

2.5

1. If pivot is $i=2$ (1 indexed)
 then a recurrence relation would split into 1st index
 and then the rest of the numbers in another
 second smallest element
 know pivot position but still loop through

$$T(n) = T(n-2) + n$$

$$= T(n-4) + 2n - 2$$

$$= T(n-6) + 3n - 6$$

$$= \frac{n(n-2)}{2} = n^2$$

Overall if the pivot is in location 2
 then the quicksort will have array size 1 and $n-2$
 so the recurrence relation would solve to $O(n^2)$.
 That means it has $O(n^2)$ behavior

2. In this case, the pivot selection would be
 poor and the algorithm may be slower. In the
 first case where each half contains $\frac{1}{3}$
 the algorithm will be poor partition $O(n^2)$. In case number
 2 as $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ on each side of the array
 where most of the array is sorted it would be
 slightly better but probably not ideal $n \log n$
 but somewhere in between. Overall

the algorithm should fall between $O(n \log n)$ and
 $O(n^2)$