m} \gamma)_j|}.$$
(7)

Otherwise, we may bound the condition number by

$$\kappa(\mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{\max_{m \in [\delta]_0, j \in [d]} |F_d(\gamma \circ S^{-m} \gamma)_j|}{\min_{m \in [\delta]_0, j \in [d]} |F_d(\gamma \circ S^{-m} \gamma)_j|} \kappa(\overline{F}_K), \tag{8}$$

where $\overline{F}_K \in \mathbb{C}^{D \times D}$ is the $D \times D$ principal submatrix of F_K .

To accomplish this, we introduce the operators $P^{(d,N)}: \mathbb{C}^{dN} \to \mathbb{C}^{dN}$, each of which is a permutation defined by

$$(P^{(d,N)}v)_{(i-1)N+j} = v_{(j-1)d+i}.$$

We can view this is beginning with $v \in C^{dN}$ written as N blocks of d entries, and interleaving them into d blocks each of N entries. Additionally, for $k, N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}, v \in \mathbb{C}^{kN_1}$, and $H \in \mathbb{C}^{kN_1 \times N_2}$, we define $\operatorname{circ}^{N_1}$ by

$$\operatorname{circ}^{N_1}(v) = \begin{bmatrix} v & S_{kN_1}^{N_1} v & \cdots & S_{kN_1}^{(k-1)N_1} v \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\operatorname{circ}^{N_1}(H) = \begin{bmatrix} H & S_{kN_1}^{N_1} H & \cdots & S_{kN_1}^{(k-1)N_1} H \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now proceed with the following lemmas.

Lemma 3. Suppose $v_i, v_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^k, w_j \in \mathbb{C}^{kN_1}$ for $i \in [N_1], j \in [N_2]$ and

$$M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{circ}(v_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{circ}(v_{N_{1}}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_{2} = \left[\operatorname{circ}^{N_{1}}(w_{1}) \quad \cdots \quad \operatorname{circ}^{N_{1}}(w_{N_{2}}) \right], \quad and$$

$$M_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{circ}(v_{11}) & \cdots & \operatorname{circ}(v_{1N_{2}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{circ}(v_{N_{1}1}) & \cdots & \operatorname{circ}(v_{N_{1}N_{2}}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$P^{(k,N_1)}M_1 = \operatorname{circ}^{N_1} \left(P^{(k,N_1)} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{N_1} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$(9)$$

$$M_2 P^{(k,N_2)*} = \operatorname{circ}^{N_1} ([w_1 \quad \cdots \quad w_{N_2}])$$
 (10)

$$P^{(k,N_1)}M_3P^{(k,N_2)*} = \operatorname{circ}^{N_1} \left(P^{(k,N_1)} \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} & \cdots & v_{1N_2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{N_11} & \cdots & v_{N_1N_2} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$
 (11)

Proof of lemma 3. We index the matrices to check the equalities. For (9), we have

$$(P^{(k,N_1)}M_1)_{(a-1)N_1+b,j} = (M_1)_{(b-1)k+a,j}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} S^{j-1}v_1 \\ \vdots \\ S^{j-1}v_{N_1} \end{bmatrix}_{(b-1)k+a}$$

$$= (S^{j-1}v_b)_a = (v_b)_{a+j-1}$$

and

$$\operatorname{circ}^{N_1} \left(P^{(k,N_1)} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{N_1} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{(a-1)N_1 + b, j} = \left(P^{(k,N_1)} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{N_1} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{(a-1)N_1 + b + (j-1)N_1} = (v_b)_{a+j-1}$$

For (10), we have

$$(P^{(k,N_2)}M_2^*)_{(a-1)N_2+b,j} = (M_2)_{j,(b-1)k+a} = (w_b)_{j+(a-1)N_1}$$

and

$$\left(\operatorname{circ}^{N_1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} w_1 & \cdots & w_{N_2} \end{bmatrix}\right)\right)_{j,(a-1)N_2+b} = (S^{N_1(a-1)}w_b)_j = (w_b)_{j+N_1(a-1)}$$

(11) follows immediately by combining (9) and (10).

Lemma 4. Suppose $V \in C^{kN \times m}$, then $\operatorname{circ}^N(V)$ is block diagonalizable by

$$\operatorname{circ}^{N}(V) = (F_{k} \otimes I_{N}) \left(\operatorname{diag}(M_{1}, \dots, M_{k})\right) \left(F_{k} \otimes I_{m}\right)^{*},$$

where

$$\sqrt{k} (F_k \otimes I_N)^* V = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad or \quad M_j = \sqrt{k} (f_j^k \otimes I_N)^* V$$

Proof of lemma 4. We set V_i to be the $k \times m$ blocks of V such that $V^* = \begin{bmatrix} V_1^* & \cdots & V_k^* \end{bmatrix}$ and begin by observing that, for $u \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and $W \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$, the ℓ^{th} $k \times p$ block of $\operatorname{circ}^N(V)(u \otimes W)$ is given by

$$\left(\operatorname{circ}^{N}(V)(u \otimes W)\right)_{\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}(S^{N(i-1)}V)_{\ell}W = \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}V_{\ell-i+1}W.$$

Taking $u = f_j^k$ and $W = I_m$, this gives

$$\left(\operatorname{circ}^{N}(V)(f_{j}^{k} \otimes I_{m})\right)_{\ell} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \omega_{k}^{(j-1)(i-1)} V_{\ell-i+1} I_{m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \omega_{k}^{(j-1)(\ell-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \omega_{k}^{-(j-1)(i-1)} V_{i}$$

$$= (f_{j}^{k})_{\ell} \left(\sqrt{k} (f_{j}^{k} \otimes I_{N})^{*} V\right) = (f_{j}^{k})_{\ell} M_{j}.$$

This relation is equivalent to having

$$\operatorname{circ}^N(V)(f_j^k \otimes I_m) = (f_j^k \otimes M_j) = (f_j^k \otimes I_N)M_j,$$

which is the statement of the lemma.

Lemma 4 immediately gives the following corollary.

Corollary 1. With notation as in lemma 4, the condition number of $\operatorname{circ}^{N}(V)$ is

$$\frac{\max_{i \in [k]} \sigma_{\max}(M_i)}{\min_{i \in [k]} \sigma_{\min}(M_i)}.$$

We now consider the rows of the measurement operator \mathcal{A} defined in (6). For now, we assume $2\delta - 1 \leq d$, and we vectorize $X \in T_{\delta}(\mathbb{C}^{d \times d})$ by its diagonals, taking $\chi_m = \operatorname{diag}(X, m), m \in [2\delta - 1]_{1-\delta}$, and for now we set $g_m^j = \operatorname{diag}(m_j m_j^*, m)$, for now omitting the assumption that $m_j = \gamma \circ v_j$ as in proposition 1. Therefore, each measurement looks like

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(X)_{(\ell,j)} &= \langle S^{\ell} m_j m_j^* S^{-\ell}, X \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=1-\delta}^{\delta-1} \langle S^{\ell} g_m^j, \chi_m \rangle, \end{split}$$

so if we define the matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{dD \times (2\delta-1)d}$ such that

$$\left(A \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{1-\delta} \\ \vdots \\ \chi_{\delta-1} \end{bmatrix}\right)_{(j-1)d+\ell} = \mathcal{A}(X)_{(\ell,j)}, \tag{12}$$

the $(j-1)d + \ell^{\text{th}}$ row of A is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} S^{\ell} g_{1-\delta}^{j} \\ \vdots \\ S^{\ell} g_{\delta-1}^{j} \end{bmatrix}^{*},$$

such that A is the block matrix given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{circ}(g_{1-\delta}^1)^* & \cdots & \operatorname{circ}(g_{\delta-1}^1)^* \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{circ}(g_{1-\delta}^D)^* & \cdots & \operatorname{circ}(g_{\delta-1}^D)^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{circ}(Rg_{1-\delta}^1) & \cdots & \operatorname{circ}(Rg_{\delta-1}^1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{circ}(Rg_{1-\delta}^D) & \cdots & \operatorname{circ}(Rg_{\delta-1}^D) \end{bmatrix},$$

which may be transformed, by Lemma 3, to

$$P^{(d,D)}AP^{(d,2\delta-1)*} = \operatorname{circ}^{D} \left(P^{(d,D)} \begin{bmatrix} Rg_{1-\delta}^{1} & \cdots & Rg_{\delta-1}^{1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Rg_{1-\delta}^{D} & \cdots & Rg_{\delta-1}^{D} \end{bmatrix} \right) =: \operatorname{circ}^{D}(H).$$
 (13)

Quoting corollary 1, this establishes the next proposition.

Proposition 3. Setting $M_j = \sqrt{d} \left(f_j^d \otimes I_D \right)^* H$, the condition number of A is

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\max_{i \in [d]} \sigma_{\max}(M_i)}{\min_{i \in [d]} \sigma_{\min}(M_i)}.$$

We are now able to prove proposition 2.

Proof. For the moment, we assert that $D=2\delta-1\leq d$ and set $\overline{F}_K\in\mathbb{C}^{2\delta-1\times2\delta-1}, (\overline{F}_K)_{ij}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\omega_K^{(i-1)(j-\delta)}$ to be the principal submatrix of $\sqrt{K}\operatorname{diag}(f_{1-\delta}^K)F_K$. In this case, $g_m^j=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}(f_{1-\delta}^K)F_K$.

 $\operatorname{diag}(m_j m_j^*, m) = \omega_K^{m(j-1)} g_m$, as in (5). Therefore, we label the $2\delta - 1 \times 2\delta - 1$ blocks of H by $H^* = \begin{bmatrix} H_1^* & \cdots & H_d^* \end{bmatrix}$, so that

$$(H_{\ell})_{ij} = (Rg_{j-\delta}^i)_{\ell} = \omega_K^{(i-1)(j-\delta)}(Rg_{j-\delta})_{\ell}$$

and $M_{\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \omega_d^{(\ell-1)(k-1)} H_k$, giving

$$(M_{\ell})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \omega_d^{(\ell-1)(k-1)} (H_k)_{ij} = \omega_K^{(i-1)(j-\delta)} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \omega_d^{(\ell-1)(k-1)} (Rg_{j-\delta})_k$$
$$= \omega_K^{(i-1)(j-\delta)} (F_d^* g_{j-\delta})_{\ell}.$$

In other words, $M_{\ell} = \sqrt{K} \operatorname{diag}(f_{\ell}^{d*}g_{1-\delta}, \dots, f_{\ell}^{d*}g_{\delta-1})\overline{F}_{K}$. If $K = 2\delta - 1$, then \overline{F}_{K} is unitary, and the singular values of M_{ℓ} are $\{\sqrt{K}f_{\ell}^{d*}g_{j}\}_{j=1-\delta}^{\delta-1}$. Recognizing that $S^{j}g_{j} = g_{-j}$, then proposition 3 takes us to (7).

If $D=2\delta-1 < K$, then the argument remains unchanged, except that the singular values of M_ℓ , instead of being known explicitly, are bounded above and below by $\max_{|j|<\delta}|f_\ell^{d*}g_j|\sigma_{\max}(\overline{F}_K)$ and $\min_{|j|<\delta}|f_\ell^{d*}g_j|\sigma_{\min}(\overline{F}_K)$ respectively, which gives the more general result of (8).

If $2\delta - 1 > d$, then instead of using diagonals $1 - \delta, \dots, \delta - 1$, we use diagonals $0, 1, \dots, d - 1$. This change propagates from (12) to (13), so that

$$(H_{\ell})_{ij} = \omega_K^{(i-1)(j-1)} (Rg_{j-1})_{\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad (M_{\ell})_{ij} = \omega_K^{(i-1)(j-1)} (F_d^* g_{j-1})_{\ell},$$

giving $M_{\ell} = \sqrt{K} \operatorname{diag}(f_{\ell}^{d*}g_0, \ldots, f_{\ell}^{d*}g_{d-1})\mathcal{R}_{d\times d}(F_K)$, which immediately gives us (8). We remark that indexing only over the diagonals $m \in [\delta]_0$ in (8) suffices, again because $S^j g_j = g_{-j}$, so having $2\delta - 1 > d$ makes $1 - \delta, \ldots, -1$ redundant.